

Christian Identity Ministries

A member of the Congregations of Israel

PO Box 146, CARDWELL QLD 4849, Australia


Ph: 07-4066 0146 (International 61-7 instead of 07) www.christianidentityministries.com - hr_cim@bigpond.com

"Blessed be the LORD God of Israel; For He hath visited and redeemed His people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for us in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That we should be saved from our enemies and from the hand of all that hate us; to perform the mercy promised to our fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he sware to our father Abraham, That he would grant unto us, that we being delivered out of the hand of our enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of our lives." Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scadinavian people are ISRAEL!

#51

NEWSLETTER

March AD1990



ill the '90's usher in an age of international peace and harmony? Have we entered into the "Age of Aquarius": the "New Age"? The news media would have us think so. Politicians and newsmen tell us that the door to World Peace and Unity is swinging open wide thanks to the cooperative efforts of George Bush and Mikhail Gorbachev. The two "superpowers" are beginning to produce the fruits of "peace" through negotiation, compromise and international contracts. With the help of the United Nations, a WORLD FEDERATION is developing.

Isn't it strange how those infamous terms, which only a few years ago struck terror into the heart of most Americans, have somehow now become publicly palatable? The media's power of mind conditioning is truly a wonder.

So, we are to believe this is the "New Age." Amazing! These kind of "ages" come and go but the world continues the same as always. Nothing changes except the journalistic thrust of the keepers of the public mind: the newsmen. The true forces of government, politics, economics and religion continue on — inexorable through the centuries.

In retrospect, history will show that such "ages" were intangible lenses through which gullible people were persuaded to view the world. We looked through different lenses, but the world was not different — only our perspectives changed.

Such "ages" are products of promoters: ie. the public relations department of the Plutocratic world controllers. They perceive the need for "an age" and by hypnotic suggestion they create one in the public mind. They speak it into existence: a fiat creation—kind of like the fractional reserve money of the Federal Reserve Banking Sustem

System.

In order to have a market to sell their "New Age politics," "New Age economy," "New Age ecology," and "New Age health care," they must first create a "New Age." They simply publish the statement that it exists, and then leave us in the position of either mounting the enormous task of proving otherwise, or just giving in and letting them continue without a fight.

The masses of gullible, brainwashed people read about it in the newspapers, stare blankly ahead and accept it as fact without so much as even testing it.

Government and the News Media

have become America's gurus. "Truth," as it is perceived today, is no longer something to be proven. It is never tested. "Truth" is merely whatever the public believes — and the public believes whatever the gurus speak. The gurus (government and media) control the public mind.

WHAT WE HEAR

The gurus tell us that Communism has not worked, and now it is collapsing. They say that the Soviets have lost the Cold War and are now changing their ways. Kissinger-style diplomacy has won out. Democracy is taking root in Communist countries. The threat of nuclear war is now over. Communism is passé. The Soviet Bear is turning into a Democratic Donkey.



Cover of January 15, 1990 U.S. NEWS AND WORLD REPORT

Newspapers and TV newscasts continue the barrage of stories from Europe telling about one Communist government after another being overthrown by the citizens. If these news stories are true, then Communist governments would appear to be more susceptible to public demands than The United States government. But, history forces us to question such claims. As far as I know, there has never been a successful internal overthrow of an established Communist government. It just doesn't happen.

Nonetheless, we keep hearing that the Iron Curtain is being dismantled to allow open exchange between East and West. And what's even stranger is that we're expected to believe that Moscow is sitting idly by while one satellite country after another is revolting against "Communism." Is this the same Moscow that jealously fought for a decade against a few thousand poor Afghans in an expensive, protracted war of attrition? Are we now to believe that they are happily allowing the overthrow of their installed governments in Poland, Hungary, Romania, Armenia, Azerbaijan and other countries?

WHAT WE KNOW

The public seems to be buying this hogwash. But some of us don't. Some of us have a better understanding of the nature of world politics. We are becoming less gullible about the machinations of the central ruling <u>Plutocrats</u> (ie. International Bankers).

[Plutocrat: from the Greek PLOUTOS (wealth) and CRATIA (power), thus = ONE WHO RULES BY WEALTH.]

Modern politics is not hard to understand once you can grasp a few basics:

- A. A few Plutocrats (banking families) control the course of world politics.
- B. Centuries ago, some Plutocrats in London created a world empire disguised as the British Constitutional Monarchy.
- C. The United States federal government, under the U.S. Constitution, was designed by progeny (heirs) of those Plutocratic families.
- D. The Soviet Union, also, was created and financed (by these same Plutocratic progeny) to play the ostensible role of a dialectical antithesis to the United States government thus occupying the public mind while the Plutocrats rape and plunder the earth. But, it was only a play, and the United States and British governments worked hand in hand with the Soviets to destroy Germany, the Ukraine, and other anti-Communists. Now they are working on South Africa and Central America tearing them down.)
- E. The Plutocrats also created the modern State of Israel to bring the Arab world under their control.
- F. Summit meetings, negotiations, treaties, wars, etc., are never for the purposes which we are told. They are merely the financial/political manipulations of Plutocrats as they exploit and plunder the nations.

Central governments are "organized crime," legalized by their own Legislative and Justice Departments. They conquer the people through debt, police and propaganda ("Public Education"). They subjugate the people and then convince them that it was their own idea and in their best interests.

Rules for intellectual survival are as follows:

- Don't take anything for granted.
 Everything you hear from the government, and from the media, must be assumed to be a lie until you've had time to investigate it for yourself. "News" is generally propaganda that is sanctioned (sanitized) by the Plutocrats. It carefully avoids any information which might expose truth to the masses of brainwashed slaves.
- 2. Government does nothing without the approval of the Plutocrats: the godfathers of national governments. The Plutocrats create and control every international event of any importance. Revolts, invasions, new governments, states, treaties, kings, dictators, presidents, international loans, grants, bankruptcles, wars, peace settlements, drug wars, and especially the mass media, are all calculated and controlled by the oneworld Plutocrats. Newsmen report only the news that is safe (ie. that they know is acceptable to the system). World politics is nothing more than a picture painted by the media to cause the correct calculated public response.
- 3. The one-world Plutocrats hold mortgage on the earth. The people are mere collateral. Plutocrats "manage" citizens the same way corporate businesses manage their assets the same way cattlemen manage their cattle. We are considered their

property. Governments, constitutions, legislatures, courts, banks, schools and colleges are all direct expressions of Plutocratic "management programs" over their property (citizens).

Plutocratic domination of America, and loss of individual freedom, became a fact during the 1800's. It wasn't accomplished by a sudden move, nor by one great mistake on our part. It was accomplished by the gradual, calculated takeover by the Plutocrats — much like the way one investment company can takeover another company, gradually forcing out the original owners and establishing new rules and management. The Plutocrats simply tricked Americans into selling their birthright, and then purchased it from them with debtusury money bled from the people. They not only stole America, we are now happily funding them with tremendous sums of money, through taxes and usury, so they can continue their rape of the land and people.

We Americans must be the dumbest, most disgusting people on earth! The majority of Americans are Israelites, descended from Europeans who came from Israel. Most of them have rejected the laws of their God. There is no one dumber, or more vulnerable to plunder, than a son of Israel who rebels against his God and common sense truth. Therefore, we have become prey to the Plutocratic Usurers, not due to their superior intelligence, but rather due to our own stupidity. It is simply the justice of God coming down upon us.

We have the choice to live free under Christ's laws, or live in shameful slavery under Plutocratic subjugation—and we continue to choose the latter. It is one thing to be forced into slavery; it is quite another to choose it willingly for yourself and your future generations.

By recognizing ONE LAW, alone, we could destroy Plutocratic domination over America. All we would have to do is obey God's law against USURY. But Americans refuse to do it

This is the worst form of idolatry and abandonment (hatred) of our children. As a people, we can pass a Christian heritage of freedom on to our children, or we can give


them a heritage of abject, groveling slavery. When we choose to give our children into the hands of the Plutocrats, we are no better than our ancestors who gave their children to Molech.

PLUTOCRATS HAVE IT UNDER CONTROL

The Soviet Union was created approximately 75 years ago by the descendants of the same Plutocratic families that took control of the world through London a few centuries ago, and then took control of America in the 1800's. The Soviet Union was created to give the appearance of being in conflict with "Capitalism" when, in fact, it is not. The fake "conflict" between the USSR and the USA (ostensible adversaries) enhanced the Plutocrats' ability to control the earth through their dialectic system of brainwashing.

The Plutocrats made it appear to the world that the two "superpowers" were pitted against each other in a deadly conflict. However, the truth of the matter is that the two governments (USA and the USSR) have worked together all along. In fact, the finances and industry imported into the USSR to create it, and then constantly keep shoring it up, have come out of the USA and western (so-called democratic) banks. The "Capitalist" versus "Communist" stage play has been playing on the world stage for 75 years for the purpose of diverting and occupying the public mind so that no one notices what is really happening behind the scenes.

Thus, they gained the means to foment conflicts and wars in the other nations of the world that were still holding out; those who were not obediently falling into the Socialist grubline. Of course, these small, would-be independent nations eventually give up trying to resist the international current which flows out of Washington DC, New York and London, especially when they are hit with the USA - USSR's fine-tuned conspiracy to subvert national societies and foment surrogate wars in lands to destroy their economies and the public will to resist. The unfailing success of this politico-economic machine designed to conquer and subjugate nations is a proud achievement of the Plutocrats. They couldn't have accomplished it without both of their creations (USA & USSR) doing their international bidding.



NEW YORK TIMES

Some East European writers find it easier to take a realistic (skeptical) approach to modern politics. They have survived their naiveté concerning big government.

In a recent New York Times article, John Lenczowski writes:

While the west looks with wonder and astonishment at the ostensible breakdown of one Communist regime after another in Eastern Europe, this observer confesses to having a certain sense of unease. For all the increased openness in these countries, a great deal remains secret. And where there is secrecy, there is, perforce, uncertainty.

How much do we know, for example, about the levers of Soviet influence and control in each of the countries? We do know that Soviet forces are stationed in all of them (except Romania). We know that the armed forces and military intelligence services of each of these countries are strongly influenced and perhaps controlled by the Soviets. We know that the foreign intelligence services of the Warsaw Pact states have been de facto adjuncts of the Soviet KGB. Many, if not all of them, have had Soviet officers in strategically placed senior positions to enforce Soviet control. And all of the Warsaw Pact intelligence services continue to work with the KGB — only more intensively today — on the normal menu of activities.

It is tempting to think that the fall of one Communist Party leader after another occurred as a result of a simultaneous breakdown of party authority in almost every Warsaw Pact state. Indeed, it appears that they simultaneously lost the will to maintain the existing order. But if this is so, then is it also simply a coincidence that the successor leaders all seem to have a similar reformist socialist agenda? Do we know enough to dismiss the possibility that the changes at the top of almost all of these countries may have come at the instigation of the Kremlin, and that these countries' party leaders are simply following the party line as usual, only this time, the Mikhail Gorbachev line?

NEW LIES FOR OLD

Also, in an unusually sage writing published in 1984, New Lies For Old, Golitsyn assesses world politics and then goes on to accurately predict what we are now witnessing — the ostensible "liberalization or collapse of Eurocommunism":

Implications for Western Propaganda

The identification of Eurocommunism as a

disinformation operation has obvious implications for Western policies and propaganda. Ideas of aggravating friction between the leaders of the bloc and Eurocommunist parties are selfdefeating because there is no real friction. Western anti-communists who align themselves with Eurocommunists in support of East European "dissidents" are playing into their enemy's hands; they are falling for a communist provocation. The vulnerability of these alignments will be exposed when "liberalization" occurs in Eastern Europe in the final phase of long-range policy. Meanwhile, they confer greater respectability on Eurocommunists. Western anti-communist policies and propaganda can recover their effectiveness only if they are based on a correct understanding of the origins, nature, and objectives of the longrange policy and strategy and the disinformation techniques used in their implementation.

Conclusion

By 1969 the bloc strategists had had a decade of experience in controlling and exploiting artificial disputes between the leaders of certain of the bloc parties. They had also had the experience of a controlled experiment in "democratization" in Czechoslovakia. They had seen how the West had been taken in by each of their disinformation operations in turn.

Such disputes, in the form of Eurocommunism, could be fitted into the pattern of the other disinformation operations. Eurocommunism could be supported by Romania and Yugoslavia, the "independent" communist states, and attacked both by the Soviets and Chinese. Mutual criticisms between the Soviets and Eurocommunists would help to dispel fears of the introduction of a Soviet system into Western Europe and confirm the sincerity of the Eurocommunists' conversion to democratic principles. Chinese accusations that Eurocommunists were falling under social democratic influence could further the illusion that this was so. With their credentials thus improved, the Eurocommunist parties would stand to gain new allies among the working classes, the social democrats, the petty bourgeoisie, the intelligentsia, the churches, and the armed forces and thus be able to play a more influential role in overall communist strategy in Europe. Like Czechoslovak democratization. Eurocommunism should be viewed as an experiment in rehearsal for the final phase of policy. Its potential has not yet been fully realized.

Objectives of Eurocommunism

The extension of already proven disinformation techniques into Western Europe to suggest the evolution of the Eurocommunist parties into liberalized, independent, responsible national parties was intended to:

- Conceal the coordination between the Eurocommunist parties and the bloc in the pursuit of a common strategy for Europe.
- Suggest further disintegration in the international communist movement, and therefore a diminution in its threat to the noncommunist world.
- Improve the capacity of the Eurocommunist parties to achieve influence and power legally through united front factics.
- Prepare the ground, in coordination with the bloc policy in general, for an eventual "liberalization" in the Soviet Union and Eastern Europe and a major drive to promote the dissolution of NATO and the Warsaw Pact and the withdrawal of the American military presence from a neutral, socialist Europe.

Golitsyn understood the pattern and direction of Eurocommunistic policy, and accurately foresaw 1990's media and political brainwashing debacle. A reliable rule of thumb is: anything that gets the approval of big government and international media is probably evil, as well as inaccurately portrayed. And, the well-known axiom nothing ever happens by accident in government — applies here as well. Nothing in Europe is collapsing, except that which the Plutocrats have planned to appear to collapse in order to progress on into their overall plan of people manipulation and exploitation.

FORBES

In December, Peter Brimelow, writing in *Forbes*, states:

Socialism will not be buried under the ruins of the Berlin Wall. By any other name, government ment control of the economy is still government control of the economy.

His article continues:

If Socialism is dead, as so many pundits claim, why won't it lie down?
Or is socialism alive and well and living in (among other places) Washington, D.C.?
At first glance, the spectacle of joyful Germans waltzing through the Berlin Wall seems conclusive proof that Soviet-style socialism has failed. Only such a catastrophe could have forced Moscow to put at risk its 1945 partition of Germany, the foundation of its foreign policy in Europe, which it established only at the price of millions of Red Army dead.

Indeed, events in East Germany appear to support this year's intellectual sensation: State Department analyst Francis Fukuyama's essay in National Interest magazine arguing that the worldwide "unabashed victory of economic and political liberalism" meant, in the words of his title, "The End of History."

Mankind, Fukuyama suggested, has reached its ultimate social form. There are no "viable systematic alternatives" to the West's "promarket, antistatist" way of organizing society.

In other words, he is endorsing the verdict that economist Robert Heilbroner, himself a longtime socialist sympathizer, offered in the *New Yorker* early this year: "Less than 75 years after it officially began, the contest between capitalism and socialism is over: Capitalism has won."

But what is (or was) "socialism"?

A lot of coffee has been drunk debating this question. But, from an economic point of view, the answer is simple and precise. Webster's defines socialism as "governmental ownership and administration of the means of production and distribution of goods." Socialism is the belief that the economy can be better organized by government planning than by reliance on market forces, and by a governmentorganized redistribution of income.

In totalitarian socialist societies like the Soviet Union, the government owns and operates virtually everything. In democratic socialist societies like Sweden, the government and private business both own and operate their own sectors.

One measure of the extent of socialism in a given society is the size of the government sector: its spending as a proportion of gross domestic product. The CIA estimates that in the Soviet Union government spending would probably amount to as much as 92% of GDP (gross domestic product). Organization of Economic Cooperation & Development figures for 1978 show that in Sweden government spending was some 60% of GDP.

Even in the U.S., government spending (including state and local governments) was 37% of GDP in 1987. You could say we are a bit over one-third socialist.

Which leads us to our first question:

If socialism is dead, then why isn't it lying down?

Federal spending was boosted by World War II to a peak of 45.2% of gross national product. But it never returned to pre-war levels. Korea and Vietnam had a similar effect. And after Vietnam, under President Carter, federal spending began to climb and didn't stop till it peaked at 24.3% of GNP in 1983, under the alleged budget-cutter Ronald Reagan. Subsequently, it dropped slightly, to 22.3% of GNP in 1988.

And this wasn't all. During the Reagan years, state and local governments stepped up their spending. The result was the total government outlays in the U.S. achieved the record level of 36.9% of GDP in 1986. They fell only

slightly, to 36.7%, in 1987.

By this measure, the U.S. economy had much more of a socialist element than most Americans realize—and, far from lying down, that element has been growing stronger.

Socialism is not exactly lying down in the other major industrial economies either. Government spending in the countries constituting the European Community rose from over 36% of GDP in 1967 to a peak of 51% in 1987. This in spite of recent privatization policies in Britain (peak spending: 48% of GDP in 1984) and France (53% in 1984), plus a burst of exceptional economic growth in West Germany. But spending is still safely above 1960's level.

Canadian government spending was only 32% in 1967; in 1987 it was 46%. And even Japanese spending rose from 18% to over 33%.

Government spending is a form of expropriation. But is it the same thing as owning the means of production? This raises our second

question:

Is socialism alive and well and living in Washington, D.C.?

The real point of socialism, after all, is political control of the economy—"Put politics in command," as Chairman Mao said. But ownership is not necessary for control. Taxing and spending are control mechanisms too.

And regulation also puts politics in command of the economy. Rent control, for example, can nullify property rights without altering a cent in the government accounts.

Measuring regulation is inherently difficult. One accepted guidepost for regulation is the gross number of pages in the Federal Register, which records all rules and notices issued by federal agencies. In the U.S., regulation bubbled in World War II—and erupted in the 1970s under Presidents Nixon, Ford and Carter. The Reagan years saw a subsidence—but not to previous levels, and only temporarily.

The Center for Study of American Business at Washington University in St. Louis measures regulation by looking at the cost of the regulatory agencies. The idea is that regulators don't sit around doing nothing, so that regulatory impact on the economy rises in proportion to the money spent on regulation. By this measure, regulation increased rapidly in the 1970s, stalled in the early Reagan years, and then resumed its upward march. President Bush's 1990 budget projects a small decrease. But Bush will still spend more on regulation in real terms than President Carter.

The ultimate extension of political control: to force business to spend money in ways that it would not otherwise do. In this way, policy-makers can manipulate the economy to solve social problems—or buy votes, depending on your point of view—without having to tax or borrow, both increasingly unpleasant options. Instead, business gets to pass on the cost and

take the heat in place of the politicians.

"Mandated benefits" have been around for years, but have increased in recent years. The U.S. Chamber of Commerce estimates that legally required benefits paid by employers rose from nearly 4% of overall payrolls in 1951 to about 9% in 1988. And this is just the beginning.

One current example of politicians' spending employers' money is now before Congress: the Americans with Disabilities Act supported by Senator Kennedy. This legislation defines "handicapped" so broadly as to include an estimated 43 million people. It proposes to prevent "discrimination" against them, for example by compelling landlords, bus operators and telephone companies to pay for special facilities.

The emotional atmosphere surrounding the disability act is typical of socialism in its new, mutated form. Socialism used to claim to be a science, justified in the name of efficiency. Now it is justified in the name of equity. But the result remains the same — government control.

And new, mutated socialists find brandnew problems that they insist can be solved only through government control. "They tell the same lies about the environment that they used to tell about the market," says Paul Craig Roberts of the Center for Strategic and International Studies, an expert on the Soviet economy and a veteran of many such arguments. He compares popular scares such as acid rain and global warming, which he says ignore scientific evidence in their enthusiasm for an expensive fix, to allegations that "market failure" is responsible for capitalism's periodic slumps.

Socialism with a green face instead of a red face?

Conclusion: Fukuyama is wrong. History is not over in the sense he meant it. One of the antagonists has simply mutated.

This mutated socialism needs a name. We've had neoconservatism and neoliberalism. How about neosocialism? Isn't that a better term than "liberal" to define what it stands for?

After all, as Princeton Professor Lawrence Stone recently told the New York Times: "Some of my young colleagues call themselves neo-Marxists. I can't see much difference between their views and mine, and I call myself a liberal Democrat."

-- Dec 11, 1989

So, the Plutocrats are updating and streamlining their Capitalist control of the nations and their governments. There are small changes here and there, but the primal motivation and intent remains the same as long as the plutocratic, capitalistic controllers maintain their debt/usury system of world finance. So what if the Berlin Wall comes down? It simply means that it

has served it purpose and it is not needed any longer in the over all plan. The main thing to recognize is that THE PLAN WAS SUCCESSFUL — NOT A FAILURE!

NEW ENEMY

So what if The United States is losing its old (portrayed) political enemy of the last several decades? Don't worry! Our masters will provide another enemy to occupy our minds — for in order to keep the dialectic illusion alive, and maintain their present level of control over us, they must keep a common enemy before our eyes.

The new enemy? Well, you've already been introduced to him. He is GLOBAL POLLUTION! He is the one enemy that looms up on the horizon; the one enemy which we (both Russians and Americans) have been brainwashed into believing has brought "mother earth" to the brink of destruction. For the last three decades it was THE BOMB. Now, it will become POLLUTION. We can all be happy and controlled by having him as our common enemy. And, with "him" as our common enemy, we (Americans & Soviets) no longer need each other as enemies.

For Americans, "pollution" will replace "Soviet aggression" as public enemy number one. For the Soviets, it will replace "American aggression." In the 90's you'll be drafted into a new sort of war. This war, ostensibly, will be on "pollution." It's many labels will be population, poverty, drugs, AIDS, ozone holes, carbon dioxide (greenhouse effect), nuclear waste, radiation, radon, wood smoke, PCB's, oil, chemicals, pesticides, herbicides, and other byproducts of industry, progress and normal lifestyle. "Racism" will also be incorporated in the "war". Of course, the remedies will be worse than the problems.

"War" plans are already under way. "Wars" are expensive. The "War On Drugs" was alloted \$8.8 billion in 1990. Bush says he wants \$10.5 billion in 1991. Yes, indeed, wars ARE expensive. This war is being waged, behind the scenes, against US. These billions of tax dollars will go to pay for a huge proliferation of policemen ("standing armies") and government prosecuters to increase government control of the populace.

Journalists are already hard at work promulgating the brainwash. In *Newsweek*, December 25, 1989 issue, in

their article titled INTO A BRAVE NEW WORLD, we see a glowing, friendly photograph of Bush and Gorbachev smiling and chatting while they sat, as top level agents of the Plutocrats," "negotiating" at their recent summit meeting. The photograph, depicting the obvious harmony between the two, has written under it, "Bush and Gorbachev came to terms. The 'new enemies' will be global pollution and the population boom."

Television, magazines, and newspapers are filled with horror stories about the environment. Journalists' have gotten "the word": Environmentalism is the hot issue for our day!

On January first, an Associated Press article appeared on the front page of the *Medford Mail Tribune* under the headline: "ENVIRONMENT IS TOP TASK OF THE '90s." The article contains the typical fear-mongering drivel used to neutralize people and debilitate production and industry. Their goal, which is well on the way to fulfillment, is to bring the living standards of America lower as they simultaneously raise the living standards of the Third-World Nations. It is a great one-world "leveling" process.


Another typical journalistic attack on sanity appeared in the *Medford Mail Tribune* January 21. This fullpage layout came under the heading: **PLANET EARTH**. Under it were the

headlines: "Environmental Concerns Have Moved Closer to The Top of The 1990's Agenda!" "Iron Curtain Hid an Industrial Dump!" "Recycling Is an Easy Way to Aid The Environment!" Also, this revealing one: "Environment May Create Booming New Businesses!" One of the subheadings reads, "Environmentalism may be emerging as one of the most effective forces for building alternative democratic institutions amid the slag heaps of socialist failure."

The push is on. The Plutocrats will use Environmentalism to turn our world into a frenzy of war against progress, under the guise of "loving Mother Earth" while simultaneously funding and defending abortion, usury, welfare and central government.

So, even though the threat of nuclear war may be over, don't throw away your marching boots, and don't forget how to salute and say "Yes Sir!" You are in boot camp right now, getting your share of the brainwashing. Get ready to march in line when they shout your orders! The code word is "GREENPEACE!"

The master plan, of which Euro-communism was only one facet, continues today. The Plutocrats still rule. The truth is that they are the only real enemies! Others are only pawns in the game. There is nothing new under the sun!



S DOCTRINE IMPORTANT?

PART 2

[Transcript of a sermon by Sheldon Emry]



ou have no doubt heard the arguments for the necessity of fellowship with other church

groups. These arguments may range from, "They agree with almost everything we teach, so we should fellowship with them," to the ultimate of seeking union with the people of the so-called "Jewish" religion who actually deny Jesus Christ and the resurrection. At that point, the argument is usually, "We have to fellowship with them because they are God's chosen people. If we don't associate with them, God will curse us."

However, let us see what the Bible says on this subject. Which is most important — doctrine or fellowship?

THE HOLY SPIRIT IS GIVEN FOR OBEDIENCE

In part one we found that obedience helps us to identify true doctrine:

If any man will do his will, he shall know of the doctrine, whether it be of God, or whether I speak of myself.

John 7:17

Perhaps the holy spirit is given in the same way that true doctrine is given. It is given not to those who simply pray and ask for it, but it is given to those who obey the will of the father:

And we are his witnesses of these things; and so is also the holy spirit, whom God hath given to them that obey him.

Acts 5:32

Obedience is first; then God blesses us with knowledge and the holy spirit which leads us into truth.

Pentecostals preach that "the Holy Ghost" comes to those who pray and ask for it. They have religious services where they lay hands on people and pray that they will "get the Holy Ghost." But Peter said that God gives the holy spirit to them that obey Him.

The same is true regarding doctrine. Obey God and He will open up His doctrine to you. He will give you the spirit to correctly interpret it. He will give you wisdom and understanding if you obey.

This does not mean that He will give you wisdom and understanding if you obey every jot and tittle of the law.

However, with the understanding that you already have, if you turn and obey as best you can, God will lead you further into doctrine and obedience.


THE HOLY SPIRIT WILL LEAD US INTO TRUE DOCTRINE

Let us read more about the holy spirit at this point so that we understand what it was to do.

What follows are the words of Jesus. Using Webster's definition of "doctrine," (from part one of this study) we see that John 16 is DOGMA because it is Jesus' doctrine. He is preaching about the holy spirit:

- 12. I have yet many things to say unto you, but ye cannot bear them now.
- 13. Howbeit when he, the spirit of truth, is come, he will guide you into all truth: for he shall not speak of himself; but whatsoever he shall hear, that shall he speak: and he will shew you things to come.
- 14. He shall glorify me: for he shall receive of mine, and shall show it unto you.

John 16:12-14



Ministers, generally in Pentecostal groups, often claim that they have "the Holy Ghost." What do they preach about? They preach about "the Holy Ghost" — and that is ALL they preach about. I have heard whole sermons on "getting the Holy Ghost." Pentecostalists insist that "the Holy Ghost" is an actual person.

According to Jesus' dogma (doctrine) in John 16:12-14, here are the traits of the holy spirit:

- 1. Verse 13 states that the holy spirit will guide you into all truth.
- 2. Verse 13 also states that the holy spirit shall not witness of itself. It does not lead ministers to go on and on about the holy spirit.
- 3. In addition, verse 13 states that the holy spirit will show things to come. It will open up God's prophecies. And where will you read God's prophecies? You will read them in both the Old and New Testaments. But it is the holy spirit that will show you these prophecies and how they are fulfilled.
- **4**. Verse 14 states that the holy spirit shall glorify Jesus. So many of the professing "Holy-Ghost-filled" preachers do not glorify Jesus because they preach false doctrines and then turn around and glorify themselves for having "The Holy Ghost." You are supposed to think they are better than other people because they have "The Holy Ghost." Nonetheless, in John 16:12-14 Jesus said the holy spirit (spirit of truth), would not speak of itself. It would give you truth and it would glorify Jesus Christ. This is Jesus' dogma!

So let us get this straight when we read about the holy spirit and what the purpose of the holy spirit is to God's Christian people.

AVOID THOSE WHO PREACH FALSE DOCTRINE

16. Salute one another with an holy kiss. The churches of Christ salute you.

17. Now I beseech you, brethren, mark them which cause divisions and offences contrary to the doctrine which ye have learned; and avoid them.

Romans 16:16-17

It would seem as if the modern church has changed that to read: "Now I beseech you, brethren, mark them which cause divisions and offenses contrary to the doctrine AND ARRANGE SOME SORT OF FELLOWSHIP WITH THEM, AND AVOID SPEAKING ABOUT DOCTRINE."

That is, to a great extent, their socalled missionary work. They pander to the unbelievers in any way they can.

About 10 or 15 years ago, Billy Graham had meetings down in Florida and set up a youth seminar which included a rock band separate from the main auditorium. Billy Graham justified it by saying, "Well, this is the way we can get the youngsters. They'll come in to hear the rock music and then we can preach the gospel to them." So he justified this by saying "if we can get to them some way, then we'll preach the gospel and they'll hear."

You will not find that kind of doctrine taught in the New Testament. In fact, in the next verse, there is a separation. There is actually a call to the Christians to separate themselves from those who will not believe. Christians are to stay away from unbelievers and fellowship with Christian people only.

18. For they that are such serve not our Lord Jesus Christ, but their own belly; and by good words and fair speeches deceive the hearts of the simple.

Romans 16:18

Considering those three verses in Romans 16, should Christians fellowship in ANY way with those who teach the Jews are Israel? The answer, obviously, is NO because their doctrine deceives the hearts of the simple.

THOSE WHO PREACH FALSE DOCTRINE DO NOT SERVE JESUS

Should Christians who know that the pre-tribulation rapture doctrine is false go to church with those who preach the pre-tribulation rapture? According to Paul, those people teach contrary to the doctrine and "serve not our Lord Jesus Christ."

How many of you have heard people justify ministers, groups or

churches who teach false doctrine by saying, "Well, I know their doctrine is false, but they certainly preach Jesus! They continually mention Jesus Christ, saying how much they love Him. They teach that if you will come forward and accept Christ, you will go to heaven when you die." They seem to be outspoken Christians.

But Paul says, "You mark them! You identify them! You expose those who preach contrary to the doctrine and you avoid them!" The reason you avoid them is because "they that are such serve not our Lord Jesus Christ, but their own belly; and by good words and fair speeches deceive the hearts of the simple."

One of the tragedies I see among Christian families are those families who are isolated. They cannot attend a church where the minister preaches true doctrine, so in desperation they will go to any sort of a fundamental church. They will say, "Well, our children need to associate with Christian young people." And so the children do, and eventually end up marrying other young people from those churches. These young people, and eventually THEIR children, learn errors and grow up believing the doctrines of the false churches instead of the true doctrines of the gospel of Jesus Christ.

What caused it? Well, Christians thought they needed fellowship more than they needed true doctrine. But that is a big mistake! If your family is the only one in the area that will accept true doctrine, I guess you will have to not fellowship with ANY of them. You stay with the doctrine of Jesus Christ and God will take care of the fellowship. Something will come up later if you are obedient to this call of marking them and avoiding them. Do not let them influence your children!

THE OLD TESTAMENT WAS WRITTEN FOR OUR DOCTRINE

What right do we have to compromise with ministers and churches who, by their doctrines, deceive our Israel brethren? I can find no reason to compromise at all! And about that time somebody will say, "Well, Pastor Emry, you have to admit that a lot of

those preachers preach more about Jesus Christ than you do because, Pastor Emry, you're in the Old Testament and the Prophets all the time."

And I DO get this criticism from some people. They also say something like, "Oh, Pastor Emry, you have to preach more of Jesus like the Baptists do." Well, perhaps we can answer that charge by reading further in this same chapter of Paul's letter to the Roman Christians. Here is what Paul says as he closes his letter:

25. Now to him that is of power to stablish you according to my gospel, and the preaching of Jesus Christ, according to the revelation of the mystery, which was kept secret since the world began,

26. But now is made manifest, and by the scriptures of the prophets, according to the commandment of the everlasting God, made known to all nations for the obedience of faith:

27. To God only wise, be glory through Jesus Christ for ever. Amen.

Romans 16:25-27

What did Paul say in those last verses? He refers in verse 25 to the "gospel and the preaching of Jesus Christ" and to "the revelation of the mystery." And where does he say the revelation of the mystery is coming from? The answer is found in verse 26: "But now is made manifest (or made known) by the scriptures of the prophets."

OLD TESTAMENT DOCTRINE EQUALS BELIEF IN JESUS CHRIST.

I have said this before and I will say it again for emphasis: YOU CAN-NOT UNDERSTAND THE NEW TES-TAMENT UNTIL YOU UNDER-STAND THE OLD TESTAMENT! Paul quoted Old Testament passages 56 times in the letter to the Romans. You cannot understand the letter to the Romans unless you go back and read the passages and the surrounding verses to see what Paul was quoting. He was writing to Christians who knew the Old Scriptures which he was quoting. So he would quote, perhaps, just a phrase or a sentence, but he was referring to a whole passage. You cannot understand the New Testament without the Old Testament.

In Romans 16:25-27 Paul said that this mystery of the revelation of the preaching of Jesus, the gospel, and so on, is now made known "by the scriptures of the prophets according to the commandment of the everlasting God, made known to all nations for the obedience of the faith."

We can more readily understand what Paul is saying here by turning to John 5. In verse 39 Jesus said to the Jews:

39. Search the scriptures; for in them ye think ye have eternal life: and they are they which testify of me.

John 5:39

What testified of Jesus Christ? The Old Scriptures according to the dogma (doctrine) of Jesus Christ, Himself.

Jesus said to the Jews in the last two verses of that same chapter:

46. For had ye believed Moses, ye would have believed me: for he wrote of me.

47. But if ye believe not his writings, how shall ye believe my words?

John 5:46, 47

Jesus gave two doctrines in verses 46 and 47:

- 1. If you believe Moses, you will believe Jesus.
- 2. If you do not believe Moses, you will not believe Jesus' words.

Now those are New Testament doctrines are they not? They are dogma because they are from Jesus, Himself!

How foolish we are to say, as so many ministers do, that the Jews believe Moses and the prophets, but they do not believe Jesus Christ was the Messiah. JESUS SAID THAT WAS IMPOSSIBLE! If they do not believe Jesus, then they obviously did not, never did and do not now believe Moses and the prophets!

If you want a double witness for the believers about this same doctrine, turn to Luke 16 which tells the story of the rich man and Lazarus. The rich man asked Abraham to send Lazarus to him with water and Abraham said there was a great gulf. Then the rich man goes on in verse 27:

27. Then he said, I pray thee therefore, father, that thou wouldest send him to my father's house:

28. For I have five brethren; that he may testify unto them, lest they also come into this place of torment.

Luke 16:27, 28

In the following three verses Abraham is speaking; but remember, it is Jesus telling the story. So I am reading the doctrine of Jesus Christ as He puts these words in Abraham's mouth:

29. Abraham saith unto him, They have Moses and the prophets; let them hear them.

30. And he said, Nay, father Abraham: but if one went unto them from the dead, they will report.

31. And he said unto him, If they hear not Moses and the prophets, neither will they be persuaded, though one rose from the dead.

Luke 16:29-31

Why did the Jews not believe Jesus Christ? Well, according to these two witnesses in the New Testament, they did not believe Jesus Christ because they did not believe Moses and the prophets.

Yet, ministers teach that the Jews believe Moses, that the Jews believe in the same God we do, even though they have not accepted Jesus Christ. Those ministers are liars whether they know it or not! They are liars because their doctrine is not the doctrine of Jesus Christ in the New Testament.

Those ministers who say that the Jews believe in the same God that we do would have us believe the only difference is just that their eyes have not been opened to accept Jesus Christ. However, we already read in Romans 16:18:

18. For they that are such serve not our Lord Jesus Christ, but their own belly; and by good words and fair speeches deceive the hearts of the simple.

Romans 16:18

If we try to fellowship with those kind of people, we will be a party to those who are deceiving our Israel brethren with doctrines that do not come from the Holy Word of God.

Romans 15:4 tells us:

For whatsoever things were written

aforetime were written for our learning, that we through patience and comfort of the scriptures might have hope.

What was "written aforetime?" Moses and the prophets, Psalms and the history of Israel — ie. the Old Testament — was "written aforetime." And the word translated "learning" in that verse is the same one translated "doctrine" in other places in the New Testament.

After reading these passages, could you possibly fellowship with a preacher who claimed to believe in Jesus Christ but taught that the Old Testament or the Old Law has been put away? ONLY IF YOU BELIEVE FELLOWSHIP IS MORE IMPORTANT THAN DOCTRINE COULD YOU COMPROMISE YOUR DOCTRINE.

GOD'S LAW IS AGAINST ANY UNSOUND DOCTRINE

The doctrine of the New Testament states specifically that the Old Testament is doctrine given for us. We have read about Moses and the prophets, and now here is a passage on God's Law, itself, in I Timothy 1:

- 1. Paul an apostle of Jesus Christ by the commandment of God our Saviour, and Lord Jesus Christ, which is our hope;
- 2. Unto Timothy, my own son in the faith: Grace, mercy, and peace, from God our Father and Jesus Christ our Lord.
- 3. As I besought thee to abide still at Ephesus, when I went into Macedonia, that thou mightest charge some that they teach no other doctrine.

1 Timothy 1:1-3

Paul was writing to a young minister of the Christian gospel and he said, "You tell them that they teach no other doctrine."

4. Neither give heed to fables and endless genealogies, which minister questions, rather than godly edifying which is in faith: so do.

1 Timothy 1:4

I should comment on verse 4 because it has often been used against those of us who teach that we, the Anglo-Saxon and kindred peoples are the descendants of Israel. The detractors

say, "Oh, don't give heed to endless genealogies," implying that we prove our Israelite ancestry by genealogies. But that is not accurate.

Obviously, we do not prove our Israelile ancestry or the gospel of the Kingdom by genealogy. We prove it by fulfilled prophecy, by the covenants and by the history of our people fulfilling what God said in His Word.

Paul continues in verse 5:

- 5. Now the end of the commandment is charity out of a pure heart, and of a good conscience, and of faith unfeigned:
- 6. From which some having swerved have turned aside unto vain jangling;
- 7. Desiring to be teachers of the law; understanding neither what they say, nor whereof they affirm.

1 Timothy 1:5-7

Then, Paul tells us the intention of the Law:

- 8. But we know that the law is good, if a man use it lawfully;
- 9. Knowing this, that the law is not made for a righteous man, but for the lawless and disobedient, for the ungodly and for sinners, for unholy and profane, for murderers of fathers and murderers of mothers, for manslayers.
- 10. For whoremongers, for them that defile themselves with mankind, for menstealers, for liars, for perjured persons, and if there be any other thing that is contrary to sound doctrine:

1 Timothy 1:8-10

The Law of God is against anything that is not SOUND DOCTRINE. So the purpose of the Law is not only to bring judgment on the law breaker, but it is also to teach doctrine.

Where do we find the Law? We find it way back in Moses and the prophets. And then Paul says that all of this he has just written is:

11. According to the glorious gospel of the blessed God, which was committed to my trust

1 Timothy 1:11

We also read the following in 1 Timothy 4:

6. If thou put the brethren in remembrance of these things, thou shalt be a good minister of Jesus Christ, nourished up in the words of faith and of good doctrine, whereun-

to thou hast attained.

7. But refuse profane and old wives' fables, and exercise thyself rather unto godliness.

1 Timothy 4:6,7

PAUL IS ADMONISHING YOU TO REFUSE FALSE DOCTRINE! Now that may mean that you must refuse the person who preaches it, does it not? This means you may have to end some fellowship with some church or group of people where you refuse their doctrine.

Praise God that some of our good people, who have not left churches where false doctrine was preached, did try and speak up concerning the true doctrine. And then, finally, they were simply kicked out. So, whether they left or not, God took care of breaking the fellowship and they ended fellowshipping with those who taught false doctrine.

Paul continues in verse 13:

- 13. Till I come, give attendance to reading, to exhortation, to doctrine.
- 16. Take heed unto thyself, and unto the doctrine; continue in them: for in doing this thou shalt both save thyself, and them that hear thee.

1 Timothy 4:13,16

Notice the emphasis Paul is putting on doctrine in this letter. Remember he is writing to a man who is a preacher. So perhaps he stresses the doctrine more than he did in the other letters. He is telling Timothy, "When you preach, pay attention to the doctrine!" In other words, "Preach God's truth!"


CAN PEOPLE BE CONVERTED BY FALSE DOCTRINE?

Further in Timothy we read:

17. Let the elders that rule well be counted worthy of double honour, especially they who labour in the word and doctrine.

1 Timothy 5:17

Not double honor to those who labor for compromise and false fellowship. We have a great number of ministers and churches who spend a lot of their time trying to build up fellowship.



They want more people in their churches. Because of this we find the ministers, in some cases, back off from preaching doctrine because they are afraid it will drive someone in the congregation away. So the minister is at fault. The minister who will not preach doctrine because he wants a great number of people in his church is a hypocrite. He wants fellowship first and foremost at the expense of doctrine!

He may sincerely believe that the important thing is to get those people into the church and then he will let everything else take care of itself.

Some years ago, a friend and I went to see a minister who preached the doctrine of the Pre-tribulation Rapture. He preached it on television every day

and in his church on Sunday. He had also written books on the subject. Within two minutes after introducing ourselves and beginning to talk about the Pre-tribulation Rapture, the minister admitted to us that the Pre-tribulation Rapture was not true AND HE KNEW IT!

But he justified it by saying, "However, it does get them thinking about the end times and gets them into the church and gets them saved." So he justified his own teaching of false doctrines on the grounds that it attracted people into the church and got people saved.

I say THAT IS A FALSE DOC-TRINE ITSELF WHICH TEACHES THAT PEOPLE CAN BE SAVED BY FALSE DOCTRINE!


This minister's reasoning was nonsensical and unscriptural. Needless to say, we did not ever fellowship with that church. fining "love" incorrectly. Near the end of the New Testament in John's epistles we find the Biblical definition:

 And this is love, that we walk after his commandments. This is the commandment, That, as ye have heard from the beginning, ye should walk in it.

2 John 6

Now that is the BIBLICAL DEFI-NITION and the BIBLICAL DOC-TRINE regarding love. Obedience to God's commandments is love, according to the doctrine here.





THE DOCTRINE OF LOVE

In 2 Timothy chapter 3 we find this familiar verse:

16. All scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness:

2 Timothy 3:16

"ALL scripture," spoken of in this verse, which is "profitable for doctrine," obviously means, expressly, the Old Testament! The New Testament wasn't published yet.

Yet some teach that the doctrine of love takes precedence over anything else. "God is love. Jesus is love. We must love one another." And they will preach love literally to the exclusion of preaching doctrines of the Holy Word of God.

Their problem is that they are de-

John continues in verse 7:

7. For many deceivers are entered into the world, who confess not that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh. This is a deceiver and an antichrist.

8. Look to yourselves, that we lose not those things

which we have wrought, but that we receive a full reward.

- Whosoever transgresseth, and abideth not in the doctrine of Christ, hath not God. He that abideth in the doctrine of Christ, he hath both the Father and the Son.
- 10. If there come any unto you, and bring not this doctrine, receive him not into your house, neither bid him God speed:
- 11. For he that biddeth him God speed is partaker of his evil deeds.

2 John 7-11

Is doctrine important? God's Word says DO NOT FELLOWSHIP WITH THOSE WHO HAVE NOT THE DOCTRINE OF JESUS CHRIST. So you think of that the next time you are tempted to compromise with ANY-ONE who is not in the doctrine of Christ and does not believe in Jesus Christ according to the doctrines taught in the Holy Scripture.

GLORIFYING GOD WITH ONE MOUTH AND ONE MIND.

In Romans 15 we read this:

- 4. For whatsoever things were written aforetime were written for our learning, that we through patience and comfort of the scriptures might have hope.
- 5. Now the God of patience and consolation grant you to be likeminded one toward another according to Christ Jesus:
- 6. That ye may with one mind and one mouth glorify God, even the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ.

Romans 15:4-6

How are we going to glorify God. the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ. with one mind and one mouth if we compromise on doctrine? We will have the same mish-mash that we have out there now, where the churches are trving to get along in this ecumenical movement by literally laying aside all doctrines because they cannot get together on them. They say, "We just want to have love and fellowship because we're all Christians." It is not long before they carry it a little bit further and bring in the Hindus, the Buddhists, the Jews, and everyone else. Why? Because they initially compromised on doctrine.

According to Romans 15:4-6, in order to glorify God with one mind and one mouth (in other words, with the same teaching) we are going to have to teach the same doctrine.

What doctrine should we teach? It must come from the Holy Word of God! It cannot be something we make up because that could not possibly glorify God.

CONCLUSION

Let us close in Isalah 29. After all of this study on doctrine, we should close with the great and marvelous hope that in spite of everything the anti-Christs do — in spite of everything foolish Christians do regarding doctrine — God has prophesied and promised that His people would learn true doctrine:

22. Therefore thus saith the Lord, who redeemed Abraham, concerning the house of Jacob, Jacob shall not now be ashamed, neither shall his face now wax pale.

23. But when he seeth his children, the work of mine hands, in the midst of him, they shall sanctify my name, and sanctify the Holy One of Jacob, and shall fear the God of Israel.

Isaiah 29:22-23

That whole chapter is a prophecy about Israel coming to understand who they are, and that God has saved them (brought salvation to them through His Word). Then they will turn to Him, the Holy One of Jacob, and will sanctify His name. Then in verse 24 is a promise:

24. They also that erred in spirit shall come to understanding, and they that murmured shall learn doctrine.

Isaiah 29:24

In other words, it is not going to be up to us. We do not have to be responsible, ourselves, to teach all of Israel doctrine. God has said THEY WILL LEARN DOCTRINE!

REVIEW

Even though I may have missed some points, I have listed below the things we have learned about doctrine in this study:

- 1. Jesus' teachings are called doctrine.
- 2. Jesus' doctrine is from God.
- 3. Obedience helps one to know whether the doctrine is true.
- 4. Correct doctrine will glorify God, not the carrier of the doctrine.
- 5. In Proverbs we read that a wise man should desire doctrine.
- 6. Obedience brings knowledge of doctrine.
- 7. In Isaiah 29, Israel is corrected by learning doctrine as God saves and delivers them.
- 8. Early Christians fellowshipped IN doctrine, not OUT of it.
- Early Christians refused the Jews' order to stop preaching Jesus and His doctrines.
- 10. Paul wanted no fellowship with those who were disobedient to the Gospel of Jesus Christ.
- 11. The holy spirit is given for obedience.
- 12. The holy spirit will lead us into truth (doctrine).

- 13. Paul said we should avoid those who preach false doctrine.
- 14. Those who reject truth and preach false doctrine do not serve Jesus Christ.

I suppose that is the hardest truth for us to grasp. If they mention Jesus, claim they are Christians, repeat His name and then preach doctrines which have a rather emotional or good sound to them, we tend to think they are serving Jesus. But according to the Bible, those who preach false doctrine do not serve Jesus, but their own belly and they deceive the hearts of the simple.

- 15. The Old Testament was written for our doctrine.
- 16. The Old Testament doctrines must be believed in order to believe in Jesus Christ.
- 17. The Law of God is against anything that is not sound doctrine.
- We are not even to receive them into our house who have not the doctrine of Jesus Christ nor bid them Godspeed.
- 19. We will glorify God with one mouth and one mind when we come to an understanding of true doctrine — the doctrine of the Holy Word.

These 19 Biblical points teach us that true fellowship must be IN doctrine. Let us lay hold of doctrine. Let us pursue true doctrine, and God will take care of the fellowship.

Courtesy THE AMERICAN CHRISTIAN PO Box 8430, Medford OREGON 97504



STATE PARLIAMENT

legislation

By GRACE MEERTENS

PEOPLE found guilty of inciting racial hatred could be jailed for two years or fined \$250,000 under long-awaited legislation before the Legislative Assembly.

The Minister for Ethnic Affairs, Mr Gordon Hill, moved yesterday to amend the Criminal Code to make racial harassment and incitement to racial hatred criminal offences.

He said the continued activity of groups who threatened peaceful existence and threatened the right of all West Australians to equality had no place in our society.

"The ugly face of racism has appeared in Perth in the form of a prolonged highly-organised and large-scale racist propaganda poster and graffiti campaign," Mr Hill said.
"This rampaign has had a deleter.

"This campaign has had a deleteri-ous effect on individuals and community groups who have been the target of such material.

"The principal evils of these cam-paigns are that they incite groups of citizens to hate each other and make their targets greatly alarmed and afraid.

Mr Hill said the recent racist campaigns had an adverse effect on WA's business migration and investment programs throughout the world and in South-East Asia in particular.

state is closely linked to the develop-ment of positive relationships with our South-East Asian neighbours. These have been threatened in recent

"Unless they are caught in the act those who plaster our streets and pubthose who plaster our streets and such material which, it displayed, da cannot be charged with existing would have serious, deleterious effects. ty or littering

Hill claims Libs' Bill unworkable

THE State Government has rejected as unworkable an Opposition Bill to outlaw racist posters.

The Minister for Multicultural and Ethnic Affairs, Mr Hill, said yesterday the only scope for a joint approach was for the Opposition to support or amend the current Bill.

Mr Hill said he wrote yesterday to his Opposition counterpart, Mr Kierath, inviting him to discuss how it could be improved.

Mr Hill said the Opposition Bill was unworkable because it relied on police to catch offenders sticking up racist posters and contained no measures to stop racist leaflets.

Police had been unable to catch people circulating racist posters under existing litter laws.

"And if they are caught the penal-ties are relatively small."

He said the proposed legislation was intended to protect public order and prevent serious interference with the right to a dignified existence free from racist harassment.

Mr Hill said a Law Reform Com-mission Report showed there was overwhelming public support for legislative reform concerning incitement to racial hatred.

The Bill proposes penalties for the possession of racially inflammatory times as a result of these acts by racist material for publication, distribution propagandists," he said. incite racial hatred.

It will also be an offence to possess

TAPE OF THE MONTH

#8624 1)ISRAEL LOST AND FOUND. 2) ANTI-HATE LEGISLATION & YOU. by Bob Hallstrom Pastor Bob shows that anti-hate legislation will turn Christians into law-breakers. \$4 posted. For more on "hate" get a copy of Pastor Peters book, THE REAL HATE GROUP. Shows where the hate of Christians originates from. Available for \$1 each posted.

20, The Cairns Post, Monday, November

not including penalties and interest.

as ing conspiracy to de...
aphy government, income tax evasic...
ltty of filing false income tax returns on and obstructing justice. Revenue A senior Justice Department that mofficial said Sturman was behad from the world's largest Swirment and Price of the world Swirment and Price of the world's largest Swirment and Price of the world Swirment and Price o CLEVELAND (Reuter) — 1 Reuben Sturman, described as it the world's largest pornography g dealer, has been found guilty of evading millions of dollars in federal income tax, much of which was hidden in Swiss bank

Two co-defendants, Sturman's all son David, and Ralph Levine, de the manager of Sturman's Las n'vegas businesses, were convicted if of conspiracy to defraud the govternment by helping Sturman hide his money in Swiss back, accounts.

During the trial, which began on September 14, an Internal stevenue Service agent testified that more than \$A10.27 million had flowed through a number of ct. Swiss bank accounts and that I Sturman owed the US Government more than \$A1.8 million,

dence he had links to organised crime.

was on] Sturman, 65, federal court

wonder if the names above give any indication of which identifyable group they belong to?

Please note: There are a number of people who have not written for Every so-often quite some time. we must trim our mailing list. If you

have not been in touch for a while but want to remain on our list please let us know. If your envelope has ##, ###, or #### after your name, you are about to be removed from the list, but this does not apply to *** this symbol. To the others, many thanks for your continued letters and support. We greatly appreciate your help.

You Won't Find These at Your Local Video Store!

BLOCKBUSTER MOVIES


Get ready for an evening of Constitutional viewing enjoyment with two films that will make Establishment "sacred cows" bellow.

Harry's War is a comedy/drama about a man who has finally had enough and declares war against the IRS in defense of his aunt who is being unjustly harassed by the federal revenuers.

Red World, hosted by California State Sen. H.L. (Bill) Richardson, is a new film that tells the story you won't hear from the Establishment media—how communism's bloody legacy of conquest and genocide remains a force in the world today.

Now available on LOAN from: CHRISTIAN IDENTITY PO Box 146 CARDWELL QLD 4816

A donation towards expenses of \$5 or more is appreciated.




Forsake not ie assembline

Stealing from God

A man was begging for money, and was not satisfied when a very generous woman gave him six of the seven pound coins she had in her purse. She wanted to keep the other to pay for her bus-fare home. Do you know what the man did? He snatched the purse out of her hand, knocking her to the ground in the process, and ran off with her last pound. But he went too far. A policeman caught him and arrested him. They locked him up, and took away every pound he had been given, so that in the end he had nothing at all.

We are like that if we never keep Sunday special. The Lord has given us six days out of seven, and kept one for himself. If we try to take his day away from him, he will take our six days away from us, in one way or another, and leave us with nothing.



withought-control

DOCTRINE

CONTROLLED MEANING: Non-essential beliefs, personal eccentricities and inflexible tenets which create differences between churches and destroy fellowship and unity.

CORRECT MEANING: Doctrine is a teaching; a principle; a studied conclusion or position. Sometimes called "dogma" (German for "doctrine"). Il Timothy 3:16 says that Scripture is doctrine. Isalah 29:24 says that the people who are in error will be able to understand the truth when they learn doctrine.

There are NO "non-essential" truths! None are expendable! None can be discarded or ignored! Truth stated as a principle is doctrine.

Without doctrine it is impossible to teach or arrive at an opinion.

Without docume it is impossible to teach or arrive at an opinion. Discernment, judgment and correction would be impossible. Judeo-Christians sometimes display an "anti-doctrine" attitude: a symptom of ignorance and confusion. Some claim to have a position against doctrine, or one of neutrality concerning it. This is nonsensel Such a position, itself, is a doctrine. An anti-doctrine position exposes an ulterior antipathy for learning and wisdom.

When a person learns true doctrine and then considers it non-

When a person learns true doctrine and then considers it non-essential and chooses to set it aside he contracts chronic spiritual blindness, (II Thess 2:10-12)

RADIATION

CONTROLLED MEANING: Deadly, disease-causing atomic rays which emanate from "radioactive" sources like uranium and atomic bombs. Radiation, in any amounts, should be avoided. It causes cancer, birth defects and mutant life forms. It is the most deadly polson on the face

One pound of plutonium (a derivative of uranium) distributed over the face of the earth would kill all human life.

CORRECT MEANING: Radiation is light (ie. heat; energy) emanating from any source. Radiation (light) is absorbed and released by all materials. Life, as we know it, cannot exist without the benefits of radia-

terials. Life, as we know it, cannot exist without the benefits of radiation. The Sun is Earth's ultimate source of radiation. All radiation (light) travels at the same speed: 186,000 miles/second and is described by frequency and wave length in the light spectrum (le. radiation spectrum). Radiation (light) varies from long, slow waves [ELF radiation) to short, fast waves (ultra-violet radiation). Most radiation is invisible to the eye. Visible radiation occurs only in a very narrow band between infra-red and ultra-violet. All occur naturally and are beneficial (not poison) to life on Earth.

Excessive amounts of these various wave lengths of light (radiation) can burn human cells. Lesser amounts of radiation (not enough to burn your skin) are not harmful. Ultra-violet light (radiation) from uranium, plutonium, etc. is NOT harmful in any amounts below the burn level. Like a common wood stove which radiates infra-red light (radiation) called "heat," it is not harmful unless it burns your skin.

The Anti-Thought-Control Dictionary is a regular feature in THE AMERICAN CHRISTIAN

Recently, I read a very good article about the preoccupation with firearms, PAVLOVIAN CONDITION-ING AND THE DISARMING OF AMERICA. Written by British-born Roy Masters, it was reprinted in GUIDE/November SURVIVAL AMERICAN I had wanted to reprint it, but was denied permission. If you want to read it, you'll have to get a copy of that magazine.

Sorry about the delay in part 2 of IS DOC-TRINE IMPORTANT. It came surface mail which very slow. Enclosed with the newsletter is an update on videos, listing those from Pete Peters 1989 camp as well. They can be pasted into your catalog. Note also the 'Action Letter' enclosed and please follow up on some of the suggestions. Sorry to those folks who are waiting on outstanding books. We are still waiting on material ordered in September. God willing it will be here soon.

There was a good response from the advert in THE CITIZEN for the booklet "Billions...." We have now placed an ad dealing with our Identity. Another is to be inserted soon about the gun issue. We look forward to the many letters received and apologise for not always being able to answer them all. May the God of our fathers Abraham, Isaac and Jacob bless and protect His true Israel people,

Mants

Hendrik (Hank) Roelofs