



# Christian Identity Ministries

PO Box 146, CARDWELL QLD 4849, Australia

Ph: 07-4066 0146 Fax: 07-4066 0226 (International 61-7 instead of 07)

"Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; For He hath visited and redeemed *His* people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he swore to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives." Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian people are *ISRAEL!*

#208

Newsletter

July AD2003

## HE FEEDETH AMONG THE LILIES

### -Israelite Roots of the French-

By Adam de Witt

Well, in the writings about *God's plan for Germany*, (#148 @ \$3.55), I have shown that those of Keltic background, find their roots in the Middle Eastern swathe of Chaldea, indeed, that the very name 'Kelt' (Celt) is a form of the name, 'Chald' (of Chaldea). The Gauls or Gaels were Kelts and thus all those good folk of France who claim a lineage from these tribes are of course, the offspring of the true Chaldeans, and thus kinsfolk of Abraham. Such folk are the forerunners or 'foretrekkers' of the Israelites. It means they are Israelite Semitic kin. I have already shown that the Germans are Israelites.

The very name 'German' comes from a swath of land settled by scattered Israelites, south of Parthia, east of today's Iraq, called 'Germania.' Thus they were Isaac's Sons and can rightfully be called, Saxon-Israelites. Seeing that the Franks were a Germanic tribe, then they too are Israelites. So let us look at where the name 'Frank' comes from and if it has any Biblical links to true Israel.

When we think of the French, we also think of their beloved symbol, the lily, the fleur-de-lis or, Anglicized, the Lily-bloom. There is a very good link between the two. Therefore we need to look into some of the clues hidden in the name 'Frank' and the symbol of the lily. In Germany the name 'Frank' is kept alive in the land swath of Franconia and in two towns both bearing the name 'Frankfurt.' 'Furt' does not mean 'fort,' rather it is linked to the English word 'ford,' in turn to the Dutch word 'voorde' and the Norwegian, 'fjord.' In the case of English, Dutch and German, the word means, a river crossing.

The erstwhile tongue of the Franks is not the French of today, rather it was, Frankish. The Franks who came into Gaul around the 5th year-hundred (century) and gave their name to the swathe we now call France, simply lost their Frankish tongue and made the Roman dialects spoken in the land, their own. However, some Frankish words did stay on such as, Bleu (blue), Boulevard (bulwark) and Mannequin (manneke or man-kin = small man). At this point one may rue the loss of yet another Germanic tongue, yet it is not all but gone in France. Not trying to sound like an Astrix and Oblix tale (world renowned comic heroes) but one can't help it. In a small corner of France, a number of Franks are holding out and keeping true Frankish alive. The swathe is north of the Somme and is made up of three provinces, the old names being, Artezia, Henegouwen and Zuid Vlaanderen, now grouped together as one and commonly called, Nord Pas De Calais. This tongue is spoken by many north of the Somme to the Belgian border, then by all north thereof all the way to the top of Holland, today we call it Hollandish, or Dutch. Lin-

guistically it is called, Nether-Frankish, if you like Netherfrench. It is sad irony that for hundreds of years the mighty men of Paris have been trying to stamp out this last cultural link to the Frankish roots. As you see, life is full of quirks.

Although most of the Franks dwelling south of the Somme had Romanized their ways, away from their Frankish ways, they are still Israelite kinsmen and heirs of God's oaths. It matters little what the church traditions say, be these Catholic, Protestant or Neo-Protestant (the new style ones, JW's, Mormons, Christadelphians, World Wide Church of God and so on), these folk are Israelite as long as they have not been race-mixed. Jesus did not die on the cross to save one denomination and forsake another. He died on the cross as a Kinsman Redeemer, thus for kinsfolk, for those under the law, those under the Old Covenant. He came to make a new oath (covenant, testament) with those of the house of Judah and the house of Israel. Thereby bringing the lost sheep tribes together under one deal, they were to be hallowed as his folk. The Greek word for 'hallowed' is 'Christos', thus a hallowed mob of Israelites lost all tribal names and became known by their hallowed calling, Christians. Only the whites of Europe brought Christianity to the world stage. They were of course sinners and so their slant on Jesus' teachings was tainted by their fleshly understandings and so we ended up with churches ranging from Catholicism to Pentecostalism and brother fighting brother. That in-fighting will end.

### IN THIS ISSUE:

He Feedeth Among The Lillies, de Witt .....	1
Is Baptism a Replacement for Circumcision? .....	4
The Silent & Mostly Ignored Invasion .....	6
Misuse of the words, 'all,' 'every,' whosoever' ....	6
I Wandered, Silent and Alone, .....	8
The Preacher, The Deacon & Coincidence .....	9
A Vision unto the 3rd, 4th, & 5th Generation .....	9
Hannah Arnett's Faith .....	10
The Bible: Whose Book Is It? .....	10
God's Immigration Laws .....	11

The views and opinions expressed in the articles herein or herewith are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIM. They are written by fallible men. You must ask Jesus to guide your studies!

The root meaning of the word, Frank, is older than the Old High German, 'Franco' and the Low Latin, 'Francus' from whence many claim the word came. Its root is linked with the word Frankincense, itself made up of 'Franc-incens.' Frank here meaning sober or pure, even white (white being the hue of purity). These meanings were also stretched to take on another meaning, that being, 'free.' This is because, sober and pure mean, to be 'free of all flecks or spots.' If one's thinking was free of 'thought baggage,' then one is called outspoken and thus in English, a free speaker is one who is 'frank.' A Frank in Saxon culture was one who was not a serf, and was called a Frank or Freeman. It is noteworthy that the truth of God sets us free, it makes us freemen, it makes us 'mindful (spiritual) Franks'! After the Israelites broke away from the Assyrian bondage to go into Europe, they became, freemen ... Franks.

Now let us look at the stuff called 'frankincense.' It is a sweet-smelling plant resin from the Middle East. Funny, that is where the Israelites came from ... In the book of Revelation 18:13, frankincense is linked with some of the main symbols of Israelite levels of understanding, namely, wine, oil and meal (flour). In the Greek writings it is written as 'libanos.' In the Hebrew writings, the word for Frankincense is 'Lebonah.' Strong's Concordance tells us that the meaning may thus be given from its whiteness or maybe also its smoke when burned. Another reason for the link to whiteness is because of the word 'libnah' meaning whiteness. It is worth keeping in mind that Jacob's father in law was called 'Laban.' Laban means, white. Frank therefore can mean white or Laban as a pointer to our earliest Israelite links to Jacob who wed two Labanite (white) daughters of Laban. It hints at their soberness or purity of kinship or of the specie; thus they were 'lily-white.' This of course brings us to the token or symbol which one would choose to stand for a Labanite or one who is Frank, what else but a lily. Throughout the ages, artists who wanted to show hidden things in their work, made use of understood tokens or symbols. To show soberness or purity, they painted lilies.

As long as the Franks did not race-mix and thus did not come under the curse of the law as outlined in Deuteronomy, namely, that a 'bastard' (Heb: mamzer = a mixbreed, a mixing) shall not come into a Saxon land (come into the congregation of the Lord), not even until the tenth generation (never and a day) shall he come in, then he is pure and allowed to be truly Frank, white, a Labanite and thus carry the lily-bloom (or in French, the fleur-de-lis), as his symbol.

In the Song of Solomon, we read in chapter 2 about the time of all things put right for the true Israelites, the symbols muddle those who can't decipher them and they call this a quaint love chapter. It is in a way, but it is about the Israelites coming to bloom in brightness with God and their true king, Jesus. Amongst the symbols of Israel is the lily in verse 16. It is also used as a symbol of the true love of the daughters of Israel. The lily stands for our true love, HRH King Jesus and his pure/sober folk, it stands for whiteness, comeliness and a link to Laban and is thus a very fitting token or symbol of Frank (white) Israelites.

### Some Basic French Flag Heraldic Symbols

I would like to start with the Flag of Quebec in British North America. I use the term BNA for a good reason: not that Quebec should yield to British sway, far from it. As it happens, I am all for it breaking away, as long as it yields to God's Laws. The sad thing is that many who wish to break away, will shun English-speaking whites (Labanites, Israel-

ites) yet they will befriend the offspring of French slaying Black Haitians, simply because they speak French. If the French of Quebec would drive out the Blacks and all Mamzers (mix-breeds) and make God's Law the 'ground-law' (constitution), I for one will pack up and live there and do my best to learn French. I would love the chance to live with God-fearing kinsmen in a God-fearing land. And, I need to add, building style wise, townscape wise, I was spellbound by how European (Saxon) the old town hearts of Quebec looked. I do not like the name Canada, as it is a pagan Indian name. We are to have no remembrance of the heathen, nor are we to learn from them. Even the name Quebec is Indian; New France would be more fitting, the rest of the land should be called BNA. Adam was behested to name all things, thus his offspring for all generations, too. As to the flag of New France in BNA, it is most comely. It has a blue background with a white cross (+) and a white lily-bloom in each quarter. It is as comely as the true Australian flag, the Eureka Flag

born out of white nationalism. The Eureka Flag is also a white cross over a blue background, but instead of lilies in each quarter, it has the stars of the Southern Cross at the ends of the four arms of the cross. Both these flags are fine Christian flags standing for the white Israelite folk and their king, Jesus. The New France (Quebec) flag is like the old French flag from the 1400's until the

overthrow (revolution) of 1794. The old French flag was something like the flag of Denmark, being a white cross (+) over a red background but rather than lily blooms in each quarter, it had eight-pointed stars. If it weren't for the hues, it would look rather like the flag of the Anglican Church. The French flag from the time the Franks settled in France until the 1400's was of a blue background with three white lily blooms.

Many French provincial flags are also rich in Israelite tokens (symbols). For Savoy we find a red background with white cross. For Normandy we find a red background with two yellow Judah Lions. For Franche-Comte we find a blue background with a yellow Judah Lion. We find a red cross for Wallis and the lily over a blue and red background for Provence. The flag of the Basque swath is quite striking, shaped as the Union Jack of Britain and the lesser known Union Jack of Russia. This one is of a red background with a white (+) cross and a green (x) cross. Many wonder where the Basques get their tongue from. Not long ago I read a noteworthy tale that it is the offshoot of true Egyptian. Word study given was most telling. This then needs to be further delved into, but one thing is sure: the erstwhile Egyptians were white, ruddy and fair unlike those who now dwell there. The latter being a hybrid of white Egyptians, Arabs and a lot of Negroid blood. The early, true Egyptians, were graftable kin whose roots, just as the roots of the Gauls (Kelts), is from Chaldea.

### What Yondertime (future) for France?

France does have a wonderful yondertime (future in store, but a lot of cleansing will be needed first. France was one of Europe's 'Frankest' (white) lands, but Napoleon changed all that. Like so many before and after him, he should have warred against non-whites and driven them out of Africa or Turkey. Instead, he warred against fellow whites and slaughtered them. He had drained France of so many men, that too many women were 'husbandless' and many could never hope to find one - that is,

## ONE TIME OFFER-ONE SET ONLY

You must phone your request

### STONEHENGE

a colour-slide-lecture by E. Raymond Capt. *This set contains 54 slides, plus an audio cassette commentary about the slides shown. These have largely been made obsolete by videos, but if anyone is interested in this set, you can have it for a sug don of \$25. First in, first.....*



along conventional thinking. Not knowing God's Laws at all, France infared (imported) men, not whites, but north Africans! This was one of the greatest sins carried out against France. Women who hitched up with these heulings were hitched up with non-men, non-Adamites. They were hitched up with males, but not with males of Man. Man is the specie of Adam, of Whites, of Jacob, of Laban, of Franks. They fouled the kingdom of God, a land of Saxon/Frankish/Israel with mamzers, mixlings, those whom God forbade ever being part of His kingdom. If Napoleon had any greatness at all, he should have brought in God's stand-in, wedding laws, namely; due to a shortage of men caused by war, remaining men must have more than one wife, not only to give them company, but also shelter and keep. Yet even more lifesome (vital), to give the women a real hope of Frankish (white) offspring who would be the rightful heirs of the Lord and His kingdom. But if Napoleon's folly, nay besnaring (treason) was not bad enough, this 'anti-Semitic' (anti-White) genocidal misdeed was repeated after WWI when again France had a shortage of men. Today, France is full of mixlings and those holding sway since WWII have been bringing in, and allowing in, thousands upon thousands of heulings (coloureds) who further befoul the soberness/purity of the Franks.

God's plan will see to a cleansed France, "*My beloved (King Jesus) spake, and said unto me, Rise up, my love, my fair one (fair, white, Saxon Israelites) and come away. (Get out of Babylon, namely, to forsake the ways we currently live by). For lo, the winter has ended (dormancy of the kingdom folk, their slumber has ended, they awake to the truth), the rain is over and gone (the latter rain, out pouring of holy thinking has then happened), the blooms show forth in the earth ... the fig tree putteth forth her green figs (the true Israel folk, the Saxons are at last fruitful, they will have children and not bring the fruit of their wombs to the baby-slayers, abortionists, nor will they let their seed be stopped from giving life to the wombs), and the vines with their tender grape (grapes are unbelieving Saxons) give a good smell (smell is symbol of gas or ghost, namely 'thinking,' thus their thoughts will no longer be a stench to our land and they will become Godly) ... My beloved (the King, Jesus) is mine, and I am his (the folk and their king are as one) he feedeth among the lilies.*" Song of Solomon, 2:8-16.

This chapter in the Song of Solomon, is loaded with the selfsame (identical) symbols of Revelation and speaks of the same things in the same order. It is not a romantic love ditty by a lovesick king, but a foretelling of the end time bettering of our lot to give us hope when all hope seems lost. The thing to eye, is the last bit, our king will feed among the lilies. The true King, HRH King Jesus, will feed among his folk who can be likened to the lily. Those who are not lily by trait will not be the ones he will dwell amidst. He will dwell amidst those who are like frankincense, pure/sober and sweet smelling, sweet-smelling being their thoughts line up with those of their King. The traits are thus genetic as well as by mindset. God's plan for France is a frankincense France, a Laban France, a white France under God's Law, a Biblical theocracy for his Frankish-Israelites. This will be the plan for Quebec too. But wait, it will be for all of Europe. Those who are not the pure/sober stock of true Israelite Saxons and their Keltic graftable kin, will be

made to leave.

### The Meaning of The Word, Europe

Getting to the bottom of the meaning of the name Europe is not easy, many wordbooks (dictionaries) don't seem to bother with giving a meaning. Maybe their lack of bothering smells more like a lack of willingness to give the root and meaning. Can the writers of such books really be so unlearned that they can't work it out? Afterall, Europe is one of the most markworthy swathes of real estate on earth and yet they can't be bothered to give a meaning to the word or give its root words and meanings thereof? To me it seems like a cover-up. Webster's simply states that the word is both from Latin and Greek but goes no further and no meaning is given. So, in other words, it is simply made up of a bunch of meaningless sounds lumped together by both the Latins and Greeks. Sure, if all the pagans are smart enough to give their territories names that mean something and they can keep a track of the meaning, then why can't the more witty whites of

Europe have done so? Clearly the learned ones are not on our side. Clearly they are selfhaters and are in denial to the blessings and curses God gives his folk. They are thus in denial of our racial role on earth and of the King of our race. They are put simply, antichrists. Chambers fails to give any root word, but at least states this much under European: "A white descendant thereof." And that is about how far the establishment cronies want us to delve.

Elsewhere there was a link given to Europa, a goddess from Greek folklore. Her features are blond with blue eyes. Again no other clue. However, truth can be found but only in older encyclopedias before it became a misdeed to be proud of one's whiteness. In the Encyclopedia Britannicas before 1915 we can learn that Europe or Europa is made up of two Phoenician-Hebrew words; 'UR + APHA' (also in the form Chuwr Anaph). 'Ur (birthplace of Abraham) means, White, (think of Laban, pure, lily, Frank) and Anaph means, Face. 'Urapha > Urapa > Europa. The land of the White Faces. Adam means, man who can blush, man who can show blood to the face ... ONLY whites can do this! Europa was the wilderness made ready of Revelation 6, not the USA as some claim. The USA, Australia, New Zealand, Suid Afrika, Suid West Afrika, Rhodesia, BNA were the -untilled wildernesses of Revelation 12. Europa is a Labanite, white homeland forever. The heulings in our midst are the worst of the heathen (Ezekiel 7:24) brought in by God as a curse to show us our own uncleanness by seeing such through them because so many of our race love them so. The only way for our folk to hate them enough to not learn their ways, is for God to bring in more until our folk become sick to death of them. That day will be swiftly upon us. We now have all the nations (ethnos) in the Kingdom of the Lord (Saxon land), yes we have melting pots, but **only** in white lands. Yet our King wants to dwell amidst the lilies, not the thorns, so ... "*When the Son of man shall come in his brightness ... before him shall be gathered all the nations (ethnos, meaning races, yes only in our lands have all the nations been gathered, chiefly by church doing, indeed, when the first boat people came to Europe, the churches set up national churchbell ringing campaigns across the land mass to welcome them).*"

### TAPE OF THE MONTH

A-7510 (a) **Psalm 145, David's Psalm of Praise.** *This is a pattern for all Christians!*

(b) **Keep God's Commandments and Love The Brethren.** *These are inextricably bound together. Christians must do both!*

A-7511, 7512, 7513, **Is The Bride Ready for the Marriage of the Lamb? 6 parts on 3 tapes.** *A series of sermons which prove the so-called "church" is NOT the Bride, but that racial Israel is the Bride. It then discusses if Saxon-Israel is ready for the ceremony.*

**this month, all 4 for \$16.00**



Well what do you think our king will say of this churchy bit of handiwork? "Well done my lovely quaint hard working church pew warmers?" Ha! Really? No way!

This is what HE will do, if He wants to dwell amidst the lilies.....

"And he shall **sunder** (*separate*) them one from another...." Matt 25:31.

Sunder what, believers from unbelievers? No!! That is not what it says. It says ETHNOS, this means folkgroups, what they believe does not come into it at that point.

Landsmen (Countrymen), Friends, Brethren, Kinsmen, yea, Saxon Laban and Frankish folk. Our true and only King, HRH King Jesus wants to dwell amidst us. He is not eager to sunder one church from another or non-churchgoers from churchgoers. What he will do is to sunder (separate) Saxons and their kin as one group from those who are not the White-faces. And then He will have the all-whites yield to His sway and law. That is God's plan for France, New France (Quebec), Germany, yea, all Europe and all other lands the white faces have settled. *Amen!*

### IS BAPTISM A REPLACEMENT FOR CIRCUMCISION?

An Analysis of Colossians 2:11-12

**Rev. Brian M. Abshire**

God's revelation of Himself in creation is a wondrous complexity of awesome mystery and intricacy. Each of us tries to fit the pieces of the puzzle together the best we can. Sometimes our picture of reality may be quite close to the mark. At other times, we may be totally wrong. Sometimes, once we get an idea in our heads, it can be terribly difficult to shake out, no matter how wrong-headed it may be. Psychologists have noticed that human beings tend to see just what they expect to see. In one experiment, subjects were given random patterns of dots and told to look for hidden pictures. Even though in reality there were no pictures, most people still thought they found some. Even more surprisingly, a significant number of people continued to see the pictures, even when they were told no such picture existed! It is very difficult to shake free from preconceived ideas.

This trait of seeing only what we expect to see can be quite serious when it comes to Bible study. Some people are so convinced that they already KNOW what the Bible says on an issue that it can never tell them anything new. There is a tendency to read into passages exactly those meanings we expect to find (and being sinful human beings this usually means an interpretation that conveniently lets us off the hook). But if we want to grow in our faith and learn how to please and serve our Lord better, we must allow the Scriptures to speak for themselves. We must learn to submit even our preconceived ideas to the authority of God's Word.

One example of how difficult this struggle can be was my own failure to adequately interpret certain passages on baptism. For years, since I already KNEW what these passages had to mean, I simply interpreted them according to my own presuppositions were correct. I just started with my own theology and then read that theology into the passage. It was only when forced to re-examine those passages from a completely different perspective that I saw things that I had never before seen. And as a result, I had to make some very big changes in not only my understanding of baptism,

but my practice as well.

I was studying Colossians 2:11-12 in my personal devotions: "And in Him, you were also circumcised with a circumcision made without human hands, in the removal of the body of flesh by the circumcision of Christ; having been buried with Christ in baptism in which you which were also raised up with Him through faith in the working of God, Who raised Him from the dead ..." Several things seem to leap out at me from the text. First, circumcision is here used as a symbol of regeneration. The "removal of the body of flesh" refers to the death of our old nature and the creation of our new one (see 2 Cor 5:17). The circumcision of Christ was His being "cut off" at His crucifixion. He died in our place. We are spiritually circumcised when the benefits of His death are applied to us through the death of our old nature (see Romans 6:1ff).

Now here is where the text begins to get interesting. If you leave out the qualifying material and connect the main thoughts from both verses, the passage seems to equate baptism with circumcision; i.e. "you were also circumcised .... having been buried with Christ in baptism." Thus, our circumcision occurred at baptism. But for a Baptist, this was a little hard to swallow. If this baptism refers to water baptism then one would only experience regeneration when one received water baptism. Thus, salvation would not be of grace, but would also require a work (i.e. baptism). In fact, this is exactly what baptismal regeneration teaches; that one is not saved unless one is baptized.

But since salvation is not a result of works, but according to God's grace, the baptism here cannot refer to water baptism. It must refer to some other baptism. What other baptism is there? Ah ha! The only other baptism is Spirit baptism. Charismatics and Pentecostals make Spirit baptism an unusual event that some, but not all believers experience. But Spirit baptism is simply another way of figuratively speaking about regeneration. We are baptized into Christ (Rom 6:30 when we become Christians. Thus Spirit baptism and circumcision are both figurative ways of speaking about the same event; regeneration.

Now it begins to get sticky. If water baptism is a symbol of Holy Spirit baptism and both are symbols of regeneration then that would lend support to the Covenant theology doctrine that equates Old Testament circumcision with New Testament Baptism. The Old Testament was the shadow, the New Testament the fulfillment. Circumcision foreshadowed the penalty of sin (i.e. being cut off, death) and the willingness of the Messiah to bear that penalty for us. Now that the Messiah has come and has borne that penalty, it is no longer appropriate for us to bear the sign of the old covenant.

For example, the Old Testament obliges God's people to celebrate Passover in remembrance of the Lord's deliverance from the tyranny of Egypt. Yet Passover itself is but a shadow of the Lord's own first-born Lamb suffering and dying for His people. Now that Christ has come, we no longer celebrate Passover but the Lord's Supper instead. The simple meal of wine and bread is the new symbol of the Lord's deliverance of His people.

### New Book

### BIBLE STORIES FOR CHILDREN

compiled by Donna Smoot

*These stories are written with children in mind. I have tried to leave out any specific terms that might be phrased using several versions of the Bible, along with several reference books, to make the stories easier for children to understand. It is most imperative that our children know they have a heritage and understand who they are. They should also understand that the Commandments, Statutes and Judgments are still in effect and are a part of their lives, as well as "the new" covenant through Jesus Christ, our Lord and King.*

# 234 @ \$13.10



Thus in the same way, now that the Messiah has come, it is no longer proper to use circumcision as a symbol of entrance into the covenant. We have a new symbol, baptism. In the Old Testament, the promise of the fullness of the Spirit was yet future. Now it is a reality. When the Spirit was poured out at Pentecost, He entered into human hearts, converting dead fleshly beings into spiritually alive new creations (2 Cor 5:17). Thus, water baptism is a replacement for circumcision.

Now if this is true, then the question arises, to whom then should this symbol be applied? Covenant theology insists that God deals with people, not just individuals. Covenantal theology teaches that God is pleased to work within families. Peter said on the day of Pentecost that the promise of regeneration and participation in God's divine family were "Ye men of Israel ..... for to you is the promise, and to your children, ... and as many as the Lord our God shall call to Himself..." (Acts 2:22,39)

In the Old Covenant, the symbol of participation in the covenant (i.e. circumcision) was applied to every male child on the eighth day. This did not mean that every individual Hebrew child was saved, only that the child was included in the covenant community. If the child grew up and rejected the covenant, he was "cut off" and the symbol of blessing became a symbol of cursing. Jesus said, "To whom much is given, much is expected." The Judeans paid a heavy price for their apostasy and rebellion. The mark of the Covenant could either be a blessing or a curse depending upon whether it was followed up by faith.

Thus, I came to see that in the same way, Baptism as a symbol should be applied to the children of believing members of the church. God's covenant is not just for individuals, but for families as well. The sign of the covenant is a promise by God that He will remain faithful to His Word. No, it does not mean that every baptized infant is saved (just as no Baptist would ever say that every person who professes faith and is baptized is necessarily saved).

The significance of this came home to me when I was pastoring a Baptist church some years ago. When Baptist children hit their early teen years, usually some sort of "revival" breaks out in the youth group and most of the teens will get "saved" in a fairly short period of time. They then come forward for baptism. In this case, as a number of young people were baptized, one teenage girl from a believing family also came forward. The girl though sweet in spirit suffered from emotional and learning difficulties. We worked with her for weeks, catechizing and instructing and going over the plan of salvation. No matter how hard we tried, she was never able to verbalize an unprompted, coherent confession of faith. Without that confession, though, as a conscientious Baptist Pastor, I was unable to baptize her, which caused her, her family and a number of others in the church a great deal of heartburn. Couldn't I just bend the rules a little bit?

No, I could not. But the dilemma she faced would not go away. What was her status before God? Is she always to be excluded from the blessings of the Covenant because she had learning disabilities? What about all those people who lack the mental or physical capacity to profess faith? Are

they God's rejects? What is their relationship to the Church? I didn't have an answer then.

Now though I see that God works in families. That young girl did have a portion in the Covenant and should have been baptized as a child. It is good, right and proper that the children of believing parents be given the sign of blessing. Now 1 Corinthians 7:14 makes sense: "For the unbelieving husband is sanctified through his wife, and the unbelieving wife is sanctified through her believing husband; for otherwise your children are unclean, but now are they holy (separated)." This verse is very hard to understand from a Baptist's perspective, but makes perfect sense to those who hold to Covenant baptism. The children of even one believing parent are holy; not that they are not sinners by nature, but rather holy in the sense of being under the blessings of the Covenant. Covenant children have believing parents who teach them God's Law and commandments and provide models of Christ-like love and character. They are under the care of a Christian

church that helps nurture and encourage them. They grow up hearing the gospel as a normal part of their lives.

Does this mean that all Covenant children are saved? Not necessarily, their baptism is to be a constant reminder that they have been given great blessings. But God must still work in their hearts, granting repentance, regenerating their hearts and giving them saving faith. If they turn away and reject these blessings, their condemnation, if possible, is worse than that of a heathen child.

"For if we go on sinning willfully after receiving the knowledge of truth, there no

longer remains a sacrifice for sins, but a certain terrifying expectation of judgment, and the fury of a fire which will consume the adversaries. Anyone who has set aside the Law of Moses dies without mercy on the testimony of two or three witnesses. How much severer punishment do you think he will deserve who hath trampled under foot the Son of God, and has regarded as unclean the blood of the covenant by which he was sanctified, and has insulted the spirit of grace. For we know Him who said, 'Vengeance is Mine, I will repay.' And, 'The Lord will judge His people.' It is a terrifying thing to fall into the hands of the living God..." (Hebrews 10:26ff).

These verses were very difficult to understand to one steeped in the doctrines of eternal security. But when I realized that they probably refer to Covenant children who reject their Lord, they make perfect sense. Now this is both a promise and a dire warning of taking the blessings of the Covenant too lightly.

Thus, baptism replaces circumcision as the sign of participation in the New Covenant and my children have a portion in God's great and wondrous salvation. I have a responsibility to teach, train, disciple and nurture my children - and with the help of my children, my grandchildren. By God's grace, I can expect my children to come to saving faith at a very young age. I can expect to see the results of a regenerate heart even in toddlers. While all children are conceived in iniquity and have a sinful nature, I expect our gracious Lord to remember His covenant, begun with our forefathers, grant them repentance and give them sav-

## New Book HYDROGEN PEROXIDE MEDICAL MIRACLE

*William Campbell Douglass, MD*

*No other chemical compound comes even close to hydrogen peroxide in its importance to life. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is involved in all of life's vital processes. It is truly the wonder molecule. The cells in the body that fight off defense against every type of invading organism - parasite, viruses, bacteria and yeast. The presence of this protein, carbohydrates, fats, vitamins, and minerals. It must be present for the immune system to function properly. Join Dr. Douglas as he reveals how his fascinating, miraculous healer works to rid the body of disease.*

**#986 @ \$34.00**



ing faith. Thus I treat my children as believers who need to be loved and taught our holy religion, not as pagan interlopers in my home. And, as each of my children has come to the age of reason, each has been able to give a credible testimony of saving faith in Christ and evidence of a regenerate heart. God keeps His Covenant!

Of course, there is much more to Covenant baptism than what this one essay can detail. But it lays down the foundation for learning to see things in Scripture that our experiences have blinded us to. God is sovereign. He has made a covenant with His people. Baptism is the sign of that covenant and replaces circumcision. Our children have a portion in that covenant. And therefore, they have the right of baptism.

Courtesy Highlands Reformed Presbyterian Church  
PO Box 279 Colbert WA 99005. [www.highlands-reformed.com](http://www.highlands-reformed.com)

## THE SILENT AND MOSTLY IGNORED INVASION Pastor Chuck Kuhler

We are prone, when we hear the word "invasion," to picture in our minds armed forces streaming across borders or making spectacular amphibious landings on some distant beaches. And while the dictionary definitions\* do include the above consideration, they also list (a) the entrance of anything harmful or troublesome; (b) entrance as if to take possession; and (c) the act of infringement.

In the past few decades, there has been an ongoing and continuous invasion of this land. It has been for the most part *silent* as there has been no military action involved, and it has been mostly *ignored* by the political entities and in many instances "encouraged" by the religious communities. It is not entirely the result of our "open borders," although this does contribute huge numbers to this silent invasion. In New York City, the J.F.Kennedy airport is the second largest contributor to this invasion after the borders consideration. Many fly in to JFK airport and then claim "refugee status" as a result of some real or imagined persecution in the land from which they came.

The prophet Isaiah in 1:7 speaks to what we see happening today, "*Your country is desolate, your cities are burned with fire: your land, strangers devour it in your presence....*" The first six verses of this chapter help to explain why this is happening. We have forgotten the LORD our God! We have turned to the unholy and ungodly practices, and as has occurred in the past, our God is punishing us by bringing the modern "Assyrian" against us. Esau (an alien), robs His elect and puts us down (see: Obadiah 10-18).

Our Babylonian system including commercial undertakings by the Talmudists, our government, and our churches are well in control of our physical lives. Those who know and realize the truth will ultimately pay the penalty, and those who are blinded by ignorance will be punished (see: Jeremiah 51:47-55).

We have allowed a so-called "political correctness" to dominate our lives and our thinking. We have lost the courage of the prophets and the reformers to speak the truth. And when, on occasion, someone does speak forth on the truth, the Zionist media severely castigates and condemns the individual. *There is no longer anything which resembles "freedom of speech."* We have forgotten the words and commands of our God.

Now that we have isolated and determined the problem,

what are we to do about it and how can we alleviate the problem. First of all, remember that this punishment is decreed by the Almighty, and the first thing that we must do is to turn to God, confess our sinful ways, and change our way of life. If we persist in our boastful attitudes and our unholy ways of life, nothing will change, and in fact conditions will worsen. We are told in 2 Chron 7:14 what we must do: "*If my people, on whom my name is called, shall humble themselves, and pray, and seek my face, and turn from their wicked ways; then will I hear from heaven, and will forgive their sin, and will heal their land.*" [emphasis mine]

We are not going to stop the invasion or cure the problem. It has gone far beyond what mortal man can cure. In fact, God working through man, is continuing the alien punishment. His Israel people (those called by His name) have not encountered a severe enough situation to call out to God for help. And until that occurs, nothing will happen to stop the invasion.

We are told in Lamentations 4:15 that the heathen will leave. We are further informed in Isaiah 13:13-14 that every man will flee to their own land, "*Therefore, I will shake the heavens, and the earth shall remove out of her place, in the wrath of the LORD of hosts, and in the day of his fierce anger. And it shall be as the chased roe, and as a sheep that no man taketh up: they shall every man turn to his own people, and flee every one into his own land.*" This is obviously at a time that the fierce anger of the LORD will shake the heavens and the earth, a time that

could be relatively close based upon some observations of the scientific community relative to continental shifts in the earth's crust. How much longer will the patience of Almighty God last with the sinful, stupid Israelites in this world? All of us who know the truth of Scripture have an obligation to speak out on God's end-time message. Remember that this is both a powerful and dangerous message. To reject the Scriptural truths is to incur the words of God as found in Hosea 4:6, "*My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge: because thou hast rejected knowledge, I will also reject thee....*"

\*American College Dictionary, Random House, New York, NY 1965.

Courtesy The Virginia Christian Israelite, Box -109 Round Hill VA 20142

## THE MISUSE OF THE WORDS, "ALL," "EVERY," "WHOSOEVER," ETC. Arnold Kennedy

### INTRODUCTION

Great stress is placed by preachers upon the words, "all," "every" and "whosoever" in verses such as, "*Go ye into all the world, Preach the Gospel to every creature*" and so on. These present a false application of Scripture simply because such words and phrases are wrongly used. This misuse of these words has to be considered.

This false application contradicts Jesus' statement and instruction to His disciples:

"*But he answered and said, I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel,*" (Matt 15:24), and "*Go not into the way of the Gentiles (ethnos), and into any city of the Samaritans enter ye not: But go rather to*



*the lost sheep of the house of Israel.*" (Matt 10:6).

Because of this contradiction we need to find out why this appears to be so, and why the popular interpretation about going to all races contradicts this statement and this command of Jesus.

There are different words translated as "all," "every," etc. in both Hebrew and Greek, and so we need to investigate those that are misused to promote forms of universalism. In the New Testament, the Greek word *holos* is used as "the whole," whereas the more frequently used word *pas* is used to indicate "a part" as being all of either a greater or a lesser part. It is the making of *pas* to have the same meaning as *holos* that causes the error. Where translators have so often translated *pas* as "all," "every" or "whosoever," it means "all of that part," or "every one of that part," or "whosoever of that part." The word "whosoever" is frequently translated from the word *pas* that is also translated as "all." We will see that any person who says, "Where my Bible says *whosoever will may come*, it means any person of any race," that person is either untaught or he is mised.

So we can answer the main question, does 'all' usually mean 'all of everything' or 'all of that part being spoken about only.' Does "all the world" mean the entire planet, or just all of that part of the planet being spoken about? We will see that there is a weight of Scripture that shows that words such as "all" are strictly confined to "all" of each context only. In simple terms, "all the world" is better put as, "all that world," thus excluding every other world = *kosmos* (e.g. world of sport, world of music, world of art).

The words for "all," "every," "whosoever" etc. are often singular, NOT plural. Thus they refer to: "all" the one (group), or, "the whole" of the class, or "the entire" of the class.

To grasp the use of this particular "all" in Greek and Hebrew, consider: "And all the peoples of the earth shall see that thou art called by the name of the LORD, and they shall be afraid of thee." Deut 28:10. Here, "all the peoples of the earth" does NOT include Israel. In the same way, "go ye into all the world" is NOT inclusive of every race. Failure to understand this is the source of error in the modern popular evangelical teaching (failure to understand this has also cost many missionaries their lives, CIM). Because of the importance of this matter, any person seeking truth can look through a concordance (Young's is easiest) to satisfy themselves as to the right application of this word *pas* that is translated as "all," etc. Some examples are given below to show how to do this:

### EXAMPLES

"When Herod the king had heard these things, he was troubled, and all Jerusalem with him. And when he had gathered all the chief priests and scribes of the people together, he demanded of them where Christ should be born." Matt 2:3. The word "all" is found twice in this passage, and "all Jerusalem" has the context of "all the chief priests and scribes." King Herod would not be demanding where the Christ would be born of a woman giving birth, neither would such a woman be troubled. Thus it does not include "all" of the other people in the city, and to say that every person in every situation was troubled would obviously not be true.

"Then went out to him Jerusalem, and all Judea, and all the region round about Jordan, And were baptized of him in Jordan, confessing their sins." Matt 3:5. Again the word "all" appears twice. Very obviously John the Baptist could

not have baptized every person in Jerusalem, or indeed every person in "all Judea." Thus "all" refers to that part who came from those locations, and not anyone else who stayed home. Also, it did NOT include the High Priest and the Roman garrison.

"When Pilate saw that he could prevail nothing, but rather a tumult was made, he took water, and washed his hands before the multitude, saying, I am innocent of the blood of this just person: see ye to it. Then answered **all the people**, and said, His blood be on us, and on our children." Matt 27:24. Perceptibly, "all the people" means that part of the people who were present before Pilate, and does not include anyone else.

"And when he had called **all the people** unto him, he said unto them, Harken unto me every one of you, and understand," Mark 7:14. Here, "all the people" does not include the Scribes and Pharisees Jesus had been talking to immediately before this, and "all" has the meaning of all those others then present, as does "every one of you."

"And **all the people** saw him walking and praising God: And they knew that it was he which sat for alms at the Beautiful gate of the temple: and they were filled with wonder and amazement at that which had happened unto him. And as the lame man which was healed held Peter and John, all the people ran together unto them in the porch that is called Solomon's, greatly wondering." Acts 3:9. Again, "all the people" that occurs twice in this passage can only refer to that part of "all the people" who

were present.

There is a Scripture in Revelation 13:7, which is taken totally out of context, and used by many preachers to deceive mankind, and bring great fear upon them. That verse says (speaking of anti-christ), "And it was given unto him to make war with the saints, and to overcome them: and power was given him over **all** kindreds, and tongues, and nations." And the next verse says, "And **all** that dwell upon the earth shall worship him, whose names are not written in the Book of Life of the Lamb slain from the foundation of the world." Thus "all kindreds" does not include those whose names are written in the Book of Life. False preachers point to the word "all" in verse 7, and again in verse 8, without giving consideration to the context itself. There are many, many times throughout the Bible when the word "all" is put for "a part," even in the same way that we use the word in our every day life. Often we use terms such as "everybody in town was at the meeting," or "the water was all over the place," and so forth.

In Genesis 6, when God was talking about bringing a flood upon the Earth in vs 13, God said, "The end of **all** flesh is come before Me." Then in verse 17, God said, "And, behold, I, even I, do bring a flood of waters upon the earth, to destroy **all** flesh, wherein is the breath of life, from under heaven; and every thing that is in the earth shall die," We know that Noah did not die as part of "all flesh." Again, "all" is being used with the meaning of "a part" or "the greater part."

In Joshua 6:21, "And they utterly destroyed **all** that was in the city, both man and woman, young and old, and ox, and sheep, and ass, with the edge of the sword," we know that Rahab was not part of "all" that was destroyed in that city.

In 1 Kings 11:16, "For six months did Joab remain there with all Israel, until he had cut off **every** male in

## YOUNG'S

### Analytical Concordance to the Bible

*By organizing entries according to the original Hebrew and Greek words, Young's is designed to allow any student of the Bible to distinguish and analyze important shades of meaning and to gain a deeper appreciation of the sacred text. A valuable addition to your reference works. Gives information not available in Strong's.*

**#536 @ sug don \$55.00ppd**



Edom: That Hadad fled, he and certain Edomites of his father's servants with him, to go into Egypt; Hadad being yet a little child," Did "every male" include male children? Did "every male" include those Edomites who fled?

In Luke 2:1, "And it came to pass in those days, that there went out a decree from Caesar Augustus, that **all the world** should be taxed. (And this taxing was first made when Cyrenius was governor of Syria). And **all** went to be taxed, every one into his own city," We can see that "all the world" has the meaning of "all that world." This is how "all the world" is used in "Go ye into all the (that) world."

In Romans 1:8, "First, I thank my God through Jesus Christ for you all, that your faith is spoken of throughout **the whole world**," do we believe that "the whole world" included the Chinese, the Maoris and the Aztecs?

In Romans 10:18, "I say, Have they not heard? Yes verily, their sound went into **all the earth**, and their words unto **the ends of the world**. But I say, Did not Israel know?" again did "all the earth" and "the ends of the world" include Australia, or was it confined to all "that world" of the context, Israel?

In Colossians 1:23, "If ye continue in the faith grounded and settled, and be not moved away from the hope of the gospel, which ye have heard, and which was preached to **every creature which is under heaven**," could "every creature under heaven" be extended beyond those to whom Paul preached?

These are just a sample of verses that show how Scripture uses phrases, and if we use them otherwise, we must come to wrong conclusions. These wrong conclusions then lead on to wrong evangelism and also to the (wrong) interpretation of prophecy. Let us consider some of these.

• If those preachers who are telling us that the antichrist will rule all nations, and kindreds upon the face of the Earth, if they are not fear-mongering, then why do they not put the same emphasis on Daniel 11:41, where it is speaking of the antichrist at the height of his power and glory. There it says that antichrist will overthrow many countries, then it says, "But these shall escape out of his hand, even Edom, and Moab, and chief of the children of Ammon." If the same people were occupying the same territory, this would be modern day Jordan, and some territory close by. So we must ask, if the antichrist at the time is going to have his throne in Jerusalem, and will not be able to get control of Jordan, which is just next door, and the area around about, why should we believe that he will rule the whole earth? But this is not the present application, "all nations" does not include those that escape.

• Also, in Matthew 24\*, Jesus spoke of that future time when the antichrist will be at the height of his glory, and ruling all that he will be able to rule. And if there is not going to be any place on Earth that the antichrist will not rule, then why did Jesus say, "Let them which be in Judea flee into the mountains," verse 16? Why flee if there is no place to go to? And why would Jesus bother to tell the people to flee, if the antichrist is going to be ruling every foot of land? In that case, there would be no place to go.

• Also, the prophet Isaiah gets in on this subject, and confirms the scripture in Daniel 11:41. In Isaiah 16:1-4, we are told that those fleeing Israelites, mentioned in Matthew 24:16, will go to Moab, and will be hidden in Moab until the end of the age when Jesus Christ will come, and the antichrist will be consumed out of the land. Is it not a fair ques-

tion to ask, that since the fleeing Judeans will be protected in Moab from the forces of the antichrist, is it not then a fair assumption that the antichrist will not be in charge in Moab, and especially since the scripture says that he will not be?

• In the book of Daniel, 2, that prophet was talking to Nebuchadnezzar, who was the king of Babylon at that time, and Daniel said to him in verse 38, "And wheresoever the children of men dwell, the beasts of the field, and the fowls of the heaven hath He given unto thy hand, and hath made thee ruler over them **all**." So it must be asked, Did Nebuchadnezzar rule America? Did he rule Japan? And the rest of the world? The answer of course is that he did not. He ruled only in the Middle East, and yet he was spoken of as "ruler over them **all**."

• Then Daniel 2:39, it speaks of the third kingdom of brass (which was the Grecian Empire), and it says, "that it would bear rule over **all the earth**." So again, did the Grecian Empire cover the whole earth, as we know it today, or just the Middle East? If your answer is the Middle East, you are right. Yet, the same term was used of that kingdom in Daniel 2:39, as is used of the antichrist in Revelation 13:7-8\*\*. So, neither one did, nor will, rule every nation on earth.

If a person would read these prophetic passages, and try to apply the same rule as some do on Revelation 13:7-8, we would have a big, big mess, and we would have contradiction after contradiction in the Bible.

#### CONCLUSION

Jesus says that it is not given for everyone to hear or to understand. Speaking to His disciples about the Edomite leadership of the Judean nation He said, "Because it is given unto you to understand the mysteries of the kingdom of heaven, but to them it is not given." [Matt 13:11]. How then would Jesus send us to people who cannot "hear"? How could they be converted if "faith comes by hearing" as we are told? Immediately we have just one exception like this, then "every," "all" and "whosoever" cannot include that exception, or the other exceptions. If an exception is made about the Edomites who cannot find repentance, or of those born as tares about which Jesus said, "Leave them alone," then these cannot be part of the "all" being addressed. Jesus did not address certain peoples as we have seen. Jesus said He was sent to **Israel** and to save "**His people**" from their sins. That is, they were "His people" before they were saved. Therefore the command, "Go ye into all the world" refers to all that part of the world who can hear and are given the ability to understand the mysteries of the Kingdom of Heaven. Thus we can see that:

Matthew 15:24, "But he answered and said, I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel," and,

Matthew 10:6, "Go not into the way of the Gentiles, and into any city of the Samaritans enter ye not: But go rather to the lost sheep of the house of Israel." ... are confined to going to one particular people **ONLY!**

Are we to be wiser than Jesus?

CIM note:

\* We believe the events of Matthew 24 transpired mainly in 70 AD.

\*\* There is no mention of "the antichrist" in Revelation!

#### THE HAND OF GOD

I wandered, silent and alone,



Along the dusty road,  
When in the quiet of the night,  
He helped me lift my load . . .

I wandered, silent and alone,  
My heart all full of pain,  
When He sent down a ray of sun  
To chase away the rain . . .

I wandered, silent and alone,  
With sadness in my soul,  
When He revealed to me the way  
To help me reach my goal . . .

I wandered, silent and alone,  
Yet, no matter where I trod,  
Along each path and through each lane,  
I felt the hand of God!

Hope C. Oberhelman

---

### THE PREACHER, THE DEACON, AND COINCIDENCE

A preacher was winding up his temperance sermon with great fervor. *"If I had all the beer in the world, I'd take it and throw it into the river."* The congregation cried, *"Amen!"*

*"And if I had all the wine in the world, I'd take it and throw it into the river."* The congregation cried, *"Amen!"*

*"And if I had all the whiskey and demon rum in the world, I'd take it all and throw it into the river."* And the congregation cried, *"Amen!"*

The preacher sat down and the deacon stood up. *"For our closing hymn,"* he announced, *"let us turn to page 126 and sing, 'We Shall Gather at the River'."*

---

### A VISION UNTO THE THIRD, FOURTH AND FIFTH GENERATION

*Zoie Thompson Hoppe*

Thanksgiving. Home. Family. For most North Americans, Thanksgiving is a holiday marked by family togetherness spending the day or weekend sharing the love, thankfulness and feasting. This past year our Thanksgiving Day celebration encompassed five generations - that's right, five generations sharing a common family vision: our adopted great-grandmother, grandparents, parents, my generation, and baby Aria. We are grateful for the opportunity to spend our lives together, living near each other, loving, helping and sharing both joys and burdens. It has been said that, *"as we express our gratitude, we must never forget that the highest appreciation is not to utter words, but to live by them."* So, as we gathered together to ask the Lord's blessing and return our thanks, we were again reminded of the importance of a multi-generational vision.

Just what is a multi-generational vision? The dictionary describes vision as *"unusual competence in discernment or perception; intelligent foresight."* God wants His people (Matt. 10:6, 15:24! CIM) to have the "intelligent foresight" of Psalm 78:4-7.

*"We will tell the next generation the praiseworthy deeds of the LORD, his power, and the wonders he has done. He decreed statutes for Jacob and established the law for Israel, which he commanded our forefathers to teach their children, so the next generation would know them, even the children yet to be born, and they in turn would tell their children. Then they would put their trust in God and not forget his deeds but would keep his commands."*

This long-range vision for multi-generational faithfulness will be passed down only if there is (1) a foundation of strong family relationships, (2) held together by close prox-

imity, (3) which always requires a willingness to sacrifice.

### STRONG FAMILY TIES

One of the ways my parents and grandparents instilled a multi-generational vision was through spending the majority of our time together. This had the effect of building strong family relationships. As I look back, my fondest memories are always of times shared with one another. My parents' devotion to my two sisters and me was shown in the ways they spent time with us. We were found together working, schooling, ministering and playing.

Let me give a few examples. When I was about thirteen, my dad started a family business for the purpose of teaching us entrepreneurial skills. Although we didn't enjoy every aspect of cooking, packaging and marketing our "Maple Roasted Nuts," we gleaned valuable skills and character qualities from working with our parents. We also bonded deeply as a family.

My parents gave my sisters and me a love of music and enhanced this love through music studies in multiple instruments throughout our schooling years. Their commitment to develop our God-given talents and help us become all that we could be through specialized training drew us closer together. We always saw our parents as being *for* us, which strengthened family ties.

Throughout my youth, our family took numerous trips together, many of which were for ministry with each person taking an active role. In my early twenties, my sisters and I accompanied our parents on a long awaited and much anticipated "ministry vacation" to Western Europe where Dad preached and we girls brought special music. Even "playing" together can build character and skills when it is time spent with parents and grandparents.

Speaking of grandparents, ours have always been very godly and involved in our lives by living in an in-law apartment off my parents' home. So we have had the privilege of gleaning their wisdom and love throughout the years. My grandfather enjoys reminiscing of days gone by with his grandchildren and teaching us spiritual lessons. And Grandmother's love has always been a stabilizing influence on our lives.

The importance of strong family relationships cannot be overestimated. Scripture displays its significance through stories like Abraham and Isaac, Ruth and Naomi and many others. The frequent listing of genealogies suggests that family and heritage were all-important.

### CLOSE PROXIMITY

In addition to this foundation of strong family relationships, a second essential component for developing a multi-generational vision is close proximity. How can grandparents and even great-grand-parents have a godly influence on their lineage without being nearby? In order to build multi-generational faithfulness, one's grown children should strongly consider residing near parents so their influence and wisdom can be passed on. Such an arrangement, however, must maintain great respect for each individual family unit and the decisions they make for the family.

Our own family is richly blessed to have five generations living within a one-mile-radius of each other. Mary, our adopted great-grandmother lives with my grandparents in their in-law apartment at my parents' home where my sisters also reside. Jonathan and I found a little home not more than a short walk away where we are raising up the youngest generation, Baby Aria. Our family, ranging from ninety-seven down to four months, works together in family business and ministry, worships at the same church, and even vacations together. (Jonathan and I did



not, however, invite the family along on our honeymoon. There are limits!).

### A WILLINGNESS TO SACRIFICE

The third essential component for developing a multi-generational vision is a willingness to sacrifice. Spending time to cultivate strong family ties while living near past generations may require giving up something else. It may mean sacrificing personal hobbies in order to make time for your children (and grandchildren). It may mean sacrificing that \$100,000 job which would transfer you across the nation, too distant for grandparents to fulfil their biblical role. It may mean narrowing your focus - sometimes at the expense of even "spiritual" goals - in order to achieve a higher good. What's more important to YOU?

In our case, Jonathan made the decision that the best place for us to live after we married was near my parents. This meant a major move for him from a large city to rural New Hampshire, and from Canada to the United States. He was willing to go through the red tape of immigration, the hassle of a 2,600 mile move, the disappointment of leaving behind valued possessions (his gorgeous grand piano), and the sorrow of saying good-bye to friends. He sacrificed these things to gain what he believed was of greater value and higher good for his wife and future children. Now, as we watch Baby Aria grow (such a miracle!) and change every day, we are thankful for the decision he made which has allowed her aunts, grandparents, great-grandparents and great-great grandmother, the mutual benefit of being a part of her life.

A multi-generational vision will never be easy to achieve or maintain. But without it we will become nomadic families lacking the benefit of family and loved ones nearby. Pursuing a multi-generational vision may mean hardship for the moment, potentially costing us our comfort and ease of life. But the rewards it reaps - children caring not only about older generations, but future ones too - is worth our all-consuming efforts. Is it worth your effort?

Courtesy Patriarch, PO Box 50 Willis VA 24380

### HANNAH ARNETT'S FAITH

Dedicated to the Hands that Rock the Cradle

(A centennial story written by Hannah's descendant, Henrietta M. Holdrich, which first appeared in the New York Observer in 1876).

The days were dark and hopeless, the hearts of our forefathers were heavy and cast down. Deep, dark despondency had settled upon them. Defeat after defeat had followed our army until it was demoralized, and despair had taken possession of them. Lord Cornwallis, after his victory at Fort Lee, had marched his army to Elizabethtown, N.J. and there encamped. This was in that memorable December, 1776. The How brothers had already issued their celebrated proclamation, that offered protection to all who would seek refuge under the British flag within sixty days and declare themselves British subjects, and take an oath binding themselves to not take up arms again against the mother country or induce others to do so.

In one of the many spacious homes of the town, there had assembled a goodly number of the foremost men of the time to discuss the feasibility of accepting the proffered proclamation. We are much inclined to the belief that enthusiasm, bravery, indomitable courage and patriotism were

attributes that took possession of our forefathers and held on to them until they became canonized beatitudes, upon which the sires alone had a corner; but we find on close scrutiny that there were times when manly hearts wavered and to courage was added a prefix, and this was one of them.

For hours the council went on, the arguments were sincere, grave but faltering. Some felt that the time had fully come to accept the clemency offered - others shook their heads, but the talk went on until every soul in the room had become of one mind; courage, bravery, patriotism, hope, honour and all was swept away by the flood-tide of disaster.

There was one listener from whom the council had not heard. In an adjoining room sat Hannah Arnett, the wife of the host. She had listened to the debate, and when the final vote was reached she could no longer constrain herself. She sprang to her feet and throwing open the parlor door, in her majesty confronted that group of counsels.

Picture a large room with a low ceiling, furnished with the heavily-carved furniture of those days, dimly lighted by wax candles, and a fire in the huge fireplace. Around the table sat a group of anxious, disheartened, discouraged-looking men. Before them stood the fair dame in the antique costume of the day. Imagination will picture her stately bearing as she entered into their august presence. The indignant scorn upon her lips, the flash of her blue eyes, her commanding presence brought every man to his feet.

Consternation and amazement for the moment ruled supreme. The husband advanced toward her, shocked and chagrined that his wife had so forgotten herself; that she would come into the midst of a meeting where politics and the questions of the hour were being discussed. He would shield her now. The reproof he would give later on; and so he was quickly at her side, and, whispering, said to her: "Hannah! Hannah! This is no place for you. We do not want you here just now." He would have led her from the room.

She was a mild, amiable woman, and was never known to do aught against her husband's wishes, but if she saw him now she made no sign, but turned upon the astonished group.

"Have you made your decision gentlemen? she asked. "I stand before you to know; have you chosen the part of men or traitors?"

It was a direct question, but the answer was full of sophistry, explanation, and excuse.

"The case was hopeless; the army was starving, half-clothed and undisciplined, repulses everywhere. We are ruined and can stand out no longer against England and her unlimited resources."

Mrs. Arnett, in dignified silence, listened until they had finished, and then she asked: "But what if we should live after all?"

"Hannah! Hannah! said her husband in distress. "Do you not see that these are no questions for you? We are doing what is best for you - for all. Women have no share in these topics. Go to your spinning wheel and leave us to settle affairs. My good little wife you are making yourself ridiculous. Do not expose yourself in this way before our friends."

### Essential Educational Resource

*Learn what government, media & schools won't tell you.*

**Introduction to Universal Birthrights, Culture, British/Celtic History, Monarchy, Sovereignty, Parliament, Constitutions, Wars, United Nations, Human Rights, Free Will, God's Kingdom, Common Law, etc. A MUST education.**

**Available now on twin CD's, 160 minutes**

\$35 posted - *only available from:*

**Gary Friend**

**PO Box 747**

**GATTON COLLEGE QLD 4345**



Every word he uttered was to her as naught. Not a word had she heard; not a quiver of the lip or tremor of an eyelash. But in the same strangely sweet voice she asked: "Can you tell me if, after all, God does not let the right perish, if America should win in the conflict, after you have thrown yourself on British clemency, where will you be then?"

"Then," said one, "we should have to leave the country but that is too absurd to think of in the condition our country and our army is."

"Brother" said Mrs. Arnett, "you have forgotten one thing which England has not, and which we have - one thing which outweighs all England's treasures, and that is the right. God is on our side, and every volley of our muskets is an echo of His voice. We are poor, and weak, and few, but God is fighting for us; we entered into this struggle with pure hearts and prayerful lips; we have counted the cost and were willing to pay the price, were it in our hearts' blood. And now - now because for a time the day is going against us, you would give up all, and sneak back like cravens to kiss the feet that have trampled upon us. And you call yourselves men - the sons of those who gave up home and fortune and fatherland to make for themselves and for dear liberty a resting place in the wilderness! Oh, shame upon you cowards!"

"Gentlemen," said Mr. Arnett, with an anxious look on his face. "I beg you to excuse this most unseemly interruption to our council. My wife is beside herself, I think. You all know her, and know it is not her wont to meddle into politics or to hawl and bluster. Tomorrow she will see her folly but now I pray your patience."

Her words had already begun to leaven the little manhood remaining in their bosoms, but not a word was spoken. She had turned the light of her soul upon them, and in the reflection they saw photographed their own littleness of purpose or want of manly resolve.

She still talked on: "Take your protection if you will; proclaim yourselves traitors and cowards, false to your God but horrible will be the judgment you will bring upon your heads and the heads of those that love you. I tell you that England will never conquer. I know it, and feel it in every fibre of my heart. Has God led us so far to desert us now? Will He who led our fathers across the stormy, wintry sea forsake their children, who have put their trust in Him? For me, I stay with my country, and my hands shall never touch the hand nor my heart cleave to the heart of him who shames her ....."

The leaven of courage, manliness and resolution had begun its work. Before these men left the home of Hannah Arnett that night every man had resolved to spurn the offered AMNESTY, and had taken a solemn oath to stand by their country through good days and bad, until freedom was written over the face of this fair land.

Courtesy The Dragon Slayer, Box 766 LaPorte CO 80535

## THE BIBLE - WHOSE BOOK IS IT?

**Chuck Kuhler, Pastor** Virginia Christian Israelites

We are all familiar with the Bible, but I doubt if many have studied and considered the background and history of this the world's best-selling book. I particularly like the King James translation, most probably because it was the translation that I have used since childhood, although I do realize that there are some "translational" errors contained

therein. But this article is not being written to critique the content of the King James Version, or for that matter, any other translations. In our library, we have a total of 57 different versions, some of which differ widely in language translation and interpretive content.

The question should be asked, *just what is the Bible?* Firstly, we could state that it is a brief history of the creation (or re-creation) of the heavens and the earth as contained in the first two chapters of Genesis. Some may ask why there isn't more detail and information on what actually and specifically occurred during this vast time period of creation. The answer of course, is that we really don't have the need to know such details. In Genesis 1:28, after God created "man" (male and female), he told them, "*Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth ...*" The definition of the word **replenish** indicates "to bring back to a state of fullness or completeness," which may allude to some catastrophic happening affecting former previous creation(s). This would have had to be prior to 4004 B.C. when Adam-man was formed. There is no mention in Scripture of any specific named "man" prior to Adam. Following Adam (and Eve) we notice that their descendants are assigned names.

From Adam in Genesis 2 to Abram (Abraham) in Genesis 11, encompassing a total of nine chapters, we can calculate a total of approximately 2,000 years. Though there is scant information on many during this period, we would have to conclude that the Bible following the short creation chapters was originally the

history and story of the Adamites.

Who was the Bible written to, for, and by? Following the Adamites, the Bible is the story and history of the Hebrews and following them, the story and history of Israel (the sons and descendants of Jacob whose name was changed to Israel)[see Gen. 32:28].

It is obvious from a study of the Scriptures that a specific line of the Adamites and Hebrews was selected through Abraham, Isaac and Jacob-Israel. There are numerous others who while related, are not figured into the divine scheme, such as for example, Cain, Lot, and Ishmael.

Is God a racist? Is God prejudiced? Why does God pick on a particular people as His Chosen people (and we are totally discounting the Talmudist rabble who by stealth and deceit have laid claim to this category).

Who was God speaking to in Deuteronomy 7:6 when He said, "*For thou art an holy people unto the LORD thy God: the LORD thy God hath chosen thee to be a special people unto himself, above all people that are upon the face of the earth.*" ? - **ISRAEL!** (see 1 Pet 2:9).

In Psalm 105:6 we read about who was chosen. - **ISRAEL.** In Psalm 135:4 we read, "*For the LORD hath chosen Jacob unto himself, and Israel for his peculiar treasure.*" In Isaiah 41:6 we read: "*But thou, Israel, art my servant, Jacob whom I have chosen, the seed of Abraham my friend.*" In Numbers 6:23-27 we read, "... on this wise ye shall bless the children of Israel, saying unto them, *The LORD bless thee and keep thee: The LORD make his face to shine upon thee, and be gracious unto thee: The LORD lift up his countenance upon thee, and give thee peace. And they shall put my name upon the children of Israel; and I will bless them.*" [Please note



that verses 23-26 are commonly used in many churches, but vs 27 is never used - WHY?]

If the Bible was written to, for, and by **Israelites**, and them alone (Moses wrote the first five books), then just how do we get all of the other "peoples" in the world involved?

Who was the Law given to, both prior to, and at Mount Sinai? What people were "under the Law"? The answer is **Israel**.

Why is it acceptable to have a racial and national identity so long as it does not pertain to the Israel people of the Bible - those chosen elect of the Almighty. It is perfectly all right to be a White caucasian so long as you don't refer to yourself as an Israelite. You can be descended from an English, French, Norwegian, German, Canadian, Australian, etc. national heritage, just so long as you don't mix the word "Israelite" into your extended heritage. Despite what Koestler<sup>1</sup> and others have written about the Khazars adopting in 800 AD the religion of Judaism, the Evangelical and Fundamentalist churches continue to support the false claim that the Jews are God's "chosen" people - the people of the Bible. They fail to distinguish between Israel, Judah and the Jews<sup>2</sup>. These same churches, and others, like to skew the meaning of words such as "world," using scriptures like Mark 16:15, "*Go ye into all the world..*" and John 3:16, "*For God so loved the world, that He gave His only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in Him should not perish, but have everlasting life.*" (see Arnold's article again, p.6!)

With regard to John 3:16, we can find nothing in the Bible that says that God so loved "all the world." Was the reference in John 3:16 to the Israelites scattered in what was at that time the 'known world'? Israel, not the Jews, are referred to as God's elect and chosen throughout Scripture. In Malachi 1:2-3, we learn that God loved Jacob, and **hated Esau**. (see Romans 9:13 for a second witness). Obviously, God did not "love" Esau and his Edomite descendants. Who is it today that we find "not believing" in Jesus the Anointed? The Talmudists! Judaism, also known as Talmudism has claimed our heritage insisting that they are the "chosen" of God and yet the Bible does not substantiate this and the so-called Christian churches are strangely silent on the subject. The only correct references to the Talmudists are the "false Jews" as found in Revelation 2:9 and 3:9, substantiating the claims and statements made by Koestler in his book, **The Thirteenth Tribe**, (#396 @ \$17.00).

What is the "world" that they are talking about? Today, we know the extent and expanse of the world, but what about the knowledge of the "world" that existed when the Scriptures were originally written? In Luke 2:1, it reads, "*And it came to pass in those days, that there went out a decree from Caesar Augustus, that all the world should be taxed.*" Caesar didn't tax "all the world." Did he tax the Chinese? The Australian aborigines? The African Zulus? He could only tax the world as controlled by Rome.

There are numerous references throughout Old Testament Scripture which point to the first advent of our Saviour. Isaiah 9:6 tells us: "*For a child is born to us, and a son is given to us, whose government is upon his shoulder: and his name is called the Messenger of great counsel: for I will bring peace upon the princes, and health to him....*" (Septuagint) You might also read Proverbs 30:4, Isaiah 7:14, and other references. These scriptures are ignored today just as they were at his first advent.

Who has given the so-called "Christian Church" the

authority to transfer the Almighty's blessings on Israel to all of the heathen, ungodly, non-Israelite peoples in the world? For centuries the apostate Roman Church, and more recently, many of the Protestant Churches, have travelled the world in an effort to bring their particular "brand" of what they deem to be Christianity to all manner of peoples. And yet, because of their heretical teachings their efforts are being confounded.

In Vietnam and in Africa, considered fertile evangelical areas in these times, churches are today being closed for lack of attendance and interest. While at the same time, as-of-yet-blinded Israelites in the "western nations" are being ignored and taken for granted as being nominal Christians. As an example, there are over 1300 Roman Catholic churches in the United States who have no parish priest. So much for this apostate church!

As previously stated, the "church" largely ignores the Old Testament as something outdated which has been replaced by the New Testament. The "church" likes to

consider Christianity as a **NEW RELIGION**, rather than merely a New Covenant to an established religion. If the Bible is the history of God's relationship with the Hebrews and the Israelites over thousands of years, why would it cease with the bringing of the New Testament? In our opinion, the New Testament is merely a continuation of the relationship of God with the nation of Israel and the Israelite people. Why should the New Testament forget the Israel of God and set off on a new tangent to establish some "new chosen people" for the Almighty. We find

nothing in the Bible which directs the church in this direction. This concept is nothing more than the adoption of the traditions of men, all being claimed in the name of the LORD our God!

If the still-blinded Israelites were to learn of their heritage as the very elect of God there would be a revival such as has never been experienced before in our world. They would throw out the charlatan preachers who have scattered the sheep as described in Ezekiel 34. Today the Israelites are referred to as "gentiles" by the churches who falsely acknowledge the heathen Jews as the Israelites. You as an Israelite are different. You have His Law written in your hearts. The LORD has spoken to the prophet Jeremiah in 31:33, "*But this shall be the covenant that I will make with the house of Israel: After those days, saith the LORD, I will put my law in their inward parts, and write it in their hearts; and will be their God, and they shall be my people.*" (emphasis, CK). This covenant is in the heart of every Israelite and **only** an Israelite. It cannot be found in others who are non-Israelites.

The most important understanding to be gained from this message is that the Bible is written to, for, and by **Israelites**. It is **YOUR BOOK**, your means of reading what your God expects and demands of **YOU**. Israel, though scattered exists as a people and a nation to this very day. In Jeremiah 31:35-36, we are told that the seed of Israel will continue so long as the sun and moon and the stars continue to provide light, and to this time that is still happening.

Of the major religions in the world, Islam has the Koran and Judaism has their Talmud. Christianity claims the Bible as their sacred book - the Bible, which is in reality, the book of **Israel**. The Bible was not written for

### New Tapes:

- K-413 The Blind and the Lame (1)  
James Bruggeman
- K-414 The Blind and the Lame (2)  
James Bruggeman
- G-554 Principles of Biblical Economics, Ted Weiland
- E-107 The Ten Commandments,  
Don Elmore
- D-055 Consequences of Integration (1)
- D-056 Consequences of Integration (2)  
Lawrence Blanchard



“all people in the world,” it was written for “all Israelites in the world.” IT WAS WRITTEN FOR YOU!

I apologize for bouncing around with the subject matter of this article. There are some peripheral issues which must be brought out to support the main issues of this topic, and there is not enough space in this newsletter to develop all of the supporting documentation. It is my fervent hope that you will do some independent investigation and come to congruent conclusions that the Bible was not given to all of Christianity (a doctrine), but to the present day nation of Israel wherever they may be found in this world. May the God of Abraham bless you. Amen.

1. The Thirteenth Tribe, Koestler, #396 @ \$17.00 from CIM.

2. see the booklet, *Israel, Judah And The Jew*, Lauritz Larson, Congregations of Israel, 1998, 16pp (being reprinted).

Courtesy The Virginia Christian Israelite, Box 109, Round Hill VA 20142.

## GOD'S IMMIGRATION LAWS

**Dr. Bertrand L. Comparet**

Of these, only the ORDINANCES have been set aside by the New Testament (not really set aside, but the form of sacrifices has changed. Jesus was our sacrifice, and we must sacrifice ourselves. Heb 7:27, Rom 12:1). The rituals and symbols embodied in them were an expression of the Israelite's belief that his Redeemer would come, in the future; but when Jesus Christ had come and performed the reality which these rituals symbolized, their usefulness was ended. The believer could no longer say that he was waiting for the future coming of his Redeemer - they must be replaced by new rituals looking back to the fulfilled Redemption.

But the other laws of God are still in force: **Thou shalt not steal** and **Thou shalt not commit Adultery** (race-mixing) are as important today as when Moses carried the stone tablets down Mount Sinai. we do not expect to gain our personal salvation by keeping the law; and indeed, this was never their true purpose. But we do expect to gain the peace, prosperity and good order of the community thereby, just as we have tried to do this by enforcing the laws of our City, our State, and our Nation.

Let no one be misled into thinking that God's Commandments, Judgments and Statutes have been set aside by the New Testament. These are permanent laws. In Matthew 5:48, Jesus Christ tells us that God is perfect; naturally, so are His Laws! Psalm 19 tells us: “*The Law of the LORD is perfect, converting the soul; the Statutes of the LORD are right, rejoicing the heart; the Commandment of the LORD is pure, enlightening the eyes. The judgments of the LORD are true and righteous altogether.*”

Do you say, “But Christianity is all spiritual, so we have abolished the law?” Not so! In Romans 7:14, Paul tells us that The Law is Spiritual; and in Romans 3:31, he says: “*Do we then make void the Law through faith? God forbid: yea, we establish the law.*” Just as our perfect God exists forever, so do His perfect Laws, for Psalm 111:7-8 tells us: “*The work of His hand are verity and judgment; all His Commandments are sure. They stand fast for ever and ever, and are done in truth and uprightness.*” And Jesus Christ, Himself says, in Matthew 5:18 that not the tiniest part of the Law will pass away, until heaven and earth pass away (and that certainly hasn't happened yet!)

Let us now consider some of these laws, and what they mean to us, today. Our political parties have sold our God in an evil deal to get the support of Minority Groups; for the sake of temporary power and graft, they would destroy all our greatness - forever.

They demand that we admit, indiscriminately, all the world's overflow, from pagan lands who hate our God, from Asian and African lands whose people are totally impossible to assimilate, and who must either remain a hostile enemy bloc within our borders, or else mongrelize and destroy our race if we mix with them. But God expressly forbids this. While it is recognized that there will be some aliens here as students, merchants, etc. and these are to be treated with honesty and justice, the anti-Christians, who hate our God, are expressly forbidden to be allowed to live here: God says, “**They shall NOT dwell in thy land, lest they make thee sin against Me.**” (Ex 2:33) [and because we have not understood what NOT meant, this has now come to pass!]

No aliens were to be allowed to become numerous, lest they “devour the land” (Isaiah 1:7) or “the people's strength” (Hosea 7:0), and fill themselves with the people's wealth (Proverbs 5:10). Ecclesiastes warns us that, if we “Receive a stranger into thy house (land), he will disturb thee, and turn thee out of thine own (house, land).” [those that come here are fed, housed, clothed, schooled etc, at tax-payers expense - it is happening NOW].

Have you ever wondered at the long genealogies of the various people mentioned in the Bible? There was a good reason

for this detailed listing of their genealogy. It proved that they were of true Israel blood, and entitled to take part in its affairs. Citizenship was not given for two box tops and a dime, to all who came along. Those who were of related bloodlines, but not actually of Israel, could only be admitted to citizenship in the third generation born in the land. Today, an immigrant scarcely need be off the boat (or plane) long enough to get over his seasickness before he can start the process of becoming a citizen. Far too short a time is required for aliens from some parts of the world to really learn our way of life: they can. and do, memorize certain things they must be able to recite on their examination for citizenship - but these things pertain to the mechanics of our government, not the ideals which led the Founding Fathers to use those mechanics to make us great. Under God's Laws, those who were not closely related to us in race could not be admitted to citizenship, ever, not even after ten generations (Deut 23).

By violating God's Laws (which violation has been promoted by the clergy), we now have considerable blocs of unassimilable aliens who have been given the vote. They hate all the ideals of this land, to which they came only to get more money. And now our political parties are selling out the great majority in bidding for the support of the alien Minority Groups.

Under God's Laws, no one of another race is allowed to hold public office. [In England, London's police force has a growing number of Muslim officers, who are allowed to wear turbans instead of the traditional helmet. Women officers can wear their Muslim scarves] Deut 17:15 says that “**Thou mayest NOT set a stranger over thee, one which is not thy brother.**” [and as homosexuals

## New Video CI-345 LOAN ONLY

### Turn On The Light

Dr. Lorraine Day, M.D. (5th in series)

*There is only ONE cure for Depression and it's NOT DRUGS! Dr. Day not only cared for thousands of patients with serious mental disorders during her 15 years as a trauma surgeon but experienced severe anxiety and depression herself during her near fatal encounter with cancer.*

*In this video Dr. Day reveals her own story.*

- Robert: “I followed Dr. Day's program!

My health and energy level has more than tripled. This woman is outstanding!”

**sug don LOAN \$6**



are to be executed under God's Law, you can't set them over you, either!]) That persons of other races could reach positions of power is clearly stated to be only a curse which comes upon us for our violations of Divine Law; Deut 28 says: "*But it shall come to pass, if thou wilt not hearken unto the voice of the LORD thy God, to observe to do all His commandments and His statutes which I command thee this day, THAT ALL THESE CURSES SHALL COME UPON THEE, AND OVERTAKE THEE: The stranger that is within thee shall get up above thee very high, and thou shalt come down very low. He shall lend to thee, and thou shalt not lend to him; he shall be the head, and thou shalt be the tail, because thou hearkenedst not unto the voice of the LORD thy God, to keep His commandments and His statutes which He commanded thee.*"

We have been persistently led away from the Laws of God by the clergy, and also by political groups who don't even claim to represent the majority of our people, the White Christians of this nation, but who openly represent only alliances of Minority Groups. These politicians call themselves "Liberal." This, also, was known to God thousands of years before it happened; and He also told us their true character. In the 32nd chapter of Isaiah, the prophet is telling us of that time yet to come when the Kingdom of God will be fully established and operating as it should be; and he tells us that then the vile person shall no more be called "liberal." God speed the day!

For nearly sixty years, politicians have betrayed us, the great majority, seeking the support of certain disloyal Minorities. The result has been the adoption of policies, both at home and abroad, which have brought us to our present position. If we had obeyed God in the making of our laws and our national policies, these evils would not have come upon us. Isaiah 48 tells us: "*Thus saith the LORD, thy Redeemer, the Holy One of Israel: I am the LORD thy God, which teacheth thee to profit, which leadeth thee by the way that thou shouldest go, O that thou hadst hearkened to My commandments! Then had thy peace been as a river, and thy righteousness as the waves of the sea,"* (But) "*There is no peace, saith the LORD, unto the wicked,*"

What wickedness? OURS, in letting evil and treacherous political considerations displace the Laws of God, replacing them with platforms written to see who can stoop to the lowest baseness to buy Minority votes.

When will we learn that only by following the righteous Laws of God can we gain the peace and prosperity of God's People!

Courtesy Virginia Christian Israelite, Box 109, Round Hill VA 20142



The term "antichrist" appears in only four verses in the New Testament. All four verses are in first and second John:

1 John 2:18, "Little children, it is the last time; and as ye have heard that *antichrist* shall come, even now are there many *antichrists*; whereby we know that it is the last time."

1 John 2:22, "Who is a liar, but he that denieth that Jesus is the Christ? He is *antichrist* that denieth the Father and the Son."

1 John 4:3, "And every spirit that confesseth not that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh is not of God; and this is that spirit of *antichrist*...."

2 John 7, "For many deceivers are entered into the world, who confess not that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh. This is a deceiver and an *antichrist*."

It is obvious from reading these passages that John had

no conception of some great individual at the end of the age rising up to a position of great power and all the world worshipping him. His concept is clearly that there were many antichrists already in the world, and that whoever does not confess that Jesus Christ came in the flesh is antichrist. The term "antichrist" comes from two Greek words, *anti* ("instead of, in place of, in the room of") and *Christos* ("Christ, anointed"). Thus, the term antichrist" literally refers to someone who stands in the place of (the) Anointed [person or people, e.g.. "those who say they are Jews but are not..."]

John obviously treats the antichrists as people who stand in the place of "Anointed" as usurpers. They deny that Jesus is the Anointed, because they themselves have become "Anointed". They also deny that Jesus really came in the flesh, and here one must know a little history to understand the accusation which John levels at these people. In John's day a sect had arisen called Gnosticism. The Gnostics (from *gnosis*, "knowledge") attempted to combine the "knowledge" of all the mystery religions of Babylon, Egypt, and Greece with Christianity. This religion adopted the dualism of the Greeks (i.e. matter is evil and spirit is good). In applying Greek dualism to Christianity, they came up with a doctrine called Docetism. This doctrine stated that the "Christ image" (spiritual, immortal, and good) inhabited a fleshly body (material, mortal, and evil) called "Jesus." In making the distinction between Jesus and the Vhrist image, they denied that Jesus was the Christ, teaching instead that "Christ" merely inhabited the body of Jesus. The result was that they denied that Christ died for our sins. Rather, only Jesus' BODY died on the cross. Christ Himself did not really die, they said (contrary to Rom. 8:34). Thus, these docetic Gnostics undermined the Cross and the basis of justification.

John has these heretics in mind when he wrote in Jn 1:14, "*And the Word was made flesh.*" The Word did not merely inhabit a flesh body; it literally was made flesh. The "antichrist" banner was carried by different people and groups all through the middle ages. Today we have witnessed a revival of the doctrines of Mystery babylon in various guises. In modern occult circles it is taught that man can become Christ through various means. One such religious group are the Jews, whose Zionist rabbis are teaching that the Jewish State is their Messiah (Christ). This is not surprising, since the Jews have solid control of the occult as a whole. Thus Judaism has taken on the anti-christ banner, for they not only deny Jesus is the Christ, but they claim to stand in the place of Christ, but also in the place of His 'Anointed' people. They are usurpers. One should keep in mind also that the modern concept of "the Antichrist" is reached by assuming that the "beasts" of the book of Revelation are the same as "the Antichrist." While there may be some connection, these terms are different and should not be treated as synonymous.\*

Courtesy America's Promise, Box 157, Sandpoint ID 83864

Please note that I have a new email address, at the bottom. Please remember to return tapes and videos promptly, as particularly with videos, others may be waiting for them. Thank you for your kind contributions enclosed with these when they are returned. Sorry if I have been a bit slow answering letters, but I just seem to run out of time - also as email is taking some of my time now. There are still a number of items that are waiting to be printed - I hope we can get them done soon. Thank you for the clippings, the orders for materials, and for the kind and generous donations which keep us going, as well as your letters which are very much appreciated. But most of all, we thank the heavenly Father for making it possible for us to do this work. May the Father bless you and keep you, and make His face shine on you, and give you peace,

