



Christian Identity Ministries
 in conjunction with N.Q. Fellowship of God's Covenant
 PO Box 146, CARDWELL QLD 4849, Australia

A member of the
 Congregations of
 Israel

Ph: 07-4066 0146 Fax: 07-4066 0226 (International 61-7 instead of 07)

"Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; For He hath visited and redeemed *His* people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he swore to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives." Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian people are *ISRAEL!*

#234

Covenant Messenger

September AD2005

"An Examination of America's Turning Point" part 11

by Pastor Don Elmore

The United States of America was a special nation. It was founded by the same God who had delivered His special covenant people from the furnace of Egypt many centuries ago. Descendants of these ancient Hebrews, the Pilgrims of 1620, had fled religious persecution from England to the Netherlands and then sailed to the New World in search of a location "for the glory of God and advancement of the *Christian* faith" (*Mayflower Compact*). About a third of the members of the Pilgrim's Church in Leyden, Holland, sailed on the *Mayflower*. These Christian separatists knew that "they were being led here [to America] by the Lord Jesus Christ, to **found** a nation where men, women, and children were to live in obedience to Him... This was truly to be *one nation under God*" (*The Light and the Glory*, Peter Marshall and David Manuel, p.16, #710 @ \$23.20)

This Pilgrim Plantation at Plymouth, Massachusetts was very different from the previous English settlement in Virginia. For the settlers at that tragically failed settlement at Jamestown, although begun in 1607 with high hopes and ambitions, were lacking in the most important attribute—a complete surrendering of their wills to their Saviour. In other words, the settlement of Jamestown was undertaken without Christ—but not the settlement of Plymouth. "The Pilgrims knew that they had no choice but to put all their trust in Him..." (*Ibid.*, p.145)

THE CHRISTIAN PURITAN COLONIES

As the pilgrims (Separatists) put all their trust in Him, so did the Puritans who soon followed: from 1628 to 1644 more than 20,000 Puritans migrated to New England and many others to other locations. These Christian immigrants were coming with a deep *covenant* relationship with their God, through the person of His Son, Jesus (the) Christ. "For each, it was the most important decision of his life, changing that life permanently and irrevocably" (*Ibid.*, p.148). Because of this priority in their lives, the Puritans believed "what few people have believed, before or since: that the Kingdom of God really could be built on earth, in their lifetimes" (*Ibid.*, p.145)

"The Puritans were the people who, more than any other, made possible America's foundation as a Christian nation. Far from merely fleeing the persecutions of King and Bishop, they determined to change their society in the only way that could make any lasting difference: by giving it a Christianity that worked. And this they set out to do, not by words but by example, in the one place where it was still possible to live the life to which Christ had called them: three thousand miles beyond the reach of the very

Church [the Church of England] they were seeking to purify" (*Ibid.*, p.146).

The legacy of the Pilgrims and Puritans, which was the core of the American way of life for almost two hundred years, was a covenanted society. With men, such as William Bradford, Thomas Hooker, John Winthrop, Cotton Mather, and George Whitefield, the colonies exhibited in their Charters, church governments, and family structures the power and authority of a covenant way of life.

The Massachusetts Bay Company afforded the Puritans a unique situation in history. Because of an oversight, their Royal Charter of 1629 failed to mention where the annual meetings were to be held. Therefore, they possessed the only Royal Charter that did not stipulate that they had to meet in England—instead they met in Massachusetts. This enabled their colony, of all the colonies, to be the freest from English control.

By 1630, eleven well-supplied ships carried about one thousand Puritans to the Massachusetts Bay Colony; with twenty thousand more to follow in the next fourteen years. Under the leadership of John Winthrop, the "*Nehemiah of America*," this colony became the source of America's Christian heritage.

As Winthrop wrote: "So shall we keep the unity of the spirit, in the bond of peace ... Ten of us will be able to resist a thousand of our enemies... For we must consider that we shall be as a *City upon a Hill*, the eyes of all people are on us" (*Model of Christian Charity*). The same race of people, with the same customs, with the same culture, with the

IN THIS ISSUE:

An Examination of America's Turning Point, pt 11 ..	1
The Seventh Commandment, pt 2,	6
Bowing Down to the World,	8
CFR's Plan to Integrate US, Mexico & Canada,	10
Who Was The First Jew?	10
God Hates Esau,	11
A Matter for Consideration,	12
News and Notes,	13

The views and opinions expressed in the articles herein or herewith are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIM. They are written by fallible men. You must ask Jesus to guide your studies!

same language, with the same beliefs, with the same goals—all of whom belonged to the same church!

In no other colonies, besides Connecticut and New Hampshire, was this unique situation duplicated.

“The basic unit of the commonwealth was the *congregation*—a group of people who belong to the **same** church. Each Puritan congregation set up its own town. The meeting-house was the most important building in each town. There people gathered for town meetings, a form of self-government in which people made laws and other decisions for the community. In the Massachusetts Bay Colony, **only male [church] members could vote or hold office**. They elected representatives to a lawmaking body called the General Court, which in turn chose the governor.

By law, everyone in town had to attend church services held in the meetinghouse. The sermon, the most important part of the church service, provided instruction in the ‘New England Way.’”

—*Creating America*, McDougal Littell; a middle school history textbook; bold type by D.E.

The New England Way emphasized duty, godliness, hard work, honesty, Bible reading, parental authority, and education. Puritan New England was originally a society that was centered ON THE CHURCH—it was totally against plurality and diversity of religions, gods, and cultures!

What a great beginning! Christian communities, which, by law, honored the Sabbath day; Christian communities that did not need a D.A.R.E. program or an Alcoholics Anonymous center or a Planned Parenthood Clinic or a large welfare program or sport stadiums; Christian communities that only had one church, one faith, one basic belief. Christian communities in which

there was no separation of church and state—but just the opposite—a complete union of the two. Christian communities that had a judicial system that sought for the repentance, restitution, and forgiveness of the sinner (criminal).

What an extra-ordinary time in history! No major drug problems, no major unwed mother problems, no major rape problems, no major sexually transmitted disease problems, no major juvenile delinquency problems, no major witchcraft problems, no major robbery problems, no major assault and battery problems, no major drunkenness problems, no major literacy problems (the literacy rate was 85%), no major vandalism problems, no racial riot problems, no major perverted sex problems, no major pornography problems, no major violence problems, no major gambling problems, no major adultery problems, etc.—truly “a city set on a hill.”

But this was soon to end. Shortly after the Glorious Revolution in England in 1688 and the establishment of William and Mary on the throne, the colonies in New England were consolidated under one company and the right to vote was changed from white male church members to white male landowners. This new Charter in 1691 provided the means by which the unified Christian family-church-community covenant structure of New England was eventually broken into separate institutions

THE CHANGE FROM CHRISTIAN PURITAN COLONIES TO A NEW NATION

Within the next hundred years of the New Charter of 1691, the *Declaration of Independence* and the Revolutionary War, followed by the *Articles of Confederation* and then the *Constitution*, America was brought into a new era

and an emerging place of importance in the western world. Especially since, there was also a new revolution occurring at the same time across the ocean in Europe, particularly in France.

As President Lincoln immortalized in his Gettysburg Address in 1863:

Four score and seven years ago our fathers brought forth on this continent a **new** nation, conceived in liberty, and dedicated to the proposition that **all men are created equal**....

This bloody European revolution was based on the enlightened philosophy of the Age of Reason. Popularized by Voltaire, Locke, and Rousseau, who emphasized the innate goodness of man and man’s deification at the expense of God’s, this new form of democracy soon found its way into the beliefs on this side of the Atlantic. As immigrants from the revolutionary European countries arrived in America, so did the Rationalistic, unbiblical beliefs (found in the Quakers, Transcendentalists, Deists, Unitarians, Humanists, Socialists, etc.) that cracked and eventually destroyed the foundation that had been laid by the Puritans and Pilgrims.

In 1776, the church-centered, Bible-based commonwealth was no longer. It was a thing of the past. President Lincoln stated that this new nation was dedicated to a proposition that was not biblical—but against all basic principles of Christianity. He quoted it from the Declaration of Independence that had been written by Thomas Jefferson 87 years earlier.

The **core idea** of the Declaration [of Independence] is based on **the philosophy of John Locke**. This idea is that people have unalienable rights, or rights that government cannot take away. Jefferson stated his belief in what was to become the

Declaration’s best-known passage:

We hold these truths to be self-evident, that **all men are created equal**, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.

—*Creating America*, McDougal and Littell, p.180-181. [note that “Indians” were NOT considered to be “men” in this Declaration! “He (the King of G.B.) has excited domestic Insurrections amongst us, and has endeavoured to bring on the Inhabitants of our Frontiers, the **merciless Indian Savages**, whose known Rule of Warfare, is an undistinguished Destruction of all Ages, Sexes and Conditions.” CIM]

That “*all men are created equal*” is a creed that is foreign to the Bible. The Holy Scriptures are full of verses which teach otherwise. Two of which are as follows. If God has chosen one people to be “above all people,” then these two groups are not equal.

1. The LORD thy God hath chosen thee to be a special people unto himself, ABOVE ALL PEOPLE who are upon the face of the earth.—Deut. 7:6b

Besides nations not being created equal, even the twin brothers—Jacob and Esau were not created equal even while they were still in their mother’s womb!

2. (For the children being not yet born, neither having done any good or evil, that the purpose of God according to election might stand, not of works, but of him that calleth), it was said unto her, The elder shall serve the younger. As it is written, Jacob have I loved, but Esau have I hated.—Rom. 9:11-13.

Other Enlightenment ideas came to supplant the Chris-

FROM THE ARCHIVES

New (old) Video

THE DEATH OF A NATION:

TIMOR (documentary expose)

by John Pilger, recorded of local TV and on the same video

60-Minutes segment on **Gaye Derby-Lewis** and the **AWB** in South Africa from 10 or 12 years ago. From before the much-touted election. also

60-Minutes segment on sodomite preacher **Mel White** and his ex-friend **Jerry Fallwell**.

CI-407 LOAN ONLY \$6



tian truths that had been originally established in the Pilgrim and Puritan colonies, as well as other Christian strongholds among other colonial settlements. The Enlightenment thinkers, like Benjamin Franklin, believed that *people create governments* to protect their natural rights. The Christian Puritans believed that “there should be an orderly and decent government established according to God” (The Fundamental Orders of Connecticut, 1636). The Enlightenment thinkers believed that the European kings did not have a God-given right to rule. The Christian Puritans believed in the covenant that God had made with the tribe of Judah, and in particular with the family of David—that this family of Judah was given the right to rule by God Himself, forever (Psalm 89, the Davidic Covenant Psalm). The Enlightenment thinkers believed that there should be a tolerance of all religious beliefs and customs. The Christian Pilgrims believed just the opposite

“DO NOT WALK AFTER OTHER GODS OR YE SHALL PERISH”

The foundation of the Pilgrim and Puritans included the biblical admonition (Deut 80 to be **intolerant** of false religions, doctrines, and practices. Any individual who taught heresy or who was divisive, was subject to banishment from the colony by the decision of the church or civil court. Two such people were the likeable, but arrogant, judgmental, and self-righteous Roger Williams who taught an extreme view of *liberty and conscience* (“nobody is going to tell me what I should do or believe”) and Anne Hutchinson, the alleged recipient of special revelations from God. Both were banished from the colony of Massachusetts.

Roger Williams went and established the colony of Rhode Island, which became a magnet for every crackpot, rebel, misfit, independent, self-willed individual and group. Many who had been excommunicated, as well as heretics—like the Quakers—flocked to Rhode Island. At Rhode Island, everything that Williams had inflicted upon the bay colony was inflicted upon him manifold, till he cried out in anguish. For whenever Williams tried to assert his authority in Providence, the inhabitants of this colony of “religious

freedom” and “separation of church and state” took pernicious delight in bedeviling Williams by reading back to him his most famous quotations regarding not obeying authority.

Anne Hutchinson also went to Providence—for a while. While there both her husband and her grotesquely deformed newborn baby died. She then moved to New York where she was murdered in an Indian attack.

FROM INTOLERANCE OF CHRISTIAN HERETICS TO TOLERANCE OF UNCHRISTIAN AND ANTICHRISTIAN RELIGIONS

Since the Puritans would not allow extremely errant Christians to live in their colonies, does anyone think that they would have allowed a Buddhist monastery to be built in their community as Cincinnati and most large cities in America have now permitted? As the *Cincinnati Enquirer* reported in their January 1, 2005 newspaper:

Cincinnati’s Buddhist monastery will mark the new year with new flags and a new statue of Buddha. The ceremonies are part of a four-day visit beginning Jan. 8 from Kyabje Yongyal Rinpoche, a Tibetan Buddhist monk from Los Angeles who typically visits Cincinnati’s monastery, Gaden SamdrupLing, once a year for teachings ... Buddhist prayer flags are brightly covered and bear images important in the religion and symbolize peace, serenity and hope. Ancient Tibetan tradition suggests that as the wind blows the flags, it blows prayers to the heaven.

From colonial Puritan church courts banishing wayward apostate Christians to the present mindset of the acceptance of Buddhist Temples and statues in American cities—the road to plurality and diversity all began with the emergence of the Age of Reason.

The thirteen colonies were founded by different people, for different reasons and at different times. Maryland was a haven for Roman Catholics and advocated religious freedom. Rhode Island was a haven for rebels and misfits and advocated religious freedom. Georgia was a haven for debtors and advocated religious freedom. But ONLY Massachusetts, New Hampshire and Connecticut were built for the “advancement of the Christian faith.” Instead of practicing religious freedom, these colonies BANISHED any-

New Tapes:

- #G-541 Principles of **Biblical Economics**, pt 1, Ted Weiland
- #J-116 **God’s Preachers** - Enemies of the State, John Weaver
- #J-117 Biblical Methods of **Dealing with Tyranny** - John Weaver
- #K-473 **Testing The Heart, 1** - Joseph Series, pt 9 - James Bruggeman
- #K-474 **Testing The Heart, 2** - Joseph Series, pt 10 - James Bruggeman
- #K-475 **Unless Benjamin is With You 1** - Joseph Series, pt 11 - Bruggeman
- #K-476 **Unless Benjamin is With You 2** - Joseph Series, pt 12 - Bruggeman

THE FOUNDERS OF THE THIRTEEN COLONIES

MASSACHUSETTS	Plymouth	1620 Pilgrims
	Massachusetts Bay	1630 Puritans
NEW HAMPSHIRE	Portsmouth	1623 Proprietors
RHODE ISLAND	Providence	1636 Roger Williams
CONNECTICUT	Hartford	1636 Thomas hooker
NEW YORK	New Amsterdam	1624 Dutch West India Company
DELAWARE	Fort Christina	1638 Swedish settlers
NEW JERSEY	Duke of York, establishes	1664 George Carteret
		John Berkeley
PENNSYLVANIA	Charles II bestows land	1681 William Penn
VIRGINIA	Jamestown	1607 Virginia Co. of London
MARYLAND	Founded as religious haven	1632 Lord Baltimore
NORTH CAROLINA	Founded	1663 Proprietors
SOUTH CAROLINA	Founded	1663 Proprietors
GEORGIA	Founded as debtor’s refuge	1732 James Oglethorpe



**TRUE FOUNDING FATHERS OF CHRISTIAN AMERICA
LIVED FROM 1620—LATE 1700's**

1620.....	1776.....	1820's.....	2005
Founding of Christian America By Pilgrims, Puritans, Protestants 1st Great Awakening Covenant Way of Life Prominent....	Emergence in the North of: Quakers, Unitarians, Deists, Transcendentalists Diminished greatly in the Northern States.....	Emergence in the North of: Restoration religions 2nd Great Awakening	

one who was divisive, immoral or a heretic—they built EXCLUSIVE Christian communities [I wish people were committed to doing that today! That's why I keep advocating people live in close proximity and have church communities and build from there. If people are too far removed from each other [rising petrol prices don't help] they will not get the help and strength and support from each other that is required to make this kingdom community survive—and grow. CIM] Only in these three colonies was the church building (meetinghouse) built first and the town second.

**THE FOUNDING FATHERS OF
ADVOCATING
HUMAN REASON**

Since the Pilgrims and Puritans were the *founding fathers* of Christian New England America, then who were the founding fathers of the next stage of America—the era of Human Reason? Surprising to many, it is the “founding fathers” of the textbooks of American History: George Washington, John Adams, Thomas Jefferson, Benjamin Franklin, etc.

Since these men did not found the colonies, then what did they found? They found what Abraham Lincoln called the new nation whose proposition was the basic principle of humanism. Those who are referred to as the founding fathers of America, were not the founding fathers of the colonies, they were not the founding fathers of America's Christian heritage, they were not the founding fathers of the Articles of Confederation, but they were the founding fathers of the Constitutional humanistic, Masonic government of the United States.

**THE BATTLE BETWEEN THE PURITANS AND
THE “FOUNDING FATHERS”**

How did the later founding fathers differ from the Puritan founding fathers? Like day and night. For example, Thomas Jefferson revealed himself to be a private champion of Unitarianism. During his term as the third Constitutional President of the United States, he compiled the “Jefferson Bible.” His Bible was a retelling of the story of Jesus WITHOUT any reference to miracles or to the Saviour's divine origin! His purpose:

The establishment of the innocent and genuine character of this benevolent moralist, and then rescuing it from the imputation of imposture, which has resulted from artificial systems invented by ultra-Christian sects, e.g. ... his deification, the creation of the world by him, his miraculous powers, his resurrection and visible ascension, ... the Trinity, original sin, atonement, regeneration, election, orders of Hierarchy, etc.—*In God We Trust*, Norman Cousins, p.149

Thomas Jefferson believed about as much Christian doctrine as did Martin Luther King! In fact, in King's famous “I Have A Dream” speech he quotes Thomas Jefferson, as had Abraham Lincoln:

I have a dream that one day this nation will rise up and

live out the true meaning of **its creed**—we hold these truths to be self-evident that *all men are created equal*.

The basic humanistic principle of John Locke, “all men are created equal”:

1. Abraham Lincoln said that the United States was “dedicated” to this “proposition.”

2. Martin Luther King said that this was the “creed” of the United States

3. Thomas Jefferson wrote that this principle was “self-evident.”

If the core belief of the Declaration of Independence was based on the philosophy of John Locke and not the Bible, then wouldn't there have been a conflict with the Christian believers and leaders who lived at that time?

Jefferson's beliefs that a government's power comes from the consent of the governed (“it is the Right of the People...to institute new Government”) is the same view of the Enlightenment philosopher John Locke. This humanistic principle is the foundation of modern democracy. But Governor John Winthrop of Massachusetts feared and distrusted the common people, calling democracy the “*meanest and worst*” of ALL forms of governments.

Because of Jefferson's unchristian views, many remnant orthodox ministers of that time, like Timothy Dwight, were incensed that Jefferson was given such national responsibility as Washington's Secretary of State and later as President.

“The Battle lines were drawn: throughout the country there were religious leaders who believed with Dwight that ‘where there is no religion, there is no morality’ and that ‘with the loss of religion ... the ultimate foundation of confidence is blown up, and the security of life, liberty and property buried in ruins’” (*The Second Great Awakening*, Charles Roy Keller, p.36). On the other hand, the movement around Jefferson maintained that man had progressed to the point where he could be responsible for his own morality, without the benefit of intrusive, restrictive, narrow-minded religion” (*The Light and the Glory*, Peter Marshall, p.350).

John Adams, the second President of the United States, was a Unitarian. In his private correspondence he devoted about as much time praising natural religions as he did railing on Christianity. His wife, Abigail Adams was a supporter of women's rights. As Episcopalian minister and religious researcher, Bird Wilson preached in a sermon in October of 1831: “Among all of our Presidents, from Washington downward [Washington, Adams, Jefferson, Madison, Monroe, J.Q. Adams, Jackson], **not one** was a professor of religion, at least not of more than Unitarianism” [Note; Although Jackson grew up in a Presbyterian home, he did not profess it until later in life, 1838]. During the Revolutionary Period, the Unitarian Church was a

Videos:

CI-397

**NO PLACE TO HIDE &
CONFESSIONS OF AN ECONOMIC
HITMAN**

available on DVD or VHS

loan \$6 your copy \$15

* * *

DEATH BY ENTERTAINMENT

How the media manipulates the masses.
This hard-hitting investigation takes you
inside the multi-billion dollar a year
entertainment/communications industry
and examines its impact on society.

CI-382 VHS LOAN ONLY \$6



haven for religious dissidents and freethinkers in general—Deists and Freemasons in particular.

Besides Presidents Jefferson and Adam, most of the leaders in the newly formed Constitutional government of the United States were strongly influenced by the Enlightenment movement and its distinctive religious perspective—Deism—a non-dogmatic creed based simply on the idea of an unknowable, large impersonal creator god. Charmed by nature's order, the Deists retained and expounded upon the idea of a Nature's God, but rejected revelation, miracles and prophecy, the Fall and salvation, and the virgin birth, resurrection and bodily ascension of Jesus.

Jonathan Edwards, the famous Protestant preacher in Colonial America's First Great Awakening, wrote "In His History of the Work of Redemption:"

The Deist wholly cast off the Christian religion, and are professed infidels. They are not like Heretics, Arians, Socinians, and others, who own the Christian religion to be the true religion. True they own the being of God, but deny that Christ is the Son of God. And say he was a mere cheat; and so they say all the prophets and apostles were: and they deny the whole Scripture. They deny that any of it is the word of God. They deny any revealed religion is and say that God has given mankind no other light to walk by but their own reason.

According to the Deists, the Great Architect of the Universe stood back, after the creation, to watch the Cosmos unfold according to its own intrinsic Nature's Law—also called General Providence by the Deist. Humans could best apprehend the Laws of nature, and thus the mind of Nature's God, through the faculty of Reason applied to the evidence of the senses. The Deists concluded that, because humans are rational animals, and reason functions best when unhindered, human nature requires freedom, that is to say that **freedom** is humanity's natural, inalienable right.

The Christian Puritans would counter that obedience to God was their duty:

But thou shalt remember the LORD thy God; for it is he who giveth thee power to get wealth, that he may establish his covenant which he swore unto thy fathers, as it is this day. And it shall be, if thou do at all forget the LORD thy God, and walk after other gods, and serve them, and worship them, I testify against you this day that ye shall surely perish.—Deut. 8:18-19

THE DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE AND THE CONSTITUTION

The language of the Declaration of Independence and the United States Constitution is Deistic, Masonic, and Humanistic. The wording used in these two famous documents reveals its biblical source when compared with the routine language used by the Pilgrims and Puritans. For example:

"When in the course of human events"
...not...

"When in the course of the unfolding of Biblical prophecy"

"The Laws of nature and of Nature's God"
...not...

"The Will and commandments of the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob and of Israel's God"

"Deriving the just powers from the consent of the governed"
...not...

"Deriving the just powers from the Sovereignty of our Lord

Jesus Christ and His delegated spokesmen."

"We the people of the United States ... do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America"
...not...

"We the people of the United States with the Will of the God of our fathers as revealed through the Holy Scriptures do seek to provide a Constitution for the United States of America."

In the late seventeen hundreds, many ministers had been led astray into Enlightenment thinking and became the unwitting progenitors of rational Deism. "They had forgotten what the early Puritans had known so well: that ultimately, it was not the mind but the will that mattered—the willingness to put down one's own will for God's will. And so for the first time, the ministers lost touch with the people. For the better part of two centuries, they had provided the spiritual, moral, and intellectual leadership for the nation. But now that mantle had passed to the statesmen, politicians, educators, publishers, and prominent laymen. The nation was spiritually adrift and the ministers had no one to blame but themselves" (*The Light and the Glory*, p.347).

THE LIES OF THE HATERS OF CHRISTIANITY

In order to promote America's present humanistic, socialistic multicultural society, with its tolerance of ungodliness, and its plurality of gods and religions, a cover up and omission of the early Christian (Pilgrim and Puritan) history and heritage of the United States (1620-1776) has to occur. Notice how the Marxist's organization of Morris Dees—The

Southern Poverty Law Center—does this in their booklet for Middle and High Schools: *Mix It Up Booklet For The Middle and Upper Grades, "Melting Pot or Salad Bowl"* (www.tolerance.org). Without referring to the era of Puritan America, they **indirectly villify** almost everything that was present in the "very plain" Christian culture of this most godly time in American history!

One of the lesson plans from the booklet is as follows with my comments following each paragraph:

FIRST PARAGRAPH

America is like a tapestry—a heavy cloth woven with rich complex designs. Because of its beauty and strength, a tapestry is usually hung on a wall for decoration or used to cover a piece of furniture. It is considered a treasure. You could make a plain tapestry with threads of the same colour. But it would be just that—very plain, without a distinctive design. **Imagine an America** where all the people had the same cultural background. Everyone would have the same skin, the same customs and religious beliefs and just one language. America would be a very ordinary nation, a plain place to live, to go to school and work.

COMMENTS

=>"Imagine an America"? That was the Pilgrim and Puritan colonies of New England in the early days of our nation. Everyone had the same skin, same customs and religious beliefs and just one language—but they were not "ordinary"—but instead were the example of one of the best New Covenant Christian societies in the history of the world.

=>Was Israel of the Old Testament days (same skin, same God, same language, same customs, and same religious beliefs) just an ordinary nation?

=>Isn't, "Thou shalt have no other gods" the first commandment that God gave to His covenant people?—therefore God plainly instructs His people that they are not to be



diverse and have a plurality of religions!

SECOND PARAGRAPH

Of course, America is anything but ordinary. It is a country full of diversity. Unfortunately, not everyone likes diversity. Diversity threatens some people. It makes them uncomfortable, frustrated or even angry. Diversity can divide us, resulting in stereotyping, prejudice, discrimination, scapegoating and racism. These behaviours can lead to violence.

COMMENTS

=> Diversity of cultures and religions has and always will divide a nation.

=> Does God want His sheep nations to be embracing diversity with the goat nations?

=> Notice how the above paragraph stereotypes anyone who us against diversity as being evil, even possibly violent.

=> Since the Pilgrims and Puritans were against diversity, were they racists, frustrated and prejudiced?

=> Strange that America in its "ordinary" Puritanical days was nowhere near as violent as it is now in its wonderful diverse, decadent state!

THIRD PARAGRAPH

The United States has been traditionally defined as a 'melting pot' of people. Israel Zangwill describes America in his play, 'Melting Pot' as follows:

"America is God's crucible, the great melting pot where all races of Europe are melting and reforming. Here you stand, good folk, I think I, when I see them at Ellis Island, here you stand in your fifty groups with your fifty languages and histories, and your fifty hatreds and rivalries, but you won't be long like that, brother, for these are the fires of God."

COMMENTS

=> America was, until the last century, basically a "melting pot" of **all the tribes of the two former kingdoms of Israel**—all of the same race and Christian culture!

=> But America now is promoted as a different "melting pot": a cauldron of different races and non-Christian cultures.

FOURTH PARAGRAPH

A fig for your feuds and vendettas! Germans and Frenchmen, Irishmen and Englishmen, Jews and Russians into the crucible with you all. God is making the American ... **the real American has not yet arrived**. He is only the crucible, I tell you he will be **the fusion of all races**, the coming **superhuman**.

COMMENTS

=> Wasn't it God's Kingdom, Israel, that was to be "holy"—i.e. a separate people and wasn't it Babylon that was striving to be "diverse"?

=> Was God wrong to instruct His people to annihilate all of the Canaanite nations instead of inviting them to be part of a diverse culture in the Promised Land?

=> Was God wrong when he instructed Nehemiah to not let the non-Israelite people help in the rebuilding of Jerusalem?

=> If all the races totally fused, then wouldn't there be none of the original pure races left?

=> Does the New Testament teach that God will separate the sheep and the goat nations respectively on the right and left or does He unite them?

=> Why did God create the different races separate and

distinct to begin with if he would instruct his people to adulterate His creation?

=> God's special everlasting covenant with a particular people is meaningless to those of the Communist ideology.

=> God's particular eternal curses to specific peoples are also meaningless to those of antichristian theology.

=> The superhuman of the enemies of God is a multi-racial non-covenant man.

=> In the lobby of the United Nations building in New York, is a statue of the "**International Bronze Man**"—the (faceless) symbol of its goal for all of mankind.

SUMMARY

"If there is one people in the history of the country [United States] whose example is hated more than any other, it is the Puritans" (The Light and the Glory), p.170) From their work ethic, chastity before marriage, modesty in dress, observance of the Lord's Day, to their legislation against immorality, ... the list is endless...the beliefs, practices, and success of the Puritans are **VILIFIED** in today's world.

So ALL the founders of these New England Christian colonies are bypassed and largely forgotten. In their places are promoted as founders Unitarians, Deists, and Masons who founded a new nation based on the proposition and creed of Enlightenment thinkers like John Locke.

Who did God use to bring to pass the advancement of the Christian faith to America: Pilgrim Governor William Bradford or Enlightenment thinker Benjamin Franklin?

Who did God use to establish Christian communities in America? Puritan Governor John Winthrop or Unitarian President Thomas Jefferson?

Who did God use to fearlessly proclaim God's Word and who truly hungered after God's righteousness and holiness? Puritan Pastor Cotton Mather or Unitarian President John Adams?

We live in an America that today hates everything that the Pilgrims and Puritans stood for and tried to accomplish—an exclusive Christian family-church-civil government. In its place America's modern leaders want just the opposite—a pluralistic, multicultural, adulterated, humanistic, non-Christian society of bronze people.

.....to be continued

The enemies of God's people are trying to annihilate God's people by breeding them out of existence through **inter-racial unions**, brought about by integration of races, and **inter-racial adoptions**, as promoted by ABOVE RUBIES. In the past they have had some good family-oriented material to offer, but the time has come to reject this magazine, as its promotion of things interracial has escalated enormously. If you get the magazine, write and tell them you don't want it anymore - and tell them why!! AND don't send them any money as it helps to destroy our people! CIM.



THE SEVENTH COMMANDMENT part 2
"Thou shalt not commit adultery." (Ex. 20:14)



The Seventh Commandment is found twice in the Old testament: Exodus 20:14 and Deuteronomy 5:18, and six times in the New Testament: Matthew 5:27, 19:18, Mark 10:19, Luke 18:20, Romans 13:9 and James 2:11. Most commentaries and books devoted to the Ten Commandments include Leviticus 18:20 as another instance where the Seventh Commandment is cited in the Old Testament:

"... thou shalt not lie carnally with thy neighbour's wife, to defile thyself with her." (Lev. 18:20).

With the limited definition that most Christians assign to "Thou shalt not commit adultery," it is immediately apparent why this verse is often cited as another Old Testament instance of the Seventh Commandment. However, Leviticus 18:20 is not a reiteration of the Seventh Commandment, it is, instead, one of the many statutes that falls under or helps to explain the Seventh Commandment. Most of the other Seventh Commandment statutes are likewise listed in Leviticus 18.

INCEST

"None of you shall approach to any that is of near kin to him, to uncover their nakedness: I am Yahweh." (Lev. 18:6).

Verse 6 is a general statement condemning incestuous relationships. The following twelve verses expand upon this statute to include prohibitions against sexual relationships between parents and children, between siblings or half siblings, between grandparents and grandchildren, between uncles or aunts and nephews or nieces, and between in-laws. Verses 7 and 8 of Leviticus 18 describe incest with a person's mother or a father's wife as uncovering your father's nakedness:

"The nakedness of thy father, or the nakedness of thy mother, shalt thou not uncover: she is thy mother; thou shalt not uncover her nakedness. The nakedness of thy father's wife shalt thou not uncover: it is thy father's nakedness." (Leviticus 18:7-8).

The phrase "discover thy father's skirt" in Deuteronomy 22:30 and the phrase "uncovereth his father's skirt" in Deuteronomy 27:20 also describe incest with a person's mother or a father's wife.

The proper understanding of these phrases is crucial to understanding Yahweh's judgment upon Noah's grandson, Canaan. Many Bible readers are bewildered as to why Canaan was cursed for his father Ham's sin in Genesis 9:

"... Ham, the father of Canaan, saw the nakedness of his father, and told his two brethren without... And Noah awoke from his wine, and knew what his younger son [Ham] had done unto him. And he said, Cursed be Canaan; a servant of servants shall he be unto his brethren..." (Gen. 9:22-27).

The biblical understanding of the phrase "the nakedness of his father" helps to correctly determine what happened in this incident. It reveals why Canaan was named in this passage instead of Ham's other sons and why Canaan and his descendants were cursed instead of Ham. Permitting the Bible, specifically Leviticus 18:7-8, Deuteronomy 22:30 and 27:20, to be its own commentary, it becomes apparent that Canaan was cursed because he was the offspring that was the consequence of Ham's sexual relationship with his mother.

Yahweh, in His omniscience, obviously knew that the progeny from this incestuous relationship would accordingly be morally reprobate as the Canaanites proved to be. This was also true for the Moabites and the Ammonites

who were from the incestuous relationships of Lot and his two daughters in Genesis 19:30-38. In addition to the Canaanites, the Moabites and Ammonites were cursed in Ezra 9:1-12 in that the Israelites were forbidden to marry them. (The Perizzites, although not Canaanites, dwelt amongst the Canaanites [Gen. 13:7, 15:18-21, 34:30, etc.] and certainly intermarried with them. Therefore, the Perizzites were counted among those lineages that Israel was forbidden to marry, Not all Egyptians [Hamites - Psalm 78:51, 105:23,27; 106:21-22] were forbidden for Israel to marry. However, the descendants of Canaan were a forbidden lineage of Hamites, and, therefore, the reason for concluding that the Egyptians in Ezra 9:1 were another line of Canaanites).

NEW TESTAMENT TESTIMONY

The gravity of incest is also demonstrated in the New testament. John the Baptist was put to death for preaching against incest:

"...[king] Herod ... had sent forth and laid hold upon John, and bound him in prison [and later beheaded him] for Herodias' sake, his brother Philip's wife: for he had married her. For John had said unto Herod, It is not lawful [according to Leviticus 18:16] for thee to have thy brother's wife..." Mark 6:14-28).

Of all the crimes, including murder of which Herod was guilty, John chose incest to preach against. With this particular sin John was able to "kill two birds with one stone," that is, to convict both Herod and Herodias of the same sin.

Although Herod was an Edomite, John nevertheless, preached impartially as required by

Yahweh's law. The Commandments, Statutes and Judgments are to be applied equally to Israelites and non-Israelites residing in Israel's land:

"One law and one manner shall be for you [the Israelites], and for the stranger [the non-Israelite] that sojourneth with you." (Numbers 15:16)

The Apostle Paul also condemned incestuous relationships. In addition to the other sins that the Corinthian Christians were involved in, they tolerated incest:

"It is reported commonly that there is fornication among you, and such fornication as is not so much as named among the Gentiles [nations], that one should have his father's wife. And ye are puffed up, and have not rather mourned, that he that hath done this deed might be taken away from among you. For I verily, as absent in body, but present in spirit, have judged already, as though I were present, concerning him that hath done this deed ... to deliver such an one unto satan for the destruction of the flesh, that the spirit may be saved in the day of the Lord Yahshua." (1 Cor. 5:1-5)

THE PHYSICAL CONSEQUENCES OF INCEST

The Bible does not state why incestuous relationships should be avoided. In other words, Yahweh did not feel compelled to explain His reason for condemning the near-of-kin (incest is usually defined as forbidden sexual relations between near blood kinsmen. However, Lev. 18:16 and Deut. 27:23 expand the term "near of kin to him" to include near relatives of those to whom a person is married as in sister-in-laws and mother-in-laws.) relationships listed in Leviticus 18. Although the Israelites of Moses' day may not have yet made the connection between such close genetic relationships and the spiritual and physical consequences that usually result from incest, theirs was no

Never before listed:
THE MONEY RACKET, pts 1, 2, & 3
by Bruce G. McCarthy

This set of three video sessions with Bruce was found on an old NTSC video and is being made available for the first time. As usual, Bruce's wit and humour make these an interesting and informative acquisition.

Made available on DVD only.

Listed as #CI-022 @ \$15ppd

(the previous CI-21/22 listing is now listed as CI-021!)



to question why but to simply benefit from what might be labeled blind obedience.

Arthur C. Custance in "Doorway Papers, No. 51 Cain's Wife" expounded upon the physical consequences that are often the result of ignoring Yahweh's statutes prohibiting incest:

From a mathematical point of view ... matings among first cousins (as in Darwin's case, for example, or his sister Caroline's case) result in the offspring having identical genes in a ratio of 1 to 7. Many of these genes will be recessive mutants and therefore detrimental to the possessor when inherited homozygously. Mating of uncle to niece or nephew to aunt raises this ratio to 1 to 3. Matings among brothers and sisters raises this ratio, often disastrously, to 1 to 1....

Such children will have an increased risk of being affected by recessive conditions. In order to get an estimate of the extent of this risk, in 1958 I invited Children's Officers to let me know prospectively of pregnancies or of new births in which it was known that the pregnancy or birth was the result of incest between first degree relatives.

These children were followed prospectively and anonymously through the Children's Officers ... Thirteen cases of incest (6 father-daughter and 7 brother-sister) were reported to me in 1958 and 59, and the latest information on them was in mid year 1965 when the children were all 4 to 6 years old. I summarize .. the information on these 13 children.

Three children are dead: one at 15 months of cystic fibrosis of the pancreas, confirmed at necropsy; one at 1-1/2 months of progressive cerebral degeneration with blindness; and one at 7 years, 11 months of Fallot's Tetralogy (this child had an IQ of 70). One child is severely sub-normal, with much delayed-milestones, and was considered non-testable at age 4 years 9 months, when she had a vocabulary of only a few words. Four children are educatively subnormal: the known IQ of 3 are 59, 65, and 76. The remaining children are normal.

In other words, eight out of 13 children were born with or developed severe mental or physical deficiencies and three of the children were dead before they reached their eighth birthday.

Although man may not have yet understood these potential consequences, Yahweh knew what He was doing when He commanded Moses to ban these adulterous relationships.

.....to be continued

Courtesy Mission to Israel, PO Box 248, Scottsbluff NE 69363

BOWING DOWN TO THE WORLD by Brook wayne

"Do not love the world or anything in the world. If anyone loves the world, the love of the Father is not in him." (1 John 2:15). [world = kosmos 2889, orderly arrangement, i.e. decoration; by implication, the world {in a wide or narrow sense, including its inhabitants, literally or figuratively [morally]}]

If the love of the world abides in us, and gives us motivation or pleasure, then the Scripture plainly says the love of the Father is NOT in us. It can't be both ways. The love of the world expresses itself in the activities we participate in, the friendships we cultivate, the life-styles we choose to

live, the jobs we choose to work at ... which all comes from the very essence of who we are. The love of the Father, abiding in us, also expresses itself in every aspect of our lives—from the way we relate to others to the way we live our daily lives—it is the very heartbeat of our lives. It all boils down to where our hearts really live. If we, in our innermost hearts are sitting at the feet of Jesus—so in love with Him that we have our life in Him then, ultimately, we'll have freedom from the things of this world that would seek to entangle us. Only when our hearts are filled with the love of the Father, can we walk in the light and truth of *the LIFE*—the way of the Kingdom of God.

He Didn't Bring Us Out THIS Far To Take Us back Again!

The exodus of the Israelites from Egypt holds much correlation to our lives as believers. It is so easy for me to sit back and read about those events—and, of course, sitting in my "armchair" I find it easy to condemn the Israelites for their incredible lack of faith and obedience, just days after God had miraculously delivered them.

Of course, *we* are able to see the whole picture. We can easily see that God didn't bring the Israelites out in order to let them die or allow them to be recaptured. He had a plan! It was a plan for their good. Similarly, we, as the people of God, have also been called "out." Our "Egypt's" are not physical countries, but places of bondage where sin had held us. When we first come in repentance to God,

He brings us out of sin. Yet the process isn't supposed to stop there. He didn't bring us out in order for us to spiritually die, or for the world to recapture us! He has a plan, and it is that we might live as His redeemed people. For us, *as His people*, to simply be satisfied that we're out of bondage to the world, without shaking off everything that pertains to it, is to hold within our hearts a bit of longing for "Egypt." Remember, if we have love for the world in our hearts, the love of the Father can't live there.

Yet, how quickly our hearts stray and wander back, as the Israelites (of old) did—to the way it was back in Egypt. We remember the worldly pleasures, and want to partake of them again. Sometimes, we have the vestiges of the world still on our hearts. We need to come to a place of walking in such freedom from the love of the world that it has absolutely NO place in our hearts. *We simply cannot give place to the world in our hearts!* Its call, its pleasure, its priorities and standards have nothing to do with us. The ways of the world should not for one minute pull us away from where we need to be—and that is making a home for, the love of the Father.

The love of the Father ought to be filling our hearts to overflowing in such measure that everything we do, everything we say and think, everything we are is directed by holy spirit.

Shouts Of Joy and Victory!

"Shouts of joy and victory resound in the tents of the righteous: the Lord's right hand has done mighty things! The Lord's right hand is lifted high; the Lord's right hand has done mighty things!" (Psa 118:15).

When we come out of the love of the world, and it no longer has a grip on us, we can live in the dwellings of joy—of real joy that the world does not know—and victory, walking fully in the things of God. This life affords a peace that cannot be known outside of having the father's love fill our hearts. How does this come about? It is God's



right hand that has done all these things! It is His mighty work that has changed us, freed us, and set our feet on the path of life.

The world bows down to what it loves. If we have the love of the world in us, we'll bow down to those same things. If we were to take a look at some of the things that the world bows down to, it might help us to see a bit more clearly where we ourselves might also be bowing down to the world in some way. Examine yourself and the things you uphold to your children. How can you make purposeful choices in your family to now bow down to the ways of the world?

Appearance

The way of the world is to bow down to physical appearance. If it weren't so, why is there so much emphasis on fashion? The way of the world is to show off, to be the first in the latest fashion, to see how many heads one can turn, and to attract romantic attention. The fabric of fashion is cut to fit self, and feed its pleasure. It doesn't fit the pattern God has outlined for women: *"Don't be concerned about the outward beauty that depends on fancy hairstyles, expensive jewelry, or beautiful clothes. You should be known for the beauty that comes from within, the unfading beauty of a gentle and quiet spirit, which is so precious to God. That is the way the holy women of old made themselves beautiful."* (1 Pet. 3:3-5a). The holy women of old adorned themselves with the inner quality of a gentle and quiet spirit. There is no spirit of competition, or seeking of attention in that spirit. Bowing down to the world's way of preoccupation with physical appearance takes up an awful lot of space for self in our hearts, without leaving sufficient room for the love of the Father.

The Love of Money

The world loves money! In fact, it is *obsessed* with money, and what money will buy. But, can the love of money reside alongside the love of God in our hearts? No! In fact, Scripture tells us the love of money is a horrible snare. *"People who want to want to get rich fall into temptation and a trap and into many foolish and harmful desires that plunge men into ruin and destruction. The love of money is a root of all kinds of evil. Some people, eager for money, have wandered from the faith, and pierced themselves with many griefs."* (1 Tim 6:9-10). This trap, the love of money, has led some away from the faith! So, if you want your children to fall headlong into ruin and destruction, to have foolish and dangerous desires, to wander from the faith and live with many regrets, just be sure to love money, and teach them to love money, too! It's that easy! If that's not your goal, then don't live like it. While the way of the world is to love money, the way of the Kingdom of God is to *"Keep your lives free from the love of money, and be content with what you have, because God has said, 'Never will I leave you; never will I forsake you.'"*

Shopping

The way of the world is to lure us to come browse of its goods, to spend hours and hours considering what we want, and what would feed our materialistic desires. The world tells us we cannot live (or at least be happy) without its products, and without maintaining a life-style comparable to its commercial advertising.

As homemakers, most of us will likely have some shopping to do. But, it should fit within a life-style given over to God's ways. The Proverbs 31 woman does spend

time looking for bargains, bringing foods from afar, and outfitting her family's needs. Yet, her industrious example tells us that she does not eat of the bread of idleness.

The world has a definite message that it wants us to hear and obey. It keeps us coming back for more and more. Shopping, for many women, is a fix that they can't live without. It has called them away from 1 Timothy 6:6, "But Godliness with contentment is great gain. For we brought nothing into the world, and we can take nothing out of it. But if we have food and clothing, we will be content with that." Great gain - now, there's a bargain!

Relationships

The world bows down to feeding self in relationships. It views the whole purpose of relationships as what each person can get out of it. The world is seeking to re-define relationships for us—whether in marriage, parent-child relationships, friendships, etc. The way of the world is to see just how much you can get, and remain your "own person." It desires to puff up self, and serve its own desires.

The way of the Kingdom of God is to love others as Jesus loved us (John 15:12). This sort of love doesn't seek to serve self, or even have its own needs met! The whole object of this kind of love is to give. So, whether through hospitality (versus entertaining), fellowship (versus social time), or commitment (versus "as long as we both shall choose") relationships in God's Kingdom have a whole different nature that the relationship the world wants us to have.

Purpose of Education

To the world's way of thinking, education aims to prepare a child for "the big world" in order to: make money, be successful, find your self-esteem (or, be your own person) and serve the country. Sometimes, education is even viewed as an end unto itself! The world has very specific agenda with which to instruct its pupils. Wouldn't the world train its own after its own way? We can't be fooled, education is not neutral. Education goes far beyond the three "R's."

As the people of God, our ways—all our ways—are not to follow after the pattern of the world. So too, it is true with education. Our purposes for educating our children are not those of the world, and neither should the way in which we educate. Our patterns for instruction need to be God's patterns. (see Deuteronomy 6 and Psalm 78 to get started).

Entertainment

Self has a terrific appetite for entertainment, and the world is more than happy to feed it! It is too easy to be lulled to spiritual sleep by the fascination of entertainment. For one, there is simply no work involved. Amusement tells us to lay aside our guard, that we really did need a break from "all that hard work." It soothes our consciences by saying we can just "critique" it, and still enjoy it.

It is true that we all do need to come aside from our labors and rest, but it should never be a sabbatical from spiritual life and growth! Jesus said *"Take My yoke upon you. Let Me teach you, because I am humble and gentle, and you will find rest for your souls. For My yoke fits perfectly, and the burden I give is light."* The rest we take should never draw our hearts away from the aim of serving the Master more. rather, relaxation should only better fit us for being disciples of God.

That's what this life is about after all. We are called to be Jesus' disciples, to leave the world behind, shaking its filthy dust off our feet, and walking every day more closely

learn about

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT

by Ben Williams

Central government is typified by Babylon. Looking at Central government, certain recognizable traits stand out. Ask yourself, 'For what purpose does the Government exist today?' Principles of Marxist Government. Central governments are seedbeds of Marxism.

#512 @ \$1.60 or 4 copies for \$5 ppd



with Jesus. Let only the love of the father live in your heart. (*Brook is the wife of Israel Wayne [see video CI-392 God's Plan for Finding a Mate]. They are the parents of two children. Brook delights in her calling as a wife and mother and enjoys keeping a home for her family.*)

Courtesy Home School Digest, Box 374, Covert MI 49043

CFR's Plan To Integrate The US, Mexico & Canada

by Phyllis Schafly - 17 Jul 2005

The Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) has just let the cat out of the bag about what's really behind our trade agreements and security partnerships with the other North American countries. A 59-page CFR document spells out a five-year plan for the "establishment by 2010 of a North American economic and security community" with a common "outer security perimeter."

"Community" means integrating the United States with the corruption, socialism, poverty and population of Mexico and Canada. "Common perimeter" means wide-open U.S. borders between the U.S., Mexico, and Canada.

"Community" is sometimes called "space" but the CFR goal is clear: "a common economic space ... for all people in the region, a space in which trade, capital, and people flow freely." The CFR's "integrated" strategy calls for "a more open border for the movement of goods and people."

The CFR document lays "the groundwork for the freer flow of people within North America." The "common security perimeter" will require us to "harmonize visa and asylum regulations" with Mexico and Canada, "harmonize entry screening," and "fully share data about the exit and entry of foreign nationals."

This CFR document, called "Building a North American Community," asserts that George W. Bush, Mexican President Vicente Fox, and Canadian Prime Minister Paul Martin "committed their governments" to this goal when they met at Bush's ranch and at Waco, Texas on March 23, 2005. The three adopted the "Security and Prosperity Partnership of North America" and assigned "working groups" to fill in the details.

It was at this same meeting, grandly called the North American summit, that President Bush pinned the epithet "vigilantes" on the volunteers guarding our border in Arizona.

A follow-up meeting was held in Ottawa on June 27, where the U.S. representative, Homeland Security Secretary Michael Chertoff (Russian, meaning 'of the devil'), told a news conference that "we want to facilitate the flow of traffic across our borders." The White House issued a statement that the Ottawa report "represents an important first step in achieving the goals of the Security and Prosperity Partnership."

The CFR document calls for creating a "North American preference" so that employers can recruit low-paid workers from anywhere in North America. No longer will illegal aliens have to be smuggled across the border; employers can openly recruit foreigners willing to work for a fraction of U.S. wages.

Just to make sure that bringing cheap labour from Mexico is an essential part of the plan, the CFR document calls for "a seamless North American market" and for "the

extension of full labor mobility to Mexico."

The document's frequent references to "security" are just a cover for the real objectives. The document's "security cooperation" includes the registration of ballistics and explosives, while Canada specifically refused to cooperate with our Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI).

To no one's surprise, the CFR plan calls for massive U.S. foreign aid to the other countries. The burden on the U.S. taxpayers will include so-called "multilateral development" from the World Bank and the Inter-American Development Bank, "long-term loans in pesos," and a North American Investment Fund to send U.S. private capital to Mexico.

The experience of the European Union and the World Trade Organization makes it clear that a common market requires a court system, so the CFR document calls for "a permanent tribunal for North American dispute resolution." Get ready for decisions from non-American judges who make up their rules ad hoc and probably hate the United States anyway.

The CFR document calls for allowing Mexican trucks "unlimited access" to the United States, including the hauling of local loads between U.S. cities. The CFR document calls for adopting a "tested once" principle for pharmaceuticals, by which a product tested in Mexico will automatically be considered to have met U.S. standards.

The CFR document demands that we implement "the Social Security Totalization Agreement negotiated between the United States and Mexico." That's code language for putting illegal aliens into the U.S. Social Security system, which is bound to bankrupt the system.

Here's another handout included in the plan. U.S. taxpayers are supposed to create a major fund to finance 60,000 Mexican students to study in U.S. colleges.

To ensure that the U.S. government carries out this plan so that it is "achievable" within five years, the CFR calls for supervision by a North American Advisory Council of "eminent persons from outside government ... along the lines of the Bilderberg" conferences.

The best known Americans who participated in the CFR Task Force that wrote this document are former Massachusetts Governor William Weld and Bill Clinton's immigration chief Doris Meissner. Another participant, American University Professor Robert Pastor, presented the CFR plan at a friendly hearing of Senator Richard Lugar's Foreign Relations Committee on June 9.

Ask your Senators and Representatives which side they are on: the CFR's integrated North American Community or U.S. sovereignty guarded by our own borders.

["hengist"<hengist@comcast.net> - 20-0702005]

(Now that you can see what "free trade agreements" lead to, Australians can ask themselves what 'free trade agreements' between Australia and China, and Australia and Indonesia will lead to in the time to come! CIM)

WHO WAS THE FIRST JEW?

by John Standing

We know that Saul was the first king of Israel and that John was the first man called Baptist, but who was the first Jew? Neither Adam, Seth or Noah are called Jew. Nor were Abraham, Isaac or Jacob. Moses was not called a Jew and

PLEASE NOTE

Older VHS videos which have been/are converted to DVD will reflect the quality of the original video, and will NOT be comparable to new DVDs on the market today. There may be some roll, flicker, snowy patches, loss of colour, strange colours, loss of sharpness and clarity. We have done, and are doing what we can to get the best results possible, but much of it is beyond our control. However, the sound is usually fairly acceptable all the way through, so that you will get the message. We hope you understand. Thanks CIM



neither were saul, David or Solomon called Jew. In fact you will not find the word Jew in the first eleven books of the Bible. The first time Jews are mentioned in the Bible, is in 2 Kings 16:6 (and then only in translations revised in the eighteenth century, which also deleted the Apocrypha) where we find Israel was at war with the Jews and *drave the Jews from Elath*. Isn't it interesting that we can read over 500 pages of the Bible before we find a Jew anywhere, yet those who call themselves Jews today claim the first five books of the bible and call it their Torah. Do you not find it rather strange that those who claim to have written the first five books of the Bible and call themselves Jew, can't find the word Jew written anywhere in the book they call their own bible, and claim to have written? Jesus Christ tells John in Revelation 2:9 "I know the blasphemy of them which say they are Jews and are not, but are the **SYNAGOGUE OF SATAN**." We know that God changed the name of Abram to Abraham in Genesis 17:5, and that He changed the name of Jacob to Israel in Genesis 32:28, but nowhere in the Bible do we find where God changed the name of Israel to Jew! There is therefore no authority by which those who say they are Jews can claim to be Israel!

By the time of Jesus, the word Edom or Edomite had been translated by Greek and Latin into Ioudaios and Iudaeus meaning a Judean or person living in Judea (a geographic, not a racial name, as in Australian, American, German). The original King James version of the Bible, 1611, translated Idumean-Judean into Iewes. It wasn't until the revised editions of the KJV, that the word Jew appeared. The word Jew does not mean Israel or Israelite! We must conclude therefore that the first "Jews" were Canaanite-Edomite-Hittite. It is certain, according to the Bible, that Jews are not Israel.

(Courtesy Virginia Christian Israelite, PO Box 109 Round Hill VA 20142)

GOD HATES ESAU
(Malachi 1:3 and Romans 9:13)
THE DEMISE OF EDOM
 by Clifton A. Emahiser

I am going to clear up and document the problem with Deuteronomy 23:7, which says:

"Thou shalt not abhor an Edomite; for he is thy brother: thou shalt not abhor an Egyptian; because thou wast a stranger in his land."

From this verse it would appear that we should welcome all Edomites into our congregations with open arms and with no questions asked, and that we are somehow guilty of some dire contemptible sin for even thinking an evil thought against them. I ask you: Is this not the impression which seized upon you when you read this passage for the first time? Remember the guilty, dirty, condemning feeling which came over you for even giving the Edomites the slightest hint of disparaging thought, that somehow Yahweh might suddenly kill you in your very tracks for even blinking your eye? If this has been your reaction when reading this passage in the past, forget it, for that is NOT what this verse is saying—not even remotely. I happened on this verse many years ago when I listened to a presentation by an Identity speaker making reference to the Edomites and using this verse as one of his points. At the time, I decided to look into the Hebrew meaning of the word for myself. I found the Strong's Exhaustive Concordance of the Bible assigned the term "Edomite" the Hebrew

word #130 which says:

#130 ... Edomiy, ... Edowmiy, ed-o-mee'; patronymic [derived from father's name] from 123; an Edomite, or descendant from (or inhabitant of) Edom:--Edomite. see 726.

Inasmuch as I didn't want to overlook anything important, and I felt there was something desperately wrong with this passage, I decided to check on the word #726 which had to following to say:

#726 ... Arowmiy, ar-o-mee'; a clerical error for 130; an Edomite (as in the margin):-- Syrian.

At once this struck me (and this was about 15 years ago), for if the proper rendering was "Syrian" instead of "Edomite," it would make all the difference in the world. Over the years, since that time, I have pointed this clerical error out to many people of our persuasion. At the time, I knew this made more sense if Deuteronomy 23:7 were to read Syrian rather than Edomite for the Syrians were Abraham's relatives, in which case this verse would read:

"Thou shalt not abhor a Syrian; for he is thy brother: thou shalt not abhor an Egyptian; because thou wast a stranger in his land."

Over the years, I have been satisfied that the word should have been Syrian instead of Edomite. I remember one party gave me a challenge and indicated that it was only a clerical error, and really didn't mean anything. I finally came to the conclusion that it would be a hard proposition to prove and decided not to push the point openly any further. That is, until recently, when I accidentally discovered what the clerical error was. I will now reveal to you how I made this discovery. As I decided to take up the topic of Esau, I was in the process of reading anything and everything I could find on the subject. I was reading along in *The Interpreter's Dictionary of the Bible*, volume E-J, page 24, under the subtitle Edom when I read this:

... there are places where, because of the similarity between the letters ד (d) and ר (r), the text has wrongly read ארם, "Aram" (i.e., Syria), and ארמים, Arameans" (i.e., Syrians), for אדם, "Edom", and אדמים, "Edomites", such as II Kings 16:6; II Chr. 20:2, where the KJV has followed the MT, but the RSV has followed an emended text.

Note: I have followed the Hebrew characters as faithfully as I know how to do on my computer - I may have made a mistake. I will enclose my documentation (end of article) so you can check against my references. The main thing to notice here is the "similarity between the letters (d) and (r). You can see very readily, that a very small slip of the pen can change the word from Edomite to Syrian, or Syrian to Edomite. I will enlarge the two Hebrew letters and place them side by side so you can observe the difference in them:

d ד ר r

Just this very small change in the Hebrew writing and the word can be changed from Syrian to Edomite!! Think of it this way, syRian or eDomite. By this above slight change, the Hebrew "r" sound is changing to a "d" sound. What we have here so far is: the *Strong's Exhaustive Concordance of the Bible* pointing out that there is a clerical error, and , *The Interpreter's Dictionary of the Bible* point-

Running out
THE BALL REPORT
Auschwitz Exposed
Concentration camps drawn from WWII air photos.
See the photos, and the drawings made from them, and the explanation of what things are. Probably the most comprehensive views of Auschwitz-Birkenau you will see short of visiting the place.
 By John Ball - Air Photo Interpreter.
Get your copy NOW!!!
#063 @ \$1.75 posted



ing out the nature of the error. *The Interpreter's Bible Dictionary* is actually making references to two other passages, but the principle here is the same. I will also include the Hebrew alphabet from the Wilson's Old Testament Word Studies (see box at end of article) so you can check the phonetic sounds of these two

letters. When we find discrepancies of this nature in Scripture, we are going to have to prove them by the context of the entire Bible. Deuteronomy 23:7, with the use of the term Edomite, definitely is not in scriptural context, but with the term Syrian, it is very much in context, for it fits perfectly. With all of this, we are at a loss to know whether this is an honest scribal error or a deliberate piece of sabotage by the enemy. A very short reference to the problem of confusing Syria with Edom, or confusing Edom with Syria is alluded to in *The Zondervan Pictorial Encyclopedia of the Bible*, volume 2, page 204 which says:

... in 2 Kings 16:6, "Edom" should read for "Aram" ..

Let's check this out in two different versions to get an idea of the nature of this error. Notice the underlined words in 2 Kings 16:6 in each version:

KJV: "At that time Rezin king of Syria recovered Elath to Syria, and drove the Jews from Elath: and the Syrians came to Elath, and dwelt there unto this day."

The Modern English Language Bible: The New Berkeley Version in Modern English: "At that time Rezin king of Syria regained Elath of Edom, clearing the Jews completely out of Elath. So the Edomites came back to Elath and lived there to this day. (underlining C.E.)

Now let's try the other passage (2 Chron. 20:2) mentioned by *The Zondervan Pictorial Encyclopedia of the Bible*, volume 2, page 204 quoted above on this same type of error of getting Syria mixed up with Edom:

KJV: Then there came some that told Jehoshaphat, saying, There cometh a great multitude against thee from beyond the sea on this side of Syria; and behold they are in Hazazontamar, which is Engedi." [NAS=Aram]

Revised Standard Version: Some men came and told Jehoshaphat, 'A great multitude is coming against you from Edom, from beyond the sea; and behold they are in Hazazontamar' (that is, En-gedi). [also in Amplified, New Intl]

You can see very readily, from the two translations on each of these two verses, how great an error can come from a slight change in the Hebrew letters. If we are truly interested in Bible history, these passages can really be confusing if we didn't know someone had made an error and how the text should really read. Now we know something that is absolutely not true about Esau-Edom. If Yahweh says He hates Esau and all of his progeny, we, being kinsmen to Him, have the same right. As a matter of fact, to hold back this hatred and keep it within us, can and will make us mentally and physically ill.

ESAU'S PROGENY

Genesis 36 lists fourteen Dukes of Edom. All of these fourteen chieftains can be traced back to three of the women Esau took for his wives, Adah, Aholibamah and Bashemath. Adah was the Canaanite daughter of Elon the Hittite. Aholibamah (Oholibamah) was the Canaanite daughter of Anah, and granddaughter of Zibeon the Hivite. Bashemath was the daughter of Ishmael, the granddaughter of Abraham and Hagar. Some confuse Bashemath, the

daughter of Elon the Hittite, with Adah (Genesis 26:34-35). Maybe Adah was known by two names, but Esau only had

three wives, not four, or even six as some say. Adah, the Hittite, had one male child by Esau, Eliphaz, but had six grandsons, Teman, Omar, Zepho, Kenaz, Korah and Gatam who became six of the fourteen dukes. Aholibamah,

the Hivite, had three sons, Jeush, Jaalam and Korah who became three of the fourteen dukes. Amalek was a real bastard as he was both Hittite and Hivite. Amalek's mother was Timna the Hivite, and his father was Eliphaz, the half-breed son of Esau and Adah the Hittite. Amalek was one of the fourteen dukes. Bashemath the granddaughter of Ishmael had four male children, Nahath, Zerah, Shammah and Mizzah who became four of the fourteen dukes. Esau gave his wives Hebrew names, thus making it confusing.

Both Edom and Edomites are mentioned frequently in the Bible; in addition there are places where, because of the similarity between the letters (d) and (r) in Hebrew, the text has wrongly read "Aram" (i.e. Syria), and "Arameans" (i.e. Syrians), for "Edom," and "Edomites," such as 2 Kings 16:6; 2 Chron 20:2, where the KJV has followed the MT, but the RSV has followed an emended text.

The Hebrew alphabet consists of twenty two letters. The language itself is read from right to left.



CONGRATULATIONS

To Ralph

and

Anita Laksa on the birth of

their daughter Olivia Philippa, on 18th August, 2005

at Annisfail Hospital

A gift from God

This is the documentation mentioned in the article	Form.	ל כ י ט ז ה ו ר ה ג ב א
		l k,orkh y t ch z v h d g b a
	Final.	ץ ת ן ם ך
		ts p,orph n m k,orkh

Courtesy The Dragon Slayer, PO Box 766, LaPorte CO 80535

A MATTER FOR CONSIDERATION

There are over six billion people living on our planet. Of that six billion, almost two billion are Muslims. That's roughly a third of the total population of the earth.

The earthquake that triggered the killer tidal wave was centered just off the coast of the Indonesian island of Sumatra. Indonesia is the world's most populous Muslim country. It was also the most severely devastated by the wave. Nearly 100,000 of the victims of the December 26 catastrophe were Indonesian Muslims. The vast majority of the victims were either Muslims, Buddhists or Hindu. Got all that? Good.

Now, to the United Nations. The United Nations consists of 186 countries. The most powerful voting bloc is the 57 Islamic countries that generally vote with one voice, especially when the United States or Israel are voting the other way. The United Nations' head of humanitarian relief, Jan Egeland, criticized the West for being stingy. He didn't specifically mention America, but he cited the exact percentage of the US GDP that is budgeted for foreign aid, so there is little doubt of who the 'stingy West' was, at least in Egeland's mind. Egeland slammed the United States for not raising taxes so that America could give a greater per-



centage of its GDP to the UN to distribute as part of the UN's foreign aid package. (so more of the elite can live in luxury while their masses still starve. Feed 100 today, and feed 1000 next year! CIM).

Editorials in the Washington Post, the New York Times and other liberal newspapers echoed Egeland's charge, with the New York Times calling America's \$350 million in direct government aid 'miserly.'

The United States makes up some six percent of the world's total population, but we pay a quarter of the United Nation's total budget. The United States pays forty percent of the world's total disaster relief aid, and sixty percent of the world's total flood donations. The \$2.4 billion (that's BILLION) dollars Washington spent in emergency aid in 2003 represented 40 percent of the total amount of emergency assistance from all bilateral donors provided that year. Evidently, that isn't enough.

It didn't take long for these same liberal elitists to turn Mother Nature into an American right-wing hater of Islam. Not only had America's imperialistic self-enrichment policies created the natural disaster, but also cold-hearted Muslim hating President Bush wouldn't leave his ranch in Texas... which by the way, is his home - not a vacation destination - and only offered a 'stingy' initial monetary donation.

While these elitist journalists were assailing President Bush and expounding the mantra that America should be giving more money to the devastated region in a token gesture that would 'show Islam that America didn't hate Muslims,' UN Secretary General Kofi Annan was still on his vacation skiing in Jackson Hole, Wyoming. He returned to New York four days later. The wave struck on Sunday, and it took only until Monday before the U.S. announced its \$350 million in initial aid, sent the USS Abraham Lincoln into the region, including helicopters, and C-130 transport planes, sent hundreds of tons of pre-packaged emergency aid supplies, and deployed some 14,000 American troops to help with the recovery and clean-up.

In Indonesia, U.S. helicopters flew at least 30 sorties, delivering 60,000 pounds of water and supplies, from the aircraft carrier USS Abraham Lincoln along a 120-mile stretch of Sumatra island's ravaged coastline.

Saudi Arabia and Kuwait, the richest nations in the Islamic world, donated a paltry \$10 million each. The United Arab Emirates donated some \$20 million to relieve the suffering of their Islamic 'brothers.' Egypt's contribution at the time of this writing is \$104,000 (Note: Egypt gets \$2 BILLION in US foreign aid annually).

And did anybody notice that the majority of the private donations came from those evil corporate types the left so loves to loathe? Pfizer donated \$10 million in cash and \$25 million in drugs (Viagra??) (That is more than oil-rich Saudi Arabia and Kuwait combined). General Motors pledged \$2 million in cash, agreed to match employee donations dollar for dollar, and is sending vehicles to transport food and medical supplies to the region.

Other corporate donors include Nike Inc., American Express, General Electric, First Data Corp, Coca Cola, Pepsi, Exxon-Mobil, Citi-Group, Marriott International and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

On the other hand, where are all the Hollywood liberals? Activist actors such as Ben Affleck, Susan Sarandon, Al Franken, Tim Robbins, Martin Sheen, and Barbra Streisand have not been heard from. And where is George Soros, the world's richest left-wing liberal?

Actress Sandra Bullock donated one million dollars, but Bullock is neither an activist nor a liberal. (She also donated one million following September 11). Super-rich liberals like Bono and Bruce Springsteen are promising to hold another 'aid concert' to collect money (not theirs) for

the victims.

America, as noted at the outset, represents six percent of the global population. But in any catastrophe, it gets one hundred percent of the blame. The UN's nose is out of joint because the Bush administration refuses to funnel its aid through the UN's various aid agencies. Kofi Annan wants to use the catastrophe to shore up the UN's sagging image in the wake of the Oil-for-food thefts from Iraq. The United States wants to ensure the aid doesn't end up lining the pockets of UN officials. So the US is 'too stingy' and gets another black eye.

Where is the rest of the Islamic world? There are fifty seven Islamic nations, and the world's biggest Islamic nation is the one that took the hardest hit. But it is the United States - the world's largest donor nation - that is grabbing all the headlines for being 'stingy.'

To put things in perspective, I saw a news photo yesterday of one of the Indonesian victims. He was wearing a T-shirt emblazoned with the face of Osama bin Laden.

(excerpted from the Omega Letter Daily Intelligence Digest, volume 7, Issue 4).

Courtesy The Virginia Christian Israelite, Box 109 Round Hill VA 20142

NEWS AND NOTES:

Eminent Domain: the governmental practice of seizing privately held property for use by governments, or corporate interests, based on often loosely-defined "greater community needs," is once again in the news. The U.S. Supreme Court, in a 5-4 ruling on June 23, held that this holds Constitutional muster. The second clause of the Fifth Amendment to the *Constitution for the united States of America* only says, "... nor shall private property be taken for public use without just compensation."

And guess who decides what is just? Yep. In many cases property holders received half of *fair market value*, or less. This has received much public outrage, on the political "left" as well as the "right," yet neither side has addressed the real reason why such takes place. Prior to the *War of Northern Aggression* (1861-1865), much of American land was in **freehold**, either under a land patent, or held in allodium, free and clear of liens and taxes. Upon the recording of deeds and land titles, and collection of property tax, the properties fell under eminent domain, whereby the state and federal governments could assert compelling interest in an alleged common good, and condemn any real property the holder may have upon the land. Granted, in some cases it makes common sense, but it begs the question, "Which came first, the hen or the egg?" Legally, it is state legislatures which decide what constitutes grounds for eminent domain, but really, only natural individuals can have "rights," not governments (which are legal fictions).

Biblically, ALL land belongs to YHVH-God (Lev. 25:23-28), with a subsidiary dominion given to Mankind. The "freehold" of God's land was to remain with the individual, and would revert to him every seventh (sabbatical) year, even if he was injured (not able to produce from it), or had a poor crop, etc. (Lev. 25:48-49). In a just society, the inalienability of land is crucial.

* * * *

In a National Marriage Project report from Rutgers University come word that the US divorce rate dropped from 22 of every 1000 married during 1980, to 18 per 1000 in 2004. However the (official) number of unmarried, opposite-sex couples living together has climbed from 439,000 in 1960, to over 5 million in 2004. [Rogers, AR Morning News, 7/19/05]. From this, one must conclude the mindset among young people is, "Why bother marrying, if we're just going to split up?" In other words, there is no commitment to commitment in America. It is easier to call it a day, than to work out difficulties, so we think. Scripture



says, "Marriage is honorable in all, and the [marriage] bed undefiled; but God will judge those who practice vice and adultery." The KJV uses "whoremongers." A monger is one who sells something. A whore is a woman departed from the lawful cover of a man in her life, be it father, husband, or guardian (usually uncle or brother-in-law) in absence of the first two, and sexually active with someone other than her husband. When young males convince girls to "move in with them" without marriage bond, **they are making whores of the girls.** Repent, Australia.

* * * *

Man Shoots Postman in Bid for Prison. We all know we are dwelling in desperately wicked times, but this story boggles the mind. William Crichfield, a Snellville Georgia resident, deep in debt and looking for an "out," shot his mail carrier, Earl Lazenby, after hearing that federal prisoner and former fugitive, Eric Rudolph, was receiving room and board plus three square meals a day. Of course, if he wanted to do so without hurting someone, he could have taken a water pistol into a bank and waved it around. [Rogers *AR Morning News* 7/15/05].

* * * *

Putting finger to Wind Jesse Jackson, a suitcase demagogue and equal-opportunity exploiter, is now after Hispanic support for his socialist utopian "civil rights" ventures. Speaking to the League of United Latin-American Citizens (LULAC) convention in Little Rock, Arkansas on June 30, Jackson intoned, "Most of the people in the world today are young, brown, black, poor, female, don't speak English, so let's join the real world: Si, se puede (Yes, it can be done)" [Rogers, *AR Morning News*, 7/1/05]. What Jackson failed to conclude with, was "... Lets join forces and gang up on Whitey." Jackson has a long history of race-baiting (the kind they don't tell you about in modern American history books: black on white), from his days toadying up to the socialist stooge and womanizer, Martin L. King.

* * * *

United Church of Christ in Hot water. On several fronts, including these two. First, their rule-making body voted overwhelmingly on July 4 to approve a resolution endorsing "same-sex marriage," making it the largest judeo-christian denomination to do so [the Southern Baptists are mulling this matter over right now - DG] Supposedly, this ruling is not binding on "individual COC churches," but this goes to show the COC does have a central organization, contrary to church claims. Second, a day later, the COC body passed a resolution to use "economic leverage" (divestment, boycotting Israeli products) to allegedly promote peace between the Jewish state and emerging Palestinian state. This naturally drew cries of "antisemitism" from Jewish leaders, and promises that it would "harm judeo-christian relations." [Rogers, *AR Morning News*, 7/5 & 7/6/05].

* * * *

New Caspian Oil Interests Fuel US War Drive Against Iraq. Powerful geo-political interests are fueling the American war drive. In many respects US policy in the Persian Gulf is driven today by the same considerations that led it to invade Iraq nearly eight years ago. As a "senior American official" —most likely Secretary of State James Baker--told the New York Times within days of the Iraqi occupation of Kuwait in August of 1990: "We are talking about oil. Got it? Oil, vital American interests." [It is of interest to take note: Russian Intelligence Analysts are reporting today that both President Putin (Russia) and President Hu (China) have ordered the immediate activation of 10 Combat-Ready Divisions to counter the increasingly aggressive moves being made by the United States in the

Caspian Oil Regions of Central Asia. Special Forces Army Units of both Russian Spetznaz and Chinese Immediate Action Units were also ordered to be immediately deployed to both Uzbekistan and Kyrgyzstan to surround the large American Military bases in those regions, and that the governments of both of these countries have ordered the Americans to leave.]

* * * *

The Chinese Onslaught. While Red China continues rattling sabers at Taiwan, and our trade imbalance rises as cheap, inferior Chinese goods replace American-made ones on store shelves, we have another specter: Chinese oil demand is growing, and a Chinese firm has bid on California-based oil and gas company, Unocal. Should China succeed in both meeting their domestic needs and purchase key oil suppliers or refiners, they could use this as political leverage on the U.S.

On Taiwan, consider this: "If the Americans draw their missiles and position-guided ammunition on to the target zone on China's territory, I think we will have to respond with nuclear weapons," said Maj. Gen. Zhu Chenghu, at an official briefing. [Joseph Kahn, *New York Times*, 7/15/05]

* * * *

Property Taxes On Rise Across U.S. As energy prices rise, real estate speculation (as investment) rises also. This exacerbates the credit crisis, as both new and existing housing sales increase, driving up surrounding property "values." The highest property taxes are now to be found in the Northeast, upper Mid-west, Texas, Wyoming and nebraska, where average taxes are over \$1000. This is true, too, of the west Coast, to a lesser extent. The lowest average property taxes were to be found in the South, Southeast, Missouri & Arkansas, Utah & New Mexico.

* * * *

Studies: Television Harmful to Learning. One study of 400 northern Californian third-graders showed that those with TVs in their bedrooms scored eight points lower on math and language arts tests, than those without TVs. A second study of nearly 1000 adults in New Zealand found lower education levels among 26-year-olds who watched a lot of TV during childhood. A third study, based on data on nearly 1800 U.S. children, found that those who watched more than three hours of TV daily before age 3 scored slightly worse on academic and intelligence tests at ages 6 and 7, than those who watches less. Whereas quality educational TV programs hold promise, the medium itself, which is passive, tends to take the learning edge off. [Rogers, *AR Morning News*, 7/18/05].

Courtesy Facts for Action, PO Box 385 Eureka Springs AR 72632.

Already two-thirds of 2005 has gone, yet it seems like yesterday we were concerned about the Y2K 'bug'! Sorry about no new tapes last month, but tapes have been a bit slow. We are now getting some new tapes now from Ted Weiland. I hope you will enjoy and benefit from listening to these. Scriptures for America is making available on CD (rom) Pastor Earl Jones' *Intelligence Newsletters* (in HTML) from Nov-Dec 1988 to Sep 2001. Cost in the vicinity of \$35-\$40. If you are interested, let me know and I will order some. Not everyone has a computer, so I would like to know how many are interested in these, before ordering. Thank you again for your letters, orders, clippings and donations. We really appreciate hearing from you all, even if we cannot answer everything. Thank you for your faithfulness, and may the God of our forefathers Abtaham, Isaac and Jacob, bless you and keep you and make His face shine on you and give you health and His peace,

