



Christian Identity Ministries

A member of the
Congregations of Israel

PO Box 146, CARDWELL QLD 4849, Australia

Ph: 07-40660146 (International 61-7) www.christianidentityministries.com – hr_cim@bigpond.com

“Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; for He has visited and redeemed *His* people, And raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of His servant David; as He spake by the mouth of His holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember His holy covenant; The oath which He sware to *our* father Abraham, That He would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve Him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before Him, all the days of *our* lives.” Luke 1: 68-75;

The Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian people are *ISRAEL!*

#27

Covenant Messenger

March AD 1988

A publication of the N.Q. Fellowship of God's Covenant People

WHAT IS ON THE HORIZON FOR 1988

by Pastor Peter J. Peters

Solomon once said, “*For in much wisdom is much grief; and he that increaseth knowledge increaseth sorrow.*” (Ecclesiastes 1: 18) If this statement is true (and it is), why does our Heavenly Father command us to go after knowledge and wisdom? Proverbs 4: 5 commands us to “*Get wisdom, get understanding; forget it not.*” Concerning knowledge, Proverbs 10: 14 reads, “*Wise men lay up knowledge.*”

There are many today who have studied and researched concerning such subjects as communism, conspiracy, treason, money, power, international bankers, new age movement, political corruption, the infiltration of anti-Christ people, and the undermining of America's foundation, etc., only to become burdened with grief, despair and anxiety concerning the future. The point is, wisdom and knowledge CAN bring negative stress, but it doesn't have to. In fact, the Bible says, “*HAPPY is the man that findeth wisdom, and the man that getteth understanding.*” (Proverbs 3: 13).

The difference comes in one's relationship with the Savior and with understanding the promises of the sovereign God. “*Then you will understand the fear of the Lord, and find the knowledge of God. From His mouth come knowledge and understanding; He stores up sound wisdom for the upright; He is a shield to those who walk uprightly; He guards the paths of justice, And preserves the way of His saints. Then you will understand righteousness and justice, Equity and every good path. When wisdom enters your heart, and knowledge is pleasant to your soul.*” (Proverbs 2: 5-10) “*For the upright will dwell in the land, and the blameless will remain in it; But the wicked will be cut off from the earth, And the unfaithful will be uprooted from it.*” (Proverbs 2: 21, 22).

1988 looks dismal and frightening to some, but I believe it could well be the most exciting and eventful year in all of Israel's history; a year comparable to the deliverance at the Red Sea (also in a Jubilee year, CIM). Isaiah 10, describes the effects of Israel's present '3abylonian economic and political captivity (Micah 4: 10, Revelation 17: 1, 5, 15) with corrupt court decisions (vs 1), a legal system feeding on the unfortunate victims (vs 2), captivity (vs 4), oppression (vs 6), and a money system which allows its perpetrators to amass, unnoticed, the land and wealth of the people (vs 13, 14).

Many are still believing old commentaries which say such verses apply to Israel of old and not the present. Yet, verse 26 describes a deliverance which would parallel that of Gideon's day and the day of the deliverance of Israel from Egypt. Such a deliverance is yet to come and all indications point to 1988 as the beginning of what the Scripture calls, “**THE DAY OF THE LORD.**”

The deliverance of Israel from Egypt was a time of despair and darkness for some and joy and light for others. While Egypt was in darkness, God's people enjoyed light (Exodus 10: 21-23). Amos describes the Day of the Lord as darkness (Amos 5: 15-20). 1 Thessalonians 5: 1-11 teaches, His people can be in light, while the world is in darkness. The wicked and their evil works are soon destroyed. Isaiah 10: 17 says, “*And the light of Israel will become a fire and his Holy One a flame, and it will burn and devour his thorns and his briars in a single day.*”

The views and opinions expressed in the articles herein or herewith are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIM. They are written by fallible men. You must ask Jesus to guide your studies!
CIM reserves the right to edit submitted or reprinted material in line with CIM editorial policy. CIM does the utmost to ensure that the spirit of articles remains intact at all times.



Remember the Bible uses such terms as “thorns and briars” to describe Israel’s enemies (Numbers 33: 55 and Joshua 23: 13). When a land becomes overgrown with the wicked and their fruits, fire is the only solution. Hebrews 6: 7-8 reads, *“For the ground that drinks the rain which often falls upon it and brings forth vegetation useful to those for whose sake it is also tilled, receives blessings from God, but if it yields thorns and thistles, it is worthless and close to being cursed, and ends up being burned.”* Fire is symbolic of God’s wrath, jealousy and anger (Lamentations 2: 4, Nahum 1: 6).

John the Baptist warned the Pharisees of that fire (Matthew 3: 10) and Jesus in a kingdom parable said the tares would be thrown into the fire (Matthew 13: 40). Obadiah seems to teach that God will use the men of Israel as a flaming weapon against the wicked (described here as Esau). Obadiah 18 reads, *“Then the house of Jacob will be a fire and the house of Joseph a flame; but the house of Esau will be as stubble. And they will set them on fire and consume them. So that there will be no survivor of the house of Esau, for the Lord has spoken.”*

Zephaniah 1:14-18 vividly describes the end of the Babylonian system. *“Near is the great day of the Lord, Near and coming very quickly; Listen, the day of the LORD! In it the warrior cries out bitterly. A day of wrath is that day. A day of trouble and distress. a day of destruction and desolation. A day of darkness and gloom. A day of clouds and thick darkness. A day of trumpet and battle cry, Against fortified cities and the high corner towers. And I will bring distress on men. so that they will walk like the blind. Because they have sinned against the Lord; And their blood will be poured out like dust, And their flesh like dung. Neither their silver nor their gold will be able to deliver them on the day of the Lord’s wrath; And all the earth will be devoured IN the fire of His jealousy, For He will make a complete end. Indeed a terrifying one - of all the inhabitants of the earth.”*

Malachi 4: 1-3 describes the time as one of deliverance. *“For behold, the day is coming, burning like a furnace; and all the arrogant and every evildoer will be as chaff; and the day that is coming will set them ablaze, says the Lord of Hosts, so that it will leave them neither root nor branch. But for you who fear My name the sun of righteousness will rise with healing in its wings: and you will go forth and skip about like calves from the stall. And you will tread down the wicked, for they shall be ashes under the soles of your feet on the day which I am preparing. says the Lord of Hosts.”*

WHY POSSIBLE DELIVERANCE IN 1988?

Upon examining the work of Bible chronologist scholar Andrew Burns. I believe 1988 does fall on a Bible Jubilee. In fact, a very important jubilee cycle. It IS the 120th Jubilee from Adam and the Bible teaches the day of man was to be 120 time cycles (Genesis 6: 3). It is the 70th Jubilee since the deliverance of the Children of Israel from Egypt, the 60th Jubilee since the dedication of the temple, and the 40th Jubilee since the anointing of Jesus.

Bible history shows a pattern of deliverance for Israel in Jubilee years. The miraculous deliverance of Jerusalem from surrounding armies of Sennacherib took place in a Jubilee year (2 Kings 19) as did the deliverance at the Red Sea (Exodus 14). Obviously, we do not know exactly what will happen, but I personally (Pete Peters) believe 1988 may be the year of the military invasion described in Ezekiel 38 and 39. I have just recently received information from a reliable military source who agrees with this thought.

Andrew Burns points to the end of April 1988 as the possible time of Christ’s return. Some will immediately quote Matthew 24: 36 which says *“No one knows the day or the hour.”* Yet, the Greek text for that verse is in the perfect tense, which means it could have been translated, *“No one has known.”*

Recently, I visited with a non-religious man, who is an investor and has for years studied repeating cycles such as economic and war cycles. He made the remark that all cycles come together May 1, 1988. He said that it scared him to imagine such energy forces all converging upon one point of time. When he shared his findings on this he was not aware of my Bible thoughts.

FOR THE CHRISTIAN PEOPLE WHO KNOW THE IDENTITY TRUTH, let us be found as children of the light, faithfully trusting in our Rock and Refuge. *“The Lord also will be a stronghold for the oppressed; a stronghold in times of trouble, and those who know Thy name will put their trust in Thee; For Thou O Lord, hast not forsaken those who seek Thee.”* Psalm 9: 9-10: *“The angel of the Lord encamps around those who fear Him, and rescues them. O taste and see that the Lord is good; How blessed is the man who takes refuge in Him!! O fear the Lord, you His saints: For to those who fear Him, there is no want. The young lions do lack and suffer hunger; But they who seek the Lord shall not be in want of any good thing.”* Psalm 34: 7-10: *“Behold, the eye of the Lord is on those who fear Him; on those who hope for His lovingkindness, to deliver their soul from death, and to keep them alive in famine.”* Psalm 33: 18-19. (See also Psalm 91, The Soldier’s Psalm. emphasis mine. CIM)

Courtesy **SCRIPTURES FOR AMERICA** Newsletter. Box 766 LaPorte, COLORADO 80535

TAPE OF THE MONTH
#8201 TRUST THE GOD “WHO CONTROLS THE FUTURE by the late Pastor Sheldon Emry.
 Shows we need have no fear of what might happen in the near future, as our God controls it all!!
EXCELLENT. \$4 posted from Christian Identity.



THE ORIGINAL RIGHT-WING

by Paul Bunch

Judeo-Christians who have been indoctrinated by the modern-day priesthood of organized religion will read the following Bible prophecy about Jesus Christ without appreciating its true impact:

“For unto us a child is born, unto us a son is given, and the government shall be upon his shoulder: and his name shall be called Wonderful, Counsellor, The mighty God, The everlasting Father, The Prince of Peace. Of the increase of his government and peace there shall be no end, upon the throne of David and upon his kingdom, to order it, and to establish it with judgment and with justice from henceforth even for ever.” (Isaiah 9: 6-7)

In these two verses the practical implications of the words, “government,” “Counsellor,” “Prince,” “throne,” “kingdom,” “judgment,” and “justice” - all political terms - are usually completely missed. Pseudo-Christians usually think of them in storybook fashion because they believe in the precept of “separation of church and state” (more accurately rendered as “separation of Christianity and government”) which is included in typical Judeo-Christian theology. As a result, they are blinded, believing that nothing political is seriously discussed in the Bible. Not surprisingly, in most Judeo-Christians’ minds the political terms “Right-wing” and “Left-wing” conjure up only limited word associations, none of which have anything to do with the Bible. They usually associate Republicans, Conservatives, Anti-Communists, and Moderates with the “Right-wing,” and Democrats, Liberals, and Communists with the “Left-wing”. To them, “Right” and “Left” are merely arbitrary labels which indicate DIRECTION and nothing else.

But if the government REALLY IS on Jesus’ shoulder, how can there be a separation of Christianity from good government? Moreover, why would there not be explanations for POLITICAL terms in the Bible? If we are to actually believe what the prophet Isaiah says, then we have to conclude that Christianity (God’s Law) should essentially mix with our government at every level.

Besides indicating directions, “right” and “left” are also closely linked to morality. For easy identification, it would be perfectly logical and sensible to attach labels, which signify morality, to the two groups of thought.

Thus, “Right-wing” would be the label for people (and government) who follow God’s Law, and “Left-wing” would be the label for people (and government) who disobey God’s Law. Of course, currently, any discussion of God’s Law in government is totally alien to both Left-wing and Right-wing people because the ideology of separation of Christianity and government has permeated both areas. So we should not confuse today’s “Right-wing” with The Original Right-wing - God’s Right-wing as discussed in this article.

MORALLY RIGHT AND LEFT

The Bible conveys a very definite moral connotation in the terms “right” and “left:” *“A wise man’s heart is at his right hand; but a fool’s heart at his left.”* (Ecclesiastes 10: 2)

Also, Jesus Christ explains what He will say when all nations are gathered before Him and He separates the good from the bad: “And he shall set the sheep on his RIGHT HAND, but the goats on the LEFT. Then shall the King say unto them on his RIGHT HAND, come, ye blessed of my father, inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the foundation of the world...”

“Then shall he say unto them on the LEFT HAND, depart from me, ye cursed, into everlasting fire prepared for the devil and his angels (into continual judgement, meted to my enemy and his messengers).” Matthew 25: 33-34, 41)

Morality has always been connected to the directions right and left. The Bible speaks of the right hand as:

1. A place of honor and authority: *“We have such an high priest, who is set on the right hand of the throne of the Majesty in the heavens.”* (Hebrews 8: 1)

2. Symbolic of strength: *“Thou hast a mighty arm: strong is thy hand, and high is thy right hand.”* (Psalm 89: 13)

3. The hand of blessing: *“And Israel stretched out his right hand, and laid it upon Ephraim’s head... and he blessed Joseph.”* (Genesis 48: 14-15a)

Webster’s Seventh Collegiate Dictionary, besides defining “right” as a direction, includes:

1. Done in accordance with, or conformable to, moral law or to some standard of rightness; equitable; just; righteous.

2. Conformable to truth or fact (correct).

3. Holding one direction as a line; straight; direct.

“Left,” however, has been associated with “bad,” “immoral” and “evil” all through history. “LEFTHANDED,” besides meaning the use of the left hand habitually or more easily than the right, also means “insincere” or “malicious.” You have, no doubt, heard of a person giving someone a “left-handed compliment.” We also speak of a person who is in trouble with the authorities as being on the “left-hand side of the law.”

“SINISTER” is of Latin origin and actually means “left” - besides its other negative meanings:

1. Unfavorable, unlucky.

2. Conveying misleading or detrimental opinion or advice.

3 Dishonestly underhanded: Fraudulent.

4. Singularly evil or productive of evil: Bad, corruptive.

5a. Of, relating to, or situated to the left side of something.

(*Webster’s Seventh Collegiate Dictionary*)



THE ORIGINAL RIGHT-WING WAS "STRAIGHT"

It is interesting to note the third definition of "right": "*Holding one direction, as a line; STRAIGHT: direct.*"

It is no coincidence that "right" means straight. When we talk about things in the realm of law and order, it is common to hear of a criminal who has gone "straight." In fact another term for a criminal is "CROOK" which is derived from "CROOKED", which is the opposite of "STRAIGHT." The Bible verifies this concept: "*So shalt thou put away the guilt of innocent blood from among you, when thou shalt do that which is RIGHT in the sight of the Lord.*" (Deuteronomy 21: 9)

The Hebrew word for "right" in this verse (#3477 in *Strong's Concordance*: "yaw-shar") means "straight." And this same Hebrew word is translated "straight" in Isaiah's prophecy about John the Baptist: "*The voice of him that crieth in the wilderness, Prepare ye the way of the Lord, make STRAIGHT in the desert a highway for our God.*" (Isaiah 40: 3)

In other words, if you obey God's Law, you are going "straight;" you are not "crooked" or doing what is wrong. You are "in the right!"

Today, however, since most men in government adhere to the doctrine of separation of Christianity and government, the moral absolute concept of "straightness" has been lost. Instead, men do that which is "legal." As a result, there are now many "LEGAL" things which are morally wrong in God's eyes - such as usury, abortion, unjust taxation, etc.

Conversely, there are now many things labelled "ILLEGAL" which are morally right like home schooling, travelling in a car without a license, (registration, and driver's license, CIM), fishing without a license, preaching God's word without a license, or even repairing your house without a license, etc.

SUPERSTITION VS. GOD'S ORIGINAL MEANING

But some may argue that all this about "right" and "left" is simply a holdover from past ages of mindless superstition. The primitive Maori culture of the South Pacific, for instance, believes that warring and hunting are taboo to the left hand. They believe that the right side of man's body is dedicated to the god of war, therefore only the right hand can carry or wield the spear.

In Africa among the tribes of the lower Niger River women are forbidden to use their left hand when cooking, for fear of introducing poisonous sorcery into the food. Since Western culture has adopted many customs and traditions from anti-Christ religions, it can also end up viewing "right" and "left" from a superstitious viewpoint. We understand that



less than fifty years ago some teachers would actually tie a lefthander's left hand behind his back or beat it with a ruler to discourage him from using it. They considered left-handedness an evil, strange or abnormal trait. Therefore, if the left-handed was forced to be a right-hander, he became "normal."

However, in recent years the advent of Right brain/Left brain research has revealed that there is nothing inherently wrong with left-handed people, proving that the old view was simply unfounded superstition. It is true that superstitious men tend to view "right" and "left" through a cloud of ignorance but this does not alter fact, nor negate legitimate symbolism. Nonetheless, there is a tendency of superstitious men to replace reality with myth, and try to literalize the symbolic moral connotations of such things as "right" and "left." This we must avoid. We are dealing with symbolism, not literalism.

THE LEFT-WING DEPARTS FROM TRUTH

Continuing to look at the symbolic usage of "right" and "left," we find that another important dictionary definition of "left" is the past tense of "LEAVE," which means "having gone or departed from." This is an important definition in relation to "right," which means TRUTH. We know that God's Word is Truth; therefore we can see there are only two directions a person can go. You can go "right" and conform to God's Law (Truth), or you can go "left" and LEAVE (depart from) God's Law resulting in Untruth.



If you are a Christian, the first is the CORRECT or “right” direction and the second is the WRONG or “left” direction. Unfortunately, most have “left” (departed from) God’s Law:

“In transgressing and lying against the Lord, and DEPARTING AWAY from our God, speaking oppression and revolt, conceiving and uttering from the heart words of falsehood.” (Isaiah 59: 13)

This idea is further illustrated by the following common political terms:

LIBERAL: Favorable to progress or reform, as in religious or political affairs.

RADICAL: 1. One who carries his theories or convictions to their furthest application. 2. In politics, one who advocates widespread governmental changes and reforms at the earliest opportunity.

Now notice how the following term is different:

CONSERVATIVE: 1. Inclined to preserve the existing order of things, opposed to change. 2. Moderate: cautious. 3. Conserving; preservative.

You can see that a Conservative wants to “stay with,” or “conform to,” that which is existing. On the other hand, the Liberal or Radical wants sweeping changes. The concept of CHANGE (leaving or departing from that which is existing) being associated with “the left” was illustrated in the French Government during the Revolution. In the French National Assembly of 1789, those members who held conservative political views (the nobles) sat on the president’s right, while those who held more radical political views (the commoners) sat on the president’s left.

In the process of time “the LEFT,” or Left-wing, came to mean those with a desire to overthrow the established order. “The RIGHT,” or Right-wing, came to mean those who want to keep things the way they are, or preserve the status quo. As you can see, man’s collective perception of “right” has regressed from “correct” to “status quo.” This causes those who still believe in an absolute, and who stand for RIGHTEOUSNESS, to be labelled “extremist”. The true “Right” (God’s Right) is now considered “extreme.”

Since man has “left” God out of the government, he has forgotten the simplicity of God’s Word and its practical application to the affairs of men. In his own limited “wisdom” man has resorted to superstition, the opposite of truth and “right,” to explain many things including political terms. Nevertheless, those who recognize God’s hand in government can see that God’s Original Right-wing is the RIGHT way for man to go. “Enter ye in at the STRAIT (narrow) gate: for wide is the gate, and broad is the way, that leadeth to destruction and many there be which go in there at.” (Matthew 7: 13)

Courtesy *AMERICAN COVENANT NEWSETTER*, Box 8430 Medford. OREGON 97504

“FLIRTATION AND FONDLING”

Quote from *Husband and Wife* ©1900

by **Dr. Lyman B. Sperry** (page 88)

“The period of courtship needs most careful guarding against insincerity, deception and flirtation. It is worse than downright meanness for young people to trifle with each other in matters of such importance as love and marriage. A flirt, male or female, is worse than an ordinary thief. Flirting is mental adultery; it is a foe to morality.

During the period of courtship young people need to be guarded against undue intimacy of association. The tendency with lovers, both genuine and spurious, is to indulge in close bodily contact and physical expressions of endearment. For this purpose they wish to be much by themselves, entirely unobserved. It is a dangerous practice, and parents and guardians who consider the best interests of their children and protégés will not allow habitual and protracted seclusion on the part of young couples during this sentimental, impressible and important age.

No girl or young woman should permit an admirer to spend much time with her where no other human eye can see. She never should allow him to embrace and kiss her or fondly hold and press her hand or toy with any portion of her body. She should not permit herself to sit on his lap or nestle closely against him in the seductive hammock, the convenient carriage or on the tempting sofa. Such intimacy between the young endangers, to some extent, not only their physical and mental development, but their moral character as well. Through such conduct during the years of adolescence, sexuality is almost certain to be overstimulated, amorousness unduly cultivated and lust developed and made chronic.

Indeed, virtue and chastity are seriously endangered by excessively intimate association. It is a sad fact that by undue familiarity the delicate bloom of purity is brushed from the cheek of the character; the young woman, though she remain a virgin is soiled and mussed by overmuch handling; she becomes ‘shop-worn’ or ‘second-hand goods’ and, by the world’s best judges, is invoiced at a discount.

Let every young woman, in her relations to every man, always conduct herself as if it were certain that the relation is but transient, and that by and by she is to become the wife of some other man - a man so noble and true that he can accept in a wife nothing short of chastity of body and purity of mind and heart, together with an unstrained and unclouded record. Let every young man conduct himself toward every young woman as he would have other men conduct themselves toward the one who some day is to become his wife. Indiscretion easily soils the character, and imperceptibly leads to sin.”

Courtesy *AMERICAN COVENANT NEWSETTER*, Box 8430 Medford. OREGON 97504



THE TAX REVOLT AGAINST GOD

by Rousas John Rushdoony

An important fact of the current American scene is the tax revolt, primarily directed against the U.S. Federal government. No one knows how many people are involved in this, but the numbers are considerable. While not at all in agreement with this movement, I must add that it has in its ranks some of the finest and most dedicated men in the country. They recognize clearly the growing power of the state, the onset of totalitarianism, and the awesome waste of tax funds.

For 50 years, the policy of “tax and spend” has governed both parties. Their occasional good resolutions when out of office turn into forgotten rhetoric when in office. The evil is clearly there. Writers like columnist Donald Lambro, in such books as *Washington, City of Scandals* (1984), document the prodigal waste of funds by Congress. As against critics who decry this waste, both parties excuse their actions by citing the needs of the poor, when in reality much of the money goes to enrich the rich even more.

We must remember, however, that Scripture gives no room for tax revolt. Judea, in our Lord’s day, was deeply involved in a tax rebellion against Rome. In one instance, tax money was demanded of Jesus, who made clear His immunity from taxation as the Son of God the King, but, at the same time, He instructed Peter to pay it by means of a miracle (Matthew 17: 24-27).

On another occasion, spies, and a “trick” question, were used in an attempt to trap Jesus. In that day, anyone opposing the tax revolt lost popular support, and was regarded as a tool or servant of Rome, by the people. On the other hand, if a well-known person advocated the tax revolt, his arrest quickly followed. The question asked of Jesus was, “*Is it lawful for us to give tribute to Caesar, or no?*” To answer, “yes,” would mean contempt of most Jews; to answer, “no,” would mean arrest and prison. Our Lord called attention to Caesar’s image and superscription on the coin, and said, “*Render therefore to Caesar the things which be Caesar’s and unto God the things which be God’s.*” (Luke 20: 20-26).

The meaning was clear: the coin witnessed to the fact that Caesar provided them with coinage, military protection, courts, law, public works, roads, and more. By their sins, they had made Caesar their Lord or Sovereign. However, if they would render to God what God requires, in full obedience to His law, then God would again be their Lord. In other words, the tax revolt was the wrong answer; it did not alter the moral and religious fact of their apostasy. They invoked the name of the Lord, as churchmen do today, but they did not obey His law-word.

Charles Adams, in *Fight, Flight, Fraud. The Story of Taxation* (1982), gives us an excellent survey

of the history of taxation. Even more, he sees the heart of the matter clearly, stating, “...taxing power is the guts of sovereignty” (p.125). This is the key fact. Whomsoever we make our sovereign has then the right to tax us: taxation is the prerogative of sovereignty. Today, the state is man’s sovereign; it is Hegel’s god walking in history, and hence it has unlimited powers, because a sovereign cannot be bound or controlled by any law.

According to Scripture, God is our Lord or Sovereign. The most common term applied to God the Father in the Old Testament is “Lord,” and in the New Testament “Lord” is the most used term for Jesus. God is our creator (Genesis 1: 1-30). Therefore, “*The earth is the LORD’s, and the fulness thereof; the world, and they that dwell therein.*” (Psalm 24: 1). It is God the Lord who therefore allots funds to church and state alike. Civil government is limited to half a shekel for all males 20 years and older (Exodus 30: 10-16) to provide an “atonement” or civil protection or covering for them. For worship, one tenth of the tithe, or one percent of a man’s increase was provided (Numbers 18: 24-26). The Levites were to use the rest of the tithe for education (Deuteronomy 33: 10) and a variety of other societal services. Thus, clearly, God does not allow in His law for any centers of power in church or state. Both are to be small institutions, the one a ministry or diaconate for civil protection, the other a ministry of grace (Rom 13: 1).

When the problem of a power state develops, the key is not rebellion but obedience to God the Lord. All things are under the government and the providence of God. We are in our present predicament because of our disobedience to the Almighty.

Remember, the major tax revolt is not against the modern state: it is against God. It is significant too, that God’s law makes no provision for the enforcement of the tithe by man. Neither church nor state have the power to require the tithe of us, nor to tell us where it should be allocated, i.e., whether to Christian schools or colleges, educational foundations, missions, charities, or anything else. The tithe is to the Lord.

The fact is clear that man cannot impose rules to govern God’s tax, nor require it of us. God, however, can enforce this law in His own way, and does. In Malachi 3: 8-12, God tells us certain things about His tax. First, failure to pay it means robbing Him, robbing God! God expects not only tithes from us, but also offerings, i.e. gifts above and beyond the tithe. Second, failure to pay God His tax means that we shall be under a curse. This curse will affect us in the realm of nature, in the realm of civil government, and in every other sphere of life. In other words, in Malachi’s plain terms, God takes a tax revolt against Him for more seriously than the U.S. Internal Revenue Service does in cases of tax revolt against it. And God has far more efficient powers of enforcement!



Third, God declares that His powers to bless us for paying His tax far exceed anything we imagine. It is the promise of life.

All God's laws carry with them curses and blessings (Deuteronomy 28). The first promise in this regard, i.e., first in importance, concerns the family: "*Honor thy father and thy mother; that thy days may be long upon the land which the LORD thy God giveth thee.*" (Exodus 20: 12). In Ephesians 6: 2-3 Paul stresses the life-giving fact of obedience to this commandment. The title is one of these life-giving laws when obeyed. This means that men and nations cannot expect God's blessing when they neglect His tax and laws. Why should God bless a people who disobey Him, are engaged in a tax revolt against Him, and who insist on declaring that His law has been done away with? It was because God's law is so important and unchanging that only the vicarious sacrifice of the Son of God could make atonement for us.

Is the law now a trifle? Some insist that we are no longer under such laws as "*Thou shalt not commit adultery ...steal ...kill ...bear false witness,*" and so on, only under grace. Granted that these are extreme antinomians, but what grace is there in any neglect of God's law, of any disregard for it? Remember, our Lord declares, "*Whosoever therefore shall break one of these least commandments, and shall teach men so, he shall be called the least in the kingdom of heaven.*" (Matthew 5: 19). Did our Lord change His mind about this after the resurrection? If so, He neglected to say so!

The tax revolt against the U.S. Federal Government is thus really a small matter. It will not affect the fundamental course of things, nor stop the growing totalitarianism. The key problem is the tax and law revolt against God. You can be sure that He does not take it lightly.

Courtesy **CHALCEDON REPORT**, #271, February 1988.
CHALCEDON, P.O. Box 158, Vallecito, CA 95251

WORLD CONSERVATION BANK: A Front For International Bankers?

Are you prepared for an international Federal Reserve (Bank) coming under the guise of "world environmental concern"? Such a monster is in the works, thanks to Baron de Rothschild, David Rockefeller, the United Nations, and a host of other banking interests, looking to soon claim title to at least thirty percent of the earth's surface, as well as the currencies of debtor nations.

In the December, 1987 issue of *The Money-changers* magazine (5705 Stage Rd., Suite 164 Memphis, TN 38134), accountant George Hunt was interviewed about the "Fourth World Wilderness Congress" he attended last September in Denver and Estes Park, Colorado. Attendees consisted of about 60% conservationists and ecologists, 30% government,

United Nations and other bureaucrats, and 10% world banking interests, including Chase Manhattan's David Rockefeller, Baron de Rothschild, and others. Also present to give the keynote address was an interesting one-worlder, Treasury secretary James Baker.

The proposed World Conservation Bank (WCB), which will probably be created through the United Nations, will have as its true goal the instrumentation of a central world bank, a one-world currency and more immediately, a world fixed exchange rate. According to Hunt, the banking interests present at the Congress, which received no publicity outside of the immediate area, are "planning on refinancing, debt-swapping (for assets, namely 30% of the earth's surface) \$1 trillion of Third World debt into this new WCB." How will this transfer of assets be accomplished? Hunt continues, "(Debtor governments) will give title to the lands to the World Wilderness Land Inventory Trust. It will be entrusted. Then this trust will go floating into the WCB by the unanimous decree of the world's people, saying (for example), 'God bless you for saving our reindeer...' Then the WCB will have the power to act as a world central bank."

One example of a nation on the brink of surrender to the International financiers is Brazil, whose multi-billion dollar debt, Brazilian officials admit, is unpayable. The WCB will in effect MONETIZE THE AMAZON BASIN by swapping for Brazil's debt. This will allow the WCB to control the Brazilian economy and currency. (Say goodbye to our rainforests going to the World Heritage Listing in the same way? CIM). How will the debtor nations (for all intents and purposes this includes America, folks) agree on supporting the WCB? As Richard Cooper noted in the Fall 1984 issue of the Council on Foreign Relations' publication, *Foreign Affairs*:

"It is highly doubtful whether the American public, to take just one example, could ever accept that countries with autocratic regimes (such as the Soviet Union and Red China) should vote on the monetary policy that would affect monetary conditions in the United States... For such a bold step to work at all it presupposes a certain convergence of political values as reflected in the nature of political decision-making."

Think about recent developments. Isn't this what "Glasnost" was all about? What about SALT 1, SALT 2, unilateral and bilateral disarmament, "Greenpeace," the World Health Organization (WHO), ad infinitum? The media has been spreading "global consciousness" on us like butter on toast. We have been pandered to about the plight of harp seals, grey whales, snail darters, and a host of environmental causes NOT because they are worthy of consideration, but because the conspirators needed to fertilize our



minds for planting of the world bank and world economy ideas. Businesses small and large have been sold on the global philosophy entailed in John Nesbitt's book, *Megatrends*, and have been told we must rally against the "evils of protectionism."

Returning to *The Moneychangers* article for a moment, it concludes, "They (the conspirators) are trying to establish an international socialist state, by controlling the economy, law and environment of the world, through the United Nations and U.N. accords and treaties. This will be done NOT by the outward and visible imposition of a one world government, but by the inward and unremarkable signing of U.N. treaties and accords, by which national constitutions are subverted and national sovereignty step by step resigned. Whatever the outward accidents, the inward essence is totalitarian socialism on a world wide scale."

'MOTHER EARTH' THEOLOGY

The guise of a concerted world effort to clean up the environment and save rain forests, etc., is a very clever one, and many people will fall for it. Hunt mentioned the *Brundtland Report*, also called "*Our Common Future*" (an abstract of this report may be ordered for USD\$4 from: Earthscan, 1717 Massachusetts Ave. NW, Suite 302, Washington D.C. 20036), which details the alleged need for the WCB. According to Hunt, the report "...set the stage for unlimited enactments to take over ecology, environmental & pollution laws throughout the world. This includes international dictation to the U.S. about its 'smoke-stack' industries."

There will be an ever-increasing incidence of Earth Worship or as Hunt puts it, "...very harsh, quasi-spiritual ecological laws for 'Mother Earth'. You're going to have a 'Mother Earth Comes First' mentality arising throughout the world as a result of this legislation." Now, various primitive peoples worship the earth, the moon, the sun, the planets, and various material things as gods, but who would have suspected that a large segment of "civilized" people would very nearly do the same?

The Bible does have something to say about this situation. In Romans 1: 18-25 we find:

"For the wrath of God is revealed from heaven against all ungodliness and unrighteousness of men who hold the truth in unrighteousness; because that which may be known of God is manifest in them; for God hath shewed it unto them. For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse: Because that, when they knew God, they glorified Him not as God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened. Professing themselves to be wise,

they became fools, and changed the glory of the incorruptible God into an image made like to corruptible man, and to birds, and creeping things. Wherefore God also gave them up to uncleanness through the lusts of their own hearts, to dishonor their own bodies between themselves: who changed the truth of God into a lie, and worshipped and served the creature more than the Creator, who is blessed forever."

This passage applies to more than homosexuality; it applies to all who brush aside the Heavenly Father, who swap the Creation for Darwinism, who boast, "*Where is your God?*" and "*Where is the promise of His coming?*" Watch out for these 'earth cults' and related New Age movements in your area.

A JUBILEE MOCKERY?

The plans for a World Bank outlined above made us stop and wonder. With the growing number of Christian groups coming to the conclusion that this 1987-88, is a Jubilee year as defined in the Bible, could the conspiratorial move for claiming the earth's land surface be a mockery of Bible Law? The enemies of Christ are not all stupid. Many know the Bible better than most Christians do. They realize this is the Jubilee (Leviticus 25: 9-17), and they want the inheritance.

Remember, if you will, the story of Isaac's blessing of Jacob in Genesis 27-28. Even though the blessing was obtained by deception (Esau, the first born, was supposed to be blessed first), it was by divine ordination because, as we read in Genesis 27: 41, "*Esau hated Jacob because of the blessing wherewith his father had blessed him: and Esau said in his heart, The days of mourning for my father are at hand; then will I slay my brother Jacob.*" The progeny of Esau (father of the Edomite peoples) have been "slaying" Jacob's seed (both literally & figuratively) ever since, but with greater intensity now, since Edom's downfall is imminent. Jacob (the supplanter) had taken from Esau two great things: his birthright and his blessing (verse 36).

Today's Edomites are trying every way they can to steal back that blessing, which represents the family inheritance. The Jubilee year (the year following the sabbatical or 49th year) was, in ancient Israel, the great equalizer of land use. With Psalm 24: 1 in mind ("*The earth is the LORD's, and the fulness thereof...*"), we see that no man could OWN land, but only have dominion over/ possession of it, to produce from it. The Jubilee allowed land which had been allotted or leased out, or taken by force, to be returned to the original family it had been granted to by God himself.

In America today, the land situation is such a twisted mess that it would be next to impossible to ascertain original family possession of land, because



of overlapping claims and titles in equity. Seeing this, would it not make sense to the world conspirators to use such instruments as “public policy”, “Forest Service & BLM land,” etc., to set aside land, supposedly in trust “for the American people,” to secretly claim the land as their own? Using plans such as the WCB?

In early America, and in the last century, pioneers were encouraged to “put down stakes” and homestead, that is, possess the land. Nowadays, this practice only appears in such legal phrases as “homestead tax credits.” Well, if a political or corporate entity can collect a “property tax” from you, brother, you’re not ‘homesteading’!

What is needed in this Jubilee year, first and foremost, is personal and then national repentance for sins (transgressions of God’s laws, CIM). When we have our own lives straightened out between ourselves and our Savior, then we should righteously take back our land inheritance according to the Scripture, for we are the Covenant People, and this is God’s land - for us.

Courtesy *AMERICA’S PROMISE NEWSLETTER*, Box 30000
Phoenix, AZ 85046

THE ID CARD IS BACK!

According to an article in *The Weekend Australian* of 13-14 Feb., the government is to pursue a high integrity tax file numbering system to take the place of the failed ID Card legislation. A spokesman for the treasurer said it was hoped to have legislation introducing the new system before the end of this year.

I wonder if this will receive the same amount of publicity as the previous effort (*Auschtralia Card*) or whether people will even make a concerted effort at opposing the new legislation. The Federal Opposition has indicated that it will consider the system on its merits with the requirement that it does not breach privacy. The Australian Taxation office hopes to put forward its proposals in the next few months.



JONATHAN MAY

A number of people have asked for further information on the warning issued last month. Firstly, let me say, do not look to any man to save you from the situation the nation is in. You have to get yourself right with God! He will save us!! Secondly, I have received warnings from three sources, Pastor Pete Peters (newsletter). Pastor Ben Williams (private correspondence), and Larry Abraham (photocopied document). It appears that the main gist of the warning relates more to the individual than to the information on the tape, although some of that could be in error as well.

The warning is basically: **DO NOT PUT ANY MONEY INTO ANY OF THEIR SCHEMES NO MATTER HOW GOOD THEY SOUND.** That last sentence supposedly applies to Lindsey Williams also, but I have not been able to confirm that. I have heard that the American weekly *The Spotlight* carried an expose on Jonathan May but to date, I have not seen it myself. You must remember that while there may be some truth in relation to what is exposed about the conspiracy, that it is Our God who is bringing it all about as punishment on us. Therefore our repentance and returning to Him is the **ONLY** way to turn this thing around.

NOW AVAILABLE:

The book written by Stephen Jones a number of years ago, called: **GOD’S SOVEREIGNTY, MAN’S AUTHORITY, AND THE HOPE OF CREATION.** This book deals at length with explanations of “forever;” “everlasting;” “eternal” etc. It also shows that man is not a “free moral agent” as some erroneously teach. Must reading! Send for your copy \$4 post-paid (in Australia).


SPECIAL TAPE

JM018 SUBVERSION U.S.A.

Retired army Col. Gordon “Jack” Mohr. This recent tape, copied from video shows the corruptness in high places. Also compares the military strength of the U.S. with the U.S.S.R. Clearly shows how the West is vastly outnumbered in manpower and equipment by the Russians. Also confirms some comments made by Brian Wilshire on 2GB regarding the drug trade. You need to hear this one! \$4 posted from Christian Identity.

Those coming for our mid-year get together (God willing), note the dates between June 30 and July 5. I would appreciate confirmation from all intending to come. Please advise if you have caravan, tent, (chemical) toilet facility. Please let me know definitely before the end of March.





Australia! Is this happening to you? Between WCB, Foreign 'Investment' and World Heritage Listing, what heritage will your children have?

Anti-Thought-Control-Dictionary

A Guide to Deceptive Words and Terms Used to Neutralize Christians.

HEAVEN: Mythological definition: A literal place somewhere in outer space where good people go to live when they are dead. The location where Jesus lives until He can return to Earth and rapture a select few people to take back to heaven. A city is there which has gates literally made of pearls, streets of transparent gold and walls of sapphires, emeralds, topaz, jasper and other precious stones. The devil came from there, but he cannot go back.

Correct Definition: Usually plural ("heavens") in the Greek, meaning "high places" in terms of: 1. Elevation, or 2. Power and authority (jurisdiction). In the Bible it is usually "God's government" (the highest authority); government under Jesus whose purity and righteousness is symbolized by pure and precious stones.

CRIME RATE: Controlled meaning: The number of robberies, murders, rapes, or other crimes by citizens against citizens, during a specified time period. An accurate index of actual crime.

True Meaning: As published, it is nothing but an index of police activity within the Law Enforcement Growth Industry. The rate of actual crimes stays

more or less steady. By enforcing bureaucratic policies and codes where they don't apply, law enforcement agencies actually create "crime" where none existed before, thus making it appear that crime is on the increase. This then creates public mood for more "police protection" and higher wages for police, requiring more taxes.

In theory, police are supposed to deter "potential crime," but admittedly are unable to deter REAL CRIME. However, they in fact can't even deter 'potential crime' for if they could, then an increase in the size of police departments should produce a decrease in the size and number of prisons (detention centers for "potential criminals"). They present this ostensible cause-and-effect relationship in reverse order to brainwash the public. Police growth has only produced prison growth, a fact which leads one to suspect that the only way prisons can decrease is for police departments to decrease.

Police have little, if any, real effect upon actual crime except to possibly encourage it by encouraging private citizens from owning guns and protecting themselves. Police are never present during the actual commission of a crime, and can only respond AFTER the crime has been completed. It is no more possible for policemen to stop crime than it is for firemen to keep fires from starting. Only citizens, themselves, can affect the crime rate by personally protecting themselves and their property.

Courtesy *AMERICAN COVENANT NEWSETTER*, Box 8430 Medford. OREGON 97504



THE RIGHT TO BEAR ARMS

The Right to bear Arms,
is the Right to be Free,
Our Forefathers knew
that's the way it should be.
So tyrants of the future
Could not enslave their sons,
and take all their Rights away,
until they took their guns.
We can learn a lesson
if we look at history,
to see results of gun control
on people who were Free.
Just look at the Hungarians,
the Czechs, and the Poles,
these people have no Freedom,
but they all have gun controls.
The guns of the Freeman,
they'll never confiscate,
unless this land of Freedom,
has become a Marxist State.
To defend one's Home and Family,
is a GOD-given Right,
the day they try to take our guns,
there's bound to be a fight.
Unconstitutional laws,
infringe this Right today,
through gradualism they hope
to take it totally away.
But that must never happen
to the people of this land,
just like our Great Forefathers,
together we must stand.
We learn from the Patriots
of America's past,
that we don't have to be
intimidated or harassed!
Two hundred years ago,
our Freedom wasn't won,
by a cowardly heart
or a registered gun.
So remember what our Forefathers
helped us to see,
that the Right to Bear Arms
is the Right to be Free!

© Copyright 1986, Thelen Paulk. P.D. Box 149, Watervliet, MI 49098. Used by permission.

THE CHRISTIAN AND THE INCOME TAX - Part 6

Dr. Everett Sileven © July 1986

As can be seen, even Hamilton – the bankers' man - was well aware of the oppression that could be imposed by direct taxes on property, time, income and wages. He proposed no such tax. In paper #30, Hamilton further clarified that the federal government was to levy the tax to the states, and the states were to apportion the tax as per population and raise it however the people would permit. They had had enough of King George and his direct collection of taxes. They certainly did not want a federal government with officers in the states accosting the citizens for their tax.

Never in any of the colonists' minds would the federal government set up offices where their agents would plunder the citizens of the state directly, and never would the states have allowed it in those days, in any way. In Paper #22, Hamilton makes it clear that any direct tax is not on income or wages, but to be on land and buildings based on apportionment. (This is an unscriptural view, CIM)

Patrick Henry said: "...I never will give in to the power of direct taxation, but for a scourge."

With these words as background, let's see what the Constitution actually says. Remember, the federal government can only do what was delegated to it by the states, and no more. The United States Constitution, Article 1, Section 8, states: "The Congress shall have the power to lay and collect taxes, duties, imposts and excises, but the duties, imposts, and excises shall be uniform throughout the United States."

Article 1, Section 9 states: "No capitation or other direct tax shall be layed, unless in proportion to the consensus or enumeration..."

Nowhere in this taxing authority was there ever given the power to federal government to require individuals to fork over ever growing amounts of their income – especially penalizing those who produce more by taxing them more. The federal government was granted two powers of taxation by the states: First, they could impose direct taxes on products, imports and exports; and second, they could impose a tax on the states based on population or total land and building values. This assured the people that no one would be oppressed by taxation while others got off free. However, we now have a taxing system that kills almost all incentives to produce because the more you work and the more you make the more you have to pay.

But I hear some say: "...that all of this changed in 1913, when the people of the states voted and approved the Sixteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, the Income Tax Amendment."

First, the Sixteenth Amendment was not even ratified. We were lied to and defrauded. (Read *The Law That Never Was*, available from **America Today**)



Books, Box 249, Louisville, NE 68037 for \$20.) Kentucky voted to kill the amendment, yet Kentucky is shown as one of the states that ratified it. Minnesota did not even consider passing the amendment, but indefinitely postponed it. Yet Minnesota is shown as a state that ratified it, also. But the ratification or passing of the Sixteenth Amendment is not even important or necessary to talk about, for the United States Supreme Court has already ruled that the Sixteenth Amendment gave Congress no new taxing powers.

In 1916, the United States Supreme Court said: "...Sixteenth Amendment conferred no new power of taxation, but simply prohibited the previous complete and plenary power of income taxation possessed by Congress from the beginning from being taken out of the category of indirect taxation, to which it inherently belongs." Stanton v Baltic Mining Co. 240 US 103 (1916) 36sct. at 1281.

In Brushaber v Union Pacific Railroad. 240 US 1 (1916), the Supreme Court said; "...the words income tax and excise tax are synonymous under the Sixteenth Amendment."

Webster's New Collegiate Dictionary, 1981, defines an excise tax as "...an internal tax levied on the manufacture sale, or consumption of a commodity within the country." When Congress passed the Income Tax Amendment in 1913, it is clear from the record that it did not intend the tax to be taken from an individual's wages. The following quote was taken from the Congressional Record of the Senate, on page 3843, August 28, 1913:

"If by professional effort any person should earn a given sum annually and he spends half of it, he saves the other half. The half so saved in time becomes principle. That principle is property. The savings from the income by professional effort or by any form of skilled labour or unskilled by hand becomes property. At the end of any given period that savings is a principle, and any income derived from it is an income from property, not an income from the earning capacity of the taxpayer in question."

Again, from the same page, the Record states: "Those investments that produce an income from a property source, I think, are property to be distinguished from those arising from the earning capacity of the individual. "

Laws that were passed after the Sixteenth Amendment was already supposedly adopted further prove that the Sixteenth Amendment did not impose a tax on wages. In fact, taxes on wages were not collected at all until Congress passed a wage tax in 1917 to help finance World War I. The tax was repealed at the end of the war. Again, in 1939, Congress passed a tax on the wages of the government employees. If the Sixteenth Amendment had authorized this tax, a law would not have been required. After we entered World War II, a victory tax was imposed, but it expired after two years.

In 1943, Congress passed another wage tax which remains today as IRS Code 3402(a). Of course, it is unconstitutional, as were all of the previous laws that were passed. But it is being held in place by federal judges who are politically responsible to greater forces than the American people. The main reason that the graduated income tax stays in place is not because it is a good tax - or that it is held in place by guns of government or threats of jail to those who refuse to comply - it is held in place primarily by public opinion based on ignorance.

Many surveys have been taken nationally that show that the American people do not approve of either income tax or the IRS. The public feel that the IRS is abusive and the income tax oppressive. Then, why do Americans continue to fill out the forms and support a corrupt system?

First, most Americans still believe that taxes collected by and through the income tax are actually used to pay for government, for roads, for education, etc.

Second, they feel embarrassed when the IRS releases their name to the media as a tax dodger. What people don't realize is that the IRS is using the old schoolteacher method of peer pressure to get what it wants. Let your peers put the pressure on you.

Third, most Americans are willing to sell their children into slavery in the future if they can just keep assets today, keep their jobs today, stay out of jail today, and don't have to suffer today. They are like Hezekiah when the prophet told him his children would be slaves, his sons castrated and made eunuchs, and his daughters would be made slaves wives. Hezekiah rejoiced and said: "Good, there will be peace in my days."

It is basically true that people get the government they deserve. If we are willing to be slaves, we will be. If we are willing to pay an unconstitutional, unscriptural, communistic income tax, we will be forced to do so!

©Copyright 1986, *Fundamentalist Publications*. Box 249 Louisville, NEBRASKA 68037 U.S.A.

To be continued

This book available from Christian Identity for \$14 posted

Many thanks again for an abundance of mail. It looks like some correspondence will suffer again as the newsletter and other material gets printed and ready to mail. Sorry if you have to wait for an answer.

Many thanks also for the support which helps to make the printing and purchase of more stocks of books possible. The Lindsey Williams books have arrived. Limited number only! May our Heavenly Father bless and protect all those who are engaged in the battle for truth. Sincerely,

Hendrik (Hank) Roelofs

Hendrik Roelofs

email: hr_cim@bigpond.com

