

Christian Identity Ministries

PO Box 146, CARDWELL QLD 4849, Australia

Ph: 07-4066 0146 Fax: 07-4066 0226 (International 61-7 instead of 07)

"Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; For He hath visited and redeemed *His* people, And hath raised up and horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he swore to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives." Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian people are *ISRAEL!*

#184

Newsletter

June/July AD2001

IS ISRAEL A SERVANT RACE "TO SAVE THE WORLD"?

by Arnold Kennedy

INTRODUCTION

There is an almost universal view amongst those generally called "Christian," together with those called Jews, that God's purpose in selecting the chosen race of Israel was that this race was chosen, "to save the world." The same idea is held both by many of those who say that "Israel" refers to a people as a racial lineage, and also by all those who declare that Israel is now a spiritual "church" made up of those of any race who "believe in Jesus." Looking at this literally, we would have to accept that if only one race was the "chosen race," then all other races would have to be 'un-chosen' in regard to God's purpose for Israel. To see one application under the New Covenant, of what God's purpose is, we read:

"Behold, the days come, saith the Lord, when I will make a new covenant with the house of Israel and with the house of Judah: not according to the covenant that I made with their fathers in the day when I took them by the hand to lead them out of the land of Egypt; because they continued not in my covenant, and I regarded them not, saith the Lord. For this is the covenant that I will make with the house of Israel after those days, saith the Lord; I will put my laws in their mind and write them in their hearts: and I will be to them a God, and they shall be to me a people." Hebrews 8:8-10.

Here one purpose is expressed as being that "the Lord" was to be "a God" (singular) of "a people" (singular). This is exactly the same purpose as that expressed in the Old Testament. No one can honestly escape the singular nature of this people of Israel "a people," in the New Testament, expressed here as being the *House of Israel* and the *House of Judah*. These are the same people whom God led out of Egypt in the Exodus, that is, they are Israelites. This passage points out that these are the only two parties concerned in the New Covenant.

This understanding about these two Houses is very different to what the denominations teach. They teach that somehow one of these Houses has become a multi-racial "church" and that the other House has become "The Jews." Denominations teach that Jesus was sent to "everyone," whereas Jesus says, **"I was not sent but to the lost sheep of the House of Israel"**-[Matt 15:24]. To try to get over this difficulty, the denominations have invented the phrases, "spiritual Israel" (as "the Church") and "natural Israel" (as "the Nation" which they wrongly term 'The Jews'). Actually, this is nothing new; reference to this can be found as far back as AD116 where there was suggestion made that the two parties were "The Church" and "The Jews." This later developed into the idea that there is a "Church" that was comprised of "Gentiles" [supposedly non-Israelites] and the Jews [supposedly "Israelites"]. How the House of Israel could become

non-Israelites is never explained.

Most commentaries and modern Bible footnotes are 'factories of confusion;' they will say in one place that "Israel" now means "The Church" in which everyone of any race can be 'saved,' whilst also pointing out elsewhere that Israel as a race will yet be restored to the land promised to Abraham. To make this more confusing they also say in other places "Israel" means "The Jews." Then they will speak about God's special relationship with Israel, and so one way or another "Israel" could mean either "The Church" or "The Jews", to suit whatever passage they are commenting upon. (see Sheldon Emry's **Opponents of our Israelite Identity, Are They Honest?** #124 @ \$3.25)

There is no way anyone could rightly force-fit the House of Israel and the House of Judah into the concept of "*Jews and Gentiles*." The "*Jews and Gentiles*" are not the two parties of Hebrews 8:8-10 as above, nor of Old Testament prophecy -[see Jer 31:31]. In the expression "The Jew and the Greek" - [Rom 1:16, 10:12, Col 3:11] "The Jew" is the Judean part of Israel and "The Greek" refers to those Israelites dispersed in parts of the old Greek empire. If we make the two parties different in identity from these two Houses as Scripture states so clearly they are, we must come to a wrong conclusion when we come to look at God's purpose for electing Israel to be a chosen race.

Nowhere do the denominations explain how their multi-racial concept of "the Church," as they like to call it, could be partakers of the New Covenant, especially in terms of Hebrews 8:8-9, as above. We can easily see that a "new" Covenant could not be made with any race, which had not first had an "old" Covenant, as it would not be "new" to any race but Israel. To try to cover this up, denominations make the claim that God's purpose for Israel is to "*save the world*" by making "believers in Jesus" into one pseudo-race by belief. Which "believer" might be a member of the House of Israel and which might be in the House of Judah is never stated, and

IN THIS ISSUE:

Is Israel a Servant Race "to Save The World"?.....	1
TWISI, Reaping What We Have Sown, Hall ...	10
Biblical Separation, Blanchard	12
Answering Service	13
What's Up With That?	14
Capitol Crimes	14
About the Camp	14

indeed, never could be. Denominations offer, "That they might be one" <(John 17, 11+21+22)>, out of its "Israel" context, in support. This conflict is added to by a misinterpretation of a handful of much-misused verses, wrong word meanings and phrases. These will not be discussed here because they, and objections raised so far, are all well covered and answered in the book **The Exclusiveness of Israel**, by 'Eliel,' which is obtainable from CIM, #714 @ \$18.75. Also recommended is Ted Weiland's book **God's Covenant People, Yesterday, Today and Forever** #800 @ \$39.25.

Before we explore some of the things Scripture says about God's purpose in making Israel a "chosen people," we will look at published statements, which typify the popular denominational teachings about God's purpose for making Israel a chosen people. These teachings are based upon the Jewish and denominational claims that the two parties are "Jews and Gentiles" rather than the two parties of both Testaments, namely the *House of Israel* and the *House of Judah*.

There is no prophecy in the Old Testament books that says "Israel" would become "The Church" in the popular concept, and there is no statement showing this as fulfillment of such prophecy in the New Testament books.

A VIEWPOINT EXPRESSED BY THE JEWS

From the 1994-2000 Encyclopedia Britannica Inc., we can read the following extracts, which come from Jewish assertions. Please note what is emphasized below, because they show the viewpoint, not only of most Jews, but also of virtually everyone else who wears the tag "Christian." Please note the emphasized words:

1. The idea that they have been chosen by God as his special people implies that the Jewish people have been chosen by God to worship only him and **to fulfil the mission of proclaiming his truth among all the nations of the world.**

2. Those whom God had selected to preserve and **transmit his revelation to all the world.**

3. The destinies of **all nations** were shaped toward the fulfillment of God's purpose and that his purpose was **to ultimately unite all humanity as one people** in their acknowledgment of him as God. Israel was to be God's instrument to accomplish this great revelation and would serve as the messenger and witness of God's reality and law **to all the other nations of the earth.** The people of Israel would exemplify and teach God's statutes **to the rest of humanity** and would thus help **bring the entire human race to salvation.** Israel would be **the saviour of humanity** and the **national embodiment of the messiah.**

4. Israel was to be God's instrument to accomplish this great revelation and would serve as the messenger and witness of God's reality and law **to all the other nations of the earth.**

5. Abraham and his descendants are singled out not merely as the object of divine blessing but **also as its channel to all mankind.**

6. In Isa. 43-44, Israel is declared to be God's witness and servant who is to bring the knowledge of God **to the nations.** In chapter 42 of the same book, Israel is declared to be a "**covenant of the people, a light to the nations**", to open the blind eyes, to bring out the prisoners from the prisons, and them that sit in darkness out of the prison house" (42:6-7).

Note carefully how they wrongly call Israel "the Jewish people," to fit in with their "Jews and Gentiles" belief, and note also that Israel is supposed to be, "*The national embodiment of the Messiah.*" In both Isaiah 42:6-7 and 44:6, which are quoted, the Servant who is to "*raise up the tribes of Jacob and to restore the preserved of Israel,*" and to be "*a light to the nations,*" is Jesus who is, "*A light to lighten the Gentiles [ethnos= people], and the glory of thy people Israel*-[Luke

4:32]. Israel thus is not the corporate Messiah to "*bring the entire human race to salvation*" as is claimed. Denominations, which say Israel's purpose is "*to save the world,*" are agreeing that Israel is a corporate Saviour of all races. In this they are denying the personal Jesus as the Saviour and Redeemer of Israel. By claiming that "Israel" and "the Jews" are the same identity and that Israel's purpose is to "save the world," the Jews are able to suggest that they are the world's corporate saviour. They openly claim they are this corporate Messiah, and within themselves say they are destined to rule nations of the world. Instead of majoring on the redemption of Israel, by a personal Redeemer, they (and the churches) major on bringing all the races together by social action. Bringing in their humanistic and Talmudic teachings such as "Human Rights," "pro-Homosexuality" and "non-Discrimination." Neither the "Jews" nor the churches get these values from the Bible.

The Bible does not teach that God's purpose is to turn non-Israelites into Israelites or to make all races one. It is the House of Israel and the House of Judah that God purposes should become one. The "*middle wall of partition*" (Eph 2:14) divides one thing, that is, "all Israel"-[see also Zech 11:14]. The unconverted of the Houses of Israel and Judah have enmity between them until the time given in Isaiah chapter 11. So, the parties could not have become "*Jews and Gentiles,*" even up until this time in the future!

There are variations upon this "*Jews and Gentiles*" and "save the world" theme held by the Roman Catholic Church, Conservative denominations, Pentecostals, Jehovah's Witnesses, Mormons, Seventh Day Adventists and so-called "Holy Ghost" denominations. (and Universal Reconciliation teaching of Stephen E. Jones, Dave Barley, James Bruggeman, CIM) It could broadly be said, "This is what everyone believes."

THE BRITISH-ISRAEL AND "IDENTITY" GROUP VIEW

The British-Israel presentations show there is much inconsistency in what they give as their beliefs. Their creed, or the "we believe" statements, is analysed in the next paper, "*British-Israel Creed Contradictions,*" together with doctrinal expositions. Although these groups rightly acknowledge that there is a national message in the Bible, we can see from the creed-statements, that most British Israelites, and some 'Identity' people, also hold some the same viewpoints as the Jews and other groups above do. British Israelites know where racial Israel may be found today, but many of them, as well as the denominations, still want to include every other race in a universal 'salvation.' They would include the Edomites whom God says he perpetually hates [*Esau have I hated*-Mal. 1:3 and Rom 9:13], trying to form a religion that incorporates members from every race. They forget that God says in Romans 9:18, "*Therefore hath he mercy on whom he will have mercy, and whom he will he hardeneth.*" This chapter goes on to tell us that the Potter did not make all vessels for His glory - "*And that he might make known the riches of his glory on the vessels of mercy, which he had afore prepared unto glory.*" This passage goes on to tell us that there are also other vessels "*fitted for destruction*" and not for glory-the basis is race and God made the choice before His Chosen had done good or evil - [Rom 9-11].

Not believing these things is one reason why British

PLEASE NOTE

A separate sheet is enclosed with all the details of the Camp program on video. There are 7 three hour video tapes. Suggested donation is \$15 each, or \$50 for the entire set (or \$40 for camp attendees) There are also audio cassettes (17 in all) available for \$4 each or the entire set for \$45. NOTE: Loan tapes video or Audio will not be available for some months!



Israel/Identity groups are so divided in their expression as to God's purpose in choosing one race. Their persistent setback is that they present the word "Gentiles" as always meaning non-Israelites, forgetting that in both Hebrew and Greek, the words translated as "Gentiles" are also used of the peoples of Israel. They also forget (or do not know) that the so-called Gentiles that Paul was writing to in 1 Corinthians 10:1-7 could not possibly be other than Israelites. Their progenitors (fathers) were Israelites, and therefore the traditional view that the Latin-originated word "Gentiles" means non-Israelites, is seen to be absurd from the verses below:

"Moreover, brethren, I would not that ye should be ignorant, how that all our fathers were under the cloud, and all passed through the sea; and were all baptized unto Moses in the cloud and in the sea; and did all eat the same spiritual meat; and did all drink the same spiritual drink: for they drank of that spiritual Rock that followed them: and that Rock was christ (Anointed). But with many of them God was not well pleased: for they were overthrown in the wilderness. Now these things were our examples, to the intent we should not lust after evil things, as they also lusted. Neither be ye idolaters, as were some of them; as it is written, The people sat down to eat and drink, and rose up to play." 1 Cor 10:1-7

"Our Fathers" shows the whole context concerns only Israel. Likewise all the New Testament books can be shown to be written only to Israel, just as the letters to the Corinthians are, as above (see Sheldon Emry's **New Testament Israel**, #225 @ \$1.75). Because they have a fixation about "Gentiles" being always non-Israelites, churches have to invent a belief about getting the message out to Israel first before going to what they think of as being Gentiles. In Acts 13:46b, where Paul says, "Lo, we turn to the Gentiles, For so hath the Lord commanded us, saying, I have set thee to be a light of the Gentiles, that thou shouldst be for salvation unto the ends of the earth," it does not take much working out that Paul was turning from the Judean Israelites to the "other sheep," Israelites which are the scattered House of Israel. This is the sequence predetermined in prophecy.

A verse they confuse people with is: "But the Lord said unto him, Go thy way: for he is a chosen vessel unto me, to bear my name before the Gentiles, and kings, and the children of Israel." (Acts 9:15)

This appears on the surface to suggest that there are Israelites and non-Israelites involved. In the phrase, "and the children of Israel," the word "and" here is translated in 62 other places as "both." Following this pattern, "the Gentiles and kings" are both of the Children of Israel," or to paraphrase this, "to bear my name before the peoples and rulers, both of whom are Children of Israel."

Further to this, many British Israelites claim that what they call "the Church" is "by definition composed of people of all nations." [this is a reference from "Bible Truth"-UK]. They talk about foreigners coming to join themselves to "the Lord" in Isaiah 56:3 and Zechariah 2:11, as if that made them one with Israel, but the word "join" has more the meaning of "borrow", or "attend" in the Hebrew. Although these foreigners see how God's blessing is upon Israel, these foreigners never can become equal, or "adopted" into Israel or "grafted in" in the way the denominations teach. A branch cannot be grafted "in again" onto a tree unless it had previously been attached. (Rom 11:22)

Another idea claimed is that when Peter says in 1 Peter

2:9, "But ye are a chosen generation, a royal priesthood, an holy nation, a peculiar people; that ye should shew forth the praises of him who hath called you out of darkness into his marvellous light." that the royal priesthood is to minister to all the other races. The simple response to this is to ask if a priest ministers to people, or "unto the Lord." In the fifth verse of this chapter we can see these words, "Ye also, as lively stones, are built up a spiritual house, an holy priesthood, to offer up spiritual sacrifices, acceptable to God by Jesus Christ."

All Denominational groups, including many British Israelites, express a wrong purpose in God's choosing Israel to be "above all other races," which expression brings much conflict into the Bible. As already pointed out, this is more often because of the wrong understanding of the word "Gentiles," which is also translated as "people," "nations," and "heathen." But the word is used in the Israel context. So, what is God's expressed purpose for Israel?

"FOR I HAVE CREATED HIM [ISRAEL] FOR MY GLORY"!

A full treatment showing that there is no change made in God's purpose for Israel under the New Covenant is made in "**The Exclusiveness of Israel.**" So we will consider God's purpose for Israel continuing with the Isaiah chapters used by the Jewish claims as quoted earlier in this paper. We have ample evidence through the New Testament that the "it is written" does not change under the New Covenant. Can we surpass, or deny, God's purpose for Israel as shown in the following verses which express aspects of God's purpose?

Isa.46:13, "and I will place salvation in Zion for Israel my glory."

Isa.43:7, "for I have created him (Israel) for my glory."

Isa.43:21, "This people have I formed for myself; they shall shew forth my praise?"

Isa.49:3, "And said unto me, Thou art my servant, O Israel, in whom I will be glorified."

We can compare these verses listed above against the list of presentations listed above from Jewish and British-Israel sources. The Jewish sources mention Isaiah chapters 42-49, in which they claim that such phrases as "to the nations," represents all mankind. But when we look at each context, we can see that the phrases refer only to the peoples of Israel. Looking at all the other passages quoted from Isaiah, we can see that these are totally in the context of Israel only, and not of all mankind. For examples:

Isa.43:3 "For I am the LORD thy God, the Holy One of Israel, thy Saviour." There is no mention in Scripture of God being the Saviour of other than the "world" of Israel.

Isa.49:7, "Thus saith the LORD, the Redeemer of Israel." There is no mention in Scripture of God being the Redeemer, or the Holy (separated) One, of any but Israel.

Grammatically, "all" and "every" refers to "all and every man of Israel," in context!

Isa.44:1, "O Jacob my servant; and Israel, whom I have chosen." There is no mention in Scripture of other races than Jacob/Israel being "chosen," or of being "my servant." [In "Nebuchadnezzar the king of Babylon, my servant" a different word is used for "servant."]

Isa.43:1, "But now thus saith the LORD that created thee, O Jacob, and he that formed thee, O Israel, Fear not: for I have redeemed thee, I have called thee by thy

TAPES OF THE MONTH

#S-373 and S-374 UNIVERSALISM parts 1 and 2. Charles Weisman

Many have taken to teaching 'Universalism' or 'Universal Reconciliation.' Is it what the Bible really teaches? Does *all* in every instance mean *all* of everything or is it just *all* of something in particular?

This month only sug don \$8 the two!



name; thou art mine.” There is no mention in Scripture of races other than Israel being “created,” “formed,” “called” and “redeemed” by God.

Isa.43:10-11 “Ye are my witnesses, saith the LORD, and my servant whom I have chosen: that ye may know and believe me, and understand that I am he: before me there was no God formed, neither shall there be after me. I, even I, and the LORD; and beside me there is no saviour.” Here God declares that Israel is the witness as to what God has done. Again, Israel is the ‘chosen.’ This verse shows that no other race could have this God-given understanding. It is that “Ye may know ... and understand,” “Ye” being Israel!

Isa 43:5, “I, even I am he that blotteth out thy transgression for mine own sake, and will not remember thy sins.” There is no mention of God blotting out the transgression of other than the context people of Israel. No others are mentioned as being written in “The Book of Life,” or indeed, of being blotted out of it!

Isa 44:7, “Since I appointed the ancient people.” Here the word “ancient” [Strong’s #H8769] has the meaning of “everlasting” or “perpetual.” It is a word from which “Celtic” is derived. Permanently Israel only, as a race, is God’s people. Likewise, in the New Testament we read, “And He shall save His people from their sins”- (Matt 1:21, see also Lk 1:68-75). No one else is included. Since they were His people before they were saved from their sins, we see an enduring racial statement.

When we read in Isa 42, “Behold my servant, whom I uphold; mine elect, in whom my soul delighteth; I have put my spirit upon him: he shall bring forth judgment to the Gentiles.” In Mark 4:20 and Luke 4:18, Jesus confirms He was speaking of Himself, with the context being that of Israel. The word “Gentiles” in the New Testament comes from an Latin-originated word which is used to suggest something different from the Greek word *ethnos* which means, “people,” as “races” in national groups. The words translated as “Gentiles” are also used of Israel in both Testaments. It is necessary to keep on repeating this so that it can sink in.

“ISRAEL MY GLORY.”

Now we can look at references to “my glory,” as in “Israel my glory”, to look further into God’s purpose. The words, “my glory” are highlighted.

1. Isa 46:11, “I bring near my righteousness; it shall not be far off, and my salvation shall not tarry: and I will place salvation in Zion for Israel my glory.” Here Israel is specifically described as being “My glory.” Salvation is in Zion “for Israel”!

2. Isa 42:8 “I am the LORD: that is my name: and my glory will I not give to another.”

Isa 48:11-12 “I have chosen thee in the furnace of affliction. For mine own sake, even for mine own sake, will I do it: for how should my name be polluted? and I will not give my glory unto another. Harken unto me, O Jacob and Israel, my called; I am he: I am the first, I also am the last.” In these two verses, “another” has the meaning of “another of a different kind.” Again, Israel as “My glory” is the “chosen” and that which is the “called.” Israel is God’s glory, not any other race.

3. Isa 66:18, “It shall come, that I will gather all nations and tongues; and they shall come, and see my glory. And I will set a sign among them, and I will send those that escape of them unto the nations, to Tarshish, Pul, and Lud, that

draw the bow, to Tubal, and Javan, to the isles afar off, that have not heard my fame, neither have seen my glory; and they shall declare my glory among the Gentiles.” Israel, as God’s glory, will yet be manifest.

4. Ezek 39:19-25 “And I will set my glory among the heathen, and all the heathen shall see my judgment that I have executed, and my hand that I have laid upon them. So the house of Israel shall know that I am the LORD their God from that day and forward. And the heathen shall know that the house of Israel went into captivity for their iniquity: because they trespassed against me. therefore hid I my face from them, and gave them into the hand of their enemies: so fell they all by the sword. According to their uncleanness and according to their transgressions have I done unto them, and hid my face from them. Therefore thus saith the Lord GOD; Now will I bring again the captivity of Jacob, and have mercy upon the whole house of Israel, and will be jealous for my holy name.”

The time is coming when God will have mercy upon Israel and reveal His purpose through a redeemed Israel. The last sentence is about the regathering of Israel and about mercy to the whole House of Israel and to no other peoples. Every single one of the prophets agrees by confining the regathering to the House of Israel and the House of Judah. Without exception, there is no suggestion of the popular “Jews and Gentiles” concept ever mentioned by the prophets in this regard. “The whole House of Israel” in the verse above could never have the popular meaning of “Jews and Gentiles.” True, we do find reference to foreigners, “that join themselves to the LORD, to serve him,” but that is after the regathering of Israel. “My holy name”

is significant too; we will see about this later in Exodus 3:15, in particular.

5. John 17:9-10, “I pray for them: I pray not for the world, but for them which thou hast given me; for they are thine. And all mine are thine, and thine are mine; and I am glorified in them.” Here we find a distinction that popular religion of all colours refuses to admit. In saying, “I pray not for the world,” why did Jesus not pray for the non-Israelite multi-racial peoples as the denominations do? Who are the “them” only, Jesus is glorified in? And whom did Paul say he prayed for, “Brethren, my heart’s desire and prayer to God for Israel is, that they might be saved”-[Rom 10:1]. Paul prayed only for Israel. When Peter says, “watch unto prayer;” this is in the context of having fervent charity “among yourselves,” that is, amongst the dispersed Israelites (*parepidemos*), that he is addressing-[1 Pet 1:1 and 4:8].

6. John 17:24, “Father, I will that they also, whom thou hast given me, be with me where I am; that they may behold my glory which thou hast given me: for thou lovedst me before the foundation of the world.” In vs 11, Jesus speaks about, “those that thou gavest me” as being in the context of Israel. Jesus prayed this request because of God’s ownership of Israel by formation and election in the words, “they are Yours.”

OTHER OLD TESTAMENT EXPRESSIONS OF GOD’S PURPOSE FOR ISRAEL

Speaking of Israel as a race of people, we read: Deut 7:7-9, “The LORD did not set his love upon you, nor choose you, because ye were more in number than any people; for ye were the fewest of all people: But because

NEW TAPES:

#F-332 Growing Up Into Christ

#F-333 The Prophet Habakkuk

#F-334 Acts: The Gate Beautiful

Stephen E. Jones

#S-871 The Weapon Never to Fear or Use
Fight of Faith part 8

#S-872 Pastor Peters speak at Colorado
(Judeo-)Christian University (very good)

#S-874 Whorehouse 2000 Election

Pastor Pete Peters



the LORD loved you, and because he would keep the oath which he had sworn unto your fathers, hath the LORD brought you out with a mighty hand, and redeemed you out of the house of bondmen, from the hand of Pharaoh king of Egypt. Know therefore that the LORD thy God, he is God, the faithful God, which keepeth covenant and mercy with them that love him and keep his commandments to a thousand generations."

God shows here that He had a purpose to keep His promise to Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, and God's oath did NOT apply to any other race of people than Israel. This covenant still applies since it is "to a thousand generations." The promise was to a specific race of people, not to any pseudo-race based upon belief! And we are told, "In Isaac shall thy seed be called." This thus cannot refer to any 'spiritual' seed, since "seed" in both Hebrew (*zera*) and Greek (*sperma*), are consistently used in the natural sense. When Paul stood before King Agrippa, he said the promise was made to twelve tribes.

Acts 26:6-7, "And now I stand and am judged for the hope of the promise made of God unto our fathers: Unto which promise **our twelve tribes**, instantly serving God day and night, hope to come."

There is no way 'twelve tribes' could be converted to the popular "Jews and Gentiles" concept, so Paul is suggesting nothing about any universal "save the world" purpose. This "promise made of God" could not thus come to incorporate others. Further to this, Paul shows that the New Covenant position about Israel [our Twelve Tribes] is unchanged. Paul again confirms this:

Acts 13:32-33, "And we declare unto you glad tidings, how that the promise which was made unto the fathers, God hath fulfilled the same unto **us their children**."

Repeating this confirmation, Paul mentions the word *ethnos*=peoples, which was not translated from the Latin versions where it now appears as "Gentiles." Few commentators will admit that "the Gentiles" refers to the "gentilised" House of Israel. Tradition will not allow them to do so. Rom 15:8-9, "Now I say that Jesus Christ was a minister of the circumcision for the truth of God, to confirm the promises made unto the fathers: and that the Gentiles might glorify God for his mercy; as it is written, For this cause I will confess to thee among the Gentiles, and sing unto thy name. And again he saith, Rejoice, ye Gentiles, with his people."

The word "people" here is *laos* which means "a people, people group, tribe, nation, all those who are of the same stock and language." So Paul here says the New Covenant makes no changes here.

We will look further into the Old/New Covenant unchanging position. Deut 28:9-10, "The LORD shall establish thee an holy people unto himself, as he hath sworn unto thee, if thou shalt keep the commandments of the LORD thy God, and walk in his ways. And all people of the earth shall see that thou art called by the name of the LORD; and they shall be afraid of thee."

God says He will not be glorified in other than Jacob/Israel. Here the word "establish" is in the imperfect mood showing that it will yet come to pass fully. The "holy people" can never become anything else, such as "the Church" in the popular sense. "All the people of the earth ... shall be

afraid of you," in this verse makes a clear separation between God's people and all others! It also shows that there are two groups, with Israel not being included in "all the peoples of the earth." This further is an example of how "all" is not inclusive of two groups. The expression of God's purpose is found in the phrase, "an holy people unto himself."

Deut 14:2, "For thou art an holy people unto the LORD thy God, and the LORD hath chosen thee to be a peculiar people unto himself, above all the nations that are upon the earth." (it is from the underlined phrase, that Bible-believers are targetted with the smear "**White Supremacist**."CIM) The term 'holy people' and 'peculiar people' are free translations of the biblical terms '*am qadosh*' ("set apart people") and '*am c'egullah*' ("treasure people") who were designed to be different than all other races. This parallel about Israel being a "peculiar people" carries on into the New Testament, without change.

1 Pet 2:9, "But ye are **a chosen generation, a royal priesthood, an holy nation, a peculiar people**; that ye should show forth the praises of him who hath called you out of darkness into his marvellous light."

1. There people are a chosen (elect) 'generation.' - [genos=race, or descent from a particular people].

2. They are a 'nation.' - [ethnos-racial group].

3. They are 'holy.' - [hagios=sacred and set apart].

4. They are 'peculiar.' - [periousios= "a people selected by God from the other nations for his own possession," according to Strong's G4041].

Denominations will not accept this because it does not support their "save the world" doctrine. From this latter verse we can see that God's purpose for Israel in the New Testament is still "to

show forth the praises of God." Israel is the 'chosen' or 'elect' [eklektos]. Peter goes on to quote the prophecy of Hosea about this same people, thus showing God's purpose is for one and the same people, for all time!

Isa 51:2, "Look unto Abraham your father, and unto Sarah that bare you: for I called him alone, and blessed him, and increased him."

Here "alone"-[*echad*] means "one in number." There is no record of any change to include others through Scripture. Here "called" means making a proclamation; this is in the perfect mood, which means it is a completed action. It cannot be added to in order to include any other peoples. The "blessed" and the "increased" are in the imperfect mood, showing that this will yet fully come to pass.

THE WORD "PURPOSE"

The Greek word for "purpose" (prothesis) is used for God purposing. It is also translated as "shewbread" in Hebrews 9:2. This is about the twelve loaves of wheaten bread, corresponding to the number of the tribes of Israel, which twelve loaves were offered to God every sabbath. The New Covenant nowhere changes the purpose of God concerning the twelve tribes of Israel. No one else is possibly included. Israel (racially) is the only people Scripture associates with the New Covenant [Hebrews 8:8-9].

Romans 8:28-29, "And we know that all things work together for good to them that love God, to them who are

New Book

CROSS EXAMINATION Christianity on Trial by Malcolm Ross

The information presented in this book deals with a landmark Freedom of Expression case. It began as a Human Rights Board of Inquiry and progressed through the legal system from the Court of Queen's Bench and the New Brunswick Court of Appeal, all the way to the Supreme Court of Canada. It is presently under investigation by the Human Rights Committee of the United Nations at Geneva, Switzerland. The reader will discover the evidence upon which Malcolm Ross was judged and how a powerful lobby exerted its tremendous influence to ensure that his Christian perspective of history and theology would be quashed. Perhaps the decision of the Supreme Court of Canada and its spurious reasons may give pause to reflect upon the words of our National Anthem in praise of "the True North, strong and free." Are we indeed free? Or is the freedom so many purport to enjoy an illusion which may soon be exposed as a hoax? It is the compilers' wish that this book may stimulate a reassessment of what freedoms we actually do have, and will lead some to strive for the restoration of our ancient liberties which sprang from our Faith and Heritage. Shows Malcolm's conversion to Identity belief!

#097 su o \$27.0 0



the called according to **his purpose**. For whom he did foreknow, he also did predestinate to be conformed to the image of his son, that he might be the firstborn among many brethren."

Examination of the use of this word "purpose (*prothesis*) shows clearly that it is impossible for it to have any meaning that would suggest that non-Israel peoples could be included in the manner that Jews, Roman Catholics, denominations and most others allege. Firstly, "purpose" is connected with those that God foreknew; that is Israel in the Old Testament. Secondly it concerns "brethren" (*adelphos*=from the same womb, stock or family). The words, "the called" are also definitive. God's purpose still is about Israel only. These are the ones predestined to "be conformed to the image of his son." This is active on God's part and passive on His people's part.

Romans 9:10-11, "And not only this; but when Rebecca also had conceived by one, even by our father Isaac; (for the children being not yet born, neither having done any good or evil, that **the purpose of God** according to election might stand, not of works, but of him that calleth;)"

Again, the New Testament relates this back to the Old Testament unchanged. Thus the idea about anyone of any race coming into the fold by "believing in Jesus" is invalid. God's purpose has nothing to do with 'having done good or evil,' and thus nothing to do with belief. The ability to believe in Jesus is confined to the elect. It can be seen this has nothing to do with "works" in the phrase, "neither having done good or evil." With any form of multi-racism, there could be no such thing as "election." There could be no "elect," *neither having done any good or evil*.

Eph 1:11, "In whom also we have obtained an inheritance, being predestined according to **the purpose of him** who worketh all things after the counsel of his own will." This verse is national in character, and accordingly we have to note that in the Greek, "obtained an inheritance" and "predestinated" in the verse above are in the passive voice, so the inheritance has nothing to do with anyone of any race making his or her own choice. God does the choosing as to who can believe. God has a purpose. People of other races cannot "choose God" or "choose Jesus" in the way popular evangelism insists. As Jesus confirms, "you have not chosen me, but I have chosen you"-[John 15:16-19]

Ephesians 3:11, "According to **the eternal purpose** which he purposed in Christ Jesus our Lord." God's eternal purpose is not something that came to pass in the so-called "Gospel Age." Strong's #165 gives "eternal" as, "for ever, an unbroken age, perpetuity of time, eternity." "Purposed" here is aorist in tense and active in voice. It concerns what God is always doing, without change, so the alleged change the religionists have made, to now try to incorporate other non-Israelites, is wrong.

Another verse, which clarifies this matter of "His own purpose" further, is: 2 Tim 1:9-10, "Who hath saved us, and called us with an holy calling, not according to our works, but according to **his own purpose** and grace, which was given us in Christ Jesus before the world began, But is now made manifest by the appearing of our Saviour Jesus Christ who hath abolished death, and hath brought life and immortality to light through the gospel."

Again, it is not a matter of our choice or works. It is passive. God's purpose was established "before the world [ages] began." What "is now made manifest by the appearing of our Saviour Jesus Christ," in no way defines any different purpose. Both "Jesus Christ" and "Christ Jesus" appear in this verse. "Jesus Christ" means "Jesus the Anointed [Christ]," whereas "Christ Jesus" refers to an

anointed people belonging to Jesus (dative). The "hath saved us" cannot have the meaning of "save the world," "us" being limited to the party being addressed.

THE LORD GLORIFIED IN ISRAEL

We have looked at and considered the word "glory" as in "Israel My Glory," and it will be helpful to look further into the word "glorified." The word is highlighted in the verses below.

Isa 26:15, "Thou hast increased the nation, O LORD, thou hast increased the nation: **thou art glorified**: thou hadst removed it far unto all the ends of the earth."

Isa. 44:23 "Sing, O ye heavens; for the LORD hath done it: shout, ye lower parts of the earth: break forth into singing, ye mountains, O forest, and every tree therein: for the LORD hath redeemed Jacob, and **glorified himself in Israel**."

Yes, God glorifies Himself by increase in Israel! At this prophesied time of the re-gathering and restoration of the Kingdom to Israel, the 'Israel' limitation remains. **Israel (only) is the people in whom God is glorified. It is only Israel that is redeemed.** This could not be otherwise, since only Israel could be redeemed (purchased back). No

other race was originally spoken of as being God's possession, so they could never be "bought back."

Isaiah 60:21 "Thy people also shall be all righteous: they shall inherit the land for ever; the branch of my planting, the work of my hands, **that I may be glorified**."

This again has to do with Israel's inheritance, and again it only concerns Israel. There are 206 references to Israelites as "thy people," and 231 as "my people." God has a purpose for Israel to "inherit the land," not to "go to heaven."

GOD'S "GLORIOUS" NAME

We have considered, "glory" and "glorified" in connection with God's purpose for Israel, but what about the word "glorious"? There are Old Testament references to God's "Glorious Name" we could refer to. There is enough in the next verse to silence all those who would "give God's glory to another." There is enough to slay the "save the world" dragon. Here God tells us something important about His Name.

Exodus 3:15, "And God said moreover unto Moses, Thus shalt thou say unto the children of Israel, The LORD God of your fathers, the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob, hath sent me unto you: **this is my name forever**, and this is my memorial unto all generations."

God hath purposed something here! His name is "the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob." This is "for ever"! It is unto "all generations." Dare anyone change this into "the God of Jews and Gentiles"? We have seen that God is jealous about His name. In books about the names of God, this is the name they leave out!

Isaiah 63:12, "That led them by the right hand of Moses with his glorious arm, dividing the water before them, to make himself an everlasting name?"

Could this connect with other than Israel as the verses give "forever" and "everlasting"?

THE PLACE OF OTHER RACES IN ASSOCIATION WITH ISRAEL

Israel was to dwell separately and not to associate with other races such as in the worship sense, but they had communication with other races. The next passage from Isaiah is lengthier, but it tells us about who (only) are of God's planting (as seeds). Jesus also tells us in Matthew 15:13-14, "Every plant, which my heavenly father hath not



planted, shall be rooted up. Let them alone; they be blind leaders of the blind. And if the blind lead the blind, both shall fall into the ditch." Obviously there must be those others in the garden (and the denominations) who are not of God's planting. Those who do not believe what Jesus tells us about this must of necessity be blind leaders of the blind. The "let them alone" of Jesus is given in the imperative mood! It is a command. "Alone" means "go from them." It is futile to attempt to change those who want to insist on including "all the world," i.e. the rest of humanity, as being part of God's purpose in redeeming Israel. (Tares cannot be 'converted' into wheat, and must be burned)

What is presented in the next passage may upset some people, as they may have heard of the first part about "beauty for ashes," but they will never have heard a sermon upon the subject matter of verse 5. Isaiah 61:3-9,

*"To appoint unto them that mourn in Zion, to give unto them beauty for ashes, the oil of joy for mourning, the garment of praise for the spirit of heaviness; that they might be called trees of righteousness, the planting of the LORD, **that he might be glorified.** And they shall build the old wastes, they shall raise up the former desolations, and they shall repair the waste cities, the desolations of many generations. **And strangers [zûwr] shall stand and feed your flocks, and the sons of the alien [nekar] shall be your plowmen and your vinedressers. But ye shall be named the Priests of the LORD: men shall call you the Ministers of our God:** ye shall eat the riches of the Gentiles, and in their glory shall ye boast yourselves. For in your shame ye shall have double; and for confusion they shall rejoice in their portion: therefore in their land they shall possess the double: everlasting joy shall be unto them. For I the LORD love judgment, I hate robbery for burnt offering; and I will direct their work in truth, and I will make an everlasting covenant with them. And their seed shall be known among the Gentiles, and their offspring among the people: all that see them shall acknowledge them, that they are the seed which the LORD hath blessed."*

We can see that "the seed which the LORD hath blessed" is singular. [It is singular in Hebrew]. The separation between Israel and aliens is still in place, even at the late time this prophecy refers to. Israel and foreigners have not been "made one," even then. It may not be 'politically correct' (or religiously acceptable) to suggest that foreigners (zûwr) and aliens (nekar) are designed to be labourers for God's people. Verse 5 says, "And strangers shall stand and feed your flocks, and the son of the alien shall be your plowmen and your vinedressers." This one verse, and others like it, is never the subject of a Sunday sermon in our humanistic denominations. So God does have a different purpose for foreigners. This purpose obviously is not to make aliens one with Israel.

Isaiah 60:10 agrees: "And the sons of strangers shall build up thy walls, and their kings shall minister unto thee." Here again the foreigners (nekar) are ministers (servants) to Israel. Servant-hood was exactly the status of the Gibeonites who were within the camp of Israel -(see Joshua 9:21-27, "And Joshua made them that day hewers of wood and drawers of water for the congregation, and for the altar of the LORD"). But, in their association with Israel, as foreigners they had a requirement to keep the Law of God in order to survive. This is somewhat different from the present-day English attitude of, "it might offend the Muslims." Even if, as foreigners, the Gibeonites had benefits from associating with the Israelites in the camp, they never could have equal status with Israelites.

The position of "Priests of the Lord" and "Ministers of our God" in Isaiah 61:6 has not changed in the New Testament, as we see in 2 Peter 1:9. "But ye are a chosen generation, a royal priesthood, an holy nation, a peculiar people."

"Peculiar" is also translated as "purchased possession." The word "generation" (genos) has the meaning of "stock" and "race," and cannot be dismissed in any way, or changed to be a matter of belief only. Seed only reproduces 'after its own kind'!

CONFIRMING FOREIGNERS AS BEING SERVANTS TO ISRAELITES

All those who want to believe that Israel is now a spiritual entity need to ponder the following passage about, "strangers," "kings," "nation(s)" and "kingdom." Looking at Isaiah 60 again, they need to ponder the relationships between Israel and non-Israel

Isaiah 60:10, "And the sons of strangers [nekar] shall build up thy walls, and their kings shall minister unto thee: (v12) For the nation and kingdom that will not serve thee shall perish; yea, those nations shall be utterly wasted. (v16) Thou shalt also suck the milk of the Gentiles, and shalt suck the breast of kings.

In these we again can see the servant-hood status of foreigners. We have seen, "Ye shall eat the riches of the Gentiles [peoples, genitive], and in their glory shall ye boast yourselves."

Although the above Scriptures concern the future, foreigners, as being servants to Israel, is not a new position. King David and King Solomon made forced workers out of foreigners. 1 Chronicles 22:2,

"And David commanded to gather together **the strangers** that were in the land of Israel; and he set masons to hew wrought stones to build the house of God.

2 Chron. 2:17-18, "And Solomon numbered **all the strangers** that were in the land of Israel, after the numbering wherewith David his father had numbered them; and they were found an hundred and fifty thousand and three thousand and six hundred. And he set threescore and ten thousand of them to be bearers of burdens, and fourscore thousand to be hewers in the mountain, and three thousand and six hundred overseers to set the people a work.

1 Kings 9:20-22, "And all the people that were left of the Amorites, Hittites, Perizzites, Hivites, and Jebusites, **which were not of the children of Israel.** Their children that were left after them in the land, whom the children of Israel also were not able utterly to destroy, upon those did Solomon levy a tribute of bondservice unto this day. But of the children of Israel did Solomon make no bondmen: but they were men of war, and his servants, and his princes, and his captains, and rulers of his chariots, and his horsemen.

When we look into "tribute" as in "levy a tribute of bondservice," we see something most would not expect. Strong gives the word the meaning of, "gang or body of forced laborers, task-workers," etc. We find Israel making tribute (i.e. forced labour) of foreigners.

Judges 1:28, "And it came to pass, when Israel was strong, that they put the Canaanites **to tribute.**" Prophecy says that Israel will once again become strong under the mighty God of Jacob. Israel is not strong enough to exercise dominion now, and there is no evidence of great charity to other races at any time. Those foreigners who submit to God through Israel are permitted to live.

The next verse is used by some to say that the words, "strangers shall be joined with them" confirms foreigners will become one with Israel as one people, that God's blessing upon Israel will overflow to other races. True, foreigners are blessed indeed in becoming joined to Israel, but not in the manner suggested by the denominations. They are blessed as becoming "servants and handmaids"!

Isaiah 14:1-2, "For the LORD will have mercy on Jacob, and will yet choose Israel, and set them in their own land: and the strangers shall be joined with them, and they shall cleave to the house of Jacob. And the people



shall take them, and bring them to their place: and the house of Israel shall possess them in the land of the LORD **for servants and handmaids**: and they shall take them captives, whose captives they were; and they shall rule over their oppressors.”

Mercy is still “on Jacob” and this excludes the “all the world” faction. The meaning of foreigners being “joined” to Israel says nothing about mercy to foreigners; “joined” having a different meaning. So, as we read on, the passage confirms that those joining themselves to Israel become “servants and handmaids” to Israelites. Neither do other verses such as, “And many nations shall be joined to the LORD in that day, and shall be my people”-[Zech.2:11] tell a different story. “Possess,” as in “shall possess them,” has the meaning of “take possession.” Israel takes “possession” of foreigners.

The “people,” as a congregation is one unit, comprising of masters and servants, just as the “church in the wilderness” under Moses was, where the “congregation of the Lord” (*edah*) was comprised of Israelites, together with the “mixed multitude.” In the wilderness the two groups, within the one unit, were treated the same in regard to Law, but were treated differently in temple service, with only the *cahal* (Israelites) being able to attend the tabernacle.

Those who hold the “Jew and Gentile” belief, like to quote Zech 8:23 in support of their view. They give “Jew” as meaning ancient or modern Jewry. (They do not think about, “them that say they are Jews but are not” [Rev 2:9], either). Zech 8:23, “Thus saith the LORD of hosts; In those days it shall come to pass, that ten men shall take hold out of all languages of the nations, even shall take hold of the skirt of him that is a Jew, saying, We will go with you: for we have heard that God is with you..”

The timing of this section of prophecy is when Israel is re-gathered to the land promised to Abraham, Isaac and Jacob. Jerusalem by then has become the centre of the Kingdom, which contains the redeemed of the House of Israel and the House of Judah [-Zech. 8:13]. (NOTE that **the New Jerusalem is NOT the old Jerusalem**, and 2 Samuel 7:10 precludes a return to old Palestine! CIM). The word “Jew” in Hebrew is not in the passage as a word! No, it is not there! Strong gives the word given as “Jew” as actually being ‘*iysh*,’ which is usually translated “husband” or “man” -[this word is also used of a pair of breeding animals]. The translators probably thought that because the “man” lived in Jerusalem, he must have been Jewish, and so they interpreted rather than translated the passage. [Note: The revisors of the Septuagint did the same]. So “*him that is a Jew*” must rightly refer to Israelites of both Houses. Here we see the men (‘*enowsh*’) of the other races (*gowy or goy*) seeking to attach themselves to Israel, because of the blessing there is for them in being associated with Israel. Those of them who will not come to Jerusalem to worship [means “bow down to”] the King will experience famine-[Zech 14:17]. There is no suggestion that the foreigners become the same as Israelites; (note that Abraham was to become a multitude of *gowy* and that two *gowy* were in Rebekah’s womb! CIM); the subjection requirement by men as ‘*enowsh*’ to men as ‘*adam*’ remains. The relationship is no different here than it was when Solomon built the temple using certain foreigners as forced labour.

Solomon prayed for the foreigners who had a right “atti-

tude” to God and Israel. 1 Kings 8:35-43, “Moreover concerning a stranger [nokriy], that is not of thy people Israel, but cometh out of a far country for thy name’s sake-(for they shall hear of thy great name, and of thy strong hand, and of thy stretched out arm);- when he shall come and pray toward this house; Hear thou in heaven thy dwelling place, and do according to all that the stranger calleth to thee for: that all people of the earth may know thy name, to fear thee, as do thy people Israel; and that they may know that this house, which I have builded, is called by thy name.”

It is outside of the scope of this paper to enlarge upon the different words for, and in connection with, “strangers,” “aliens,” “heathen” and “foreigners,” etc. such as *maguwr*; *ger*; *zûwr*; *nekar*; *nokriy*, and *towshab*, apart from saying that “strangers” sometimes are Israelites, secondly that the translators (every version) have been utterly inconsistent in translating or interpreting these words.

There is none of the modern denominational attitude of “*everybody love everybody, regardless of race*” in all of this. Israel taking “tribute” of foreigners was not an isolated incident either. Some may say, “Well, that was just what they did in those days” as if it has no present-day bearing. But we saw a glimpse of God’s purpose in Isaiah 60:10-12, 14:1 in the past, and in Zech. 14, for the future. We have seen what was done in the past and what will be done in the future. we have to ask what would be God’s purpose in the intervening period, that is, the present? And, could the universal “save the world” concept possibly fit in to God’s purpose?

THERE IS NO SCOPE FOR RACIAL HATRED

There does not appear to be any scope for racial hatred, even against those (racially) whom God says that He hates “forever”-[Mal 1:5], “*The people against whom the LORD hath indignation for ever*” and Deut 23:7, “*Thou shalt not abhor an Edomite*”- (the Jews say, Jewish Encyclopedia, 1925 edition, vol.5, p.41 “Edom **is in** modern Jewry”)[see Origins of The Jews #554 @ \$0.90ppd, CIM] The Biblical “World View” incorporates all peoples, but with each in a proper relationship with Israel as determined by God.

Is there any scope then for Israel to exercise social injustice, on a racial basis? There is in a sense such as Israel was not to allow foreigners to rule over them, because the foreigner would seek to apply a differing law system, and Israel would seek to obey (worship) other gods. God has decreed, “*One law and one manner shall be for you, and for the stranger that sojourneth with you.*” (NOTE: I believe that ALL strangers which could live among Israel would have to be of a kind where marriage was allowed - as “living amongst” ALWAYS leads to marriages; therefore those they were **not** to marry could **not** have lived amongst them! CIM) “Manner” as *mishpat* means “place, court, or seat of judgment.” The stranger is to be judged according to the Law of Israel, just as the Israelite is. The only place for hatred towards the foreigner is when the foreigner hates God’s Law, but it must be noted that the same hatred applies towards the Israelite who likewise despises God’s Laws.

Psalm 139:21-22 “*Do not I hate them, O LORD, that hate thee? and am I not grieved with those that rise up against thee? I hate them with a perfect hatred: I count*



them mine enemies.”

But as far as difference is concerned, we read such as, “The Lord doth put a difference between the Egyptians and Israel.” Strong gives “different” (*palah*) as meaning, “to be distinct, marked out, be separated.” Leviticus 20:24 reminds us of God’s purpose for Israel, “I am the LORD your God, which have separated you from other people.” This is in the perfect mood, so this putting a boundary between Israel and others as a completed action, for all time.

Social justice based upon Law must be exercised for all races. But when we find a universalised “save the world” belief, where the ‘believer’ can “continue in sin that Grace may abound,” and where the foreigner can continue his culture, customs and superstitions at the same time as “believing in Jesus,” we must have “another gospel.” This is where the “save the world” advocates are presenting “another gospel.” It is obviously very easy to take on “another gospel,” and it seems that most do.

Gal 1:6-7, “I marvel that ye are so soon removed from him that called you into the grace of Christ unto another [hetros = different] gospel: Which is not another [allos = the same]; but there be some that trouble you, and would pervert the gospel of Christ (anointing).”

Paul then goes on to say, “Let him be accursed” who proclaims “another gospel.” Scripture provides no allowance for cultural sensitivity by the people of God towards foreigners. Israel destroyed other races because of their idolatry, iniquity and transgression. God decreed this! God did not use Israel to ‘save’ this ‘world’!

But Israel is presently in no position strength-wise to exercise dominion over foreigners, and will not be until there is national repentance. God has decreed of an obedient Israel in Deut 28:13, “And the LORD shall make thee the head, and not the tail; and thou shalt be above only, and thou shalt not be beneath; if that thou hearken unto the commandments of the LORD thy God, which I command thee this day, to observe and to do them.” Israel is not hearkening to the “Commandments of God” and has become “the tail.” Isaiah tells us the present situation in Israel as we see in Isaiah 9:13-15, “For the people turneth not unto him that smiteth them, neither do they seek the LORD of hosts. Therefore the LORD will cut off from Israel head and tail, branch and rush, in one day. The ancient and honourable, he is the head; and the prophet that teacheth lies, he is the tail. For the leaders of this people cause them to err; and they that are led of them are destroyed.”

National problems come from our leaders. There is a “tail” situation for Israel amongst the nations; there is a “tail” situation within (the) God’s nation. “The prophet that teacheth lies, he is the tail.”

National Israel has no strength over other races whilst she remains a “tail.” And, Israel will not become the “head” again until all her strength is gone, her strength presently being taken by foreigners-[Lam 5:1-7]. In the end God says He will step in:

Deut 32:36, “For the LORD shall judge his people, and repent himself for his servants, when he seeth that their power is gone.”

We saw above, “For the leaders of this people cause

them to err.” Even today, the “save the world” denominational leaders deny the place of “The Commandments of God,” even within the New Testament. And, they have changed “save His people” to “save the world,” thus causing people to err! In this they hold to the “brotherhood of man and the fatherhood of God” doctrine. They sing, “God and Father of mankind” even if the Bible tells us that God is a Father to Israel only. They say, “Have we not all one father” and thus “profane the covenant of our fathers.” Malachi 2:10-12, “Have we not all one father? hath not one God created us? Why do we deal treacherously every man against his brother, by profaning the covenant of our fathers? Judah hath dealt treacherously, and an abomination is committed in Israel and in Jerusalem; for Judah hath profaned the holiness of the LORD which he loved, and hath married the daughter of a strange god. The LORD will cut off the man that doeth this, the master and the scholar, out of the tabernacles of Jacob, and him that offereth an offering unto the LORD of hosts.” It is God who says such will be “cut off”!

The thrust of Scripture is that “Israel might be saved” through “the redemption that is in Christ Jesus”-[Rom 3:24]. Jesus came with The Father’s purpose; “And He shall save His people from their sins”-[Matt 1:21]. “People”=*laos* as means “people, people group, tribe, nation, all those who are of the same stock and language.” So no other race could be included in the “covenant of our fathers”! Neither are the churches of the same stock and language!

Those who like to generalize everything in saying there are only two groups of individuals amongst all races, namely the “saved” and the “unsaved,” should consider Psalm 2, a

Psalm that is quoted in the New Testament books of Acts, Hebrews and Revelation. Here we find, “heathen” as *gowry* (or *goy*), “people” as *l’eom*, “kings” as *melek*, “rulers” as *razan* and “judges” as *shaphat*. Of the Son we read, “Thou shalt break them with a rod of iron; thou shalt dash them in pieces like a potter’s vessel,” when the King is “set upon My holy hill of Zion.” “Them” is spoken of in national terms, as is “Zion.” Again, the foreign races are told in vs 10 to be subject to the King of Israel to survive, “Be wise now therefore, O ye kings: be instructed, ye judges of the earth. Serve the LORD with fear, and rejoice with trembling. Kiss the Son, lest he be angry, and ye perish from the way, when his wrath is kindled but a little.” See Acts 4:25-28 also.

THIS PAPER WILL OFFEND THE “SAVE THE WORLD” ADVOCATES

The last section about racial differences may offend many people, in the same way as the religious people (Pharisees) in Jesus’ day were offended - Matt 13 and Mark 14. Jesus had just spoken about ‘God’s Kingdom’ (over Israel) and ‘Satan’s kingdom’ in chapter 12. ‘Satan’ offered Jesus “the kingdoms of this world.” Jesus went on to speak racially about tares and wheat. Wheat comes from wheat seeds and tares come from tare seed. God planted the wheat (seed) as “the children (sons) of the Kingdom,” and Jesus says ‘the enemy’ planted the tares (as seed). A bad plant can only produce bad fruit, Jesus tells us -[Matt 7:18]. Even if denominations like to sup-

RECOMMENDED READING

Pastor Lawrence Blanchard’s books:

Standing on the Premises

This book offers a look at Christian-Identity theology in a systematic fashion, answering questions such as: •Did all races come from Adam? •Are all races under the law of God? •For whom did Jesus die? •Who are the ONLY inhabitants of New Jerusalem?

#581 sug don \$27.00

Is the Judeo-Christian Gospel the Biblical Gospel?

Judeo-Christianity “has promoted a ‘gospel’ that is easily accessed by all people of all races.” This book examines critical evidence as to whether the universal, Judeo-Christian ‘gospel’ is Biblical. Does the New Testament allow for the inclusion of all races or mixtures of races as recipients of salvation by grace through faith in Jesus Christ?

#583 sug don \$20.00



pose tares can change their “kind” by ‘conversion,’ contrary to God’s Law, Jesus never suggests this. Tares are never made one with the wheat, or into wheat, but they grow together until the reaping time. The tares have the destiny of being gathered up and burned. Many such racial statements are made in the New Testament. Jesus says He is “*the shepherd of the sheep*”-(the Judean flock, and of His “other sheep”[the ‘dispersed’ outside of Judea]). In these things we can see God’s purpose for Israel. Jesus made no changes. We have always to keep in mind where Jesus answered and said, “***I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel***”-[Matt 15:24]. He told His disciples to go to no others -[Matt 10:6]. They went unto “*all the world*’ of Israel.” God, “so loved” the world of Israel!(Jn 3:16)

The book “**The Exclusiveness of Israel**” by ‘Eliel,’ has been mentioned (#714 @ \$18.75ppd). In it the popular traditional doctrines are examined and all objections raised so far are answered. It is not proposed to examine these here, or to demonstrate how all the New Testament is written to Israel only, as a people (see **New Testament Israel**, by Sheldon Emry, #225 @ \$1.15). The Bible is not written to “the Jews; It is written to Israel!

Redemption only applies to Israel: Psalm 119:9, “*He sent redemption unto his people; he hath commanded his covenant for ever: holy and reverend is his name.*”

Those who like to misuse Jesus’ words, “*I came not to judge the world, but to save the world,*” put a wrong context and meaning on “the world;” it is “the world of Israel.” In all this, denominations are presenting a wrong purpose as to why God chose Israel to be His “purchased” possession. Yes, what they have changed is “*save His people*” into “*save the world*”!

“RIGHT WING” AND “LEFT WING” DENOMINATIONS.

The issues discussed here parallel those in politics where we have what is commonly termed the “right wing” and the “left wing.” The “right wing” essentially is concerned with nationalism, whereas the “left wing” is concerned with internationalism. The denominations follow Roman Catholicism in its internationalism/universalism (Rome claims to be the Universal “Church”) and Judaism (makes proselytes of all races).

Matthew 23:15, “*Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye compass sea and land to make one proselyte, and when he is made, ye make him two-fold more the child of hell than yourselves.*” The Roman “mother of abominations”<Rev 17:5> has seduced the denominations into becoming “left wing,” whereas the Bible is “right wing.” The “right wing” denominations have some understanding of the relationship of Law and Grace, whereas the “left wing” denominations say the “*commandments of God*” <see Rev 14:12 and 22:14> are done away with. Without supporting the Law of God, the “left wing” denominations of necessity must be humanistic in the application of doctrine. Those who try to “*hold the truth in unrighteousness*”<Rom 1:18> are under the wrath of God by violating His Law and Justice.

The “right wing” have a proper view of individual responsibility, as expressed below. Hebrews 10:26-31,

“*For if we sin wilfully after that we have received the knowledge of the truth, there remaineth no more sacrifice for sins, But a certain fearful looking for of judgment and fiery indignation, which shall devour the adversaries. He*

that despised Moses’ law died without mercy under two or three witnesses: Of how much sorer punishment, suppose ye, shall he be thought worthy, who hath trodden underfoot the Son of God, and hath counted the blood of the covenant, wherewith he was sanctified, an unholy thing, and hath done despite unto the Spirit of Grace? For we know him that hath said, Vengeance belongeth unto me, I will recompense, saith the Lord. And again, The Lord shall judge his people. It is a fearful thing to fall into the hands of the living God.”

The “left wing” denomination deny personal responsibility, going the way of the world in blaming others (or ‘Satan’) for their sin, and justifying sin by saying things like, “God understands our humanity” and “God still loves you, no matter what you do.” Thus they lead people to believe that they cannot *fall into the hands of the living God*. Their peace is a false peace because they have no fear of God.

Romans 3:16-17, “*Destruction and misery are in their ways: and the way of peace have they not known: There is no fear of God before their eyes.*” The “left wing” denominations deny racial differences as expressed through Scripture. Without question then, the “left wing” denominations, in their form of godliness, are to be turned away from - [2 Tim 3:5]. They hold a parallel but counterfeit belief.

God exhibited nationalism in His choice of Israel as a “chosen” and “peculiar” race. God says He put a difference between Israel and the other races -[see Ex. 11:15]. Jesus expresses this nationalism in confirming, “***I am not sent but to the lost sheep of the House of Israel.***” Nationalism continues through the New Testament into the New Jerusalem.(do not confuse with the place in Palestine!CIM)

Without question then, the “left wing” denominations, in their form of godliness, are to be turned away from - [2 Tim 3:5]. They hold a parallel but counterfeit belief.

God exhibited nationalism in His choice of Israel as a “chosen” and “peculiar” race. God says He put a difference between Israel and the other races -[see Ex. 11:15]. Jesus expresses this nationalism in confirming, “***I am not sent but to the lost sheep of the House of Israel.***” Nationalism continues through the New Testament into the New Jerusalem.(do not confuse with the place in Palestine!CIM)

FINAL COMMENT

The “*save the world*” denominations are doing worse than Jeroboam did -[1 Kings 12”31]- when he set other than Levites to be priests. Today they set up foreigners (and women) to try to minister their version of the New Covenant and “Gospel,” sometimes to Israelites.

Ezekiel 44:6, “*And thou shalt say to the rebellious, even to the house of Israel, Thus saith the Lord GOD; O ye house of Israel, let it suffice you of all your abominations, In that ye have brought into my sanctuary strangers, uncircumcised in heart, and uncircumcised in flesh, to be in my sanctuary, to pollute it, even my house. Thus saith the Lord GOD; No stranger, uncircumcised in heart, nor uncircumcised in flesh, shall enter into my sanctuary, of any stranger that is among the children of Israel.*”

PLEASE NOTE: Expressions of racial differences are not expressions of racial hatred. Today within the humanist European Union, provision in the form of ‘rights’ is extended to differing races so that their religions, cultures and customs can be expressed and practised. “Racism” refers to racial hatred, and none is expressed, suggested or intended in this paper.

THE WAY I SEE IT...
Paul Hall, Managing Editor

REAPING WHAT WE HAVE SOWN

As I sit in the lawn area just outside The Jubilee newspaper office listening to the birds chirp and watching the wild flowers blow in the wind, I gaze at the leaves



starting to emerge on the row of poplar trees lining the flower bed and wonder how could we have distracted our selves from God's natural wonders? How did we come to the place where the natural order of things seems so distant, and the artificial atmosphere we have created for ourselves come to be such a ruling factor in our lives?

I purposely come to this sitting area (laptop in hand) on this sunny spring day to rid myself of the chatter of television news, emails, fax machines, internet and telephone so that I can reflect. The news is always bad. Well almost always. There is rarely a report of any significance that would hint at bringing us back to sane living conditions. We are truly reaping what we've sown.

In my business (tracking the "news" for you) I could quickly go bananas if it weren't for the reminder of our God's glory just 20 feet outside my office door. (We are located 27 miles from the west entrance to Yosemite National Park in the Sierra Nevada bio-sphere range).

Today's news pabulum being repeated over and over, as if nothing else is going on, includes the impact of tourism on Britain since the outbreak of that hoof and mouth disease thing (Dr. Harash Narang of Newcastle-upon-Tyne, claims that the present epidemic of F&M in the UK could only have originated in a top secret UK government laboratory. The Express newspaper has revealed that a test tube containing a sample of F&M virus went missing from this laboratory two months before the outbreak was first reported. It's Time, #89, p6)(In Holland, over 200,000 animals have been destroyed because of F&M, the greatest majority of them not infected, and another 74,500 destined to go. CIM); the prosecution of the San Francisco couple whose [white supremacist] dog tragically killed a woman in her apartment hallway; campaign contribution limits - we all agree you can buy a political whore it's just a matter of agreeing on the price. And last but not least, the homos have come completely out of their perverted closets to announce on the Communist News Network (CNN) that they are here to stay! By the time the viewers were shown a clip of two fags kissing, while listening to the commentator crack jokes about it, I decided to come listen to the birds chirp.

No word yet on the elimination of the private banking cartel known as the federal reserve; the end to "legal" aborticide or the elimination of Karl Marx' public fool system whose poisonous fruit is now rotting on the vine. The good news is that Yahweh has brought the sun to rise again today and the rose buds are forming. Only nature - when we don't tamper with it - seems to remain obedient. We humans (a part of nature), with our freewill, always seem to choose disobedience. Now we are reaping the fruit we have sown. Disobedience is really the cause of all of our woes. Christians know this but yet they tend to pass over the obvious in favour of convenience.

Perhaps the most obvious to me is the fact that - in the name of convenience - we have turned our children over to the state to "educate" (or perhaps indoctrinate is the better word). We have had how many school shootings now, six or seven? What is this, two generations of high school grads who can't understand the questions on their job apps? Now, we watch in horror as our children are being plucked from the schoolyard like shooting fish in a tank.

Still, we can't see the obvious. Theories abound as to why the shootings are happening. Some may be viable rea-

sons while some are, well, out there. At the end of the day all of the woes we are facing within the Karl Marx "free education for all children" schools are a direct result of our failure to obey. We are reaping what we've sown.

Unlike the wild flowers, which have no free will - but follow the course of nature outlined for them from the beginning of time - we opt to depart from the commands of our Creator (only because it was in God's plan to happen this way! CIM). Flowers never say, "Forget spring, we'll bloom in the winter" because even these creatures know they would die. The recent barrage of school shootings, whether they are orchestrated or not, is, I believe, God sending us a pointed message. The hoof and mouth thing is the same message: *Reap, oh foolish man, the fruit you have sown.*

One has to wonder how many wake-up calls we need? God always works in multiple ways. He is, you might say, multidimensional in His thinking. His punishments are sometimes our blessing. We tend to view the world and its problems on a single dimension - and often not even the right one! If school children are dying because of a plague of shootings we look for a solution while ignoring the problem. And ever ready with the answer to any societal predicament is Big Brother with legislation in hand.

Because we have tossed out the instruction manual - and frankly don't have much time for the problem except to briefly pause and say oh that's a shame - a quick solution is now beyond our grasp. Therefore, we will take the first viable sounding "resolution" spoon-fed to us by the experts on CNN's Talk Back Live or Oprah's soap. God forbid we actually take a hard look at the cause of our societal illnesses. No, much easier it is to install

metal detectors and ban guns. Since we don't believe in "extremism," we suspend little boys who draw pictures of dead war hero relatives holding a gun or knife or who point fingers like guns and yell, pow pow. That will certainly curb the violence. Teachers chastise little 7-year-old girls for saying "God bless you" to sneezing classmates as was the case recently in an Orange (county) California first-grade classroom. This will assure a departure from the moral dictates of that Christian book with all the violence in it.

For you "extremists" who might think the solution is taking your children out of the public school, here is the latest court antics out of Kentucky. The mother of a 13-year-old girl found herself threatened with jail in early February if her child "has even one unexcused absence" from public school. Her attorney David Gordon summed up the situation in Logan County where a juvenile court judge is forcing the home-schooled teenager to attend public school: "We are facing bias against home education that we have not seen in a while from the bench," Gordon said.

The child was charged with truancy despite the fact that the school district was informed that she was being educated at home. "At the arraignment, I offered to put on evidence of the home instruction program," Gordon said. "The judge refused to hear it and simply ordered the child to enroll in public school the next day." In addition, the judge ordered the mother arrested and the child taken into state custody if even one day of school was missed without a doctor's excuse.

ASAP

Ever wonder about the abbreviation A.S.P.? Generally we think of it in terms of even more hurry and stress in our lives. Maybe if we think of this abbreviation in a different manner, we will begin to find a new way to deal with those rough days along the way.

There's work to do, deadlines to meet; You've got no time to spare, but as you hurry and scurry
- ASAP - ALWAYS SAY A PRAYER.

In the midst of family chaos, "Quality time" is rare. Do your best; let God do the rest - ASAP - ALWAYS SAY A PRAYER. It may seem like our worries are more than you can bear. Slow down and take a breather - ASAP - always say a prayer. God knows how stressful life is; He wants to ease our cares, And He'll respond - ASAP - Always say a Prayer. Today I'm saying a little prayer that God will smile on you and send you all the special blessings you deserve.



Yet another telling current event is the battle going on in California over whether teachers can put their own children in private schools. Ironically, legislation sponsored by state senator Ray Hayes, (R-Riverside), that would require public school teachers to send their children to public schools as a condition of their employment, drew sharp criticism from the California Teachers Association - the same people who vehemently opposed Prop. 38, the private school voucher initiative defeated in the November general election.

"In their campaign to defeat school vouchers for all California families, the teachers' labour union argued that vouchers would destroy the public schools, due to taxpayer funding per pupil being diverted out of the government school system," Hayes said. Rep. Hayes represents one of the growing numbers of people who can no longer take the hypocrisy of it all.

"We consider the bill unconstitutional and discriminatory," said Mike Myslinski, a spokesman for the California Teachers Association. "The state can't single out one profession and tell people where to send their children to school." H'mm, funny how well the shoe fits on the other foot! The need for such a law, Haynes said, stemmed in part from research he compiled while crafting the proposal. Citing U.S. Census data from 1990, Haynes said that 14 percent of the state's public school teachers, who have children ages 6-18, send their children to private schools. Those figures increase dramatically, Haynes said, when the state's largest cities are factored into the mix. About 28 percent of the public school teachers in Oakland send their children to private schools, with 30 percent doing so in Los Angeles and 36.7 percent in San Francisco.

"These startling numbers trigger an important question," Haynes said, "What do these teachers know about their public schools that is causing them to not enroll their own children there?"

What many teachers come to realize is that the system they are propagating is failing. They realize they are not interested in watching their children graduate and not be able to read or worse, be killed before they graduate. Some hardliners have suggested that the solution to the school shooting dilemma is arming the teachers or posting armed guard at each school compound to ward off would-be attackers. This sounds good and is certainly recommended on a house-to-house basis. The more daring suggest it is the swelling integration of non-whites and or the influence of non-white cultures that have triggered the violence and suggest segregating the schools (This theory is flawed from the standpoint that most of the shootings have been at the hands of white kids in primarily all-white schools).

Still others say what we have here is a Manchurian candidate scenario wherein young assassins are the order of the day, to escalate the government's gun confiscation agenda. The solution is to expose this evil program and fight for your right to bear arms by writing your congressman

I suggest that while there may be some aspects of truth to these arguments the solution is found in the Bible. As I have said before in this column, we are not going to resolve our woes until we realize that (a) We [Anglo-Israel] are responsible for the plagues upon us as a direct result of disobedience. (b) Until we realize this fact no amount of letter writing or marches will solve the problem. and (c) The way back to Kansas is when we discover the power we hold within ourselves through prayer and obedience.

As for the school shootings and all the other problems found therein, there is only one solution: Take your children out of their clutches! School violence is not the worst of the problems in these government institutions. Even more hid-

eous that children shooting each other is the damage being caused by increasingly anti-Christ curriculums. This problem alone will have a larger impact on society as our children are turned loose with a skewed set of morals, lack of knowledge about true events in history, and a religious philosophy that includes many gods.

If we don't reclaim our sons and daughters we are destined to witness even greater tragedies than children dying in schools; we are facing self-imposed slavery and debauchery the likes of which even the Egyptian pharaohs would marvel over. • Make sure that your children continue to follow in what you believe, and marry a believer! Key words: Commitment & Dedication.

Courtesy The Jubilee, Box 310 Midpines CA 95345 -----

"Biblical Separation" by Lawrence Blanchard

Everything we hear and see today from the media, government, school systems and even churches screams for integration of all races and cultures. This is true **only** in White nations. Although differences between the races and their cultures are typically minimized (i.e. "race is only skin deep"), yet significant differences in behavioural tendencies and IQ, for example, result in unending and detrimental conflict between races.

"... It does not require a majority to prevail, but rather an irate, tireless minority keen to set brush fires in people's minds ..." - Samuel Adams

This is demonstrated in Negro racial attitudes against Whites (Seattle and Cincinnati in 2001) and Asian racial attacks against Whites (Washington state and England in 2001), not to mention the continuing phenomenon of "White flight" from the cities to the

suburbs.

Racial and cultural integration is a teaching of Marxist philosophy for the purpose of equalizing all races. Why? To bring everyone into conformity to a global, communist government and absolute state control. Integration is a key element in the plan of this anti-Christ "system."

The Bible as God's Word, however, commands separation, not integration. God created all peoples to be different, and thus, all are to be separate. He even purposely separated the Adamic families of the sons of Noah at the Tower of Babel incident (Gen 10:32-11:9) even though they were of the same race. If that is true for one race of people, how much more so for **different** racial kinds.

SEPARATION AS NATURAL LAW

God ordained the idea of separation in all His creation by design. It is called in the first chapter of Genesis "*after their own kind.*" In other words, different kinds of plants, and air, sea and land creatures were created with an inherent selection mechanism that would naturally cause them to mate and cohabit with "their own kind." For example, the wheat plant would produce after its own kind, the gray whale after its own kind, the fox after its kind, and so on. In nature, hybrids are against God's order.

In the same way, God created different races to select within each race - Chinese with Chinese, Negro with Negro, White with White, etc. God made the differences between the races to be mutually repulsive to each other - differences in beauty, IQ and smell, for example. Chinese think White people smell like chickens and that is naturally abhorrent to them. White people naturally think the true bred Negro is not beautiful in appearance compared to their own kind.

God set up His natural law in the beginning to administer His creation order, not on the basis of integration, but separation. The major races of people today have each been created by God with physical and non-physical differences so as to keep them separate. Integration is, therefore, contrary to that order.



SEPARATION PRACTICED

Abraham was commanded by God to separate from the pagan culture of the Adamic people of the land known as "Ur of the Chaldeans" (Genesis 11:31; 21:1). He was to enter into another land that his descendants would later possess, but at that time was occupied by the cursed, mixed peoples (the Canaanites, Hittites, Perizzites, Jebusites, etc.). **Abraham** did not integrate with them, but **remained separate**.

When the time came to find a wife for his son Isaac, Abraham sent his servant back to his own family: "*And Abraham said unto his eldest servant of his house, that ruled over all that he had, Put, I pray thee, thy hand under my thigh: and I will make thee swear by the LORD, the God of heaven, and the God of the earth, that thou shalt not take a wife unto my son of the daughters of the Canaanites, among whom I dwell: but thou shalt go unto my country, and to my kindred, and take a wife unto my son Isaac.*" - Genesis 24:2-4.

As a result, Rebekah, the granddaughter of Nahor, Abraham's brother (Gen 24:15, Isaac's cousin's daughter), became Isaac's wife. Isaac also sent his son Jacob back to Abraham's family to find a wife, instead of taking a wife from the cursed inhabitants of the land in which he was living.

"*And Isaac called Jacob, and blessed him, and charged him, and said unto him, Thou shalt not take a wife of the daughters of Canaan. Arise, go to Padan-aram, to the house of Bethuel thy mother's father; and take thee a wife from thence of the daughters of Laban thy mother's brother." - (Gen 28:1-2). [note that of these wives, one was chosen by the servant of the father of the groom - the other was chosen by the groom by his own doing, even though he followed his father's advice! CIM]*

Jacob took several wives and sired twelve sons who would become the fathers of the twelve tribes of Israel. Separation was practiced by Abraham, Isaac and Jacob to produce a racial family that would inherit the covenant promises given to Abraham.

SEPARATION COMMANDED

For the people of Israel, God commanded through Moses that they be separate from all other peoples: "*And ye shall be holy unto me: for I the LORD am holy, and have severed you from other people, that ye should be mine*" - Lev 20:26.

In order to be assured of God's presence with Israel, Moses proclaimed that separation be practiced: "*For wherein shall it be known here that I and thy people have found grace in thy sight? Is it not in that thou goest with us? So shall we be separated, I and thy people, from all the people that are upon the face of the earth.*" - Exodus 33:16.

Inter-racial marriage was likewise prohibited by command for all Israel: "*Neither shalt thou make marriages with them; thy daughter thou shalt not give unto his son, nor his daughter shalt thou take unto thy son.*" - Deut 7:3. [Woe unto those who marry without parental approval!]

Israel was commanded to be separate in order to preserve their racial, spiritual and cultural identity. They were warned that if they did not obey, that mixed marriages would "turn" their "sons and daughters away from following" God [although today it seems that they are already "turning away" even before marriage! CIM] "to serve other gods ..." Deut 7:4.

After the Babylonian exile, when some of the house of Judah had tried to return to their land, they had taken foreign wives and had children by them. Before they could re-enter the land, they were commanded to obey the Law to separate from them. "*And Ezra the priest stood up, and said unto them, Ye have transgressed, and have taken strange wives, to increase the trespass of Israel. Now therefore make confes-*

sion unto the LORD God of your fathers, and do his pleasure: and separate yourselves from the people of the land, and from the strange wives. Then all the congregation answered and said with a loud voice, As thou hast said, so must we do." - Ezra 10:10-12.

CONCLUSION

The historical record of the Bible shows consistently that separation of the Adamic people (i.e. White people, those that blush or show blood in the face, Strong's #120), is ordained by God. Because the New Covenant in Jesus Christ is interdependent with all the other covenants of Scripture, separation of White people from the others must be practiced. The New Covenant is only relevant for God's people who have maintained separation as a part of holiness.

The history of civilizations records that homogenous societies are the most stable, progressive and prosperous. Integration signals the destruction of civilization. In America, Australia and other northwestern European nations, the rapid decline of civilization is apparent. One primary reason is that integration of all races and cultures is being promoted and enforced by the present government and, more grievously, by Judeo-Christian churches. But it can be stopped and reversed if only the pastors would preach the truth. Tell the pastors to start preaching the gospel of the New Covenant and not the heretical universal one. •

Courtesy The Saxon Sentinel, Box 8593, Port Orchard WA 98366



4.3 *You say there is no burning hell, and yet I read in Revelation 20:15 about sinners being cast into the lake of fire. How do you explain that?*

First of all, this verse says nothing about men being tortured in that "fire." It does not even tell us that those sinners will be immortal and will remain alive while that "fire" tortured them for eternity. If the fire were literal, then the most we could say is that the sinners would be burned up and destroyed.

The problem usually arises when we fail to read Daniel 7 along with Revelation 20. Daniel 7:9-10 speaks of the great judgment: "... **His throne was like the fiery flame; and his wheels as burning fire. A thousand thousands ministered unto him, and ten thousand times ten thousand stood before him; the judgment was set, and the books were opened.**"

Daniel pictures the "lake of fire" as issuing from God and His throne. The throne is a universal symbol of Law. A king sitting upon a throne pictures that king ruling by Law and judging the people by means of Law. Thus, the fiery throne of Daniel 7:9 is actually the fiery Law of God by which God judges all men. Deut. 33:2 speaks of God's Law as a "fiery Law," and Jeremiah 23:9 says, "Is not my word like as a fire?"

So it all boils down to one thing: If you want to learn the nature of the "lake of fire," you must learn the basic principles of God's Law by which God judges all sin. Does God's Law demand torture for sin? No, Paul tells us that "the wages of sin is death." (Note: it does NOT say "spiritual death.")

It is a basic principle of Bible Law that justice is never satisfied until full restitution has been paid to all the victims of injustice. Our modern minds have been conditioned by tradition to believe that justice is when the criminal has been properly tortured on the rack or behind



bars, or paid enough 'ransom' money in fines, but these penalties for sin are not found in God's Law.

Rather, God's Law demands restitution, because the purpose and goal of God's judgments are: (1) to repay all victims of injustice; and (2) to correct and rehabilitate the sinner. God's judgments are much like a parent disciplining a child in this way. God's fiery judgment is corrective in nature, as Isaiah 26:9 says: "*When Thy judgments are in the earth, the inhabitants of the world will learn righteousness.*" The lake of fire is described in Rev 21:8 and "the lake which burneth with *fire and brimstone.*" The Greek word translated "brimstone" actually means *sulfer*, but the word has a double meaning. The original Greek word is *theo*, usually translated "God." Sulphur, or *theo*, was considered to purify and cleanse. Thus, in its verb form the word means *to hallow, make divine, or to dedicate to God.* Thus, the lake of fire and brimstone is a lake of divine purification or conservation to God. Its purpose will be to correct and purify the sinner, not simply to torture him or even annihilate him. Those who are cast into this lake of fire will be forced to pay restitution for all the sins they committed, since they did not place their faith in the righteousness of Christ and receive forgiveness of sins. We may not know how God is going to judge each sin, but God has given us His righteous and just Law that we may know the basic principles of true justice. Let us not be swayed by the "justice" of the world, with its imprisonment and torture.

Courtesy America's Promise, Box 157 Sandpoint Idaho 83864

WHAT'S UP WITH THAT? (Jubilee Teen Column)

Dear Teen Jubilee,

I have a sister who seriously worries me. She is always saying she doesn't want to get married until she is 30. She wants to go to college and become a doctor before she even thinks about a family. Mom and dad think it will blow over (she is 16 now) but I don't know, she seems pretty serious about this. How can I jar her loose from this dorky idea? I am much older than she is. -- Big Bro.

Dear Big Brother,

Chances are her interests will change. BUT you can help by showing her that God's first priority for young ladies is to be wives and raise a family. If she believes the Bible she should have no problem seeing that our first parents, Adam and Eve, were given specific orders and it wasn't for Eve to get a career. Ask her to read Psalms 31 to remind her that a woman's glory is in her service to her husband and children NOT society at large. Wanting to take care of the ill is noble but even more noble is perhaps raising up boys who will be healers. Perhaps your little sis might like to be a midwife?•

CAPITOL CRIMES

Connecticut Attorney General Tells Department of Defense: Halt Forced Anthrax Shots - "Cease and Desist Illegal Conduct."

In a major blow to the forced Anthrax inoculation program, Connecticut Attorney General Richard Blumenthal has called upon Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld to END the controversial and "illegal" vaccinations of America's armed forces.

Over a thousand members of all branches of the military have refused the risky shots and been punished with fines, jail terms and dishonourable discharges. Many veteran pilots have quit rather than submit to the inoculations.

In a letter to both Rumsfeld and Acting Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Commissioner, Dr. Bernard Schwartz, Blumenthal blasted the use of an "investigational drug" on service members without their informed consent. He cited the case of two outstanding Connecticut Air National Guard pilots, MAJ Russell Dingle and MAJ Tho-

mas "Buzz" Rempfer. Both resigned in 1999 rather than take the shots. "Why should these officers put either their health or their careers at risk?" Blumenthal asked.

"The United States Government so far has refused to recognize or appreciate the DANGER and the personal dilemma is imposing on its military personnel, despite repeated concerns expressed about administering an unlicensed drug never proven safe or effective for humans.

"Unfortunately, and directly contrary to law, the shots are being administered to military personnel under threat of imprisonment, loss of pay and discharge," Blumenthal wrote. "In effect, the military is forcing its personnel to serve as human guinea pigs for an unlicensed drug that has not been proven to be safe or effective."

Citing testimony given before the House Subcommittee on Government Reforms, the Connecticut attorney said "for years the FDA and the military KNEW that the Anthrax shots could not be used in this manner. Suddenly in 1997, DOD and the FDA, with no change in the facts of the law, reversed themselves and with the stroke of a pen, wiped out the protections afforded our members of the Armed Services by clearing the way for DOD's mandatory mass inoculations."•

Courtesy The Jubilee, Box 310 Midpines CA 95345 USA

AFTER THE CAMP

While we were disappointed in the fact that not all who had said they were coming to the camp showed up, It was still a very enjoyable camp according to those who were there. With Lawrence, Arnold and Jerry, getting together it was said it was almost like a pastors conference.

From the opening of the camp on Friday morning by Robert onwards, a lot of ideas went backwards and forwards, and those listening in to the conversations around the table learnt much from doing so. The most unfortunate part seems to be the fact that a week is soon over, and everyone has to go their own way again; although most agreed that we should all be closer together to meet more often than just yearly. Concern was expressed over the shortage of young adults for suitable marriage partners. Those on the mailing list with young adult children need to be encouraged that the next generation will continue in their footsteps, and not wander back into a Judeo-church just because there may be other young adults there! Lawrence touched on this subject also, in his series on *The Life Of Abraham*; with Abraham sending Eliezer his servant to find a wife for Isaac. In all, Lawrence presented 5 messages, the first of which was his personal testimony, and how he came into Identity from a Baptist missionary background.

His wife Sandi, being a piano teacher, thrilled us with some of her music. Both during some of the concert performances, and outside of them. Some items were spur-of-the-moment decisions, like Sandi and Lisa playing "*When Peace Like A River*" on piano and organ together.

Arnold Kennedy also gave his personal testimony and further spoke on "Is Israel a Servant Race to 'Save the World'?" This is like a follow-on from THE EXCLUSIVENESS OF ISRAEL, which really is must reading for everybody who wants to understand the Scriptures. Arnold was not sure about if or when he will come again, but it is possible, he said, that he may come next year. We hope and pray that he will be able to do that.

Jerry also gave his personal testimony and spoke on Kingdom Intercession/Standing in the Gap and Kingdom Rule. Ralph also gave his testimony and spoke on Temptation. A major highlight was the birth of Caleb on Sunday. See Katherine at the camp before and after the birth! See separate sheet for details. God bless and keep you,

