



Christian Identity Ministries

PO Box 146, CARDWELL QLD 4849, Australia

Ph: 07-4066 0146 Fax: 07-4066 0226 (International 61-7 instead of 07)

“Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; For He hath visited and redeemed *His* people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he swore to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives.” Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian people are *ISRAEL!*

#205

Newsletter

April AD2003

MULTICULTURALISM

- - As A Tool To Divide And Conquer - -

By Louis Beam

No nation is born multicultural. Multiculturalism is an unnatural as well as unhealthy condition that can only afflict states in national decline. A multicultural state carries in its genes the seeds of eventual national destruction.

All multicultural nations will be found to be in a state of political, moral, economic and social decay. Greed and corruption will characterize the government coupled with oppressive measures directed against its citizens. Lies and deceit will be stock and trade of media, politicians, and educational institutions. Such are the bellwethers of a multiculturalist advent.

In modern times multiculturalism is instituted from the top down as an elitist ruling class tool used to play one or more racial or ethnic groups against another. The ensuing cultural melee serves the political designs, economic goals and power needs of elitist rulers and their sponsors. This technique was developed by Marxist ideologues who used multiculturalism in Russia to divide and conquer resistance to the institution of a communist state. The end result of their successful takeover was the murder of thirty million humans in the Soviet Union alone. Many more elsewhere.

The same internationalist cabals who sponsored Lenin, Trotsky, and Stalin as the multicultural leaders of the Soviet state from their banking houses in New York, similarly sponsor the multi-cultural leaders of the United States, Canada, Europe and Australia today. An interlocking network of foundations such as Ford and Carnegie, international banking empires such as Rockefeller and Rothschild, and government agencies firmly in their control work in tandem with controlled propaganda outlets such as the New York Times, CBS, and Hollywood, to promote, foster, and institute multiculturalism today.

While the examples used in this essay deal primarily with the United States the same process with the same methods is being employed elsewhere. This of itself is prima facie evidence of a cabal which promotes multiculturalism as a tool to achieve its objectives.

Multiculturalism is being used as a hammer to forge the compliant people who will compose the obedient states of the New World Order. As a weapon of post modern political warfare multiculturalism has few equals, which, thus explains its use currently against all of Western Europe, the United States, Canada, Australia, and New Zealand. Deliberate fragmentation of these nations and the resultant loss of national identity and purpose into politically disharmonious units, serves as a stepping stone to world government. And who will compose that world government? A ruling class consisting of an “economic hierarchy” that replaces the philosophy

of the nineteenth century “natural hierarchy.” A force that views countries and the people that live in them first as economic targets to be exploited, and second as military targets to be defeated if they resist.

One must not let himself be confused by the window dressing of willing dupes from the left who are most often, but not always, seen as the spokesmen for the glories of multiculturalism. Liberal supporters of multiculturalism amount to nothing more than opportunist parasites riding on the back of a social fungus attacking the body politic. While some incoherent liberals have been spokesmen for multiculturalism, they should not be viewed as representing other main stream liberals any more so than do so-called conservatives like Bob Dole and Newt Gingrich speak for constitutionalists and populists. The real stimulus, unseen elitists who promote multiculturalism as a tool of warfare, are themselves anything but liberal, progressive, or democratic. Rather they are global social tyrants who seek more power, more wealth, and more control over people - and they could care less what the politics are of those they seek to rule.

Elitists schemers envision a dictatorial world government composed of forcibly federated states, which, properly speaking, are police states without borders. The United Nations will serve as the store house front and public face of those who from behind the scenes manipulate world events.

Economically envisioned is a global workers plantation overseen by transnational corporations who have no

IN THIS ISSUE:

Multiculturalism, Louis Beam,	1
Scripturally Speaking Concerning the War,	3
The Unknown Adam,	4
Tears of Esau,	7
Letters from Patriarch - children leaving home	8
Church Discipline,	9
Answering Service,	11
Why the Church?	12
Where Should a Christian Live?	13
What the Global Economy Means to You	13

The views and opinions expressed in the articles herein or herewith are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIM. They are written by fallible men. You must ask Jesus to guide your studies!

more concern for the human rights of those who produce their products or services than Stalin did for his miserable workers. Vassal states are to produce goods and insure compliance of their subjects by defining all opposition to the borderless police state as terrorism. Thus will be the good times. In bad times, when elitist multinational corporations are unable to maintain control, the armed might of NATO will be used to enforce obedience by non-compliant states.(1)

METHODS USED AND THEIR EFFECT

Just as television commercials are run by those who will profit from their airing, multiculturalism is fostered upon a country by its sponsors who intend to benefit from its acceptance.

Those who sponsor multiculturalism are properly called multiculturalists and generally will be found to be those people with the least amount of personal culture appertaining to them. As a dying tree drops its leaves and is attacked by fungus and worms of decay, so too is a nation set upon by multiculturalists. The dominant culture is attacked from all sides. This is not so much a product of maliciousness as necessity. By vilifying, levelling, and weakening the dominant culture, an environment is created for social, political and economic turmoil which produces change that will benefit the sponsors of a multiculturalist state. If the government and news media relentlessly pursue issues of race, gender, and diversity in preference to the real issues that need to be addressed, there will be an increasing division of society along the same lines. Which is exactly what the sponsors of multiculturalism want.

Social instability, caused by a steady erosion of standards and values, coupled with a scramble over dwindling economic opportunities by conflicting ethnic groups, produces precisely the alienation and conflict needed to implement a multicultural state. Further, the lack of common standards and values leads to personal disorganisation, resulting in unsociable behaviour. This is the life support system of a multicultural state. In a word: anomie [lack of usual standards, lawless].

As a political tool multiculturalism has several applications. It is used to prevent a national consensus among the electorate. The confluence of divergent life views, cultures, beliefs, religions, ethnic habits, etc. insures a swirling river of discontent upon which the multiculturalist rides. It is a perfect method of ensuring that there can never in the future be accord, unity, and a common agreed upon destiny among those ruled. Multiculturalism represents a basic form of divide and conquer, to the benefit of corrupt government and its sponsors.

Multiculturalism is likewise a financial tool used to socially and economically level a targeted population. When implemented, it becomes in fact a battle over scarce resources and shrinking economic opportunities, with government weighing in on the side of cheap labour. A continual flow of impoverished workers is insured through immigration (both legal and illegal), who by working for less compensation continually drive wages down.

For the vast majority of citizens the standard of living will not increase, but rather constantly decrease.

AS A GENERAL RULE:

The amount of multiculturalism in any society is directly proportional to the corruption at the top of a political system and inversely proportional to national unity. This means: multiculturalism will have succeeded in so much as the country

has failed.

Multiculturalism can further be used as "transitional tool" to take a targeted population from one form of government to another. When a political condition of greed, massive corruption, and diversity of objective is coupled to a social condition of drugs, violence, and discontent, therein exists the perfect environment for governmental change to a system that more closely serves long term interests of ruling elitists. Seeing that both the problem and solution are provided by the same people makes the CIA's importation of some one hundred billion dollars worth of cocaine and other drugs into the United States understandable. While at the same time explaining FBI, ATF, and other more secretive federal government agencies involved in domestic terrorism or its cover up. Suddenly, that which erroneously was previously thought to be unrelated events show their common thread and purpose.

Within the deleterious milieu of Multiculturalism exists the propaganda opportunity for re-education of the people into a more malleable entity. A targeted population will be shaped mentally by new forms of public education in the schools, media indoctrination, and by elitist pronouncements. Thus placed in a crucible of economic necessity and social pressure, once free citizens become despondent masses, adjusting to and accepting fundamentally changing national circumstances as a matter of expedient survival.

For the reticent, conformity by force will ensue in the form of legal penalties disguised as anti-drug, anti-terrorism, or anti-hate laws. All of this leading toward what George Orwell so aptly predicted in his book, 1984:

"Almost certainly we are moving into an age of totalitarian dictatorships. An age in which freedom of thought will be at first a deadly sin and later on a meaningless abstraction."

A society is being spawned where those with the most unsociable behavior, deviant life-style, or personal failures are given the most by government. This is no accident! It is not government blundering, nor is it misguided liberalism; it is exactly what it is by design, purpose, and objective. A program advocated by both Republican and Democratic administrations for the elitist backers of both are the same. It is the program of a government which has fallen firmly into the hands of evil forces. Failure to recognize this salient point will result in endlessly chasing after tangents, or needlessly blaming those who have no power to change the current direction of events. Anger directed toward liberals, (2) Blacks, or people of colour is wasted. Reorganization of the government of the bottom up would be productive.

Multiculturalism, like drugs, is an insidious weapon. Both destroy the heart and fabric of the a people. All ties to family, community, and one's people as a whole are destroyed by these two opiates of the human mind. Both are sponsored from the top down by one world elitists bent upon creating a world order whose power is such that its subjects poses no potential for resistance.

By its very nature every emerging police state seeks to harness both the power of the state and the people to its will. When calls are made for support of cryptic slogans such as war on crime, drugs, terrorism, hate, poverty, etc. what is really meant is "grant power to the state and

Tape of The Month

#A-7326 Do We Need the Old Scriptures?

There is no such thing as a "New Testament Christian," for you cannot be a Christian unless you believe all the Bible. S. Emry

#A-7401 Faith (=trust) In The Promises -

For The Race That is Set Before Us. Heb. 12:1, what kind of trust must we have to uphold us in this "race"? S. Emry

#A-7403 If The Kingdom Seems Delayed.

Patience is needed now. + (2) Joshua and The Kingdom. How Joshua's entry into Canaan foretells how we will enter into Jesus' Kingdom.

This month, sug don \$12 the three.



applaud the rape of your freedom.” In sum: multiculturalism is another program designed to create the subjects of a Police State Without Borders. When coupled with the “war on drugs” and “war on terrorism,” Orwell’s world of endless war is realized. It would be their world, their orders, and nothing new, for a lust for despotism is as old as mankind himself.

The anti-thesis of multiculturalism is moral, religious, and cultural solidarity among the people of a nation. Belief in one’s self and the ultimate good of his people. A cohesiveness that produces a national vision, with set boundaries of acceptability and unacceptability in the affairs of a nation, while allowing for the natural differences in men. Multiculturalism as a tool of warfare becomes impotent and rejected in such an environment.

A necessary first step toward recovery is to look at politics, social policy, and government emanating from Washington DC. with new eyes, unclouded by a lifetime of false information and deception propagated by the elitist sponsors. Pretending any longer that the bought and paid for political prostitutes in Washington DC represent you or anyone you know is tantamount to cutting your own wrists with a razor blade. Self-destructive behaviour may qualify one for government “protected class status” under diversity laws - but it will not save you, your family, or your nation.

America, Canada, and most of Europe are ruled by politicians about whom the best that can be said is that they are men of ill repute, each out to loot the state. One thing is clear, the American ruling elite of today are far closer in ideological viewpoint to world government ruled by a privileged few than it is to the world of the American Revolution or the Constitutional Convention of 1789. Yet still, the spirit of 1776 lives on in this land. For once again **rebellion to tyrants is obedience to God** is afoot.

Listen closely and in the distance you can hear the ring of liberty’s bell calling gallant sons and noble daughters to her aid. They know no left, nor right, only treason. And they will not fear to answer. Let tyrants tremble. And though the heavens fall, let there be justice.

Foot Notes:

1). Those who imagine the United Nations in and of itself as a military threat are naive or deliberately misled. Global elitists would never trust the U.N. with an army other than one on loan. Because of the U.N.’s diversity there is too great a potential for some member states taking as serious elitist propaganda of “democratic” rule and “equality” then using any military that might exist without elitist sanction. NATO on the other hand is controlled by the United States government, which is the chief force behind the establishment of the New World Order. It is, and will remain in the foreseeable future, the army of choice for insuring compliance. A quick change of hat from green to blue will make NATO troops “UN Forces” when such need is sanctioned by elitists.

2). Indeed liberal thinkers such as Daniel Brandt have written far more eloquently about the deleterious effects of multiculturalism than the present writer. Brandt’s essay *Multiculturalism and the Ruling Elite* is a must read for those interested in the subject.

Courtesy The Jubilee, Box 310 Midpines CA 95345

SCRIPTURALLY SPEAKING CONCERNING THE WAR IN THE MIDDLE EAST

by Peter J. Peters

Isaiah once lamented of a time when the people would call right wrong and wrong right: “*Woe to those who call evil good and good evil,* (Isa 5:20), but the present time in America is even worse than the time Isaiah prophesied of, for now the people, at times, do not even know what is right or wrong or good or evil. Something has happened in their minds to cause them to lose their moral bearings. For a long time now the people of the land have practiced humanism, where they decide what is right and wrong, which means, in reality, they become their own small “g” gods. This is what Isaiah called being wise in their own eyes: “*Woe to those who are wise in their own eyes, and clever in their own sight!*” (Isa 5:21). He described the end result of such a people in

New Video CI-342 LOAN ONLY!
Diseases Don’t Just Happen, Lorraine Day, M.D. (second in this series). *Dr. Day is a true inspiration to us all. It is so refreshing to find a M.D. who uses scripture as a guide to good health.* - Nancy.

In this video Dr. Day reveals the causes for the diseases, and gives the astonishing answers on how to get well - without drugs! In addition, she exposes the disastrous side effects of the drugs used to treat these disorders. Discover the cause of your disease and find out how to get well. -

Sug. Don. Loan \$6

such a time: “*Who justify the wicked for a bribe, and take away the rights of the ones who are in the right!*” (Isa 5:23). When the small “g” god-people form a government of the people, by the people and for the people, the people’s government then becomes a god (i.e. a false one), deciding right and wrong.

Then the idolatrous people fear that god, look to that god for their health safety and welfare, obey that god and willingly sacrificed to that god even if it means sacrificing their children in a war and shackling their

unborn generations with the debts that war brings. This is the sin the Bible calls worshipping Baal, but we do not call it sin. We call it patriotism and the people cry “my country, right or wrong,” yet the people no longer know right from wrong. So they let their government-god decide what is right or wrong for this nation to invade a sovereign country in the Middle East and infringe upon another people’s life, liberty and property?

To answer that question let us reason from Scripture and not from the futility of our own minds. It is revealed in Scripture that our great God, and King Jesus Christ (1 Tim 1:17) gave us the royal Law: “*Therefore all things whatsoever ye would that men should do to you, do ye even so to them: for this is the Law and the prophets.*” (Matt 7:12). A Christian man must follow this law and a nation of such men must follow this law if it is to be a Christian nation and thus receive blessings from God. In light of the royal law, is it just or right to go over to your neighbor’s property and trespass, kick in his door, take property from his home and take over his home? In other words, do you have a right to infringe upon another man’s life, liberty and property? That answer is obviously, No! But what if you suspect that your neighbor may have weapons of mass destruction in his home? For example, he may have a semiautomatic twelve gauge shotgun full of 00 buck-shot and rest assured such a weapon can deliver mass destruction when used upon a crowd of people. Then do you have a right to violate your neighbor’s life, liberty or property? Again the answer is obvious. Your neighbor has committed no crime in just owning such a weapon and probably owns such a weapon to keep lawless men from violating his life, liberty or property, and to use on such men should they try. This was once the law of the old west which was in reality, simply the law of God written on the hearts of His people (Hebrews 8:8). So what if a group of men in the community (even a majority



of the men in the community), decide to infringe upon another man's life, liberty or property, then is it right? Obviously not, for if it is wrong for one man to do such a crime then it is wrong for a group of men, even a majority of them, to do such a crime. To this scenario we could even add the fact that many houses in the community have such weapons of mass destruction, but a group of lawless men just arbitrarily pick which house they will attack. The fact is we have laws to protect us from such men.

Following the French Revolution of 1848, Frederick Bastiat, a French economist, statesman and author, saw his country becoming lawless and socialistic and thus wrote a treatise entitled "*The Law*" (available #044 @ \$10.65ppd). The following are quotes taken from the treatise: "Life liberty, and property do not exist because men have made laws. On the contrary, it was the fact that life, liberty, and property existed beforehand that caused men to make laws in the first place. What, then, is law? It is the collective organization of the individual right to lawful defense."

"Each of us have a natural right - from God - to defend his person, his liberty, and his property. These are the three basic requirements of life, and the preservation of any one of them is completely dependent upon the preservation of the other two Thus, since an individual cannot lawfully use force against the person, liberty, or property of another individual, then the common force - for the same reason - cannot lawfully be used to destroy the person, liberty, or property of individuals or groups. The law is the organization of the national right of lawful defense. It is the substitution of the common force for the individual forces. And this common force is to do only what the individual forces have a natural and lawful right to do: to protect persons, liberty, and properties: to maintain the right of each, and to cause justice to reign over us all."

In other words what is not lawful for one man to do to another, is not lawful for a group of men, under colour of law, to do to another. This applies to nations of men as well. In other words it is not right for one nation to violate another nation's life, liberty and property; just as it is not right for one man to enter another man's house and take over his house under the pretense that he has weapons of mass destruction. Bastiat saw what in essence Isaiah saw and wrote:

"The law perverted! And the police powers of the state perverted along with it! The law, I say, not only turned from its proper purpose, but made to follow an entirely contrary purpose! The Law became the weapon of every kind of greed, instead of checking crime, the law itself is guilty of the evils it is supposed to punish! - The law has placed the collective force at the disposal of the unscrupulous who wish, without risk, to exploit the person, liberty, and property of others. It has converted plunder into a right, in order to protect plunder. It has converted lawful defense into a crime, in order to punish lawful defense."

So today we have some people calling evil good and good evil and many people confused as to what is right or wrong regarding the proposed Jewish-endorsed and politician-endorsed war against Iraq. Bastiat addressed such a phenomenon: "When law and morality contradict each other, the citizen has the cruel alternative of either losing his moral sense or losing respect for the law. These two evils are of equal consequence, and it would be difficult for a person to choose between them. The nature of law is to maintain justice. This is so much the case that, in the minds of the people, law and justice are one and the same thing. There is

in all of us a strong disposition to believe that anything lawful is also legitimate. This belief is so widespread that many persons have erroneously held that things are "just" because law makes them so. Thus, in order to make plunder appear just and holy to many consciences, it is only necessary for the law to decree and sanction it. Slavery, restrictions, and monopoly find offenders not only among those who profit from them but also among those who suffer from them. If you suggest a doubt as to the morality of these institutions, it is boldly said that "you are a dangerous innovator, a utopian, a theorist, a subversive; you would shatter the foundation upon which society rests."

Christians need to pray for the elected public servants who have forgotten that that is what they are (1 Tim 2:1,2) and for the confused public so that they might not only know right from wrong but be granted repentance (2 Tim 2:25) for having pursued and endorsed the wrong. Such

earnest prayers offered in faith can save many innocent men, women and children from dying in Iraq, not to mention innocent servicemen, many of whom are forced at the point of a gun or imprisonment to carry out the orders of the unscrupulous. It could save America from the consequences of violating the law of God which is "we reap what we sow." When a man or nation of men violates the royal law they reap the consequences: "*Do not be deceived, God is not mocked; for whatsoever a man sows, this he will also reap.*" (Gal 6:6-8). So it is with a nation of lawless immoral men.

Special offers: **BAAL WORSHIP** by Peter John Peters,

exposes the national sin that destroys nations. The Bible condemns it, but few today understand what it is. #069 @ \$14.55ppd. and: **AMERICA THE CONQUERED** by P.J. Peters. Many are beginning to sense there is nothing they can do to stop an unjust war. They are told it is the land of the free and the home of the brave, but is it? Something has happened while they were asleep, just as prophesied in the Bible. Read and see if it applies to Australia, as well! #064 @ \$16.20ppd - this month together with **THE LAW**, all three books for an offering of \$35.00ppd

THE UNKNOWN ADAM by Pastor Don Elmore

Even though many Christians try to defend their beliefs, sadly, many do it blindly. They have accepted what they believe, without answering any questions that might cause problems for their beliefs. They close their eyes to the implications of what they have been taught; even though they claim that the science of genetics was mysteriously suspended for a period of time, or that prehistoric history never occurred, or that somehow evolution and the Bible are compatible. But despite the fact that all of these beliefs are very disputable, little or no proof is given or considered necessary. Others have such little regard for truth that they don't care if their beliefs are really valid or not. They are simply taught that the Bible teaches it and that therefore it is automatically true. But the question is - **Does the Bible really teach what they advocate?**

And since all of the diverse views of present day "Christianity" are taught as being what the Bible teaches,

NEW TAPES:

#S-862 **Thoughts From a Bed & Breakfast**

#S-868 **Closing Message @ 2000 Camp**

#S-873 **The Sin that Gives Serpents Success - and Saints Sorrow**

#S-903 **Prophecy, Power, Destruction & Deliverance, Pt 15**

#S-905 **How to Suffer & Stay out of the Pig Poop.** all above Peter J. Peters

#B-442 **Why The Slaughter?** (2) Barley

#B-443 **Dreamers** (1) Dave Barley, +

Capt: Ruth: Faithful Daughter-in-Law

#K-413 **The Blind & The Lame**, (1)

#K-414 **The Blind & The Lame**, (2)

James Bruggeman



there must, therefore, by necessity, be some erroneous teachings. How could all of these contradictory and presumably Biblical premises be correct? They can't. But since one's premise or foundational belief determines and supports many other beliefs, then it is crucial to be correct on the major doctrines of the Bible.

One such major doctrine is the Biblical teaching about Adam. What one believes about Adam determines or influences one's understanding of redemption, salvation, adultery, atonement, marriage, godly authority, the kingdom of God, the Gospel, the church, the ministry of Jesus, world history, politics, government, missionary destinations, interracial marriages, multiculturalism, anthropology, etc.


What Race Were Adam and Eve?

Many will respond that they have never thought about this question. Was Adam a black man? Was he a white man? Was he a brown man? Was he a yellow man? Was he a mixture of all the races? The following is a brief summation of the popular "Christian" views as to what race Adam was:

1). The *evolutionist Christian* declares that the "first man" must have been a **black** man (or woman), since the "oldest" human remains have been found in central Africa. The remains of these early prehistoric creatures, living hundreds of thousands or millions of years ago, indicate that they were uneducated and uncivilized.

2). The *fundamentalist Christian* answers that Adam was a **brownish** man from whom descended all the different races of the world. That in Adam were all of the genes necessary for the birthing of all the races. In addition, they emphatically believe that the universe, and everything in it, is at most, only six thousand years old.

3). The *"old time religion"* Christian maintains that Adam and his descendants were white until one son of Noah, Ham, after being cursed, migrated to Africa and his offspring "sun-tanned into the black race;" while the descendants of another son, Japheth, "somehow changed into the oriental race;" and while the descendants of his third son, Shem, remained white. No explanation is given of the brown race, since there were only three sons of Noah.



All four of these modern-day "Christian" views, from the large liberal church that is affiliated with the *National Council of Churches* with its pro-abortion, pro-homosexual ordination platforms and pro-evolution chance view of creation to the small independent conservative church with its anti-abortion, anti-homosexual ordination beliefs but with its strange theory of the "unkind after kind" creation view of mankind, and all the many churches and denominations in between, have one thing in common. They ALL strongly advocate this most important "politically correct" common tenet - that all races share a common heritage - that is, all people are descended from one man and one woman, i.e. Adam and Eve.

Why do all churches and denominations, even though they disagree among themselves on almost every thing else, agree with each other AND with all the anti-Christian cults and false religions, including secular humanism, on this one major teaching? The cornerstone of the Communitistic Masonic One World Church and Government - "the Fatherhood of God and the Brotherhood of Man" - is taught and promoted, not opposed, in the majority of pulpits across our nation. Why?

Twelve Ignored or Unknown Facts about Adam

1. Adam was placed on the earth; he was not born. His body was formed from the soil of the earth. Therefore, he did not descend or evolve from any other living creature, including the ape.

2. Eve, also, did not have any parents. Her body was formed from a part of Adam's. Therefore, she did not descend or evolve from any other living creature.

3. The prehistoric men could not have been descended from Adam, since they were living many thousands of years prior to the arrival of Adam. And Adam could not have been descended from them, as he was not born but placed here only about six thousand years ago (approx. 4000 BC). Therefore, prehistoric men and historic Adam were most certainly of very different races.

4. Adam was put here to take dominion of the earth as its ruler under God's authority. Over whom was he to rule? If he was the only man on the earth, then was he to rule over just himself and the animals? Or was Adam, created in God's image, chosen by God to rule over His entire earthly creation, including the

other races?

5. Why is it that even though science and history both claim to have evidence of the existence of two-legged creatures for hundreds of thousands of years prior to Biblical Adam, they all agree that **civilization** didn't begin until the exact time and the exact location that the Bible teaches when Adam was formed and where Adam lived!

6. Adam originally communed with God on a daily basis. This would indicate that Adam was not an unintelligent prehistoric cave man, but was a created entity that was superior to any being prior to his fall. Why would the "Serpent" bother with a stupid, grunting, wife's hair-dragging cave man? In fact, Adam could have lived forever (he lived 930 years), if he would not have sinned.

7. Whatever race Adam was, all of his descendants would be of that same race. For example, redhaired David was descended from Adam. Therefore, David was of the same racial family as Adam. Since Jesus was descended from David, then Jesus was of the same racial family as David and Adam.

8. Adam lived in the garden of Eden and the land of Eden. Eden was not located in Central Africa; in fact, it was not located anywhere on that large continent. Therefore, Adam was not of the prehistoric race of men who lived in Central Africa.

9. When Adam sinned and consequently "fell" from his special position and place, his entire race fell with him. This Bible doctrine has major implications if Adam and his descendants are uniquely one of several independent, separate races. For, if true, only the Adamic race is in a fallen condition and in need of restoration back to its orig-

New Tapes:

AC-2202 **The Bride or the Body of Christ**,
C. Jennings (also as #S-384)

AC-2203 **Why God Made Man**,
Gene Applegate

AC-2204 **The Greatest Sin & Personal Mes-**
sage, Peters (also as #S-933)

AC-2205 **The Wood & the Vine - Wait on**
the Lord, Ed Transanto

AC-2206 **Jedi's, Tap into The Power**,
Peters. (SFA 2002 Bible Camp tapes)

D-046 **My Testimony - A Pastor's Search**
for Truth. Lawrence Blanchard

D-047 **Can Christ be in Christmas?** L.B.

D-048 **The Election of 2000 - Keeping our**
Balance, Lawrence Blanchard



inal design.

10. If Adam and Eve were black, then when and how did their descendants have brown babies and yellow babies and white babies? Has anyone ever heard or seen that happening among the millions of births on the earth each year? Don't black parents always have black babies?

11. What race(s) were the beings that existed thousands/millions of years prior to Adam? Who were the *Hominids*, the *Australopithecus* man, the *Homo Habilis* man, the *Homo Erectus* man, the *Homo Sapiens* man and *Neanderthal* man, the *Cro-Magnon* man, the *Dravidian* man, *Hottentot* man, and other *pre-adamites*? Or did these creatures never exist?

12. The Bible reveals that Adam lived during the time that historians indicate that history and civilization began (5500-6000 years ago). Prehistory means the time before reading and writing. As the birth of the Second Adam is now the dividing mark between the BC and AD years of our present calendar, was the arrival of the first Adam the dividing mark between Prehistoric and historic time?

The Bible informs its readers that, even among God's own chosen people and Kingdom, the tribe of Levi was exclusively designated to provide the Priests; another tribe, Judah, was chosen to exclusively provide the Kings; and the Joseph tribes, Manasseh and Ephraim, were exclusively given the birthright after Reuben disqualified himself. Likewise, the races of the earth are divided into the covenant race, the cursed races, and those races that are neither covenanted with God nor cursed forever by Him.

As the tribes of Israel were not the same in their position and duties before God, so the different races of the earth are not the same in their standing and relationship before God.

"In all of history most societies, peoples and cultures in this world have selected and chosen some god or gods based largely upon their own desires and wants. In other words, these people, cultures and societies have invented and established their own gods, whether mythical or imagined realistic perception.

"There is only one case where the reverse happened and God chose a specific and particular people. Yahweh, the Gods of Israel chose His people. This is the only instance in history where this has happened, and there is no other religion that can lay claim to such an occurrence." (Pastor Chuck Kuhler, *The Virginia Christian Israelite*; Nov 2002, Vol 13, No 11, pg1.)

The goal of atheistic Communism is that every person on the earth would eventually be bi-racial or multiracial. In other words, the aim of the antichrists is that the earth would be completely void of any pure race; especially racially pure Israel, God's chosen people. If this happened, then all of God's promises, oaths, and covenants made with Israel would be nullified, since Israel would no longer be a "holy," that is, separate, people unto God. All people would be brownish in their pigmentation. All people would be of mixed racial seed. Do you understand why that is their goal?

This goal of extinguishing Israel out of existence by miscegenation has been the major tactic of all of the enemies of God and God's people down through the pages of history. It was the desire of ancient Babylon, and became the ruin of ancient Greece and Rome. It was the goal of the religion of Baal.

But was it ever the goal of God's Kingdom? Was Israel instructed to racially intermarry or did God instruct them to be a separate people? Did God specifically forbid them to marry certain people? And did not all the churches in America as well as the government of each state as well as the national government also forbid this sin until recent generations? Were the churches and the government of the United States wrong in their beliefs about miscegenation in the 1700's, 1800's and early 1900's? Or are the churches and the federal government of today wrong for promoting interracial mixing?

If all races are descended from Adam, then why didn't God just keep one race in the first place? Why were different races supposedly brought into existence after Noah's flood? If it was Okay to have only one race for over 1500 years, then why, and for what purpose, were the other races allowed to evolve? Was there really only one race until less than 4500 years ago as the fundamentalist claim? Is all of science and anthropology totally wrong?

But if there is only one race - the human race, then why are there so many major distinctions between the physical, emotional, mental, and spiritual characteristics of the Negroes, Caucasians, Orientals, Polynesians, and other races? How can those who claim that the Bible is their only means of truth, "sola scriptura," believe that Adam was the first man and also believe that there were men who lived millions of years ago. Either Adam was the first man and there were no other men before him, or Adam was the first man of his race

and there were men of other races before him. (CIM Note: some time ago, I bought a copy of a book called **The Bible Timeline**, from a Baptist bookshop. This book states:

"11000 BC, As the ice retreats at the end of the latest ice age, wild grain grows on plains and is harvested by wandering tribes. 1000 BC People in the Near East develop agriculture by domesticating grain. Goats and dogs are domesticated. 8000 BC The Neolithic (new stone age) revolution is in its final phase. Small settlements are found in Canaan with houses built over round holes in the ground that are about 15ft. wide. The houses are topped with thatched roofs. 8500 BC America, Beans and potatoes are grown in Peru, and pumpkins are raised in Middle America. 8000 BC [get this!] Human population of earth increases rapidly and reaches more than 5 million. 7500 BC Jericho, northwest of the Dead Sea, is a fortified city with a massive stone tower 30ft. in diameter - the oldest known monumental structure in the world. 5500 BC Workers in Mesopotamia and Egypt develop skill in working copper, gold, and silver. 3941 BC, Adam and Eve are

This Month Only! **THE THIRD PARADIGM** by **Mark A. Ludwig**

God and government in the 21st Century

We are living in an age in which great nations are failing. Once prosperous nations strive to hide their bankruptcy so they can continue their borrowing and spending. Once righteous nations now populate their highest posts with every kind of corruption. And ideals like freedom no longer seem to merit public discussion, even when laws are enacted that are a slap in the face to every friend of every thinking man. The failure we are experiencing is a paradigm failure - one that involves the very ideas upon which modern governments are founded. This book analyzes this failure in the light of scripture, taking a grand tour of history to look at past failures of a similar magnitude. Armed with this keen insight, you will understand how today's great failure can only be resolved by going back to the Bible for a model of civil government, which is the third paradigm. You will also learn just what this biblical model consists of, and see that it is not a model for the distant past or the future. Rather, it is something for real men in today's world. Are you one? Get this book!!

609 sug don \$22.80 - this month \$18.00



placed in the Garden of Eden.3400 BC A major flood inundates the city of Ur. [In 1929, the archeologist Sir Leonard Wooley discovered an 8ft layer of silt from this local flood and suggested that it may have come from Noah's flood.] ... etc."(*The Bible Timeline*, by Thomas Robinson, *The Five Mile Press P/L* 351 Whitehorse Road, Balwyn 3103, Australia, copyright 1992 by Michael Friedman Publishing Group, Inc. - it may be available from **Koorong**, CIM)

The atheist says that man came into existence solely by chance and that all living things, including the races of mankind, evolved from the same one cell organism over a very long period of time. [Yeah, I had a crystal radio a long time ago, and over many years in evolved into a computer]. The fundamentalist says that God created Adam and Eve brownish in color and that God violated His "kind after kind" law

to bring the other races suddenly and miraculously into existence hundreds of years later. *Covenant Christianity* says that God created Adam/Eve and each of the other races separately and at different times; and He said, "It was good."

Courtesy The New Covenant Messenger,
PO Box 321 Union KY 41091

THE TEARS OF ESAU by Franklin Sanders

(www.the-moneychanger.com)

"For ye know how that afterward, when he would have inherited the blessing, he was rejected: for he found no place of repentance, though he sought it carefully with tears." -Hebrews 12:17.

I have spent a week struggling against writing this article. I knew where it must take me, and I fought against the trip. But I have only one gift - Stating the Obvious - and now duty makes me exercise it.

Know first that it gives me only sorrow and deep grief to contemplate the terrible events of September 11. The loss of anyone we love, unique and forever irreplaceable, stifles presumption. The loss of thousands at a stroke defies words. Humility and compassion demand a decent silence before we speak. The crime is so enormous, the blow so awful, who can comment? But we cannot forever stand by the graveside. The living must go on, with all humility gleaning what wisdom they can from events. We ought to consider the aftermath in at least three dimensions: spiritual, economic, and political.

He Missed The Opportunity

On September 11, 2002 George Bush missed the greatest opportunity offered to any American politician in the last sixty years. That day he might have appeared on television and said to the American people, "Today America has suffered a terrible catastrophe. The All-sovereign God of the universe removed His protecting hand from us, and allowed our enemies to strike our nation. There is no help in heaven and earth but from Him, therefore let us afflict our souls and turn to Him in repentance, confessing our sins, and He will heal us and forgive. I therefore proclaim the next seven days a national week of prayer and fasting in repentance for our national sins." George Bush didn't do that. Neither did anybody else who had a national forum of any size. Those few who dared to hint that America's own sins might lie behind this chastisement were cursed and reviled for their "hate speech." Rather than turn to the Lord of Nations, the only true God, the God of Israel, America turned to the "god of a thousand faces" in their "houses of worship." Blather by the boatload foamed through the airwaves, stifling rational thought and repentance.

In an orgy of self-righteousness, America suffered collective national amnesia. Now no evil, real or imagined, which the American government might have done to these terrorists could possibly justify the murders they committed. These killers must be ruthlessly hunted out and punished. But neither can any good come of covering our own national sins with self-righteous outrage. Whenever we are chastised, personally or nationally, we ought to examine ourselves to see where our own sin might lie.

Promptly, however, Americans forgot about Baghdad, Belgrade, Dresden, and Hamburg. They forgot the United States invented total war 140 years ago, overturning the laws of warfare Christendom had laboriously built over two millenia. Forgotten were the 1,800 Cruise missiles the US has launched into the Balkans and Central Asia in the

past 10 years. Forgotten are the 1.4 million Muslims who have perished from US intervention in their countries since 1970. Forgotten is the American government as it appears, not to us who are people of good will, but to those who have received its rough attentions over the last century. Forgotten, too, are the forty million victims of the American holocaust, **abortion**. Forgotten our cold materialism, our idolatrous devotion to money (Mammon) and power (Ba'al). Forgotten our own uncleanness, our exaltation of pornography, fornication, adultery, and homosexuality. Forgotten our arrogance. All that lay in mute oblivion. Forgotten was the God who has from our

first days blessed and protected us in tender mercy. Few were the voices with courage to say, "America, your only hope lies in the Gospel, and repentance." Fewer still the ears that heard.

Bad Theology in a Time of Crisis

My friend Pastor John Weaver (*Christian and Civil Government*, #654 @ \$22.00; *God's Righteous Vengeance*, #766 @ \$16.40; *Sovereignty of God*, #302 @ \$22.50), told me about a sermon that he heard preached at the funeral of a boy who had died in one of the blasts. The preacher said, "God didn't want it, but He couldn't stop it." However it may anger anyone who hears it, the truth is still the truth. As John correctly observed, **the God that does not ultimately control all events is also powerless to save.**

Make no mistake here. Sin caused the carnage in New York and Washington, and God is never the author of evil (No? see Isaiah 45:7!). Still, He controls all events, and orders even evil in a sinful world for our good. "Even the wrath of man shall praise thee." Anyone who denies America's own sin puts a bar to repentance.

The prophet Habakkuk lamented the sin of Israel and cried to God: How long will you allow them to go unpunished? God then revealed to him that He would indeed punish Israel, by sending the wicked Chaldeans to carry them off into captivity. What! Habakkuk gagged. Can God be righteous if He uses the less righteous to punish the more righteous. Yes, was the only reply the prophet heard. The just live by faith, not human merit. Are any of you less deserving of God's wrath than these sinners? (Luke 13:1-5) How can you be justified by your own crippled righteousness? No, the just shall live by faith.

Is America a Christian Nation?

CLEARANCE

A Kingdom Commentary on Romans

by Dr. Everett Ramsey

Some people will read this commentary and praise God that answers to very difficult questions have finally been answered. Others will read it and think the author ignorant or a bigot or a racist. But, really, both extremes will be wrong. This writer believes that this commentary only teaches what the Holy Spirit was saying through Paul, a great apostle, yet a man, with limited frame of reference like us all. We have done our best to be accurate, correct and without error. However we are but men, too.

#158 sug don \$27.90 this month \$18.00



While America is not in the formal sense a covenanted Christian nation, it certainly is a Christian nation historically and generally. No less an authority than the United States Supreme Court (practically deeming themselves to be 'gods' these days) formally declared it a Christian nation in Holy Trinity Church vs United States, 143 U.S. 471 (1892). After mentioning various circumstances, the court added, "These and many other matters which might be noticed, add a volume of unofficial declarations to the mass of organic utterances that this is a Christian nation."

But whether you agree that America is a Christian nation or not, the Lord of Nations still rules and chastises all nations and peoples, as the prophet Jonah, for example, makes clear. Deuteronomy 28 lays out God's blessings and curses on Israel, and, by inference, any Christian nation that refuses to obey Him:

- Confusion and rebuke (vv. 20, 29)
- Pestilence (21, 27, 35, 61-62)
- Drought (24)
- Invasion, defeat before your enemies, and fear of them (25, 49, 57, 65-66)
- Frustration of all plans (30, 38-42)
- Spoilation (30-34)
- Madness (34)
- Exile to slavery and idolatry (36, 63, 65)
- Foreign domination by immigrants (43-44)
- Despair (65-67)

What is the key to this punishment? God measures it out to fit the disobedience (47-48). "You wouldn't serve Me with joy and a glad heart under My mild yoke, so you will serve your enemies under an iron yoke." Please be aware that I take no joy, but only great grief, in pointing this out, nor is it a prediction. It is a warning from the Word of God. Is there then no hope for America? Perish the very thought! The mercies of God are new every morning, the hope of His forgiveness ever fresh. Like the father in the parable of the prodigal sin, He rushes forward to receive penitent sinners.

But the only hope is the Gospel of the Lord Jesus Christ. All other shifts are merely the tears of Esau. And don't fool yourself that it is all those other bad old Americans that have brought this chastisement on us. Look in the mirror, Christian. Judgment begins at the house of the Lord. If this nation is to return to God in repentance, only His Church can lead the way. Our duty, then, is plain. We have to beseech God night and day to grant our country and ourselves repentance. That means you and I have to fast and pray, in hope that God in wrath will remember mercy.

None of us is the President. None of us individually has the power to punish these terrorists or protect our nation, but we are not without power. We have an ever-open door to the mercy seat of God, and surely He will not refuse to hear us.

** Courtesy Patriarch.

some letters and responses from Patriarch:

A Hurting Wife

Dear Phil, Thank you so much for the "Perverted Patriarchy" article in issue #36. I have been under perverted patriarchy for nearly twenty years now. I am struggling to keep my sanity as I see my oldest rebel and my other children grow up and start questioning the inconsistency. (They usually go to the spouse that isn't inconsistent). I struggle with respecting my husband especially in my thought life. I feel all my work as a homeschool mother may be futile. I

have gone to him over and over again (not nagging) with concerns. After a long lecture and reminders of my duty as a submissive wife, I am left hurt, confused, and hopeless feeling.

Will you please have regular articles on the subject and how fathers provoke their children? (Mothers, too)

I do think there are many hurting women and children. Many times they appear as a model family. All our friends would say my husband is a good godly husband because he never reveals his abuse outside the home.

I would like to also see men challenge each other more. Examples: *How does your wife feel about moving to Tibet?* or, *How does your wife feel about remaining in these sinful surroundings?* Reminding fathers of young children to help make a way for their wives to get out. Rebuke fathers when they speak harshly to their wives and children. Remind husbands to understand their wives, etc. I am sure many of your readers could contribute to a regular feature like this. Keep up your good work.

A hurting, confused wife/
mother/

Editor's (Phil's) Response:

We've received a lot of letters like this one over the years. Occasionally we print one as a reminder, believing it is not inappropriate since the note came anonymously. We realize there are always two sides to any particular story, but we've seen enough to know that the generic concerns this woman expresses are pretty widespread. What would your wife write if she wrote an anonymous letter about home life with you?

By all means feel free to use this space to share your challenges to one another as husbands and fathers. That's what

the space is for! **

Children Departing

Dear Mr Lancaster, I thank the Lord continually ... well, more like every time I get another issue of *Patriarch* (*Patriarch* is available in Aust. from *Above Rubies*, PO Box 500, Broadbeach QLD 4218). Your publication has been a source of comfort as well as a challenge to me as a father.

I do not want to burden you with a great deal of details ... suffice it to say that as a Christian School teacher and the father of six children, the Lord seems to be really testing my faith and challenging me as to the meaning and purpose of praying continually and staying on course. My second oldest daughter has chosen to leave home because she was legally an adult (her words) and wanted to know what it is like to be on her own without her parents bugging her all the time.

Now she has informed us she is pregnant and we are so dismayed I am driven to my knees as never before. I am not writing this so much to ask for advice ... I really feel powerless other than to pray and ask God to open her heart

Name Withheld **

Dear Phil: Your website came up at a very crucial time for us as a family. We have 7 children from 19 to 1 yr old. Many of the things the Lord has shown you, He has shown us also in His perfect way to bring up a home-schooled/home-learned child in the admonition of the Lord. To cut a long story short, due to some compromises



sneaking into our lives unawares, our 2 eldest daughters have left home together to try and make it without their parents. Deep down they know better but the lure of independence, to be someone, etc. has snagged them (we hope just for the short term). We are praying their sojourn would come to nothing and they would be restored to the family and take up their calling in Him to serve in the immediate family until they are to be married. If you would be led to pray for them and our family, we would be thankful in the Lord for that.

Name withheld.

Phil's Response: These two letters express a problem that is of epidemic proportions in our little homeschool/patriarchal subculture: children who grow up around this alternative lifestyle stuff (homeschool, courtship, patriarchy, etc.) but who check out and take off when they reach the age where surrounding society deems them free to make their own choices. I hear more of it regarding the girls than the guys, but I assume that's just because the guys have more recognized liberty to begin with, and it's not as noticeable when they abandon their parents home and values. (My oldest son is turning 21 and is already pretty much on his own anyway. If he were to reject all I've taught him it wouldn't be as noticeable - although it would be just as bad - as if my daughters, 22 & 18, left home).

What's going on here? Well, the simple answer is that obviously the children did not take ownership of the way of life of their parents. But why? Several possibilities come to mind. (I don't know the circumstances of the families who wrote, so I am not responding to them in particular. And believe me, I see my own failures even as I describe these things).

(1) **No clear vision.** It may be that the parents have not articulated and implemented a clear alternative lifestyle that incorporates what, for shorthand, we'll just call patriarchal values. It may be that the parents had an idea in their head, a dream, that they could never even describe clearly to their children, much less implement in their home. So the kids did not really pick up the alternative and were ready to fit in with the larger social program.

(2) **Failure to win the hearts of the children.** It may be that, although the parents knew exactly what they wanted and made efforts to form their family life around patriarchal values, they never won the hearts of their children to the enterprise. They may have thought that just because the children were compliant they were on board, whereas really they were biding their time and putting up with something they did not accept or believe necessary. This would suggest the parents did not make it a point to know what was in the hearts and minds of their children.

(3) Allowing those with different values to disciple the children. It may be that the children were exposed to large doses of companionship and discipleship which worked counter to the aims of the parents. Peers of your children who don't share your family values can be a major counterforce to your influence. Likewise teachers and other adult models. The more the hearts of our kids are given to those who represent contrary standards, the more those standards

will seem reasonable and attractive to them. It will then not be such a big deal for them to choose what seems right to everybody but us.

There are no doubt other possible factors that could account for losing our children to the alien way of life, but I'm convinced these are the biggies. At the risk of appearing simplistic, let's point out what we need to do to counteract the failures inherent in the three points above.

(1) We need aggressively to work to define what it is we want for our families, and then we have to self-consciously and specifically implement the implications of that vision in our families. The ideas need to be clear, and the lifestyle choices have to be fleshed out in concrete ways.

(2) We can't take our children's hearts for granted. Instead we need to be saying with Solomon, "My son, give me your heart, and let your eyes observe my ways"

(Prov 23:26). Too often we men are glad that things are going smoothly with no sign of problems. But we need to get beyond the surface and find out what is really going on in our kids.

(3) We need scrupulously to be the gatekeepers of our families, guarding them from friends and other influences that may steal their affections from us and the values we are labouring to instill in them. One implication of this: We need churches (or ekklesia's if you don't like the word "church") and other alternative communities that share our values and of which our families can be part, finding their identity with those who support the vision that we seek to instill. You can not do this

alone! If you care about your children - get together regularly (at church, or community) with fellow-believers!!

Courtesy Patriarch, PO Box 50, Willis VA 24380

"CHURCH DISCIPLE: THE MISSING MARK"

by R. Albert Mohler, Jr.

The decline of church discipline is perhaps the most visible failure of the contemporary church. No longer concerned with maintaining purity of confession or lifestyle, the contemporary church sees itself as a voluntary association of autonomous members, with minimal moral accountability to God, much less to each other.

The absence of church discipline is no longer remarkable - it is generally not even noticed. Regulative and restorative church discipline is, to many church members, no longer a meaningful category, or even a memory. The present generation of both ministers and church members is virtually without experience of biblical church discipline.

As a matter of fact, most Christians introduced to the biblical teaching concerning church discipline confront the issue of church discipline as an idea they have never before encountered. At first hearing, the issue seems as antiquated and foreign as the Spanish Inquisition and the Salem witch trials. Their only acquaintance with the disciplinary ministry of the church is often a literary invention such as *The Scarlet Letter*.

And yet, without a recovery of functional church dis-

New Book: VOID OF OFFENSE TO GOD AND MAN Robert Alan Balaicius

A very deep study into the attitude and mind-set the Christian-Israelite should demonstrate toward both God and his Mainstream Christian brethren who are in blindness. More spiritual meat in one small booklet than most Christians have had in their entire lives. Penetrating insight into the difference between truly being in Christ and acceptable to God, or being deceived by the flesh into counterfeit good works that will one day be rejected by the Father. Greater insight and convincing logic concerning the fact that God never did away with His Law: His Holy Standard for His people. Filled with unique analogies, poignant humor, and two-edged sword logic and teaching characteristic of Balaicius thinking and writing style. No Christian-Israelite should continue one more week of his life without knowing and applying the vital truth revealed in this booklet.

#335 @ sug don \$15.00ppd



cipline - firmly established upon the principles revealed in the Bible - the church will continue its slide into moral dissolution and relativism. Evangelicals have long recognized discipline as the "third mark" of the authentic church. Authentic biblical discipline is not an elective, but a necessary and integral mark of authentic Christianity.

How did this happen? How could the church so quickly and pervasively abandon one of its most essential functions and responsibilities? The answer is found in developments both internal and external to the church.

Put simply, the abandonment of church discipline is linked to Christianity's creeping accommodation to the nation's culture. As the 20th century began, this accommodation became increasingly evident as the church acquiesced to a culture of moral individualism.

Though the nineteenth century was not a golden era for Evangelicals, the century did see the consolidation of evangelical theology and church patterns. Manuals of church discipline and congregational records indicate that discipline was regularly applied. Protestant congregations exercised discipline as a necessary and natural ministry to the members of the church, and as a means of protecting the doctrinal and moral integrity of the congregation.

As ardent congregationalists, the Baptists left a particularly instructive record of nineteenth-century discipline. Historian Gregory A. Wills aptly commented, "To an antebellum Baptist, a church without discipline would hardly have counted as a church." Churches held regular "Days of Discipline" when the congregation would gather to heal breaches of fellowship, admonish wayward members, rebuke the obstinate, and, if necessary, excommunicate those who resisted discipline. In so doing, congregations understood themselves to be following a biblical pattern laid down by Christ and the apostles for the protection and correction of disciples.

No sphere of life was considered outside the congregation's accountability. Members were to conduct their lives and witness in harmony with the Bible and with established moral principles. Depending on the denominational polity, discipline was codified in church covenants, books of discipline, congregational manuals, confessions of faith. Discipline covered both doctrine and conduct. Members were disciplined for behavior that violated biblical principles or congregational covenants, but also for violations of doctrine and belief. Members were considered to be under the authority of the congregation and accountable to each other.

By the turn of the century, however, church discipline was already on the decline. In the wake of the Enlightenment, criticism of the Bible and of the doctrines of evangelical orthodoxy was widespread. Even the most conservative denominations began to show evidence of decreased attention to theological orthodoxy. At the same time, the larger culture moved toward the adoption of autonomous moral individualism. The result of these internal and external developments was the abandonment of church discipline as ever larger portions of the church member's life were considered off-limits to the congregation.

This great shift in church life followed the tremendous cultural transformations of the early 20th century - an era of "progressive" thought and moral liberalization. By the 1960's, only a minority of churches even pretended to practice regulative church discipline. Significantly, confessional accountability and moral discipline were generally abandoned together.

The theological category of sin has been replaced, in many circles, with the psychological concept of therapy. As Philip Reiff has argued, the "triumph of the therapeutic" is now a fixture of modern culture. Church members may make poor choices, fail to live up to the expectations of an oppressive culture, or be inadequately self-actualized - but

they no longer sin.

Individuals now claim an enormous zone of personal privacy and moral autonomy. The congregation - redefined as a mere voluntary association - has no right to intrude into this space. Many congregations have forfeited any responsibility to confront even the most public sins of their members. Consumed with pragmatic methods of church growth and congregational engineering, most churches leave moral matters to the domain of the individual conscience.

As Thomas Oden notes, the confession of sin is now passé and hopelessly outdated to many minds.

Naturalistic reductionism has invited us to reduce alleged individual sins to social influences for which individuals are not responsible. Narcissistic hedonism has demeaned any talk of sin or confession as ungratifying and dysfunctional. Autonomous individualism has divorced sin from a caring community. Absolute relativism has regarded moral values as so ambiguous that there is no measuring rod against which to access anything as sin. The modernity, which is characterized by the confluence of these four ideological streams, has presumed to do away with confession, and has in fact made confession an embarrassment to the accommodating church of modernity.

The very notion of shame has been discarded by a generation for which shame is an unnecessary and repressive hindrance to personal fulfillment. Even secular observers have noted the shamelessness of modern culture. As James Twitchell comments:

We have in the last generation tried to push shame aside. The human-potential and recovered-memory movements in psychology; the moral relativism of audience-driven Christianity; the penalty-free, all-ideas-are-equally-good transformation in higher education; the rise of no-fault behaviour before the law; the often outrageous distortions in the telling of history so that certain groups can feel better about themselves; and the "I'm shame-free, but you should be ashamed of yourself."

Twitchell sees the Christian church aiding and abetting this moral transformation and abandonment of shame - which is, after all, a natural product of sinful behavior. **"Looking at the Christian Church today, you can only see a dim pentimento of what was once painted in the boldest of colours. Christianity has simply lost it. It no longer articulates the ideal. Sex is on the loose. Shame days are over. The Devil has absconded with sins."** As Twitchell laments, "Go and sin no more" has been replaced with "Judge not lest you be judged."

Tied to a worry about offending church members is the rise of the "rights culture," which understands society only in terms of individual rights rather than moral responsibility ... Unable or unwilling to deal with moral categories, modern men and women resort to the only moral language they know and understand - the unembarrassed claim to "rights" that society has no authority to limit or deny. This "rights talk" is not limited to secular society, however. Church members are so committed to their own version of "rights talk" that some congregations accept almost any behavior, belief, or "lifestyle" as acceptable, or at least off-limits to congregational sanction.

The result of this is the loss of the biblical pattern for the church - and the impending collapse of authentic Christianity in this generation. As Carl Laney laments, "The church today is suffering from an infection which has been allowed to fester ... As an infection weakens the body by destroying its defense mechanisms, so the church has been weakened by this ugly sore. **The**



church has lost its power and effectiveness in serving as a vehicle for social, moral, and spiritual change. This illness is due, at least in part, to a neglect of church discipline."

reprinted from Heritage Baptist Church's newsletter, Pastor Robert McCurry, 3613 Hwy. 34 East, Sharpsburg, GA 30277.



7.3 In the sealing of the 144,000 why did the Bible leave out the names of Ephraim and Dan? Were they cut off from Israel, as some say?

Joseph, you recall, had two sons, Ephraim and Manasseh. The listing in Revelation 7, however, lists Joseph in place of Ephraim. This is proper, since Ephraim was the prime inheritor of the birthright along with Manasseh, his brother. Thus, we should understand "Joseph" to be referring specifically to Ephraim. So Ephraim is not really left out at all.

There have been many attempts to explain the omission of these tribes, especially that of Dan. A popular view (mostly among futurists) is that the tribe of Dan was blotted out from Israel for their idolatry, and they often include Ephraim as well in this exclusion from Israel. This interpretation is based upon Deut 29:18-20, where God warns Israel that if any man, woman, family, or tribe turns away from God, He would blot out their names from under heaven. Dan and Ephraim were said to be the MOST idolatrous tribes, and therefore, they were blotted out of the registry of Israel forever.

We disagree on the following grounds: (1) ALL of the tribes were guilty of idolatry, and even though the golden calves were set up in Dan and Bethel (capital cities of Dan and Ephraim), yet all of the tribes were more than willing to worship them, and all should share the blame equally. This is supported by the simple fact that all of the tribes were deported to Assyria and divorced from God.

(2) The rest of Deut. 29 makes it clear that God was looking ahead to the dispersion of ALL the tribes. Verse 28 defines the judgment as being cast into another land. Thus, the phrase, "blot out his name from under heaven," does not refer to an absolute and total destruction of those two tribes, but rather to dispersion. Since God had married Israel at Mount Sinai (in Arabia - not the Sinai peninsula, see: *The Mount Sinai Myth*, #650 @ \$34.35), this blotting out was the divorce.

(3) Ephraim was the prime holder of the Israel birthright. We find it inconceivable that God would give the birthright to a tribe that would ultimately be completely lost and "blotted out."

(4) Hosea castigates the tribe of Ephraim moreso than any other tribe. And yet his last words to Ephraim were of comfort in the midst of judgment. Hosea 13:12-14 says: "The iniquity of Ephraim is bound up: his sin is hid. The sorrows of a travailing woman shall come upon him; he is an unwise son; for he should not stay long in the places of the breaking forth of children. I will ransom them from the power of the grave; I will redeem them from death; O death, I will be thy plagues; O grave, I will be thy destruction." Those words would be inappropriate for a tribe that had been "blotted out."

(5) Ezekiel 37:16-20 tells us that the stick of Ephraim-Joseph shall be united with the stick of Judah in the latter

days. This would be impossible if Ephraim were blotted out.

(6) In Ezra 6:17, which occurred 200 years after Ephraim and Dan had been deported into Assyria with the rest of Israel, we find that Ezra offered up sacrifices "for all Israel, twelve he goats, according to the number of the tribes of Israel." These 12 tribes were not all present in the land, of course. (The genealogical records in Ezra and Nehemiah list only Judah, Benjamin, and Levi). However, they offered up sacrifices for all the tribes, because this signified that they understood the prophetic writings to mean that all of the tribes would one day be united in God's Kingdom. This alone proves that Ephraim and Dan could not possibly have been blotted out forever in the absolute sense.

(7) The tribe of Dan appeared first in Ireland as the "Tuatha de Danaans" (which means "tribeship of Dan"). The subsequent history of Northern Ireland, where this tribe settled, would not seem to indicate that they had been utterly cast away as a tribe in Israel.

Another viewpoint, which we consider as having the most merit, is based upon the historical interpretation of Revelation. The sealing of the 12 tribes was a historical necessity, in order to preserve the Israelites from destruction as they migrated into Europe from Assyria. The Roman Empire was a threat, so God placed His seal of protection upon them.

Now you recall that the land allotted to the tribe of Dan in Palestine during the days of Joshua was not taken from the Philistines until the time of David. The Danites were not about to wait 400 years to inherit land of their own, so within 5 years of their entering Canaan, they began to strike out on their own (see Judges 18). Deborah even criticized the tribe of Dan for remaining in their ships, while their brethren were at war (Judges 5:17). The fact is, the tribe of Dan colonized many places. The "Danai" were some of the most notable Greek warriors mentioned in Homer's *The Illiad*. The "Tuatha de Danaans" were another branch of Danites that settled first in Spain, then in Ireland.

Thus, according to this view, the tribe of Dan was not in special need of divine protection from the Roman legions, because Dan was out of her reach. For this reason, Dan was omitted from the list of sealed tribes.

7.4 Who were the Nicolaitanes of Rev. 2?

This may possibly refer to a small sect within the church of Ephesus, to whom this verse is directed. If so, there is no historical record of it other than here. Some say that it refers to followers of some man named Nicolas, and they attach no further meaning to the word.

Others, however, break the word down into two Greek words, nikao ("to overcome") and laos ("the people, or laity"). Thus, the essential meaning of the word would be "to overcome the laity." One of the most ancient problems has been the evil practice of a priestly caste lording it over the flock, as though they had special privileges and a God-given right to expect obedience from the people.

Matthew 23:8 says, "But be ye not called Rabbi: for One is your Master anointed; and all ye are brethren." (Rabbi means "my master"). Peter also roundly denounces those elders of the churches who abuse their brethren: "Feed the flock of God which is among you, taking the oversight thereof, not by constraint, but willingly; not for filthy lucre, but of a ready mind; Neither as being lords over God's heritage, but being ensamples to the flock." (1 Peter 5:2,3).

Courtesy America's Promise, Box 157, Sandpoint ID 83864



“WHY THE CHURCH?”

- Pastor Lawrence Blanchard

(if you have a “hang-up” about seeing the word “church”, you may read it as the biblical word, “ecclesia”, CIM) It seems that every week there is another piece of evidence or a new development that confirms the continuing deterioration of the Caucasian people spiritually, morally, intellectually, and physically. Our people, on the whole, do not truly fear or know the God of their fathers. They are not zealous for, but are rather ambivalent to, the holy faith-based heritage of their fathers, the biblical patriarchs. Their nation (and nations) have become a haven for foreign races and their religions, in violation of the first commandment: “You shall have no other gods before Me” (Exo 20:3), among other laws. As their ancestors of old, they are increasingly conforming to the destructive and degenerative cultures of the aliens in their midst - in art, music, apparel, language, and so forth. And now, because of their arrogant disobedience to the God of their fathers, He has sent wasting diseases, pestilences, and the devourer of their lands and their wealth. Despite their punishment and curses from God so justly deserved, our people’s rebellion continues unabated.

Frankly, there is not much hope in the near or distant future, except that God has declared that He would “not fail ... nor destroy” (Deut 4:31) His people, even if they rebelled against Him. Why? Because God would not “forget the covenant with” their “fathers” - Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob - and because He “loved” them (Deut 4:31, 37). Although we should expect God’s just punishment unto His people, in the end He will preserve them as His own holy possession.

While we wait upon God in prayer and earnest supplication for the repentance and deliverance of our people, we can be a part of the solution to the present apostate condition in our land. That solution is to become a committed member of a local church or be a part of starting a church. Every true local church is a building block of God’s Kingdom on earth. It serves as an ever present testimony, by example and teaching, of God’s truth and righteousness. The local church is absolutely necessary in the fulfillment of God’s plan and purpose for His people both now and in the future. Here then, are some good reasons why the church is critical to the unfolding drama and manifestation of the Kingdom of God.

PROTECTION AGAINST FALSE TEACHING

The church is designed by God to be “the pillar and support of the truth” (1 Tim. 3:15). It is God’s instrument to make known His truth and manifold wisdom in order to guide, direct, counsel, and warn our people, families, and civil authorities in the local community. The church protects from false doctrine so that our people and government may be stable (Eph. 4:14) and experience the blessing of God and experience His Kingdom, that is, “righteousness, joy and peace in the Holy Spirit” (Romans 14:17).

Who is going to effectively teach the truth of the covenant message to the true Israelites today? We have many tools available now (books, tapes, pamphlets), and we have

the internet. They are helpful, but they are not the answer. **Our people need a local, physical witness of a church** comprised of members of a local area who will **consistently** serve as a witness of the truth.

PROTECTION FOR RACIAL PURITY

Where are we going to help find marriage partners for our Saxon children in order to perpetuate the holy seed line if not in a local church? Many have relied on annual camp meetings to try to find a future mate [and with some success]. But how much safer it is to nurture and develop a pool of potential mates for our children in and through a local church? In a local church, there is time for families to get to know one another in a wholesome environment over an extended period. Successful marriages begin well before the ceremony with the development of the same biblical convictions and cultural values in a church atmosphere.

PROTECTION FOR EDUCATIONAL INTEGRITY

Viable options for the education of our children are dwindling because of the economic necessities and consequent demands on our time. Home schooling is a great choice, but not always possible. Parents, conscientious about providing a good and godly education for their children, often are forced to find ways to keep them out of the public school system and provide alternatives. A local church can be a vital part of that quest for a God-honouring education.

In Deuteronomy 6, Moses commanded all Israel (the church under the Old Covenant) to teach

their sons and daughters. It is certainly the responsibility of the parents, but also the local church. The church is designed to help pass on the historical truths and laws of the God of our fathers to the next generation. We need local churches to begin to step up and replace the alien government school system. We need to provide a place for an alternative education of our children based on our biblical and cultural heritage, and otherwise, protect our children from the constant, ever pervasive multicultural propaganda now destroying God’s chosen. [if people are committed, churches grow into communities, and communities into nations. CIM]

PROTECTION FROM FUTILITY

The small remnant of our people who know who they are, and have received the covenant message, are **mostly scattered** without a church home. Although we tend to cherish and thrive on our independence, our **relational growth with the Father and with each other becomes stunted** without a church home. Simply put, Christians cannot produce spiritual fruit of lasting consequence for God’s purposes without communion with members of a local church. Why? There are three reasons. First, no individual can grow up into maturity in Jesus except they learn to be accountable to one another. The Apostle Paul wrote: “...Be subject to one another in the fear of Christ (anointing)” (Eph 5:21). To grow, we need correction and

WE LIVE IN A COUNCIL HOUSE

Here we live in a council house, not grand but rather worn,
But underneath its damp, flat roof, great men of God are born.
The paint is peeling off the walls, the carpets are wearing thin,
But on its broken furniture God’s children are trained within.

Some people look at the outside and think that we are poor,
But the richest gifts are found inside if they’d only look in the door.

“How can you stand to live there?” we hear some people say,
“Five children squashed in one room and another on the way?”

If God Omnipotent sent His Son to come in human form,
And in a human body, God’s redemption plan to perform ..

How can I complain or fuss even if I think it is small?

For one day we’ll go to a mansion when we hear His call.

“Arrows” are polished in this small house; they’re trained to overcome,

I pray they’ll stand on the Word of God if persecution should come.

To train a child in the way he should go, when he is old he won’t turn,

So under the roof of a council house our children live and learn.

You may live in a stately house with plush carpets from wall to wall,

But will your children stand firm or to this world will they fall?

Ruth Drapper, Coggeshall, Essex, England [modified]

Courtesy Above Rubies, Feb 2000.



counsel.

Second, no individual can grow up into spiritual maturity except he learns to love the brethren. If a professing Christian desires to love and obey God, then he must learn to "serve" other believers "through love" and fulfill "the whole law" (Galatians 5:13-14). Again, Paul repeats that this "Law is fulfilled in one word ... 'You shall love your neighbour as yourself'" (Gal. 5:14). This can only be done in a local church where love can be expressed on a daily, weekly, and yearly basis.

Third, an individual cannot grow up to produce spiritual fruit unless he can use his God-given gifts to benefit others in the church for Jesus' sake. A Christian must genuinely believe he is doing something of eternal significance. Unless he can perform the "good works ... which God prepared beforehand ..." (Eph. 2:10) on a consistent basis, he will live in frustrated futility. A local church is the logical situation whereby one can minister and be used of God for the significant purpose.

CONCLUSION

Pray and actively seek out a local church situation. If you can't find one, or can't or do not want to help start one, consider changing your location to be near a local church.

The local church is the only answer for our people and for our posterity. Books come and go. The internet is transitory. But the church remains "to all generations forever and ever. Amen." (Eph. 3:21).

WHERE SHOULD A CHRISTIAN LIVE?

pastor Don Elmore

When a Christian makes the decision as to where they are going to live, what are some of the considerations that go into that decision? Sadly, the following is an example of the priority list of many "seek ye *last* the Kingdom of God" Christians.

1. Job availability
2. Proximity to the extended family.
3. Proximity to friends.
4. Proximity to recreational areas.
5. Proximity to medical resources.
6. Quality of the local schools.
7. Weather conditions.
8. Housing costs.
9. State income tax, city taxes, property tax.
10. Crime levels.
11. Amount of traffic.
12. Pollution levels.
13. Quality of neighbours.
14. Size of the lot or the house or apartment complex.
15. Proximity of parks and recreational areas.
16. Amount of city and county services.
17. Availability of cable and internet services.
18. Availability of public transportation.
19. Cost of public utilities.
20. Nearness of quality restaurants.
21. Nearness of shopping malls and centers.
22. Nearness of professional and college sport teams.
23. Nearness of fishing lakes.
24. Nearness of horse racing tracks.
25. Nearness of race car speedways.
26. Nearness of libraries.
27. Nearness of museums.
28. Probability of earthquakes.
29. Probability of hurricanes.
30. Probability of tornadoes.
31. Number of garbage pick-ups per week.
32. Whether or not there is a mature, spiritual, and doctrinally sound local church in a reasonable driving distance.

For many professing "Christians," church is mainly a

place to be baptized or dedicated in as a baby, married in as a young adult, and to have their funeral service in at death. For others, it is a place to go to hear a sermon, sing hymns, and pray for an hour on Sunday. For a *small remnant*, it is the most vital place of any institution on the earth and it is the top priority of their life. They choose a place to live by FIRST finding a local church that is both doctrinally sound and that regularly practices godly living with genuine brotherly love.* * * * * What is your order of priorities??

"But seek ye first the Kingdom of God and His righteousness - and all these things shall be added unto you."
Matt 6:33.

WHAT THE GLOBAL ECONOMY MEANS TO YOU

by pastor Lawrence Blanchard

In order to understand the events of our time, especially since 9/11, and the reality of the everchanging conditions that American and other Saxon nations face, we must grasp the nature of the global economy. Presently, the economy in our nation, and all other nations and countries is disintegrating. The Jewish and masonic controlled United States government and media are daily continuing to distract our people from, or otherwise purposefully ignore, the economic meltdown, which is the reality of our situation.

We are ready witnessing signs of this economic collapse everywhere. Here in America, the unemployment rate is skyrocketing. bankruptcies are hitting record levels, and the personal debt of our people continues to climb. And the stock market is on the precipice of a major decline.

What most of our people do not know is what has already been planned for them years ago and what they can expect in the near future, Below are just a few facts, among many, to evidence what this global economy will mean to you.

A LITTLE BACKGROUND

In order to understand the reality of the future (or at least what has been planned by our enemies), it is important to grasp the big picture. The Federal Reserve Banking system is a "fiscal depository" for the International Monetary Fund (IMF). All "tax-payers" make contributions in the progressive income taxation scheme to the Federal Reserve, which, in turn, is used by the IMF to make usurious loans to over 180 countries.

The U.S. government, because it is a 19.96% stockholder in the IMF, oversees and enforces these taxpayer "obligations" to the IMF. These are called "Special Drawing Rights" obligations (SDRs). The U.S. government has not only therefore incorporated under IMF, but has also become an agent of the IMF. The "Principal" of the federal government used to be "We, the People," but now has become the IMF. The federal government's allegiance is to the IMF. This change officially took place at the Bretton Woods Agreement in 1944.

Since then, it has been the objective of the IMF, Federal Reserve banking system, and the corporate U.S. government to control the political, social, and economic levels of America (and everyone else) in order to completely subjugate all Saxon nations and countries and advance a one-world government. Mainly, this attempted subjugation has been, and continues to be, economic in nature.

FUTURE INSOLVENCY

The plan of the IMF et.al. is to put all nations and countries in an economically insolvent position. In a usury system, when the IMF loans out "money" (which is



really fictitious credit - merely book entries) to nations and countries, the eventual result ends in default. The interest rate on these so-called loans makes it impossible for debtor nations and countries to pay it back. Congress has admitted that when even "one or two debtor nations" (like Mexico, Brazil, or Argentina) default on loans from the IMF, that "that would lead to the insolvency" of many U.S. Banks" under the Federal Reserve and IMF system. Of course, that is exactly what has happened this year (98th Congress, First Session, 1983, Legislative History, Public Law 98-181, Supplemental Appropriations, p.93).

From this same source, consider the following:

One Brazilian economist remarked recently that **the debt crisis "does not have a mathematical solution."** Another noted that the "debt is a black hole growing larger on the money it absorbs." Faced with the prospect of several more years of economic stagnation, decline, and rising domestic opposition to IMF conditionality, many Third World leaders may conclude that the pain of repudiation will be less than the pain and social dislocation of trying to repay a constantly growing debt ... These developments do not bode well for the safety and soundness of the U.S. banking system (p.1961).

The infusion of new loans to debtor nations has been used to prop up their debt, but this cannot go on forever and it is coming to an end.

WHO BEARS THE BURDEN?

When countries like Argentina or Brazil, default on IMF loans, it is the American taxpayers who bear the brunt of their debt. Why? First, the IMF and its primary corporate U.S. government has conspired to use the American taxpayers to finance the loans to other third world countries. Aid given via loans to other countries by international financial institutions such as the IMF are "financed by American taxpayers" (*Ibid.*, p.58).

Second, the taxpayer is ultimately responsible for the default of other debtor countries. If debtor countries default, this would lead to "financial collapse" of large American Federal Reserve/IMF banks involved in "international lending." The result?

If this sort of financial collapse occurred, it would shift the cost of any worldwide debt stretch-out onto the American taxpayers. - *Ibid.*, p.101

The outstanding debt of IMF countries cannot be repaid and the day of reckoning has come - upon the American taxpayer.

The taxpayer, "indebted to the United States on account of duties on imports of internal [revenue] taxes," shall not "be released from the obligations to make ultimate payment thereof ..." (61st Congress, Session III, Chap. 191). In other words, the corporate U.S. government has saddled the American taxpayers with the "ultimate payment" for every country's defaulted debt. They pay it all!

WHEN THERE IS NO MORE TO PAY

When the international debt loaned becomes greater than what our people can afford to pay, then the IMF, Federal Reserve, and U.S. government commences what is known as "swap agreements." Essentially, these swap agreements constitute "the set-off of a claim [by the IMF, for example] against any payment due to the debtor ... against cash, securities, or other property of the debtor .. to guarantee, secure or settle any swap agreement" (Public Law 101-311, Title I, Sec. 102). In other words, by Public Law of Congress, the taxpayers of the United States corporate government are subject to confiscation of all their property and natural

resources by the IMF in order to settle their debt to the IMF.

In regard to natural resources (land, water, minerals), this is called the "debt-for-nature swap." Actually, this has already been going on through agencies such as the Bureau of Land Management and the U.S. Forestry Service.

One example has been the confiscation of the Grand Canyon. A sign posted at this site says:

Through Collective Recognition of the Community of Nations Expressed within the Principles of the Convention Concerning Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage

GRAND CANYON NATIONAL PARK

**Has Been Designated a
WORLD HERITAGE SITE**

and joins a select list of protected areas around the world whose outstanding natural and cultural resources form the common inheritance of all mankind.

**Freedom is not free
Free men are not equal
Equal men are not free**

And one more thing, all swap agreements "shall not be stayed, avoided ... by order of a court or administrative agency ... whether or not evidenced in writing, arising under common law, under law merchant, or by reason of normal business practice" (*Ibid.*, Sec. 560)

CONCLUSION

This dire situation arises from the global economic view of things. On the one hand, such evidences help us to understand the present evil world system in which we live. With little hope from this perspective, all we can see is oppressive slavery for generations to come.

However, from the biblical view, we know that the God of our fathers will not ultimately allow His Covenant People to be destroyed by alien schemes. Although we are being punished for our disobedience to His Laws, and have wandered far from Jesus the Anointed and the truth of His gospel, there will be salvation from our enemies for all the descendants of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob who love the truth and hold fast to the obedience of the faith of our fathers.

We call upon Thee, Father, for the sake of Your holy Name, and by our Saviour Jesus, to have mercy upon Your people. Save us by Your hand!

Courtesy The New Covenant Messenger, PO Box 321, Union, KY 41091

We have been hearing a lot about "being against the war, but supporting our troops." Such nonsense comes from the same mindset which says, "hate the sin, but love the sinner." Sorry, but this is not a scriptural concept. This is teaching doublethink. If the war is wrong, then those waging it are guilty of doing something wrong and should face the consequences of that. It has been said, if a soldier sees the moral wrong of going to war, he should seek a discharge on religious objection. If everyone did that, who would the government have left to fight for them? It has also been said "what if they had a war and nobody came?"

May grace, mercy and peace be multiplied to you through Jesus our Lord. Another month has gone by. God-willing we will be in Brisbane late July, and may have time for a few visits. We will be staying in upper Caboolture. Our thanks to all who have written, we appreciate your letters very much. Thanks also for the orders and support, and for any news clippings! May God bless your faithfulness. May the God of our forefathers bless you and keep you safe in these troublesome times,

Hendrik (Bank) Roelofs 14

email hr_cim@top.net.au

