



# Christian Identity Ministries

PO Box 146, CARDWELL QLD 4849, Australia

h: 07-4066 0146 Fax: 07-4066 0226 (International 61-7 instead of 07)

“Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; For He hath visited and redeemed *His* people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he swore to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives.” Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian people are *ISRAEL!*

#195

Newsletter

June AD2002

## THAT UNFORTUNATE WORD “GENTILE”

by Arnold Kennedy

When we establish the exclusive nature of Israel as being a *holy* (set apart) race among all the other races of this globe, we find conflicts with the common belief about “Jews and Gentiles.” The common teaching is that “The Jews” are Israel and the “Gentiles” are everyone else. The two views are against each other and cannot equate to All Israel and that some “Gentiles” may be Israelites in Scripture. Because the traditional teaching is so ingrained in commentaries, concordances, Bible dictionaries, books and in people’s minds, it is very hard for anyone brought up with this belief to shake it off. Accordingly we will make an examination of both the words “Jews” and “Gentiles” as used in Scripture.

That there are two parties in the New Testament does not mean to say the two parties have to be Jews and Gentiles in the way that this is taught. Rather than that, the existence of the two parties confirms what is taught in the Law, the Psalms and the Prophets about the division of Israel into two kingdoms from which arose the House of Israel [ten tribes] and the House of Judah [two tribes]. These two houses are shown in prophecy to be a continual vexation to each other, with a wall of partition between them, until they are reconciled together under the New Testament [Isaiah 11:13].

### WHERE DID “GENTILE” COME FROM?

This word, GENTILE, originated from the Latin Vulgate translation, where the Roman doctrine said that the Roman Church had become the Israel of the Bible. Even more recently, Pope Pius XI reinforced this saying, “Spiritually, we Christians are Semites.” The inference of the word, Gentile, in the Roman Catholic context is one who is not of Rome. In the English translations that were based upon the Latin Vulgate, this Latin word has carried on with a similar meaning but instead of meaning ‘not of Rome’ it has come to mean ‘not of Israel.’ In the minds of those to whom Rome and Israel were synonymous, there was no difference, to be of the Roman Catholic Church was to belong to and be part of Israel. Rome accommodated all races who could buy citizenship. Rome calls herself a Universal (Catholic) church with a universal Pontiff and is the originator of both modern and ancient universalism in the Christian religion. (If there is anything that binds the Protestant and Roman Catholic churches together, it is their Universalism - that all races can be saved, CIM)

But unfortunately, translators have transliterated this Latin word, ‘Gentile,’ into their versions, and it has carried forward even into recent translations. By transliterating the Latin form, it has allowed scope for the idea that it referred to Roman and non-Roman to continue. Switch the “Roman” to “Israel” [because Rome said she was Israel] and we then find how Rome expressed the two parties as “Israel” and “non-

Israel.” This has continued even to this day. This doctrine has found its way into commentaries and Bible dictionaries and through these media, most Christians are still influenced.

The Latin meaning of Gentiles is confusing in its own right - it does not mean ‘nations.’ The Latin noun *gen* means ‘a nation’ and is equivalent to [the Greek] *ethnos*. However, the word *gentiles* does not come from the noun but from the adjective, *gentilis*, which means *of* or *belonging to* a nation. In his epistles Paul does not write to nations as a whole, but to individuals within, or *belonging to* other nations. As all his writings are to Israelites, he uses *ethnos* to refer to his outcast kinsmen of Israel because that is how they were addressed in the Old Testament Scriptures - Gen 19:4-6; Gen 18:18; Deut 32:41 (the “with” is not in the Hebrew text); Psa 22:27,28; Psa 57:9; Psa 67:4; Psa 81:8; Psa 108:3; Psa 117:1; Isa 5:26; Isa 11:12; Isa 34:1; Jer 1:5,10. The Latin distorts and obscures these facts and we need to check its context every time it appears in the text.

### HOW “GENTILE” IS MISUSED

In both the Hebrew and the Greek there is no word even approaching the way “gentile” is used today. In the concordances we can see the influences of the religious teaching of the day and age where the Roman influence is manifest.

Strong H1471 *Gowy* or *goi* [*goyim* Pl]: a foreign nation, hence a gentile, also a troop of animals, or a flight of locusts, heathen.

Strong G1484 *Ethnos* [*Ethne* Pl]: a race (as of the

### IN THIS ISSUE:

That Unfortunate Word “Gentile,” Kennedy, .....	1
Invasion of The Pod People, Abshire, .....	5
It’s About Time: The Sabbaths, pt 3, de Witt, .....	6
Editorial Comments, Sandlin, .....	10
The Way I See It: The New Racism, .....	12
Utah town says “NO to U.N.”! New, .....	13
Playing At Your Local Theater: Bestiality, .....	13

The views and opinions expressed in the articles herein or herewith are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIM. They are written by fallible men. You must ask Jesus to guide your studies!

same) habit, that is, a tribe; spec. a foreign [non-Judean] one, (usually by impl. pagan) gentile, heathen, nation, people.

We must remember that concordances give USAGE rather than DEFINITIONS but within these we can see part of the true meaning like *of the same habit* and *tribe*. The lexicons are more definitive.

Thayer: A multitude [whether of men or beasts] associated or living together ... of the same nature or genus.

Vine: Denotes firstly a multitude or company, then a multitude of people of the same nature or genus. It is used in the singular of the Jews for example, Luke 7:5, Luke 23:2; John 11:48, 50-52.

Vine goes on to show that *Gentile* is used in Scripture of both Jews and non-Jews. [Strong and Vine use the word "Jew" for "Israel" following the understanding of the periods].

### HOW THE HEBREW AND GREEK WORDS ARE TRANSLATED

It is time to look at the words translated as Gentile in the KJV translation of the Bible and immediately something strange will be seen:

**Hebrew: gowy, goi, goyim:**

374 times as *nations*

143 times as *heathen*

30 times as *gentile(s)*

11 times as *people*.

**Greek: ethnos, ethnē:**

64 times as *nations*

5 times as *heathen*

93 times as *gentile(s)*

2 times as *people*

In a later chapter, *Galatians and Israel Exclusive* (see *Exclusiveness of Israel* by Eliel, #714 @ \$19.95 posted), we will look at the "Greeks." In the original text the word *Hellen* is used thirty-five times, but our translators have chosen to translate this word (wrongly) as "gentile," particularly in the Book of Romans. *Ethnos* and *Hellen* are quite different words! Sometimes the justification is to say that the Greeks were not Jews and therefore they must be Gentiles. This is not translating; rather it is *interpreting* Scripture in the translations. There is no rhyme nor reason for all these various translations and mis-translations, other than to perpetuate a belief!

The commonly accepted meaning of the word "gentile" immediately falls flat from the translation point of view alone. When we add the fact that the word in Hebrew is used also of Israel it falls even flatter! When we show the real meaning from the New Testament, it becomes so flat that it cannot be seen! The Hebrew and Greek words mean "nations" as races and peoples. They mean any group of a common origin, **including Israel!**

Let us look at some Old Testament Scriptures where the word *Gowy*, *Goi*, or *Goyim* are used. If we apply the logic concerning Gentiles for these verses, we can see the ridiculous conclusions that could be reached. Remember that *Goi* and *Ethnos* are used of Israel as well as of other races.

Gen. 12:1,2: *Now the Lord said unto Abram ... and I will make of thee a great nation ...*

Gen 17:5: *... a father of many nations have I made thee.*

Did God make a great non-Israel "gentile" nation out of Abraham? Did Abraham father many Gentiles? Was the great nation other than Israel? How about if they had translated that "heathen"? Then it would read that God said: *I will make of thee (Abraham) a great heathen.*

Gen 25:23, *And the Lord said unto her (Rebecca), Two nations are in thy womb ...*

Could Rebecca have what would become two non-Israel "gentiles" in her womb? Or with the concept of *Gentile*

meaning *non-Jew*, "**Two non-Jews are in thy womb...**"

Gen 48:19, *... and his seed shall become a multitude of nations.*

There is no evidence in Scripture that Ephraim would produce a lot of non-Israelites.

Gen 46:3, *And he said, I am God, the God of thy father (Isaac) fear not to go down into Egypt; for I will there make of thee a great (Gentile, heathen, non-Jew?) nation.*

Could the sons of Jacob be non-Israel "gentiles"?

Jer. 31:36, *If those ordinances [the sun and the moon] depart from before me, saith the Lord, then the seed of Israel shall also cease from being a nation before me for ever.*

As the word for "nation" is the same as that translated "gentile," we could equally read **the seed of Israel shall not cease from being Gentiles before Me for ever**. We could even say Israel would not cease from being heathen! This is absurd!

When we consider the word *ethnos*, which is sometimes translated "gentiles" in the New Testament, we have another block of translations among which we could make transpositions. The consequences are equally absurd!

Luke 7:5, *For he loved our nation, and has built for us a synagogue.* (note that synagogue is NOT a Jewish place of worship - it means an assembly, the meeting or the place, CIM).

Would that section of Judeans be pleased if the Centurion had built a synagogue for the so-called Gentiles, or the heathen? "Nation" is the word *ethnos*.

Luke 23:2, *We found this fellow perverting the nation, Would "The Jews" care so much if Jesus was perverting the "Gentiles"?*

John 11:48, *... the Romans shall come and take away both our place and nation.*

For the Romans to come to Judea and take away "our" gentiles, gets a little silly.

John 11:49-50, *Ye know nothing at all, nor consider that it is expedient for us, that one man should die for the people, and that the whole nation perish not.*

Caiaphas did not know that this word *ethnos* would be translated as gentile and heathen and note he used "nation" in the singular. Jesus did die for the sheep which the Father had given Him and only that many. He gave Himself a ransom for many; but not every race on earth. It has been explained that the Law and the Covenants were given to the seed of Israel only.

Acts 10:22, *Cornelius ... of good report among all the nation of the Jews ...*

"Nation" is *ethnos* which is often translated as "gentiles," so could we possibly have "Gentiles of the Jews"?

Acts 24:17, *...I came to bring alms to my nation and offerings.*

Here Paul would be saying that he brought alms to his "gentiles" in Jerusalem. Paul was an Israelite. We just have to admit that there is no such word in all of Scripture which matches up with the common acceptance of the word "gentile." We can now see that *goi* and *ethnos* can mean both Israelites and non-Israelites.

Some teachers who admit to *goi* and *ethnos* being used of Israel declare that in the singular they refer to Israel and in the plural they refer to all the non-Israel nations. *Galilee of the Gentiles* in Matthew 4:15 is said to refer to "gentiles" because it is plural. When we make a



comparison with Acts 1:11, *ye men of Galilee*, and Acts 2:7, *are not all that speak Galileans?* is has to be admitted that the disciples were Israelites even if they were from Galilee.

### POPULAR THEOLOGY ABOUT "GENTILES" ... IS IT RIGHT?

We have already made comment on the origin of the word "gentile." We have pointed out that there appears to be no evidence that the Apostles could properly distinguish between Israelites and non-Israelites in the nations, to which they went. Hence the message had to be taken to the nations in order for the message to reach "all men" of the descendants of the outcast Israelites. These men had the capacity to believe God and so could accept the 'good news' and be reinstated as God's people. But the Roman error was picked up and it has come to prevail. Luther, Knox, Calvin and Wesley together with cult leaders such as William Miller, accepted the error. Of course, the originator, the arch-cult-type, the Roman Catholic Church keeps on its unchanging doctrine. But she is the one with whom the kings of the earth have committed fornication and by whom they have been deceived through her sorceries [Rev 17:2 and Rev 18:23]. It was Rome who originated the error in doctrine.

But we are told to come out of her *my people* [Rev 18:4]. This is the time to come out (when Babylon falls, and it is falling! Rev 18:1-2). God's chosen people are warned to come out of all of Rome's doctrines, including Rome's universalism! Multitudes today are going Rome's way. What religion leads the ex-communist states? What is sweeping the earth? But the great whore will be cast down; God has so decreed, and none need be partakers of her plagues. Who rejoices when Babylon is cast down? Is it not the holy (set apart) apostles and prophets? [Rev 18:20]. One has to come out to be *set-apart*! The Faithful and True will come to judge and make war on that false prophet Rome [Rev 19:11]. The "wife" must get ready. It is the **saints [Israel]** by Bible definition - see Psalm 148:1] who wear the white linen [Rev 19:8]. The voice from out of the Throne addresses His servants. This is why time was taken in Chapter Two to establish clearly just who is the servant race and who are the saints in Scripture. They are the ones who have the right to enter the city through those twelve gates. Would there be much point in mentioning this if every race went through those gates?

Rev 21:12, *And a wall great and high, and had twelve gates, and at the gates twelve angels, and names written thereon, which are the names of the twelve tribes of the children of Israel.*

Rev 21:27, *And there shall in no wise enter into it anything that defileth, neither whatsoever worketh abomination, or maketh a lie: but they which are written in the Lamb's book of life.*

Who works the abomination in doctrine? Is it not the *mother of harlots and abominations*? Who spreads the doctrine of universalism? Who originated it? The meaning of *Catholicism* is universalism! Search the Scriptures and see which race is the only race written in the Book of Life!

### THE KINSMAN-REDEEMER

JESUS IS THE REDEEMER OF KINSMEN. This is another view some take. If anyone believes the *go ye into all the world* and *Jesus died to save the world* doctrine in the way Rome interprets *the world*, then that person cannot believe that He [Jesus] is our [that is, Israel's] Kinsman-

Redeemer. At the Second Advent Jesus will ignore those who are not His kinsmen.

### TO WHOM DID THE APOSTLE PAUL WRITE?

In our second chapter, *The Exclusive Nature of Israel in the New Testament*, [Exclusiveness #714 @ \$19.95] many New Testament Scriptures were quoted to show that the Apostle Paul wrote to Israelites and that he could not have been writing to anyone else.

Gal 2:7, *... the gospel of the uncircumcision was committed unto me, as the gospel of the circumcision was unto Peter.*

Rom 11:13, *For I speak unto you Gentiles, inasmuch as I am the apostle of the Gentiles, ...*

It is important to remember that the word translated as "gentiles," in these verses is *ethnos* in Romans and *hellen* in Galatians. *Ethnos* refers to Israelites by the same term that applied to them in the Old Testament. *Hellen* will be discussed in the chapter on *Galatians and Israel Exclusive*. Everyone who has been taught that the Gentiles are always non-Israel does experience difficulty in "unlearning."

This is understandable, because this doctrine is what theology has taught; this is written into translations in a way which makes unlearning difficult. Now we can look at some other Scriptures from the New Testament that show Israel as the only people being addressed.

Acts 10:36, *The word which God sent unto the children of Israel, preaching (proclaiming) peace by Jesus Christ.*

Acts 10:43, *To Him give all the prophets witness, that through his name, whosoever believeth in Him shall receive remission of sins.*

Acts 13:23, *Of this man's seed hath God according to his promise raised up unto Israel a Saviour, Jesus.*

Acts 13:32,33, *... how the promise which was made unto the fathers, God hath fulfilled the same unto us their children. ...*

Here we see direct Scriptures that are particular and exclusive. In Chapter Two, many such scriptures were pointed out. We also have a *whosoever* to which all the prophets of Israel give witness. Now, in the Old Testament books, to what *whosoever* does the Redeemer of Israel come? Is it *whosoever* of Israel as the prophets say, or is it the *whosoever* of every race as translators think it should say? A positive decision has to be made!

Someone might be thinking, Yes, but there are still two parties. This problem completely disappears when we take note of the historical fact that Israel separated into two Kingdoms and became known in prophecy as:

[a] The House of Israel [10 tribes]

[b] The House of Judah [2 tribes]

Subsequently, both Kingdoms went into captivity in Assyria and Babylon, respectively. Following the captivities, all of the 12 tribes (except for a small remnant) went north and were dispersed among the nations. These became known as the *Dispersion* or *Uncircumcision*. A small remnant of the Babylonian captivity of the Southern Kingdom returned to Palestine and formed the Judean nation. The ruling classes of the Judean nation were dominated by Edomites and their subversion of the Scriptures, the Traditions of the Elders, became the religion of the land. The Judean nation practised circumcision and hence in the Scriptures, are referred to as the Circumcision. Consequently, the New Testament refers to two groups -



the Uncircumcision (the Israelites outside the Judean nation) and the Circumcision (the Israelites inside the Judean nation).

The other uncircumcised races are not included in the uncircumcision, because the sum of the two groups addressed is **all Israel**.

### **JESUS' MINISTRY WAS NOT PRIMARILY TO THE JEWS OR IN JUDEA**

Most people would question this statement without even thinking about it! But let us look at this matter more closely. In the gospels, Jesus makes a clear distinction between Galilee and Judea, the latter being the territory of "The Jews."

John 7:1, *After these things Jesus walked in Galilee: for he would not walk in Jewry, because the Jews sought to kill him.*

John 11:53,54, *Then from that day forth they took counsel together for to put him to death. Jesus therefore walked no more openly among the Jews; but went thence unto a country near to the wilderness, into a city called Ephraim.*

Matt. 19:1, *And it came to pass, that when Jesus had finished these sayings, he departed from Galilee, and came into the coasts of Judea, beyond Jordan.*

Matt 4:12, *Now when Jesus had heard that John was cast into prison, he departed into Galilee* [from Judea].

Acts 9:31, *Then had the churches rest throughout all Judea and Galilee...* (See **Jesus Christ The Galilean** by Sheldon Emry, #111 @ \$590)

The highlighted words show clearly that the two territories are treated differently. There was a clear barrier between the two.

Matt 4:23, *And Jesus went about all Galilee, teaching in their synagogues, and preaching the gospel of the Kingdom.*

Matt 4:15,16, *The land of Zabulon and the land of Nephthalim, by the way of the sea, beyond Jordan, Galilee of the Gentiles, the people which sat in darkness saw great light ...*

The latter verse identifies these Israelites in Galilee and calls them "gentiles"! In the Thompson Chain Reference Bible, the footprints of Jesus are presented graphically on pages 274 and 275 showing that **Galilee** was the major area of Jesus' ministry.

Matt 4:13 *And leaving Nazareth, he came and dwelt in Capernaum ...*

Most Christian seem to think that Jesus dwelt among "The Jews" in Jerusalem, but this is not so. Christians seem to think that Jerusalem was the centre-point of Jesus' teaching ministry. Jesus went to Jerusalem at particular times for particular purposes. His disciples did not appreciate these times about going up to Jerusalem, as Jesus once told them, *Your time is always now, but My time is not yet* [John 7:6]. Jesus fulfilled the Old Testament times exactly regarding the Sabbaths and the Feasts of Israel. Jesus said He knew the exact day of His crucifixion at Jerusalem [Matt 26:2]. He went to Jerusalem on exactly the right day [Nissan 10th] to be chosen by the Israelite people among the population as their King, and He was delivered to become the all-sufficient sacrifice for the redemption of **His people**. Jerusalem was the centre-point where Jesus would fulfil His mission and His Father's Will to be the Passover Lamb **for Israel**. The institution of the Passover Lamb was **only to Israel**.

Across the border from Judea, mention is made of Ephraimites and Galileans [Benjaminites]. Jesus was safe amongst the Israelites in Galilee, whereas **He was not safe amongst the Judeans**. This fulfilled the prophecy made by Moses:

Deut. 33:12, *And of Benjamin he said, the beloved of the Lord shall dwell safely by him; and the Lord shall cover him all the day long, and he shall dwell between his shoulders*

We have seen from Matthew 2:15,16, above that these Israelites in Galilee are called Gentiles. It was Galilee from whence Jesus picked out eleven of His disciples [who were Benjaminites, as also was Paul - a Benjaminite]. Judas, **the Judean**, was the one who betrayed Jesus! Eleven of the disciples were not of "The Jews" and were not of Judah either. When Jesus ascended, the witnesses are described as **Men of Galilee** in Acts 1:11 and Acts 2:7. In Acts 2:22 those addressed were **Men of Israel**, but not "Jews." But whilst addressing the **Men of Israel**,

the disciples soon came up against "The Jews" in the national leadership. The more we look into this matter, the more impossible it becomes to say *The Jews* and the *Men of Israel* refer to the same people. Today most denominations insist that "The Jews" and "Israel" are the same! We read that some of the priesthood believed in Jesus; all were not Edomites or other proselytes. Nicodemus was a *ruler of the Jews* and so was among the leaders. But his counsel was somewhat different as a non-Edomite! Jesus was speaking primarily of the leadership in general when referring to "The Jews." Jesus described these leaders as *hirelings, and not the shepherd, whose own the sheep are not*. Each person in the religious

leadership was *climbing up some other way* and each was a thief and robber [John 10:1]. In Verse 5, Jesus calls them strangers and they are identifiable because of what they were doing as making them different.

#### **COMMENT**

God says that Israel would always be a nation. The word *ethnos* **could not apply to a multi-racial church**. Israel is a separate people of a common racial origin. They would remain a nation [or nations] as long as the sun and the moon are shining [Jer 31:36].

The Hebrew and the Greek words which are sometimes translated "gentile" have both pagan and Israelite connotations. The words *goi* and *ethnos* are used of any group of a common racial origin. The idea that the word refers only to non-Israel people comes from the translators, who took their lead from the Latin Vulgate whose interpretation of "gentile" was one who was not of Rome. This can never mean *not a Jew* in the sense it is given today. There are other words that apply to heathen and barbarians and Paul could have used these to describe non-Israelites if that had been his mind. But he did not! What the word "gentile" has come to mean is not the original meaning and therefore not the true meaning.

It is necessary to point out:

[a] If "The Gentiles" does not mean what we have been taught, then the word "Church" may not mean what tradition teaches either.

[b] If we want to declare that "The Gentiles" are non-Israel, then why does God say something different and

### **New book: CHRISTMAS and EASTER EXPOSED! by Brenton Edwards**

*I challenge all Christians to keep the Word of God and to spread the 'Truth' of His Bible, by exposing 'idol' worship and blasphemous doctrine, which encourages an ungodly walk of life. Disobedience to the laws and commands of Christ Jesus, our King, brings purifying judgment against our nation!*

**#853 @ \$6.50ppd**

(it would have been better without the quote from *The Living Bible*, which is not a Bible but corrupted paraphrase! CIM) Order Now! Limited copies only.



still isolate Israel and Judah from other races?

[c] If any want to say that Israel is now "The Church," called out of every race, then they have a problem understanding the difference between race and nationality. These are not identical. Israel was scattered among the nations, and is regathered *out of* [NOT *of*] them. This means that they are separated from other races.

The Apostle Paul concludes his argument in the Book of Romans by saying:

Rom. 11:26, *And so shall all Israel be saved: as it is written, There shall come out of Sion the Deliverer, and shall turn away all ungodliness from Jacob.*

It is not said that the Deliverer will turn away ungodliness from others as well as from Jacob or that other than all Israel will be saved. We cannot somehow change all races into "Jacob."

The parties that make up *all Israel* are still the House of Israel and the House of Judah. Thus says the Law, the Psalms and the Prophets! Thus says the New Testament also! Therefore, the two groups are not "Jews and non-Jews," or "Jews and Gentiles" in the popular concept.

#### WHAT IS BEING SAID?

This chapter says that the so-called "gentiles" being addressed cannot possibly be other than Israelites. In general, they represent the House of Israel as opposed to the Judean nation. The Bible is a book about the whole nation of Israel and the covenants and promises made to that nation, either as a whole nation or to individual parts of it. The other races are mentioned in the Bible only as they affect Israel.

In the second chapter, we looked at many Scriptures that show the **EXCLUSIVE nature of Israel** through the New Testament. The term "Greeks" will be examined in the chapter *Galatians and Exclusive Israel*.

The popular modern use of "Gentiles" is wrong!

If you don't have a copy, you need to get a copy of **EXCLUSIVENESS OF ISRAEL #714 @ \$19.95ppd** Order Now, and read it!

## THE INVASION OF THE POD PEOPLE

by Rev. Brian Abshire

For the past twenty-odd years (and some of them have been very odd), I have been writing and lecturing about the tragedy, futility and sheer insanity of the broad evangelical church in America. My doctoral dissertation, while on the surface a treatise on the sociological effects of Puritan theology on the formation of distinctive American cultural values, was in reality an attempt to examine academically what I saw personally every Sunday morning: the failure of Modern American Evangelicalism.

### MY GREAT MISCALCULATION

Throughout this study, and all the years of ministering in various churches and organizations, I made a serious, but understandable miscalculation - I assumed that the real problem was ignorance; i.e. that my poor brothers suffered from a truncated and distorted view of the Christian life. If only they could be exposed to a consistent, coherent and fully Biblical worldview, then they would abandon the irrelevant mush they had been fed all their lives and adopt a more Biblical form of the Faith! Personal lives would be transformed, families reformed, the church invigorated, and maybe, just maybe, God might grant us true reformation for the nation.

And, for the past fifteen years, I have tried to find ways around the buzzwords that offend so many, to break down

difficult intellectual concepts into bite-sized chunks that someone without a Ph.D. could understand, and to give people a taste for the ebb and flow of Christian history so they could get a "feel" for what our Reformed ancestors had accomplished. My hope was that once broad evangelical Christians discovered the power of a fully orb'd Christian Faith, they would abandon their defeatist compromises with humanism and join the battle to restore Christian civilization.

And it seems, I failed as often as I succeeded. Oh, many people loved the academics, flocked to my lectures, and really seemed to love a complete Christian worldview, until they realized that something more than simply adopting a new theoretical paradigm was required. It seems that the minute that many "Christians" understood that this new worldview actually required them to change in one area or another, I went from being a hero to a bum! And lest anyone think this is just my problem, please sit down and talk with your favourite pastor, elder, writer or speaker. Repeatedly, the same message comes through: people not only hate, but will actively persecute, men who insist that we have to live in conformity with the Scriptures!

### THE REAL PROBLEM

I suspect that the real problem facing the American church is not a lack of intellectual ammunition, but rather something far deeper and more serious: a lack of regenerate hearts. It is quite possible that the reason why so many "Christians" hate and fear the truth, adopt deviant theology, practice heterodox ethics and mire themselves in subjective religious experiences, is because, at rock bottom, **their hearts have never been regenerated.**

Think with me for a moment, Social scientists have been studying the mechanics of "conversion" for well over a century. Men are converted all the time; cults and pagan groups "convert" men to their godless religions regularly. Other men "convert" to the Communist or Nazi parties, still others to various humanistic philosophies like Darwinism or Naturalism. Men regularly "convert" from a "religious" worldview to a "secular" one: according to some studies, about 70% or more broad evangelical Christian children will do so by the age of 25. One can scientifically study the process wherein a person undergoes a life-changing experience, adopts a new worldview and develops the values, beliefs and behaviour associated with it.

Social scientists, of course, rule out *a priori* [based on theoretical reasoning rather than actual observation] the supernatural; therefore, they attempt to study genuine conversions by the same methodology as they do "naturalistic" ones. Therefore, few Christians have found much interest in examining their research studies because we assume, *a priori*, that God does does supernaturally regenerate wicked hearts. Therefore, the naturalistic mechanics are simply irrelevant because only God can convert a sinner.

However, in effect, the Christian theologian, and the secular social scientist are both correct (from a certain perspective), because they are talking about two different things. Regeneration is a change of heart, while conversion, psychologically speaking, is simply a change of mind.

Admittedly, conversion of the heart should result in the mind's being changed, or in Scriptural terms, "renewed" (cf. Eph 4:23). From the heart of a man flows every other aspect of His being. Therefore, if a man's



heart is changed., so also should his beliefs, values and behaviours (cf. Eph 4:18ff). Therefore, we can and should speak about a “converted life.” But there are conversions, and there are conversions!

In other words, you can change a man’s mind, without necessarily changing his heart. Many well-known, time-tested and effective means of changing a person’s beliefs and behaviours have nothing to do with changing his inner nature; the Chinese proved that to Allied POW’s during the Korean War. Numerous 10-step programs out there do have a remarkable success record. Furthermore, over the past 150 years since the rise of revivalism, there has been a tremendous amount of practical experimentation going on inside the evangelical church to “sell” the gospel; i.e. to get men to make a profession of faith. Then, using principles of conformity behaviour, modelling, etc. the church can then shape and mold a person’s external behaviours to resemble some aspects of Biblical character.

Such a “convert” may well look like the real thing on the outside. He is a person whose social habits will mirror those of his peers. He is likely to find great personal grace and comfort from his private religious experience. He will normally live a self-controlled and respectable life just so long as the social support structures remain to keep him on the “straight and narrow.”

But, he is not really “converted,” because his heart has not been changed. He still thinks from a godless and Christless presuppositional perspective. He does not really value the things of God, nor desire the things of God, because his heart is still spiritually dead. I acknowledge that, for the most part, such a man is better of than his self-consciously consistent pagan peers. He is apt to refrain from drunkenness, immorality and vice. He is likely to hold down a job and provide for his family. Often, he is a “nice” guy (which many people confuse with being godly). But the essence of his life is still his own subjective, religious experience, not submission to Almighty God. [One example of submission to God is to *Tithe* - those who don’t are not in submission]

#### THE REASON FOR APOSTASY

Now, here is the hypothesis for investigation: what if over the past 150 years, especially since the great Baptist and Methodist revivals overturned the Reformed consensus in this country, legions of these unregenerate men have entered the church? Disguised as sheep, they are really goats. Would this not help greatly to understand the widespread apostasy so common in broad Evangelicalism? Think about this: in the opening decades of the twentieth century, every mainline denomination apostatized into heresy by adopting theological liberalism. How could so many different churches, from so many different backgrounds and theological perspectives, all go wrong within just a short time, unless their members were in fact largely unregenerate? [or, there were wolves in their leadership! CIM]

While we cannot read a man’s heart, we can read his fruit; Jesus was quite specific here - bad trees produce bad fruit (Matt 7:17-22). Good trees produce good fruit. Therefore, “*by their fruits you will know them.*” [Tithing is one of those fruits - which those who recognize that they, and everything they have really belongs to God, are willing to do, CIM] And sadly, the modern American evangelical church has produced some fairly nasty fruit over the past 100 years. The most successful churches seem to be the ones most willing to compromise on Biblical truth by offering a

“threat-free” gospel. Immorality runs rampant through many evangelical churches (quietly covered up or ignored by most evangelicals). Many, many, “Christians” hate and fear the Law of God with an unholy passion and are willing to lie, cheat, pervert justice, slander and destroy those with whom they disagree. Too many “Christian” men are spiritual wimps dominated by shrill, acerbic and vicious women, who tear churches apart with frightening regularity.

Let me suggest that these people are not Christians, no matter how “orthodox” their profession may be. They are pod people, like in those cheesy old 50’s movies, where aliens look like, talk like and act like human beings, but are really something nasty and sinister. They are among us, they fellowship with us, sometimes they preach from our pulpits, teach in our seminaries and serve on elder boards. And the pod people threaten the stability, prosperity and future of the church.

I would argue that the key to spotting pod people is whether they really love and obey God’s Law (cf. Mal. 3:10, Jn 14:15,21; I John 3:24, etc). For example, social pressure may keep a man from adultery, theft or murder; but how about those sins that are almost universally practiced in the average church (such as stealing from God)? What about those who gossip, slander, backbite, whisper and bear false witness? Scripture says that they are just as likely to be pod people, as the humanist, the fornicator and the apostate (cf. Rom 1:28-32, 2 Tim 3:1-5, etc). Of course, all Christians sin, but of the Spirit of God has regenerated a person’s heart, then he will repent of that sin. He will not justify, rationalize or deny; he will repent and take the proper corrective action. But these sins go unrebuked, everyday, in churches all across America.

And thus, the pod people continue to tear apart the Church of Jesus with lies, slander, innuendo, creating divisions, factions and schisms. We are so busy dealing with the mess they make of the church that we have no time left for evangelism, discipleship, restoring marriages and families, and doing the work of the ministry. If the church is to survive, flourish and disciple the nations, we will have to start by cleaning out the pod people.

Courtesy Chalcedon Report, Box 158 Vallecito CA 95251-9989

## IT’S ABOUT TIME - THE SABBATHS

**They will Get it Right, One Day, by Adam de Witt  
Chapter 3**

Far too many have claimed (as stated before) that God changed the creation year-teller (calendar) Sabbath system after the outgoing from Egypt to give a new Sabbath system. They then state that in time God hated the post Egypt system, and so upon Jesus’ death the apostles changed this to the Sunday system we now have. All these claims are baseless and founded upon misquotes and/or their own personal church doctrines. Later on we will deal with the churches to see if their Sunday claim and their historical claim that Jesus or the apostles changed things. I’ve already touched on this but a more in-depth look is needed.

Coming back to the 7-day week cycle, it may pay to remind ourselves that the six working days are always six



and never more or less. ... I stress this for when it comes to the double Sabbath day the following working week is not made up of 5 working days as we will see.

EXODUS 30:13-15, "Speak thou also unto the children of Israel, saying, Verily my Sabbaths ye shall keep: for it is a sign between me and you throughout your generations; that ye may know that I am the Lord that doth sanctify you. Ye shall keep the Sabbath therefore; for it is holy unto you: everyone that defileth it shall be surely put to death: for whosoever doth any work therein, that soul shall be cut off from among his people. Six days may work be done: but in the seventh is the Sabbath of rest, holy to the Lord: whosoever doth any work in the Sabbath day, he shall surely be put to death."

Deuteronomy 5:12-14, "Keep the Sabbath day to sanctify it, as the Lord thy God hath commanded thee. Six days thou shalt labour, and do all thy work: But the seventh day is the Sabbath [notice that the seventh day is counted after six days of work, and not "of the week"!!] of the Lord thy God: in it thou shalt not do any work, thou, nor thy son, nor thy daughter, nor thy manservant, nor thy maidservant, nor thy ox, nor thine ass, nor any of thy cattle, nor thy stranger (ger: someone unknown to you, a stranger; guest) that is within thy gates; that thy manservant and thy maidservant may rest as well as thou."

So six working days or six working time-spans. We are then ordered to count seven cycles from the Wave Sheaf Offering, Twosday, the 16th of Barleymonth.

Deuteronomy 16:9-10, "Seven weeks shalt thou number unto thee: begin to number the seven weeks from such time as thou beginnest to put the sickle to the corn. And thou shalt keep the Feast of Weeks unto the Lord thy God with a tribute of a freewill offering of thine hand, which thou shalt give unto the Lord thy God, according as the Lord thy God hath blessed thee."

Note:- Corn spoken of in the Bible was really Barley and they still call it corn in England and the European countries (koren). What we call 'corn' today came from the American continent and was grown by the North American mongoloids, it was not grown in Europe and the Bible lands until after Columbus went to America. Our 'corn' is still called 'maize' today in Europe.

Leviticus 23:15, "And ye shall count unto you from the morrow after the Sabbath, from the day that ye brought the sheaf of the wave offering; seven Sabbaths shall be complete."

After the 15th of Barleymonth the Lord lays out the next seven weekly Sabbaths nicely in a row telling us exactly what day to start counting on. The big difference is they fall on certain days of the month, not days of the week as we have been led to believe.

Deuteronomy 16:9, "Seven weeks shalt thou number unto thee; begin to number the seven weeks from such time as thou beginnest to put the sickle to the corn.' (16th of Barleymonth - the day to begin harvest).

This ties in many scriptures, for the 16th of Abib (a work day), comes after the Sabbath of Abib 15th. We begin our count on Barleymonth 16th being the first day of the cycle. So by counting seven days, this brings us to the 22nd Barleymonth (first Sabbath). Then seven more days to the 29th (the second Sabbath), and so on through to Sivan 4th, which is the 49th day of the cycle. The bloommonth (Sivan), the

day of Pentecost being the 50th day. The fourth and fifth of Bloommonth (Sivan) being a Sabbath forty-eight hours long!

God gave us a second witness to His way of Bible counting as to the 50th day, which He also used in counting to the 50th year, His Jubilee year. Count seven Sabbath year cycles (7 X 7), the last thereof being the 49th year and the 50th year being the Jubilee year. However, the Jubilee year started halfway through the normal year, or in the seventh month [like our financial year relates to our calendar year] This way the pattern of 10 Jubilees equals 490 years in maintained. Likewise, after the Feast of Weeks (the 49th day after Barleymonth 16th) and the feast of Pentecost (meaning 'Feast of the 50th day'), there is a six day working block, and not a five day working block. The pattern clearly shows that the aim is not to create a short working week. That is why, on the year-teller, I have clearly called the first day of the double rest the 'Feast of Weeks' (called so due to counting seven weeks) which

falls on Onesday the 4th of Bloommonth. Because the double day is deemed one rest (or Sabbath), it is a double Onesday. This then allows for a new 6 day working block. Leviticus 23:16 shows that the feast of the Fiftieth day (Pentecost) is most surely a Sabbath.

Leviticus 23:16, "Even unto the morrow after the seventh Sabbath shall ye number fifty days: and ye shall offer a new meat offering unto the Lord." ... See also ...

Deuteronomy 16:9-10, "Seven weeks thou shalt number unto thee: begin to number the seven weeks from such time as thou beginnest to put the sickle to the corn. And thou shalt keep the Feast of Weeks unto the Lord thy God with a tribute of a freewill offering in thine hand,

which thou shalt give unto the Lord thy God, according as the Lord thy God hath blessed thee."

So Leviticus 23:16 tells us that day 50 is a rest and Deuteronomy 16:9-10 tells us that day 49 is also a rest. Day 49 is the Feast of Weeks. Day 50 is the feast of the 50th day, or Pentecost. Again, look at ...

Leviticus 23:15-17 (Proves 7 weekly Sabbaths in a row and double feast) "And ye shall count unto you from the morrow after the Sabbath, from the day that ye brought the sheaf of the wave offering; seven Sabbaths shall be complete. Even unto the morrow after the seventh Sabbath shall ye number fifty days; and ye shall offer a new meat offering unto the Lord. Ye shall bring out of your habitations two wave loaves of two tenth deals: they shall be of fine flour; they shall be baked with leaven; they are the firstfruits unto the Lord." And also ...

Leviticus 23:21, "That ye shall proclaim on the self-same day that it may be an holy convocation unto you: ye shall do no servile work therein; it shall be a statute for ever in all your dwellings throughout your generations."

The double Onesday, Feast of Weeks (49th day) and Feast of Pentecost (50th day) forwards the Sabbath cycle by one day for the rest of the year. This happens every year.

#### Chapter 4 Churches Dumped The Feasts

If these feasts were to end with Jesus' resurrection, then why is it that the apostles held the Feast of Weeks and the Feast of Pentecost for years to come? They also held the other main feast, namely the Feast of Booths ...

Exodus 34:22, "And thou shalt observe the Feast of

#### New Tapes:

S-901 Prophecy, Power, Destruction and Deliverance, Pt 13

S-902 Prophecy, Power, Destruction and Deliverance, Pt 14

S-904 A Tale of Two Cities (Twin-Towers Terrorist Act)

S-906 Funeral Service for Pastor Earl Jones. (all by Ps Pete Peters)

AC-2101 The Importance of Humour  
Ken Anderson. *The Bible tells us a merry heart is good medicine.*

AC-2102 The Politics of health  
Ken Anderson. *There is good news in this area.*



Weeks, of the firstfruits of wheat harvest, and the Feast of Ingathering at the years end.”

Exodus 23:16, “And the Feast of Harvest, the firstfruits of thy labours, which thou hast sown in the field: and the Feast of Ingathering, which is in the end of the year, when thou hast gathered in thy labours out of the field.”

These are all Sabbaths which Jesus kept and His apostles too. So 50 days after Jesus’ resurrection, after the disciples had been fully briefed and given enough understanding to be the inspired writers of the Gospels, they went and held the Feast of Pentecost.

Acts 2:1, “And when the day of Pentecost was fully come they were all with one accord in one place.”

You’d think that **if** the resurrection ended God’s Sabbaths, the apostles would have known better and dumped the feasts as the churches have. But what’s more, God went and anointed them on that day too, as if to say, God carried on honouring the feasts that the churches dumped. Well some may say, Yes, but that was the last time because then they got holy ghost [or mentality of separation] and from thence the feasts were ended. But really? For in ...

Acts 20:16, “For Paul had determined to sail by Ephesus because he would not spend the time in Asia, for he hasted ... to be at Jerusalem (for) the day of Pentecost.”

This is 60 AD, 27 years after the resurrection of Jesus. Again in 59 AD Paul writes ...

1 Corinthians 16:8, “But I will stay at Ephesus until Pentecost.”

These are the Sabbaths, for all Sabbaths are feasts and days of fellowship that the early church claims the apostles changed! In turn, the Catholic church followed that line of reasoning as did the Protestant churches and the laymen churches of the 19th and 20th ages (centuries). These were the selfsame feasts that the early church fathers said were no good. So they are all wrong. Just how wrong we’ll look into later. So both the Sunday mob and the Saturday mob **do not** hold the Sabbaths as shown above. They both follow another tradition. They are **all** Sabbath breakers.

The harvest feast ‘at the end of the year’ is in Fallmonth or Harvestmonth and is the seventh month. From this alone we can see another cycle of sevens.

### Chapter 5 Five Days More

God ordered each month to be 30 days, but because the year is not 12 X 30 (namely 360 days), but 365 and a bit, ... another 5 days are added in. But not like the Egyptians who added the 5 at the year’s end. God ordered that the first day of Fallmonth (the 7th month), had to start the same way the year started, namely, Onesday had to be the first day of the month, and, it also had to be a Sabbath. In order to do this 3 days were added to the foregoing month, Raspmonth, otherwise it’s last week would be 3 days short. We see on the year-teller that Raspmonth the 27th falls on a Sabbath, Onesday. So the 30th is a Foursday. This means that Fivesday, Sixesday and Sevensday would be missing lest 3 days were put in. This allows the new month to kick in on a Onesday the 1st. Thus Raspmonth has 33 days.

The last month of the year, Sowmonth, follows the same pattern as does Raspmonth. In both cases the seventh month and the first month have to begin on a Onesday the first. Because the year is not a neat 365 days, we see that the 12th month is 32 days long. These two days more means that the year ends with a neat and tidy seven day cycle and the new year begins very neatly with Onesday the first. This is a

most nifty year-teller, one that a Caesar of the world’s greatest classical empire, Julian could not beat, nor a church head, Pope Gregory. Yet we hear church ministers, even Identity ministers say, “Jesus did away with His Father’s perfect year-teller in order for us to have a Papal one ...” .. and ... pigs can fly.

To back the statement that the 7th month had to begin on a Onesday the first, we read this;

Leviticus 23:23-25, “And the Lord spake unto Moses, saying, Speak unto the children of Israel, saying, In the seventh month, in the first day of the month, ye shall have a Sabbath, a memorial of blowing of trumpets, an holy convocation. Ye shall do no servile work therein: but ye shall offer an offering made by fire unto the Lord.”

Numbers 29:1, “And in the seventh month, on the first day of the month, ye shall have an holy convocation: ye shall do no servile work: it is a day of blowing the trumpets unto you.”

Ezra 3:6, “From the first day of the seventh month began they to offer burnt offerings unto the Lord, but the foundation of the temple of the Lord was not yet laid.”

Nehemiah 8:1-2, “And all the people were gathered together as one man to the street which is before the water gate, and they spoke to Esdras the scribe, to bring the book of the law of Moses, which the Lord had commanded to Israel. Then Esdras the priest brought the law before the congregation of both men and women, and all that could hear with understanding upon the first day of the seventh month.”

Nehemiah 8:9-11, “And Nehemiah, which is the Tirshtha, and Ezra the priest the scribe, and the Levites that taught the people, said unto all the people, This day is holy unto the Lord your God; mourn not, nor weep. For all the people wept, when they heard the words of the law. Then he said unto them, Go your way, eat the fat, and drink the sweet, and send portions unto them for whom nothing is prepared: for this day is holy unto our Lord; neither be ye sorry; for the joy of the Lord is your strength. So the Levites stilled all the people, saying, Hold your peace, for the day is holy; neither be ye grieved.”

Well, that’s pretty clear, I guess ... that the seventh month began on a Onesday, the first of the month. These laws see to it that the Sabbath cycle stays intact every week, every month, every year and that the first day of the year and the first day of the 2nd half of the year always fall upon a Sabbath, without altering the Sabbath cycle! Try and work out a better year-teller!

In the seventh month another set of feasts begin to mirror in part the cycle of the first half of the year. The second half of the year (due also to the very meanings of its feasts) needs to be a mirror of the first half of the year, but on a higher level. It is as it were a new beginning which led to the idea that it began on a secondary new years day.

Leviticus 23:26-31, “And the Lord spake to Moses, saying, Also on the tenth day of this seventh month there shall be a day of atonement: it shall be a holy convocation unto you, and ye shall afflict your souls, and offer an offering made by fire unto the Lord. And ye shall do no work in that same day: for it is a day of atonement, to make an atonement for you before the Lord your God. For whatever soul it be that shall not be afflicted in that

### Last Few Copies:

What Every Christian Should Know About the AIDS Epidemic

Franklin E. Payne, Jr., M.D.

Christian! You should understand the AIDS epidemic because it is the living reality and tragedy of Romans 1 - a society whose leaders not only have abandoned God’s ways, but who “give hearty approval” to sinful life-styles. This book is an amazing balance of Biblical and medical facts that will give you that understanding.

listed at \$15.85

**NOW, Last copies #712 @ \$9 posted**





same day, he shall be cut off from among his people. And whatever soul it be that doeth any work in that same day, the same soul will I destroy from among his people. Ye shall do no manner of work: it shall be a statute forever throughout your generations."

The day of atonement/day of choosing or covering, being the 10th of Fallmonth, falls on Threesday. This mirrored what takes place in Barleymonth on the 10th. The folk are ordered to find a lamb. In today's terms, I guess we could say shopping for provisions for the upcoming feast. The difference with the 10th of Fallmonth is that this is an ordered rest-day from work, almost midweek, yet they were still to seek a lamb, not one out in the field, but the 'Christ in them' and choose whom to follow: their heart or the Lamb in them.

Leviticus 25:9, "Then shalt thou cause the trumpet of the Jubilee to sound on the tenth day of the seventh month, in the day of atonement shall ye make the trumpet sound throughout all your land."

So it is taken as a given that the folk have repented and chosen to follow the true Lamb. With this change of heart they can then cry for a Jubilee.

Numbers 29:7, "And ye shall have on the tenth day of the seventh month an holy convocation; and ye shall afflict your soul: ye shall not do any work therein."

Leviticus 16:29-34, "And this shall be a statute forever unto you, that in the seventh month, on the tenth day of the month, ye shall afflict your soul, and do no work at all, whether it be one of your own country, or a stranger (ger = stranger, guest - someone unknown. This has nothing to do with people of other races!!!) that sojourneth among you; For on that day shall the priest make an atonement for you, to cleanse you, that ye may be clean from all your sins [transgressions of God's Law] before the Lord. It shall be a Sabbath of rest unto you, and ye shall afflict your souls, by a statute forever. And the priest, whom he shall anoint, and whom he shall consecrate to minister in the priest's office in his father's stead, shall make the atonement, and shall put on linen clothes, even the holy garments: and he shall make an atonement for the holy sanctuary, and he shall make an atonement for the tabernacle of the congregation, and for the altar, and he shall make an atonement for the priests, and for all the people of the congregation. And this shall be an everlasting statute unto you, to make an atonement for the children of Israel for all their sins once a year. And he did as the Lord commanded Moses."

The day of covering which the "Jews" hold (on the wrong day for that matter), is to ask for forgiveness for all their wrong doings in the coming year - they ask for forgiveness before they commit the wrongs. This is known as the *Kol Nidre*. Typically they miss the point, but a rotten fig was not meant to bear fruit, just as Jesus said. The day was really meant as a means for us to forgive others as typified in the Lord's prayer. For in order to be forgiven, we need to forgive when we are asked for forgiveness. Once we reach that understanding we can then get ready for the next feast which is designed to symbolize the wedding feast between Jesus and the body with His bride (the cleaned church). The Jews can thus not go to that next level as they miss the mark. On a historical level, this feast has not yet been fulfilled.

filled. So how on earth can this be then written off as the churches and some Identity preachers claim? This is when Jesus fully dwells in us, we in Him, when we are in His booth. 'Booth' is from the Hebrew word 'Beth', meaning house. In this case the house of the Lord. Hence the feast is called the Feast of Booths (Tabernacles).

Leviticus 23:33-44, "And the Lord spake unto Moses saying, Speak unto the children of Israel, saying, The fifteenth day of this seventh month shall be the feast of tabernacles (feast of booths) for seven days unto the Lord. On the first day shall be an holy convocation: ye shall do no servile work therein. Seven days ye shall offer an offering made by fire unto the Lord: on the eighth day shall be an holy convocation unto you; and ye shall offer an offering made by fire unto the Lord: it is a solemn assembly; and ye shall do no servile work therein. These are the feasts of the Lord, which ye shall proclaim to be holy convocations, to offer an offering made by fire unto the Lord, a burnt offering, and a meat offering, a sacrifice, and drink offerings, every-

thing upon his day: Beside(\*) the Sabbaths of the Lord, and beside your gifts, and beside all your vows, and beside all your freewill offerings, which ye give unto the Lord. Also in the fifteenth day of the seventh month, when ye have gathered in the fruit of the land, ye shall keep a feast unto the Lord seven days: on the first day shall be a Sabbath, and on the eighth day shall be a Sabbath. And ye shall take you on the first day the boughs of goodly trees, branches of palm trees, and the boughs of thick trees, and willows of the brook; and ye shall rejoice before the Lord your God seven days. And ye shall keep it a feast unto the Lord seven days on the year. It shall be a statute for ever in your generation: Ye shall celebrate it in the seventh month. Ye shall dwell in booths seven days; all that are Israelites born shall dwell in booths: that your generations may know that I made the children of Israel to dwell in booths, when I brought them out of the land of Egypt: I am the Lord your God. And Moses declared unto the children of Israel the feasts of the Lord."

(\*) Note:- In vs 38 above, the word "beside" = Strong's #904, which means "a part of the body." Anyone can see that these feast days are part of the body of God's weekly Sabbaths. These feasts belong to us and our King and our Father. So we had better understand what the rituals are teaching for they show what God is doing in the world.

### FEAST OF BOOTHES

Numbers 29:12, "And on the fifteenth day of the seventh month ye shall have a holy convocation; ye shall do no servile work, and ye shall keep a feast unto the Lord seven days."

Ezekiel 45:25, "In the seventh month, in the fifteenth day of the month, shall he do the like in the feast of the seven days, according to the sin offering, according to the burnt offering, and according to the meat offering, and according to the oil."

Deuteronomy 16:13-16, "Thou shalt observe the feast of tabernacles (or booths) seven days, after that thou hast gathered in thy corn and thy wine: And thou shalt rejoice in thy feast, thou, and thy son, and thy

### **New Tapes: (camp tapes prefixed AC-)** **Please note that Camp tapes will NOT be listed in future catalogs. So ... keep your newsletters or make a note of the tapes!**

AC-2103 **How to Be a Non-Survivor**, Kenny Anderson. A different view on the survival mentality. A view the men of God of old took.

AC-2104 **How to Overthrow Ungodly Government** - Kenny Anderson. A message to youth dealing with self-government and turning the world upside down.

AC-2105 **Healing and The Atonement**, Gene Applegate. Isa 53 tells us healing is connected to the crucifying of Jesus.

AC-2106 **Five Steps to Divine Healing**, Gene Applegate. An excellent message from Mark 10.

AC-2107 **Stories**, Fred De Aryan. Fred is from Arkansas and had some interesting stories to tell.



daughter, and thy manservant, and thy maidservant, and the Levite, the stranger, and the fatherless, and the widow, that are within thy gates. Seven days shalt thou keep a solemn feast unto the Lord thy God in the place which the Lord shall choose: because the Lord thy God shall bless thee in all thine increase, and in all the works of thy hands, therefore thou shalt surely rejoice. Three times in a year shall all thy males appear before the Lord thy God in the place which He shall choose: In the feast of unleavened bread, and in the Feast of Weeks, and in the Feast of Booths: and they shall not appear before the Lord empty.” [they shall bring their tithes and offerings]

Numbers 29:12-13, “And on the fifteenth day of the seventh month ye shall have an holy convocation; ye shall do no servile work, and ye shall keep a feast unto the Lord, seven days. And ye shall offer a burnt offering, a sacrifice made by fire, of a sweet savor unto the Lord; thirteen young bullocks, two rams, and fourteen lambs of the first year; they shall be without blemish.”

Nehemiah 8:14-18, “And they found written in the law which the Lord had commanded Moses, that the children of Israel should dwell in booths in the feast of the seventh month: and that they should publish and proclaim in all their cities, and in Jerusalem, saying, Go forth unto the mount, and fetch olive branches, and myrtle branches, and palm branches, and branches of thick trees, to make booths, as it is written. So the people went forth, and brought them, and made themselves booths, everyone upon the roof of his house, and in their courts, and in the courts of the house of God, and in the street of the water gate, and in the street of the gate of Ephraim. And all the congregation of them that were come again out of the captivity made booths, and sat under the booths: for since the days of Joshua the son of Nun unto that day had not the children of Israel done so. And there was very great gladness. Also day by day, from the first day unto the last day, he read in the book of the law of God. And they kept the feast seven days, and on the eighth day was a solemn assembly, according unto the manner.” [note that this year’s camp is actually the week prior to the ‘Feast of Tabernacles,’ but we had to utilize the school holidays]

So all the Feasts/Sabbaths are to be kept. Nothing should have changed in principle, all that takes place in time is a maturing, a growing up, going to a higher level. Sadly, of all the higher levels as represented by the feasts, the churches only went to Passover. That is to say, they understand that bleating lambs were offered to repay our debts for law breaking. They also understand that these were replaced by the real lamb, the Lamb of God, Jesus. We at least in **Identity** acknowledge that this did not wipe out the laws as the churches say, but too many say that the sacrifices were wiped out altogether. This is simply not true, for that would make void Jesus’ sacrifice, as the worth of His sacrifice is ongoing forever, to cover us forever. In recognition thereof we sacrifice our fleshly nature upon our altar, the heart. This is the cutting of the heart nature. For the heart is the altar of our temple. The heart is where all evil comes from which is why we circumcise it daily. Evil does not come from externally, like some literal ‘devil’ or ‘satan.’

#### **PROOF FOR THE HEART BEING THE WELL- SPRING OF WICKEDNESS**

Matthew 15:19, “For out of the heart proceed evil

thoughts, murders, adulteries, fornications, thefts, false witness, blasphemies.”

Mark 7:20-21, “And He said, That which cometh out of the man, that defileth the man. For from within, out of the heart of men, proceed evil thoughts, adulteries, fornications, murders.”

Jeremiah 17:9, “The heart is deceitful above all things, and desperately wicked, who can know it?” (Funny that: the churches say that satan/devil is more wicked than all else. Isn’t that odd? Who is right?)

Genesis 6:5, “And God saw that the wickedness of man was great in the earth, and that every imagination of the thoughts of his heart was only evil continually.”

Hebrews 3:12, “Take heed, brethren, lest there be in any of you an evil heart of unbelief, in departing from the living God.” (For more on the matter of evil, write for our

booklist and catalog of tapes, and listen/read those dealing with (d)evil/satan, etc.)

In closing ... Therefore the sacrificial system did not end, it simply matured, grew up, became

fulfilled. It became more effective, it reached a greater level. So it is with all the feast days, they are not to be wiped out, rather they are to be fulfilled, to reach a higher level. That higher level is so clearly spelt out in Revelation when it comes to the Feast of Booths. John was given the insight of Revelation on the isle of Patmos some 63 years after the resurrection [or was that 63 AD??], way after the so-called changing of the Sabbath/feasts. Revelation clearly follows pat-

terns which follow types given in the Feast of Booths as a means to unfold history. This means, Jesus is using the patterns of the Feast of Booths some 2,000 years after His resurrection. A pattern we are going through right now. That’s not dumping the feast, that’s employing it, that’s making it more effective, more relevant; yes ... fulfilling it. (to be continued.... next time Chapter 6

## **EDITORIAL COMMENTS**

*by Rev P. Andrew Sandlin*  
**THE DIVORCE CULTURE**

The Bible warns us of the grave sin of covenant-breaking (Rom 1:31). The most pervasive example of covenant-breaking in modern society is divorce. The Bible does *not* prohibit divorce without exception; and in some cases, God even *requires* divorce (Ezra 10:3). Apostasy, fornication, witchcraft, abandonment, and murder are (among other sins) warrant for divorce. However, the vast majority of divorces in the United States today are sought on such inconsequential grounds as “incompatibility.” A wise old Christian once remarked, “All partners in every marriage are incompatible; that is the point of a godly marriage - to work together according to the Word and spirit of God to solve the incompatibilities.” Today, even professed Christians are suing for divorce at an alarming rate, and according to some statistics, no less frequently than the pagan world. When Christians take a wedding vow to remain married “until death do us part,” this is precisely how long they are required by God to remain married. Our divorce culture is a flagrant symptom of a depraved covenant-breaking.

[Pastor Earl Jones had been married for 58 years when he passed away, last September 19]

### **FALLACIOUS DISQUALIFICATION FROM**

Christian Identity Ministries - PO Box 146 - CARDWELL QLD 4849



## CHURCH LEADERSHIP

Overcompensation is one of the great errors, and occasionally sins, of humanity. It is particularly prevalent - and lethal - in the church. An example: we live in a culture in which parents, including many professed Christian parents, have woefully abdicated their spiritual responsibilities. Lately a false teaching has been floating around, according to which ministers or elders whose adult children have fallen into some particularly egregious sin, or simply drifted from the church, are considered disqualified from the ministry or eldership and are required to step down. The Bible clearly declares as one qualification to the ministry that a man *"ruleth well his own house, having his children in subjection with all gravity; (For if a man know not how to rule his own house, how shall he take care of the church of God?)"* [1 Tim 3:4-5]. Clearly, the children under consideration are those still subject to the father's rule. This cannot, therefore, refer to those who have entered into another marital covenant - nor can it refer to adult children who no longer live within the elder's (or prospective elder's) home. We may deplore, as I do, the popular American notion that when children turn eighteen years of age they need a late-year automobile, a fully furnished apartment, and a weekend party stipend. However, the society in which we live legally permits children the freedom to walk away from parental rule on their eighteenth birthday [man's law of course, not God's Law]. If a child from a Christian home chooses to do this, and, in addition, chooses to commit an especially egregious [outstandingly bad] sin, his father may feel obliged to resign from church leadership in order to devote time to repairing his son's spiritual condition; but he is under no Biblical obligation to do so.

Any attempt to coerce such resignation is an example of phariseism which, when you think about it, might be just as egregiously offensive as the sin allegedly eliciting the original resignation. Sin is already evil; we don't need to compound it by a pious phariseism.

### THE PAGAN BILLY JOEL

In late November 2001, the Arts & Entertainment Television Network carried a special by popular rock singer Billy Joel. Among other silly comments, he said, "I believe that when people die, they go to live in the hearts of the people they love." This is a manifestly pagan idea; and it should not surprise us because Billy Joel is a manifest pagan. Unfortunately, it is only a somewhat secularized notion of a heresy too commonly held by many Christians that the "release" of death is the joy of a disembodied "spiritual" existence.

The ancient pagan Greeks were proponents of the immortality of the soul. The Bible, on the other hand, stresses the resurrection of the body. While we do not cease to exist at death ("souls sleep"), the Bible has little to say of this "intermediate" existence. In the Bible, personal eschatology is inextricably linked with the resurrection of the body. First Corinthians 15 and 1 Thessalonians 4 (among other Scriptures) make this abundantly clear. As G.I. Williamson wrote several years ago, one of the big defects of many Christian funerals is all of the talk about the deceased's being "with the Lord" (which is blissfully correct - but they are not "in heaven") but no talk whatsoever about the resurrection. This, in fact, is to reverse the Biblical emphasis.

The great war on things material is a largely pagan conviction, deeply pessimistic, which has infected the church as heresy. The greatest proof of the inherent goodness of cre-

ation is Jesus Christ's bodily resurrection - and ours. Our hope is not a Casper-the-friendly-ghost existence, but an existence on a renovated earth in a resurrected body. Glory be to God!

## REFORMATION: MAINSTREAM AND TRIBUTARIES

A dear friend, a prominent evangelical leader, has been ostracised and shunned by his peers because he has opted to take his redemptive-Reformational ministry into the mainline churches. There is no question about his own credentials; he is impeccably orthodox and morally unspotted. These evangelicals, however, are convinced that only in the pure tributaries of impeccably orthodox churches should the task of Biblical reformation be found. The "mainstream" is irretrievably polluted and suited only for dead fish. They seem to forget that Jesus

Himself (and His followers) ministered in the apostate synagogue, from which our Lord drew many of His first disciples. We do need pure tributaries, but let us never give up hope on the main denominations that once were stalwarts of the Faith. If God reoriented and revived apostate ancient Israel and even pagan Nineveh, can we dismiss as out of hand efforts by modern Josiahs and Jonahs dedicated to turning back entire, massive denominations to our Lord? Let the independents not excoriate the denominationalists and the denomina-

tionalists not chide the independents. Let us work faithfully where God has placed us to restore a devotion to God and His infallible Word and the orthodox Christian Faith so that the kingdom of God may overwhelm the earth as the waters cover the sea.

### "PIOUS" FEMINISM

In the fall of 2001, the wife of a faithful Christian minister (Reformed) abandoned him to "devote" her life to a "prophetic ministry." The minister was far from perfect, but he was also far from being an adulterer, abuser, and so on; and she had no Biblical grounds for divorce. The notion that wives have a separate "ministry" from that of their husbands' is starkly unbiblical (Gen 2:18), and it is an abomination in God's sight.

Courtesy Chalcedon Report, Box 158 Vallecito CA 95251-9989



### EDITOR EXPOSES TRUTH- ALMOST

My job requires me to read a lot of news and commentary. Once in a while I run across an amazingly accurate opinion piece. I judge an "excellent" article by comparing it to my frame of reference naturally. As our readers know, I have a history of making a poignant point or two that tends to go against the flow - particularly against the flow of today's so-called "Judeo-Christian" churches. An "excellent" rating is when others you wouldn't expect actually "get it" and take the bold step of writing about it. World Net Daily contributing editor J.R. Nyquist has done just that. I am republishing one of his recent editorials below because it is an excellent article and needs to be read far and wide.

Mr Nyquist appropriately addressed the shunning of the tragic annihilation of South African whites. He ends, as a good



opinion piece should, by pointing fingers. He has nailed the guilty parties - almost. After digesting his words I felt led to put a sharper point on his pencil without an iota of criticism of his brave and honest effort to call it like it is. A word of caution though, WorldNetDaily is no "Jubilee Newspaper" this is not the type of material you can expect on a regular basis on their website magazine. Mr Nyquist has jumped out of the box on this one and if he hopes to stay with WND he will probably have to jump back in. .... I now offer you ...

## **THE NEW RACISM** **J.R. Nyquist, © 2001 WorldNet Daily.com**

Is there some group of people whose liquidation you'd tolerate? Perhaps some race, religion or creed that deserves punishment, even extermination? What if I told you that such a group exists and you have been taught to silently condone their destruction?

Well, there is a group whose persecution you are indoctrinated to tolerate. Atrocities against this group are not to be mentioned in polite company. Murders and rapes against this group are not worthy of detailed TV news reports.

I am talking about persecution against African whites. Yes, they are Africans - born and bred in Africa. Yes, they are white. And yes, there is a campaign to eradicate them from their land, even murder them.

But you won't hear about it on the evening news. You won't hear the full story because our media and our culture is racist. You see, white people are guilty of being white. And everything white, European and Christian is bad.

Or haven't you heard? White people are the devil's spawn, the source of all evil in the world, the center-point of suffering, exploitation and war. According to the latest racist formulas, if you reduce the whites and eliminate the influence of "dead white males" from universities, you will liberate mankind from the great oppressor. Then there will be paradise on earth.

So the campaign against whites, which began many years ago, has advanced through country after country. Perhaps you missed hearing about the anti-white atrocities in Angola. Perhaps the story of Mozambique is unfamiliar to you. Recently, a 4-year-old white farm girl was reported raped and mutilated in South Africa. Did you hear about it?

There is non reason to get upset, says the new racism. White people have it coming. That's right, go back to shopping. The planetary race war aimed at the reduction of one race, above all others, is perfectly justifiable. Europe's past sins help set the stage. The anti-whites, armed with the propaganda of white guilt, are now given a license to kill and rape thousands of innocent people.

The people of Europe and America are paralyzed with ambivalence. No reaction occurs. No effective organ of outrage or countervailing force is brought into existence. An outright genocide against white Africans and their culture can continue in peace. White 4-year-olds will be raped again. They are guilty of being European and (what is worse) Christian. Their skin is pale; their ancestors were colonizers.

Kill them all! Or shrug and forget about it. The whites of Africa are gradually being driven from their land, their country, their homeland. They could have resisted. But now they

are like lambs to the slaughter because the whole white world feels the weight of past guilt. Colonialism was bad. Let the white Africans pay the price for this badness. We of Europe and America are liberal now. We no longer care for our race or culture or heritage. We only live for the present. We only want to shop.

Let our cousins in Africa suffer. Perhaps this will appease the righteous indignation of the non-whites. Here is the logic of the new racism. It is a racism that says white is bad, non-white is good. Anyone who stands up for white people is automatically listed with Hitler, who also stood up for white people. The trick here is "guilt by association" and "guilt by skin colour."

Since 1994 blacks have killed over 1,000 white farmers in South Africa. Has there been a peep from the white world? Have American blacks complained about this treatment of a minority population in Africa? Or has a double standard emerged? It is an odd thing when humanitarian concern is blotted out by the fact of skin colour. And please note: There is no racial solidarity on

the white side. Or none that amounts to anything. The great white herd, having liquidated Hitler from its midst, having purged the memory of Nazism and the colonial past, is now ready to be slaughtered and milked in turn.

It seems that human beings cannot find a middle, reasonable path. We cannot get rid of racism. Instead, we must supplant one evil for another. Previously it was the non-whites who

suffered. Now we enter an era in which whites are being tenderized for the spit. The madness of one form of prejudice never gives way to reason, but only to another type of prejudice. The same liberals who rant against the evils of the Dark Ages have paved the way to a new Dark Age.

So let the black Africans exterminate the white Africans. Let an entire African culture go under. Say nothing, do nothing, go along and get along. After all, they're white! They deserve it! But behind the anti-white campaign is the specter of communism, a specter that is haunting Africa. It haunts Angola, Mozambique, Zimbabwe, Namibia, Congo and yes, South Africa. And behind communism stands the Red Chinese, the Kremlin, the North Koreans and the Cubans. If you haven't noticed, Russian transports fly regularly into embattled cities of communist Angola. They are carrying weapons for the communists. The North Koreans are eyeing the uranium mines of the Congo. The Chinese and Russians have quietly aligned themselves with the communist-dominated ANC government of South Africa.

The race card has been played by one side against another in a global struggle. Today, after playing this card, Western aid will not flow to the pro-Western side in Africa. The strategic Cape sea route, through which the Middle East's oil flows to Europe and America, can now be cut by the growing South African armed forces, which are now in league with Russia and China.

Are you getting the picture?

*end Nyquist article.*

Mr Nyquist offers this perhaps true but abbreviated explanation for the demise of whites: "But behind the

### **BELIEVE IT!**

Nine-year-old Joey was asked by his mother what he had learned in Sunday School. "Well, Mom, our teacher told us how God sent Moses behind enemy lines on a rescue mission to lead the Israelites out of Egypt. When he got to the Red Sea, he had his engineers build a pontoon bridge, and all the people walked across safely. He used his walkie-talkie to radio headquarters and call in an air strike. They sent in bombers to blow up the bridge and all the Israelites were saved." "Now, Joey, is that REALLY what your teacher taught you?" his mother asked. "Well, no, Mom, but if I told it the way the teacher did you'd never believe it!"

----- *Jubilee*



anti-white campaign is the specter of communism.”

I submit that we now examine who is behind communism? The following are quotations and facts that speak for themselves:

- “The communists are Marxists, bolshevist, internationalists, Jews enemies of the Aryans and their culture ...” Harry Waton, *A Program for the Jews and an answer to All Anti-Semites* (New York: Committee for the Preservation of the Jews, 1939)

- “In the last hundred years Jews have been deeply involved with all the radical movements. from socialism to anarchism to communism.” James Yaffe, 1968.

#### South African Reds

“The African National Congress (ANC) in South Africa was guided by two Communist Jews, Albie Sachs, “one of its foremost intellectuals” (*London Sunday Times*, Aug 29, 1993) and Yossel Mashel Slovo (Joe Slovo). Slovo was born in a shtetl in Lithuania and grew up speaking Yiddish and studying the Talmud. He joined the ANC’s terrorist wing, the Umkhonto we Sizwe, in 1961 and eventually became its commander. He was named Secretary General of the South African Communist Party in 1986. (“Joe Slovo,” *Jewish Chronicle*, Jan 13, 1995).

Slovo had been the “planner of many of the ANC terrorist attacks, including the 1983 car bomb that killed 19 people and injured many others ... Slovo, who had traveled to the Soviet Union many times, was awarded a Soviet medal on his 60th birthday ... Slovo is a dedicated Communist, a Marxist-Leninist without morality of any kind, for whom only victory counts, whatever the human cost, whatever the bloodshed. .. Slovo disputes little of his image as ‘the Communist mastermind’ behind the ANC’s armed struggle. For him the fears of South Africa’s whites are both a measure of the ANC’s growing strength and a crucial factor in hastening what he believes will be its ultimate victory. ‘Revolutionary violence has created the inspirational impact that we had intended, and it has won for the ANC its leading position,’ Slovo said.” (“Rebel Strategist Seeks to End Apartheid,” *L.A. Times*, Aug 16, 1987, p14). When Nelson Mandela’s ANC took over South Africa, Slovo was named Minister of Housing.” - Michael A. Hoffman II

- “Some call it Marxism - I call it Judaism.” Rabbi Stephen S. Wise. *The American Bulletin*, May 15, 1935.

- J.R. Nyquist, is a renowned expert in geopolitics and international relations, is the author of “Origins of the Fourth World War.” Visit his news-analysis and opinion site, <[www.JRNyquist.com](http://www.JRNyquist.com)>

## UTAH TOWN SAYS, “NO TO U.N.”

by Daniel New

On July 4th, 2001, the city of Laverkin, Utah, struck a spark for liberty. The city council passed a local ordinance declaring themselves a “**United Nations-free Zone**,” effective immediately. It is now illegal to fly the U.N. flag on city property. The city has forbidden itself from doing business with the United Nations in any way. It also forbids doing business with contractors who do. As soon as possible, they will post a sign on the city limits signs at all approaches to the city, stating: “**Welcome to Laverkin - a United Nations-free Zone by city ordinance, 2001.**”

The City Fathers of Laverkin were careful to preserve the First Amendment rights of all citizens to fly any flag from their private property. Mayor Dan Howard and Councilman Allison Snow were particularly pleased with the community support. Where normally five to ten people show up for a city council meeting, there were 150 citizens present for this 225th birthday of the USA.

The Laverkin version has modifications from the version drawn up by Dr. Herb Titus and myself. I am waiting to

see what changes they made. The original version forbids the paying of any levy, tax or fee to any United Nations agency, forbids any judgment by any International Court to be imposed upon local citizens, forbids the quartering of United Nations troops in the city limits, forbids the forcing of local citizens for involuntary servitude as soldiers under a United Nations, etc. (You can see the original at:

<[www.mikenew.com/unfreeor3.html](http://www.mikenew.com/unfreeor3.html)>

Mayor Jay Lee, of nearby Virgin, Utah, expressed his support, even though he was disappointed that Virgin did not beat Laverkin to the punch. In a conversation with Mayor Lee he told me that nearby Tocquerville has asked for someone to present the ordinance to their next town council meeting.

Can City Councils do something like this? Well, there’s a pretty good precedent, which apparently withstood several court challenges in the 60’s, when Berkeley, California, decided to declare themselves a “nuclear-free zone.” It really didn’t affect the city of Berkeley so much as it affected the national debate. Supporters of this ordinance agree that the psychological effect is probably the greatest. It expresses the way people feel about the United Nations, and about their own nation’s sovereignty. As one in Virgin told me last week, “America has a lot of problems, and nobody’s saying it’s perfect, but I would rather they be fixed by Americans than by some foreign bureaucrat who has no clue about what makes America work.”

The legal doctrine of “interposition of the lower magistrate” is well recognized. When a higher governmental authority either breaks the law, or refuses to enforce it, it is the right and the duty of lower magistrates to intervene and function as a check and balance on the system [for more on this, listen to John Weaver and *MAGISTRATES*, X-341 and X-342, \$10 posted]. That is how Magna Carta was passed in 1215. It’s how the Declaration of Independence was passed in 1776. It’s how Sheriff Richard Mack sued the US government over the Brady Bill, and at great personal cost, wound up with a Supreme Court decision declaring the Brady Bill as unconstitutional. It’s how Army Spc. Michael New said, “No, I’ll not violate my exclusive oath of allegiance to my country by wearing a United Nations’ patch and headgear, and serving under foreign (illegal) officers.” And it’s how the town of Laverkin, Utah, tells “elected servants of The People” that they have gone too far.

Inquiries from towns all over the United States are beginning to roll in. The question now becomes, “What will this nation do with such a spark?” Will it die for lack of tinder? Will others nurture it, and add fuel to the fire, and strike other sparks in their communities?

What can I do? If you know your city councillors, or county commissioners, and if they are already informed on the threat the United Nations poses to our national sovereignty, to our very concept of private property, to freedom, then get a copy of this ordinance and urge them to pass it. If they aren’t informed, then don’t go in and badger them with this out of the blue. Start today, educating them to the issue, and their role in protecting the private property of our local citizens. •

## PLAYING AT YOUR LOCAL THEATER: BESTIALITY

Chuck Baldwin - *Movie Review*

When confronted with the rot and filth portrayed in modern movies, most of the Hollywood elite will argue that their movies do not attempt to influence society’s values; they simply reflect them. I ask then, when did Americans begin having sex with animals? I may not be the



most avant-garde man in the country, but that one really snuck up on me.

A movie produced by Sony Pictures that recently showed in theaters across the nation called, "The Animal," pushes the envelope of sexual misconduct by depicting bestiality to America's 13-year-olds. That's right, the theme of this disgusting movie is people having sex with animals! To make matters even worse, the film is rated PG-13.

We must assume, therefore, that Hollywood feels that America's 13-year-olds are routinely engaging in bestiality. Either that, or Hollywood is attempting to take the culture war to the next level. (But that would mean that they are trying to influence children's values, wouldn't it?)

The only encouraging aspect of this pathetic picture is that hardly anyone is going to see it. It is a box office bust. Hallelujah! But before you relax, remember that this is only a flanking unit. The main force is only a short distance behind.

Such a tactic by the cultural Marxists in Hollywood means that the battle to reshape the moral fabric of our society has already progressed well beyond homosexuality. Indeed. Culturally, that battle has been lost. Consider:

- President Bush and the Republican Party have joined the Democrat Party in capitulating to the homosexual agenda. Recently, the Bush administration posted a job for what is being called a "gay and lesbian program specialist." According to the New York Times, the Agriculture Department intends to recruit, hire and promote homosexual workers. Accomplishing this task is the job of the new "specialist." The new position will earn about 100,000 taxpayer dollars annually. This is in addition to the openly gay people Bush has already added to his administration.

- The Bush administration has refused to rescind any pro-homosexual policy implemented by former president Bill Clinton.

- The 2000 Census reports that homosexual households have shown "huge increases" during the past decade. Such households have skyrocketed over 200% in states such as Connecticut, Illinois, Massachusetts and Montana, to more than over 700% increases in states such as Delaware and Nevada. And the trend isn't slowing down.

- Fortune 500 companies and high-tech industries are pumping hundreds of millions of dollars into pro-homosexual causes.

- The American Medical Association recently proposed a resolution that asks national youth groups (such as the Boy Scouts) not to ban homosexuals.

- America's public schools have become active promoters of homosexuality and lesbianism. When the National Education Association holds its annual convention shortly, it will introduce a resolution that condones and promotes homosexuality (as it does every year). Most sex education courses being taught in government schools today unabashedly legitimize and normalize sodomite behaviour.

- Movies and television sit-coms are now inundated with homosexual and lesbian themes.

- Even mainline churches are putting their stamp of approval on deviant sexual conduct. Just recently, the Presbyterian Church (USA) voted to drop a ban on ordaining homosexuals.

The list is endless. Now that sodomy has made it to Main Street, it's time to move on. The next battlefields: bestiality and pedophilia. "The Animal" is a cheap probe, a tasteless, low-budget experiment designed to test the nation's reaction. What will pastors do? What will parents do? How will the kids react? And, if recent history is any indicator, this exploratory probe into the resolve of our moral conscience will be met with unequivocal indifference. And that is exactly what the cultural Marxists are counting

on.

It may take 20 or 30 years before bestiality and pedophilia begin to enjoy social acceptance. But I remind you that it was only 20 or 30 years ago that homosexuality was socially repugnant and politically taboo. Look where it is today.

Today, bestiality appears as cheap entertainment at your local theater. Tomorrow it will be given a number and proposed as a Bill in Congress, given a scientific name and condoned by the medical community, praised from pulpits and integrated into the public schools' sex education curricula.

Unless God-fearing, decent people wake up to the fact that we are in a culture war - and that we are losing it - and start acting as if their children's future is worth fighting for, the disgusting depictions of this movie will become the next generation's standard of normalcy. Then what? - See Leviticus 20:13!

Courtesy *The Jubilee*, PO Box 310 Midpines, CA 95345.

#### THE ART OF WRITING AT AND BEFORE THE TIME OF MOSES

Until quite recently many Bible critics have pressed the theory that the first six books of the Bible could not have written either by Moses or Joshua, because there was no evidence that the art of writing was in existence at that time. On this assumption, they argued that the matter contained in those books must have been handed down orally over a long period (with the inevitable error and distortion which usually accompanied such a method of transmission) and that these "traditions" were collated by some later Hebrew scribe and incorporated in the accredited Scriptures. Archeological discoveries in Palestine during the past twenty years have completely rebutted this theory, and have shown that the Hebrew script was positively in general use there at the time of Joshua. The Lachish Bowl, a most important archeological find, has been described by Dr. Langdon, Oxford professor of Assyriology, who deciphered the Sinai-Hebrew script. He states that this is "the most important discovery of modern times in respect to Biblical criticism" and adds that it is "entirely believable that literature, before Moses, also existed in alphabetical script." - Wake Up! U.K. 7-7-79

courtesy ORIGINS 133 Lower Cameron St, Whangarei 0101, New Ulster, New Zealand.

Again, another month has flown by and we are another month closer to our planned Camp, God willing, Sept 30 to Oct 6. Make your plans now to come and participate. We have just witnessed some young people performing at the Innisfail Young Performers Competition, and it is really great to see young people getting into seriously playing an instrument and performing for an audience. We hope to see some of that again at the camp. It is also good to see committed young adults who want to carry forward the message and the culture associated with being God's People! We pray that the interest will increase and not diminish, so that this will not have to be the last camp. It's up to YOU! Again, thank you for your letters and orders for tapes and books. Another parcel has just arrived, and some more back orders will be getting filled soon. Parents, the young people are our future and it behooves us to train them up in the way they should go. This means also who they associate with. We'd like to be part of that training. I encourage you to make reading this newsletter a home-schooling requirement. Consider it a study, and ask them to discuss what they have read.

Please enjoy this issue and be sure to tell your friends about us. Please keep our humble ministry in your prayers. We need your support! God bless and keep you.

