



**Christian Identity Ministries**  
 in conjunction with N.Q. Fellowship of God's Covenant  
 PO Box 146, CARDWELL QLD 4849, Australia

A member of the  
 Congregations of  
 Israel

**Ph: 07-4066 0146 Fax: 07-4066 0226 (International 61-7 instead of 07)**

"Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; For He hath visited and redeemed *His* people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he swore to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives." Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian people are *ISRAEL!*

#236

## Covenant Messenger

November AD2005

# "AN EXAMINATION OF ELECTION AND FREE-WILL" Calvinism vs. Arminianism by Pastor Don Elmore

For centuries the theology of Christendom has been horribly split into two major camps: Arminianism and Calvinism. The theology of these two dogmas has caused much confusion and conflict among Christian churches. The Protestant Reformation was theologically a revival of the tenets of Augustine (who learned it from the writings of the infamous Gnostic of the first century, Simon Magus) that both John Calvin and Martin Luther adhered to. Therefore, most Reformers were Calvinistic. Presbyterians and Reformed (followers of Calvin) and Lutherans (followers of Luther), along with some Baptists, Congregationalists, and Episcopalians have been the main advocates of the Calvinistic position.

Methodists, "Free-Will" Baptists, some Congregationalists and Episcopalians were leaders in the opposing viewpoint—Arminianism. Today most churches who claim to be Christian promote the Arminian position.. Very few churches teach the five points of Calvinism ("TULIP") anymore; Total Depravity, Unconditional Election, Limited Atonement, Irresistible Grace, and Perseverance and Preservation of the Saints.. The Reformed Church, Primitive Baptist, Hardshell Baptist, and a few conservative Presbyterian, Baptist, Lutheran, and non-denominational Churches are the remnant Calvinistic churches in America.

But in the early days of the United States, the Pilgrim and Puritan Churches of the Northeast and the Presbyterian, Lutheran and Baptist Churches of the South were staunch supporters of Calvinism. When the Northern churches were overcome by the humanistic movement in the early and mid-1800s, the South remained as the major Christian stronghold against humanism/socialism. The "Sovereignty of God" was a large thorn that aggravated the followers of humanism—believers in the "sovereignty of man."

To stamp out this main obstacle to humanism's desire of North American domination was one of the major reasons the Unitarians, Deists and Quakers led the North into its destructive battle against the Calvinistic South. And they were successful. As a consequence of their decisive victory over the South, many of the Christian doctrines that exposed and resisted the promotion of their deification of man were eliminated. One of the most important of these doctrines was "The Sovereignty of God."

To the Calvinist, God was and is sovereign in every-thing. To the Calvinist, God is the Most High, Lord of heaven and earth. Subject to none, influenced by none, absolutely independent; God does as He pleases, only as He pleases, always as He pleases. None can thwart Him,

none can hinder Him. In a sentence, Divine sovereignty means that God is God in fact, as well as in name, that He is on the Throne of the universe, directing all things, working all things "after the counsel of His own will" (Eph. 1:11).

Therefore, to the Calvinist, the "god" of the Humanist, Deist, Unitarian, and Quaker, and the "god" of this twenty-first century, "no more resembles the Supreme Sovereign of Holy Writ than does the dim flickering of a candle the glory of the midday sun. The 'god' who is now talked about in the average pulpit, spoken of in the ordinary Sunday School, mentioned in much of the religious literature of the day, and preached in most of the so-called Bible Conferences is the figment of human imagination, an invention of maudlin sentimentality . The heathen outside the pale of Christendom form 'gods' out of wood and stone, while the millions of heathen inside Christendom manufacture a 'god' out of their own carnal mind. In reality, they are but atheists, for there is no other possible alternative between absolutely Supreme God, and no god at all. A 'god' whose will is resisted, whose designs are frustrated, whose purpose is checkmated, possesses no title to Deity, and so far from being a fit object of worship, merits nought but contempt." (The Attributes of God, Arthur W. Pink, pp. 23,24).

With their strong belief in the many attributes of God: His knowledge, His foreknowledge, His immutability, His holiness, His power, His faithfulness, His goodness, His patience, His grace, His mercy, His love, His wrath, etc.—it is no wonder that God intervened many times to deliver the Calvinistic Pilgrims, Puritans, Afrikaners, etc. from possi-

### IN THIS ISSUE:

An Examination of Election and Free Will, Elmore,..	1
President Visit in Germany, .....	6
Personal Relationships, .....	6
A Word from Arnold, .....	7
Women of Saxondom, How Should, .....	8
Yah's Laws - 7th Commandment, .....	10
The car & The Family, .....	12
Television Trauma, .....	13
Review of IVF Procedures, .....	14
Purpose of Government, .....	14
Hiding Ignorance Behind Low Standards, .....	14

The views and opinions expressed in the articles herein or herewith are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIM. They are written by fallible men. You must ask Jesus to guide your studies!

ble annihilation.

But even though Calvinism is more accurate in its understanding of God's attributes than Arminianism, it is not completely accurate in many of its applications of God's sovereignty. For example, while it teaches God's immutability, it **denies** it. While Calvinism teaches that God elected fleshly Israel in the Old Testament, it teaches that God **changed** in the New Testament by choosing an *imaginary* elect—"spiritual Israel."

#### OLD TESTAMENT ELECTION

Who were the elect of God in the Old Testament days? Surprising there is agreement among both the Arminian and Calvinist. From Adam to Abraham, it was the line of Seth. From Abraham to Jesus, it was the physical seed of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob.

To the Calvinist, God only chose people to salvation from the above-mentioned seedlines. And He chose to damnation all the other seedlines: the Canaanites, the Amalekites, Hittites, Filipinos, Zulus, Eskimos, Chinese, etc.

To the Arminian, these same seed lines exercised their own free will to choose either salvation or damnation—the Israelites chose salvation while the Canaanites and all the others chose damnation. Both of these viewpoints damn to hell all those who never hear(d) the gospel.

But the Scriptures are very plain. God **chose Israel to be His people**. In fact, He was their King! His throne was located on the mercy seat of the ark that was placed in the Holy of Holies in the Tabernacle and later the Temple. All of the sacrifices offered on the altars in the Temple were done for the benefit of (all) Israel.

God did not choose individuals of all races to be His people in Old Testament days. God chose only one race to be His people—the line of Seth and the descendants of Jacob/Israel. Calvinists are at a loss to explain why God did not choose any one from any other race for over four thousand years. Likewise, the Arminians fail to come up with a good explanation as to why no one of any other race chose God in this time period.

#### CALVINISM:

God by His sovereignty chooses:

1. Some to salvation
2. All others to damnation

#### ARMINIANISM:

Man by his free will either chooses:

1. God for salvation
2. Or they do not choose God for salvation

#### COVENANT THEOLOGY:

1. God in His sovereignty has chosen the physical seed of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob to be His people
2. The chosen people of God choose, according to God's plan:
  - a. To serve the Lord their God, or
  - b. To serve other "gods"

The Old Testament scriptures take only one verse for creation; a tad more than one chapter for the restoration of the earth; a couple of chapters for the Edenic civilization; one chapter for Cain's genealogy and civilization; one chapter for the lineage of Adam/Seth to Noah and his three sons; four chapters for Noah's flood; one and a half chapters for the post-flood civilization of Nimrod; and one half of a chapter for the genealogy from Noah/Shem to Abraham.

So, the first **eleven** chapters of Genesis cover (excluding the time from the creation to the placing of Adam in the Garden) about two thousand years. The next **fourteen** chapters cover only about one hundred years.

God very slowly and carefully explains the particulars of His special relationship with Abraham, His friend. The Book of Genesis tells of the unique event that is of utmost importance to all Christians: the unconditional covenant (designated the Old Covenant or Testament) that God made with Abram. God carefully explains that only God "cut the

covenant"—that is only God walked between the two parallel rows of divided animals—as Abram was in a "deep sleep" (Genesis 15:12).

In the following chapters, God explains that Abram's name was changed to Abraham—which means the "father of many nations." And his wife, Sarai—her name was changed also—to Sarah; which means "Princess." God reveals that the covenant was to be passed through the son of Abraham and Sarah—even though she was barren and he was incapable of fathering a child due to his age.

This miracle son's name was Isaac. To emphasize that the covenant seed was through Isaac, the 21st chapter tells of the casting out of the

bondwoman (Hagar) and her and Abraham's son—Ishmael. This future father of the Arab people was specifically told that he "shall not be HEIR with my son, even with Isaac." (Genesis 21:10).

The next chapter records a most important event. Abraham proves to God that he believes His covenant that He has made with him and his son Isaac. For when he was told to sacrifice Isaac, Abraham obeyed knowing that God would have to resurrect Isaac from the dead in order for His covenant's promises to come true.

For one of the provisions of the covenant was that Isaac would have billions of descendants—and without God's intervention this would fail, since at that time Isaac was childless.

But God did intervene at the last second and Isaac was spared. God then told Abraham that "**because thou hast done this thing, and hast not withheld thy son, thine only [covenant] son**" (Genesis 22:16), that God swore by Himself that the promises of the covenant would come to pass. So, not only has God promised, but He has also sworn—by two immutable things—God's covenant with Abraham and his seed is sure (Hebrews 6).

But the story continues. Since Isaac had twin sons—which one would be the covenant seed? God explains that Esau sold his birthright because of his lack of belief in the covenant and he disqualified his children from being possible covenant seed when he married two Hittite women. [*let this be a warning to not marry into other races!!*] The Hittites were one of the thirteen cursed seed lines that lived in the area, but were people that God had forbidden his covenant people to marry. Therefore, the covenant passed to

#### Tapes of the Month

#### #J-123, J-124, J-125, J-126

#### The Christian & Civil Government

by pastor John Weaver.

*This series consisting of 4 tapes a total of 358 minutes instructing the Christian on his duties and responsibilities to government and the duty and responsibility of government to the people. Part 1 is so long that carries over into the tape of part 2. Every Christian needs to hear this series, and if they would listen, also the government!*

**This month, 4 tapes \$16.00**



Jacob and his eleven sons, along with his two adopted grandsons—Ephraim and Manasseh, who represented their father Joseph [this way Jacob gave Joseph the ‘double portion’ of the firstborn]

As a result, God is constantly identified as **“the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob.”** Jacob’s name was later changed to Israel. And the rest of the Old Testament is about the history of the Israel people: Their migration to Egypt, their enslavement there, their miraculous exodus, and their formation into a theocracy [at Mt Sinai].

This unique kingdom was certainly special. What other nation had God, Himself, as their King? He sat on His Throne on the mercy seat which was located in the private area of the Tabernacle/Temple—The Holy of Holies.

To these people, His subjects, God gave His statutes, His commandments, His judgments, and His ordinances. He was their lawgiver and King. They were His people—His covenant CHOSEN people.

Over and over, the Bible declares that the physical seed of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob were the CHOSEN OF GOD.

*“Yet now hear, O Jacob, my servant; and Israel, WHOM I HAVE CHOSEN”* (Isaiah 44:1)

*“O ye seed of Abraham, his servant, ye children of Jacob, HIS CHOSEN”* (Psalm 105:6).

*“For Jacob, my servant’s sake, and Israel MINE ELECT”* (Isaiah 45:4a).

The entire rest of the Old Testament is about the history of God’s people. All of the prophets (Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, Daniel, Hosea, Joel, Amos, Obadiah, Jonah, Micah, Nahum, Habakkuk, Zephaniah, Haggai, Zechariah, and Malachi) were Israelites and their books reveal prophecies to the entire kingdom of Israel, or to the House of Israel, or to the House of Judah, or to their enemies.

In the Old Testament, ELECTION AND THE ABRAHAMIC COVENANT were connected. God’s chosen (elected) people were the physical seed of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob—to whom the covenant was made.

No one outside of the Adamic race were part of the elect. In fact, many of the races outside of the Middle East, Europe, and Northern Africa were probably totally ignorant of God’s kingdom on earth. Were the Aztecs of Central America aware of the reign of King David? Were the Eskimos of northern Canada cognizant of the captivity of millions of Israelites by the Assyrians? Were the Australian Aborigines knowledgeable of the small number of Israelites who returned to the Jerusalem area after the Babylonian Captivity?

And what provision was made by God for their remission of sins? On the special annual Day of the Atonement the High Priest of Israel, while placing his hands on the scapegoat, confessed the sins of only ONE people:

*“And Aaron [and the succeeding High Priests] shall lay both hands upon the head of the live goat, and confess over it all the iniquities of the **CHILDREN OF ISRAEL**, and all **THEIR** transgressions in all **THEIR** sins, putting them upon the head of the goat, and shall send it away by the hand of a fit man into the wilderness”* (Leviticus 16:21).

There was no provision made for any other peoples: The Zulus were not represented by the High Priest; the Mexicans were not permitted to enter the Temple; the Pakistanis were not required to go to Jerusalem three times a

year at the Feast Days of Passover, Pentecost, and Tabernacles; and the Japanese were not instructed to offer bullocks and goats for their sins.

No other race could be the elect of God. If a person was not born of a mother and father who were both of the chosen seed, then he/she was NOT of the elect of God. On the other hand, if a child had a biological mother and father who were both pure descendants of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob—then that child was of the chosen seed.

God’s chosen people of the Old Testament were Israelites. Election was reserved only for the covenant seed. **It was by birth, not by their choice,**

Few Calvinists or Arminians would disagree that Israel was God’s chosen people, although they would put a different “spin” on it. The Calvinists would explain that God in His sovereignty chose only Israelites to salvation and chose all the other races to damnation in Old Testament days. The Arminians would counter that only Israelites exercised their free-will to choose God as their Saviour—all the other races chose to worship other gods.

But their “spin” exposes their faulty reasoning. To the Calvinist, **ALL WHO ARE ELECTED BY GOD ARE**

**ELECTED TO SALVATION.** “Elected” and “Saved” both refer to the same people. For whom God the Father chooses, Jesus the Son atones, and motivates by spirit the grace to “hear the gospel and believe” and persevere to the end

And on the other hand, **ALL WHO ARE NOT ELECTED BY GOD ARE DOOMED TO HELL-FIRE AND BRIMSTONE FOR ETERNITY.** Thus, the extreme theology of Calvinism and Arminianism damns ALL non-Israelites who lived during the time from Jacob to Jesus!

The Arminian puts the responsibility for choosing on man and not God. They state that only Israelites, for whatever reason, were the only ones who followed God, while all

the people of the other races followed their own gods.

The Old Testament, therefore, causes a major dilemma for both positions. Maybe that is why many of them prefer to pass out only New Testaments to those whom they hope to proselytise!

### **ELECT PEOPLE:**

#### **SOME ARE GODLY, SOME ARE UNGODLY**

The simple solution to predestination, foreknowledge, election is that these terms all refer to the covenant people whom God chose when he “cut the covenant” with father Abraham. The promises that God made have come to pass. Abraham is the father of many nations. His descendants are like the stars in the sky. More kings can claim to be part of Abraham’s family tree than any other.

“But God has made an everlasting, unconditional, irrevocable and unchanging covenant with Israel—His elect people. The Covenant never went over to any other people” (*World Affairs*, No 2, 2005; Pastor F.W.C. Naser, R.S.A.)

*“And God said, Sarah thy wife shall bear thee a son indeed: and thou shalt call his name **ISAAC**: and I will establish my covenant with him for an **EVERLASTING** covenant, and with **his SEED** after him”* (Genesis 17:19).

*“In **ISAAC** shall **thy SEED** be called”* (Romans 9:7).

A person is a son of Isaac by BIRTH, and not through faith. The Anglo-Saxon, Celtic, Germanic, Slavic and Scandinavian people of the world who have kept their genealogy pure are the present “seed of Isaac.”

### **New Book: SYMBOLS OF OUR CELTO-SAXON HERITAGE**

by W.H. Bennett

*‘Heraldry is neither more nor less than the science and art of hereditary symbolism. Symbolism of some form or another has always been in use among nations and tribes, but in Europe in the Middle Ages, it became hereditary for individuals and institutions.’ So writes Mr. L.G. Pine in his foreword to this volume. The author has devoted his study of heraldic emblems to the “nations and tribes” of Israel in the early days of the patriarchs and the wanderings of the tribes prior to their being formed into a kingdom.*

**#454 hardcover @ \$32.00 posted**



This is the reason that the enemies of God have as their goal the destruction of this seed. The Communist Party, for example, desires that every person in the world marry outside of their race. Why? For there would not be any “seed of Isaac” left.

This is also the reason for the propaganda in regards to the German Nazis and their “pure race” ideology. The enemies of God have saturated the minds of God’s people that purity of race equates to an arrogant Master Race mentality and, therefore, is evil. To counter this evil doctrine, they promote the idea that one should be open to marriages of any race.

The theology of the Calvinist and Arminian complement this evil ideology of the Communist atheists. This is because they believe that any person of any race can be an elect person of God. The Calvinist—God in His sovereign power chooses; the Arminian—man with his own free will chooses. To them both, election is separate from the irrevocable, everlasting, unchanging, unconditional covenant that God made *exclusively* with Abraham and his seed.

“Which covenant he made with Abraham, and his oath unto Isaac: and confirmed the same unto Jacob for a law, and to Israel for an everlasting covenant” (Psalm 105:9,10).

Since they have a salvation that is not based on any covenant—then they deny the death of Jesus confirmed the everlasting covenant that had been made with Abraham. And since they have opened the Communion Table to the “saved” of the non-covenant races, they deny that:

1. The New Covenant was made with ONLY the House of Israel and the House of Judah (Jeremiah 31:31 and Hebrews 8:8).

2. The communion cup is a remembrance of Jesus’ confirming the covenant for His people. “This cup is the new testament (covenant) in my blood which is shed for you,” that is for Israel and no one else.

3. The basis of God’s election in the Old Testament, *the Abrahamic Covenant* (read about it in Ray Capt’s booklet by that title, # 139 @ \$5.50), is the same basis for God’s election in the New Testament.

### THE ELECT OF THE NEW TESTAMENT

Both the Calvinist and the Arminian agree that the elect in the New Testament days are different people than the elect of the Old Testament times. They both assert that any person of any race can be a “spiritual Israelite”—that is, a “son of Abraham.” Race does not matter. A goat can become a sheep. A viper can become a sheep. A person of a cursed race can become a child of God. A savage who dwells in the deepest jungle can become an elect of God.

The “seed of Isaac”—the elect of the Old Testament—and the covenant of which they were chosen; has absolutely no meaning or significance to their theology. They have changed the identity of the elect people and they have changed the purpose of the elect people.

To both the Calvinist and Arminian, election and salvation go hand in hand. To the Calvinist—whoever God chooses, He chooses to salvation. The elect are the “saved.” To the Arminian—whoever chooses God for salvation are the elect. God foreknows who will choose to be a believer. The elect people of God are the “saved;” the non-elect people are the “damned.”

Both of these extreme, bizarre theologies have the same Achilles heel—backslidden Christians. Each view has no place for a backslidden, unrepentant elect person. But everyone knows of people who were faithful, godly people who later in life totally backslid and never repented. And they died in their unrepentant state.

In an attempt to justify such an ungodly believer, the Calvinist would argue that this person, no matter how godly he previously was, could not be an elect person of God. Likewise, the Arminian would agree. But like the Catholics who have changed the meaning of who “saints of God” are, the Calvinist and Arminians have changed the meaning of who the “**elect of God**” are.

In the Old Testament, were there any individuals of the chosen people of God (Israelites) who were evil people? Were any rebellious? Blasphemers? Drunkards? Rapists? Murderers? Baal-worshippers? Fornicators? Sodomites? Sabbath-breakers?

The answer is that there were millions of the elect people of God who were ungodly people. Some families were so evil, that God opened the earth and these elect people travelled directly to hades. Some elect people of God were so evil, that God sent them plagues, foreign military invasions, and captivities as punishment.

The Calvinist is correct in teaching that God is sovereign, that He chooses whom He wills, and none can change His mind. But they are wrong as to whom He has chosen. Nowhere in Scripture (from Genesis 12 on) does it say that God has chosen anyone except the descendants of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob.

In their way of thinking—one is born an elect—one has to believe in order for it to become evident that God chose him to salvation before the foundation of the earth [hence Arminians practice

adult baptism, in opposition to Covenant believers, who practice infant baptism]. Therefore, for Calvinistic parents, they must wonder their entire lives to learn if their children will become a part of God’s election. But that is backwards.

A person does not become one of God’s elect, many years after they are born, just because they make a “decision for Christ.” God’s decision as to whom He chose was made long ago and has not changed:

“Only the LORD had a delight in thy fathers to love them, and he **CHOSE THEIR SEED** after them, even you [Israelites] above all people, as it is this day” (Deuteronomy 10:15).

So, the Calvinist goes throughout his life wondering who God has chosen; the Arminian goes throughout his life wondering who will choose God and thus become one of His elect. But the *identity* of God’s elect has been set. It is the RACIAL seed of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob—just like it was in the days of the Old Testament.

Only to this particular racial seed did the God of the Bible ever say that they were His people and that He was their God. He never said that any other people were His people.

They (Israel), therefore, were to be a “holy,” that is, a separated people. They were to keep His Laws, His commandments, His statutes, His judgments and His ordinances. They were not to be like the other nations. They were to serve Him and they were not to serve any other

New Book

## HONOUR THY FATHER AND THY MOTHER

by Evangelist Ted R. Weiland

*Honoring your parents may seem less consequential than the five commandments that follow it and perhaps less deserving of heading the second tablet. The 5th Commandment, however, provides an important foundation upon which the following five commandments rest. Everything a child does in public is a reflection upon their parents. Fifth Commandment transgression is the beginning of a child’s departure from Yahweh, and therefore, is one of the principal causes of the collapse of a godly society.*

**#788 stapleback @ \$6.25 posted**



gods (that is why people need to get together in Christian communities, and separate themselves from the other people who freely mix with other races! CIM). All Israelites, from birth, are God's elect people. They had no choice in the matter. It was God's sovereign will, for it is God's elect. It is not man's elect.

But the question for the elect has always been: Since you have been chosen by God, then WHO WILL YOU SERVE? This is the decision that Israelites have consistently been admonished to consider. Notice some of the many scriptures which show that to serve their God was the purpose of God's elect people:

### CALVINISM:

God elects, then they become believers

God does not elect, then they remain unbelievers

Man does not have a free will

### ARMINIANISM:

Man chooses to believe, then he becomes God's elect

Man chooses not to believe, then he remains non-elect

Man has free will

### COVENANT THEOLOGY:

God chose Israel's seed, they are forever God's elect

God's elect (Israelites) must choose either:

1. To serve the LORD their God, or
2. To serve other "gods"

Exodus 23:24: "Thou shalt not bow down to their [Canaanites] gods, nor SERVE them, nor do after their works; but thou shalt utterly overthrow them, and quite break down their images."

Exodus 23:25: "And ye shall SERVE the Lord your God, and he shall bless thy bread, and thy water; and I will take sickness away from the midst of thee."

Exodus 23:33: "They shall not dwell in thy land, lest they make thee to sin against me; for if thou SERVE their gods, it will surely be a snare unto thee." (recognition of Aboriginal 'sacred sites' and 'land rights' would come under that! CIM)

Deuteronomy 6:13a: "Thou shalt fear the LORD thy God and SERVE him...."

Deuteronomy 7:4: "For they will turn away thy son from following me, that they may SERVE other gods; so will the anger of the LORD be kindled against you, and destroy thee suddenly."

Deuteronomy 7:16: "And thou shalt consume all the people whom the LORD thy God shall deliver to thee; thine eye shall have no pity upon them, neither shalt thou SERVE their gods; for that will be a snare unto thee."

Deuteronomy 8:19: "And it shall be, if thou do at all forget the LORD thy God, and walk after other gods, and SERVE them, and worship them, I testify against you this day that ye shall surely perish (can anyone honestly go and sit in a church, that denies these truths?? CIM)

Deuteronomy 10:12-13: "And now Israel, what doth

the LORD thy God require of thee, but to fear the LORD thy God, to walk in all his ways, and to love him and to SERVE the LORD thy God with all thy heart and with all thy soul, to keep the commandments of the Lord, and his statutes, which I command thee this day for thy good."

Deuteronomy 10:20: "Thou shalt fear the LORD thy God; him shalt thou SERVE, and to him shalt thou cleave, and swear by his name."

Deuteronomy 11:13,16: "And it shall come to pass, if ye shall hearken diligently unto my commandments which I command you this day, to love the LORD your God, and to SERVE him with all your heart and with all your soul.... Take heed to yourselves, that your heart be not deceived, and ye turn aside, and SERVE other gods, and worship them."

Deuteronomy 12:30: "Take heed to thyself that thou be not snared by following them, after they are destroyed from before thee, and that thou inquire not after their gods, saying, How did these nations SERVE their gods?" Even so will I do likewise." (e.g. The Aboriginals know how the land should be cared for, we should ask them, CIM)

Deuteronomy 13:6, 8a, 9a: "If thy brother, the son of thy mother, or thy son, or thy daughter, or the wife of thy bosom, or thy friend who is as thine own soul, entice thee secretly, saying, Let us go and SERVE other gods, which thou hast not known, thou nor thy fathers ... thou shalt not consent unto him .... but thou shalt surely kill him."

Deuteronomy 28:14: "For thou shalt not go aside from any of the words which I command thee this day, to the right hand or to the left, to go after other gods to SERVE them."

Deuteronomy 31:20 "For when I shall have brought them into the land which I swore to give unto their fathers, that floweth with milk and honey, and they shall have eaten and filled themselves, and grown fat, then will they turn unto other gods, and SERVE them, and provoke me, and **break my covenant** which I made with their fathers."

Jeremiah 11:10: "They are turned back to the iniquities of their forefathers, who refused to hear my words; and they went after other gods to SERVE them; the house of Israel and the house of Judah have broken my covenant which I made with their fathers."

Matthew 3:10: "Then said Jesus unto him, Begone Satan; for it is written, Thou shalt worship the Lord, thy God, and him only shalt thou SERVE."

Colossians 3:24: "Knowing that of the Lord, ye shall receive the reward of the inheritance; for ye SERVE the Lord Christ."

1 Thessalonians 1:9: "For they themselves show of us what manner of entering in we had unto you, and how ye turned to God from idols, to SERVE the living and true God."

And was this not the theme of Joshua's farewell address? To serve God—receive His blessings; to serve other gods—receive curses.

Joshua 24:14, 15, 21: "Now, therefore, fear the LORD, and SERVE him in sincerity and in truth; and put away the gods which your fathers SERVED on the other side of the river, and in Egypt, and SERVE ye the LORD. .. And if it see evil unto you to SERVE the LORD, choose you this day

### New Tapes:

#E-111 **Basis of Election:** God's Love & Oath - Deuteronomy Basics #19, Ps Don Elmore

#112 **Racial Separation** - Deut. Basics #20, Ps Don Elmore

#113 **Flee Idolatry** - Deut. Basics #21, Ps Don Elmore

#G-544 **Principles of Biblical Economics**, Pt 4, Ted Weiland

#G-545 **Principles of Biblical Economics**, Pt 5, Ted Weiland

#G-546 **Principles of Biblical Economics**, Pt 6, Ted Weiland

#K-479 **Joseph & the Tennessee Valley Authority**, pt 1, Joseph series #15 J. Bruggeman

#K-480 **Joseph & the Tennessee Valley Authority**, pt 2, Joseph series #16 J. Bruggeman



whom ye will SERVE, whether the gods which your fathers SERVED that were on the other side of the river or the gods of the Amorites, in whose land ye dwell; but as for me and my house, we will SERVE the LORD.... And the people said unto Joshua, Nay; but we will SERVE the LORD."

The Calvinists and Arminians are trying to do the impossible—they are trying to make non-elect people into elect people. Instead, the challenge should be made to the elect people of God's choosing—the physical seed of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob—whom will ye SERVE?

Will you SERVE the god of the Canaanites? Or will you SERVE the God who brought your forefathers out from Egypt? And why did He bring His people out of Egypt? Moses said repeatedly to Pharaoh:

"Thus saith the LORD, Let my people go, that they may SERVE me (Exodus 8:1; 9:13; 10:3,8,11,26).

**CALVINISM:**

The Elect = The "saved"  
 The Non-elect = The "lost"

**ARMINIANISM:**

The "saved" = The Elect  
 The "lost" = The Non-elect

**COVENANT THEOLOGY:**

The Elect = Israel's seed  
 The Non-elect = All other races

Courtesy The New Covenant Messenger, PO Box 321 Union KY 41091

**PRESIDENT VISIT IN GERMANY**

The following details about President Bush's visit to Frankfurt, Germany, was sent to a friend of this (*America's Promise*) ministry. Their cousin wrote the letter in German and they had a little trouble translating. I will do my best to make the letter clear, and perhaps you will also find its contents very interesting.

"Today we have President Bush's visit for 8 hours and for all the people in this area it is declared an "Exceptional status," order (sort of a martial law) of a never before experienced nature or proportions been declared.

Around the cities of Mainz, Wiesbaden and some parts of Frankfurt all autobahns and some roads were closed to traffic. All cars parked on streets and in park-places had to be moved or were towed away. Underground and high-rise parking garages were locked and sealed, equally all private garages and storehouses had to be locked.

People were restricted from leaving their homes, windows had to remain closed and balconies could not be stepped out on. Supermarkets, stores and workplaces had to remain closed because employees and workers could not reach their work places. Even in Ruesselheim, home of the Opel Manufacturing remained closed because 5000 workers found the roads to the plant blocked.

Shipping on the Rhine and Main (rivers) was prohibited - the loss of revenue on these two rivers alone amounting in half million (euros!). The entire flight traffic to and from Frankfurt "rested" for several hours, normally a plane lands or departs every minute. 16,000 policemen and CIA

men from the US were posted additionally. Trains were not permitted to run in the vicinity of Bush's motor routes, resulting in a 2 hour delay in all of Germany. Heavy trucks will reach their destination one day delayed.

Our government guards are to announce the costs. A "political meeting" of such magnitude and proportion is highly questionable. All of Germany will be happy and relieved tonight when all this is done."

My added thoughts on this matter (*Dave Barley*): Be happy my German friend. The Bush's administration's financial strain upon this nation is enormous! At least you're done with him for now.

Courtesy AmProm Newsletter, PO Box 157 Sandpoint ID 83864

**PERSONAL RELATIONSHIPS**  
 a compilation by Andrew Craig

**MAKING DECISIONS:** God has a plan for your life. You need to find God's will and SERVE Him as a priority. God wants the very BEST for you! Choosing your life spouse is a huge decision.

**THE WORLD'S WAY:** The world plays the heart-breaking "dating game" —various boyfriends/girlfriends in "trial relationships." This dating is a self-centered, feeling-based idea of love. It trains them for divorce—they're involved intimately and emotionally, then "break up" ...

**GOD'S WAY: MARRIAGE** is God's idea. God's model is marriage - where He joins two people together for life. It is very special. "*Marriage is honourable in all, and the bed undefiled: but whoremongers and adulterers God will judge*" (Hebrews 13:4).

God designed marriage for a man and wife to give themselves to each other in a lifelong union. Here, in such a union, belongs sex, nowhere else (Ephesians 5:3). Here, and here alone, is sex pure and right. Here we find the joys of marriage and family as it was intended in the beginning. In marriage we dedicate our whole selves to that one spouse for life. The emotional attachment that "dating" causes is giving pieces of our heart away that only belong to that special, life-long partner.

God's way is Courtship ... the relationship that leads to marriage - it happens once, and leads to a life-long covenant relationship. Young men and women can court, under their parents supervision, to find a spouse in the will of God. A man and woman are betrothed - committed to one another - always with a view to marriage.

**BEFORE YOU MARRY:** Marriage is a lifelong commitment. You must know for sure that

it is God's will. Don't consider courtship until you are ready for marriage and parenthood. While you are unmarried, build up your character and skills. Develop your personal walk with God. God's commands are for our good. There's true fulfillment as we obey God. Do what God says - He knows best ...

1. **WAIT** for the person that God wants you to marry. Pray and submit yourself to God. Ask Him to lead you to that special one (or lead that special one to you). God has a specific choice for each believer - the one whom He has appointed (Genesis 24:44).

2. **MEET** other Christian young people in the fellowship of Bible-based churches (this may be a little harder, and is a reason for people to get together in church-type communities, or at least get pen friends!! CIM) Build healthy relationships/friendships - wait for God's time and

Historic Video:

CI-105 a) **What's Left isn't Right**

b) **Telegony** (marriage & adultery)

c) **Thy Will be Done**

3 messages by the late pastor Earl F. Jones, in his usual interesting delivery. Good messages. Get this video now!

available on **VHS or DVD @ \$15**



God's choice.

3. **KEEP PURE.** God says that fornication, or pre-marital sex, is absolutely forbidden (1 Corinthians 6:18). To the unmarried God says, "It is good for a man not to touch a woman" (1 Cor. 7:1). God does not want a man and a woman to touch each other physically and cause feelings that will ruin them. Avoid temptation, and flee from youthful lusts (2 Timothy 2:22).

4. **SEEK COUNSEL.** Talk with your parents; seek their approval, and blessing. Obey their rules. Seek your pastor's advice

5. **STAND** by your convictions to honour God in your relationship. Follow God's leading by faith; if you lack God's peace, break it off; better to suffer heartache now than a heartbreak later. Determine to have God's best by going God's way. That way you can have a clear conscience and no regrets.

6. **DO NOT** consider a non-Christian (2 Cor. 6:14-15).

7. **ASK GOD** to help you make your body off-limits to everyone in every way until you are married. You may not know or have met the person yet, but there is a very special person to whom you can be very happily married one day. That wonderful person deserves you PURE, clean and unused!

8. **IF YOU'VE SINNED,** ask God for forgiveness and start over with his help. Sex, with all its excitement and wonder, was designed to be your wedding gift - a wedding gift from God! Don't share that gift with anyone before the wedding. And if you've unwrapped this gift ahead of time, carefully put it back for the right occasion!

Courtesy Fair Dinkum - Church 4 U

## A WORD FROM ARNOLD

We are home at last after being away from home for four months, and have found there is a heap of mail, newsletters, magazines and so on to deal with ... enough to keep one engaged for weeks. It is so good to have found the home secured and undamaged. But we did notice some of our pictures on the wall were not sitting square. A neighbour told us that there had been eleven small earthquake tremors followed by a larger one, but there is no damage. Earthquakes are sobering things because one feels so insufficient and helpless. There is no respect of persons in earthquakes. What crossed my mind on hearing about the earthquakes was:

"See that ye refuse not him that speaketh. For if they escaped not who refused him that spake on earth, much more shall we not escape, if we turn away from him that speaketh from heaven: Whose voice then shook the earth: but now he hath promised, saying, Yet once more I shake not the earth only, but also heaven. And this word, Yet once more, signifieth the removing of those things that are shaken, as of things that are made, that those things which cannot be shaken may remain. Wherefore we receiving a kingdom which cannot be moved, let us have grace, whereby we may serve God acceptably with reverence and godly fear: For our God is a consuming fire." Hebrews 12:25-29.

And:

"And there shall be signs in the sun, and in the moon, and in the stars; and upon the earth distress of nations,

with perplexity; the sea and the waves roaring; Men's hearts failing them for fear, and for looking after those things which are coming on the earth: for the powers of heaven shall be shaken. And then shall they see the Son of man coming in a cloud with power and great glory. And when these things begin to come to pass, then look up, and lift up your heads; for your redemption draweth nigh." Luke 21:25-28.

"Earthquake" is an interesting word because of the different ways the Hebrew and Greek are translated. It seems that the USA is beginning to shake in the Biblical sense, but how bad does it have to get before God's people cry out for deliverance? When will it be too late? I have long had the following passage in mind:

"Turn you at my reproof: behold, I will pour out my spirit unto you, I will make known my words unto you. Because I have called, and ye refused; I have stretched out my hand, and no man regarded; But ye have set at nought all my counsel, and would none of my reproof: I also will laugh at your calamity; I will mock when your fear cometh; When your fear cometh as desolation, and your destruction cometh as a whirlwind; when distress and anguish cometh upon you. Then shall they call upon me, but I will not answer; they shall seek me early, but they shall not find me:"

What a warning!

We found the United Kingdom to be much more openly decadent than the USA. A newspaper report said that in the UK it is possible to view 25 porno sites on television, and all the

English think about are football, alcohol, pornography and the next overseas trip. Generally, the most serious conversation one can engage in is about the weather, and even then, we as individuals, cannot do anything about it.

In both the UK and US it seems that all that holds the thin thread of civilization together is a strong police presence, but in both places justice is fallen in the streets. What of the churches? Mostly all are humanistic and lawless. It is proposed that the next archbishop of Canterbury should be a woman.

"Then the LORD said unto me, The prophets prophesy lies in my name: I sent them not, neither have I commanded them, neither spake unto them: they prophesy unto you a false vision and divination, and such a thing of nought, and the deceit of their heart, Therefore thus saith the LORD concerning the prophets that prophesy in my name, and I sent them not, yet they say, Sword and famine shall not be in this land; By sword and famine shall those prophets be consumed. And the people to whom they prophesy shall be cast out in the streets of Jerusalem because of the famine and the sword; and they shall have none to bury them, their wives, nor their sons, nor their daughters: for I will pour their wickedness upon them. Therefore thou shalt say this word unto them: Let mine eyes run down with tears night and day, and let them not cease: for the virgin daughter of my people is broken with a great breach, with a very grievous blow. If I go forth into the field, then behold the slain with the sword! and if I enter into the city, then behold them that are sick with famine! Yea, both the prophet and the priest go about into a land that they know not. Hast thou utterly rejected Judah? hath thy soul loathed Zion? why hast thou smitten us, and there is no healing for us? we looked for peace, and there is no good; and for the time of



healing, and behold trouble!” Jeremiah 14:14-19

The prophets and priests “go about” to the wrong places, to the wrong peoples and with the wrong message! Next follows a great prayer that acknowledges the “fathers” and the covenant:

*“We acknowledge, O LORD, our wickedness, and the iniquity of our fathers: for we have sinned against thee. Do not abhor us, for thy name’s sake, do not disgrace the throne of thy glory: remember, break not thy covenant with us.”*

Speaking with folks in the US who are pre-occupied with conspiracy theory, I believe that too much emphasis is made of these things to the neglect the worship that comes from living to see the goodness of God in the land of the living. On the practical side, about the time of Y2K, I put together a paper, **“The Believer and Disasters.”** (This may keep to another time)

We can see what is coming like an earthquake or a tidal wave, and we would encourage you all.

*“Quench not the spirit. Despise not prophesyings. Prove all things; hold fast that which is good. Abstain from all appearance of evil. And the very God of peace sanctify you wholly; and I pray God your whole spirit and soul and body be preserved blameless unto the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ. Faithful is he that calleth you, who also will do it.”*  
1 Thess 5:19

Love to all, Thanks again and keep in touch. Arnold Kennedy

## **WOMEN OF SAXONDOM, HOW SHOULD YOU DRESS AND STYLE YOURSELF?**

*by Adam de Witt*

A long time ago, I did an article on how men were to behave and pointed out their sins. I have found that when you point out the sins of a bloke to a Christian male, he tends to go a bit still, looks down a bit and mutters something like, yes I guess you are right. I also find that many women agree to the man being told where he has gone wrong. But it usually takes a very humble Christian woman to say, Yes I guess you are right. Ladies of Israel, you are the daughters of Sarah and the Bible behests you to look to Sarah for your example, she is your role model.

Let me make it clear, I am not writing this because it’s a case of, Let’s get stuck into those women. Far from it. It is a case of, I love my folk, my race, and guess what ladies, you are half of that race. never mind this tripe: *Men are from Mars and Women from Venus.* Men and Women are FROM GOD! Also don’t fall for that Canaanite anti-Christ feminist puke reasoning that men are a different specie. Specie means a type of being that begets and bares its own kind. Women are half of the specie of the race they are from, and so too for the men. They are but half (each) of the race they are from. Furthermore, women were of men *“For the man is not of the woman, but the woman of the man.”* (1 Cor. 11:8) I have now heard it said by so many Christian women that they think that God does not want them to be wed. So then, for whom were they made? Last time I looked, 1 Corinthians was still in my Bible and women were created for men. If Sarah is the role model, then was she not a wife of a man—was his name not Abraham, or was Sarah called *‘bride of Christ.’*? Well, the bride of Christ is a segment of the Saxon race, or specie, male and female - plural. And why must a woman honour and obey her husband? *“He is the likeness and the glory of God.”*

Yes, he is God’s ambassador, he is his spokesman on earth (provided always, that he acts accordingly! ) *“but the woman is the glory of the man.”* She is to be the man’s ambassador. That is a woman’s calling, ladies. (1 Cor 11:7).

If therefore, the women of that race do not perform according to the fitting code of the race in question, the race will die out. Is it therefore important that women obey the creed and law structure for their half of their race? You bet! If not, the race dies. If that race was the race Jesus died for, what then will God say to those women who did not heed his will/Law? These women will be held liable for ‘kinslaughter’ (genocide). The behaviour of our Saxon women (actually the behaviour of both men and women) is key to the survival of our race. If a woman wants to serve the Lord, she MUST know what the Lord wants of her and OBEY. Saying she calls Jesus her personal saviour, going to church and listening to Christian (sic) Pop/Rock is not worth a crumpe. The pharisees went to church, in fact, they were IT in the churches, but that meant little to Jesus.

I walk the streets and I see our Saxon women, they walk bolder than the men they are with, often up front and the man tags behind. Often her hair is shorter than his and her clothing more manly. She certainly will not be told - but he says, *Yes dear.* Sometimes it is hard to spot women these days. No wonder homosexuality is on the rise - the only way some men find femininity is with the effeminate men. OK, I’m being a bit snarky, but man, what a choice: an effeminate male or a woman as a male.

Yet this blurring of the gender does not happen with the other races and guess what? Their numbers grow every year, whilst ours drop. Once the Saxons were 30% of the

world’s befolking (population) now we are heading down to 5% and less.

I am often taken back by the ignorance of so many ladies professing to be Christian yet they conform to the world’s dress and fashion codes, and they do not see the harm they do, nor the curses they bring upon our race. If God says one is to do something, is it a light matter to choose to ignore it? Will the Lord be mocked? Is not doing that which is told by the Master a deed of rebellion to God? What does God liken rebellion to? WITCHCRAFT! And who then practices witchcraft? What do we call such creatures? Witches! So women of Saxondom - it needs to be said: Our race is dying, your other half of your specie is crying, and your fruit of your womb is drying up—and then you have made King Jesus’ sacrifice and suffering on the cross a wasted exercise. Yes, men have their faults but today I’m talking to the ladies of my race, learn to take the medicine to help cure and heal the nation (**Hey, Adam, many would like to if they could find a suitable spouse, but because our people will not get together in church type communities, it’s not happening!!!** CIM)

If God gave instructions of what women must wear and how they are to look, do you think this is to be taken lightly, or is God’s word a joke? If so, is God then a joke? Maybe God means what he said.

If a man walked around in pink dress and high heeled shoes, would you ladies of Saxondom embrace him into your church or have him come over to meet your parents? Or would you say, *“Yuk, the filthy so and so!”* What name do we give these sickos? Trannies (transvestites), cross-dressers and other things I will not state. Then why do we

### New Videos:

CI-403 Barley Conference - **The Sacramento Four & Stephen Jones.** Introductions and testimonies - over 2 hours

CI-404 Barley Conference - Dave Rudat & Fred Gardner - **About Iniquity** - 2 hrs

CI-405 Barley Conference - Stephen Jones - **The Psalms Relation to the understanding of Numbers**, up to 21.

CI-406 Barley Conference - Fred Gardner & Stephen Jones - **The New Jerusalem Welcomes Christ**, plus Ron Oja - **Signs Leading to the Conference**, almost 2 hrs

**on DVD only LOAN ONLY \$6ea**



not call women such titles when they cross dress? Yet they do it every day. Oh yes, do you mean trousers, Adam? Well Adam, don't you know, these are women's trousers. They are worn by every other woman. Well in that case, men: I have come up with a specially designed range of dresses, designed for men (now some are going to write asking for these!)[how about skirts for men, i.e. kilts?] As for everyone else wearing feminized men's clothing: "*Be not conformed to this world* (i.e. world system)-Rom 12:2.

Does this not mean to be different, to be APART to live a life of Aparthood - apart from the world, but not apart from your own like-minded people. Are my other half of my specie ashamed to be called out by the Son of the Living God? Are they ashamed of His death on the cross? "*Wherefore gird up your loins of your mind, be sober and hope to the end for the kindness that is brought unto you at the making known of Jesus the Hallowed; As OBEDIENT children, not fashioning* (shaping, copying, following) *yourselves according to the former lusts* (wants or likes) *in your ignorance. Because it is written, Be ye Holy* (apart, yes, stick out like a sore thumb if need be) *for I (Jesus) am Holy* (apart)." 1 Peter 1:13-18. "*And be not conformed to this world* (world system) *but be ye changed by the renewing of your mind, that ye may prove what is that good and acceptable and perfect will* (law) *of God.*" Rom 12:1-2. Romans 6:17 tells us that we are no longer to than sin (serve lawlessness) but we are to become thanes (servants) of righteousness. The equation should be plain to see, if these verses in Romans contrast sin and righteousness as being opposites - and sin is the breaking of the Law of God (1 Jn 3:4). then righteousness is the keeping of the Law. Remember, you can't serve two masters. You can't thane sin and righteousness; lawlessness and lawfulness.

Sure the churches have quoted from the Bible that we must yield to men or things of the system and their leaders but does that then nullify being a non-conformist? Of course not. We only need to yield to the system if the system is either Godly or it does not make us do ungodly things. The system is in God's hands, he has brought it upon our race, not to make Christians yield to heathenism, but to confront the heathens of our race with the height of their own uncleanness. The system is to punish the evil doers by giving them an overdose of their own medicine. They get the government they deserve. But that does not mean Christians are to become as them. The system is held by the things we read in Galatians 5:19-21 such as, uncleanness, idolatry, witchcraft, hatred, wrath, strife, heresies, envyings, murders, drunkenness and so on. Does obey the system then mean that we are to do these things? Or what does Galatians 5:22 tell us? Be long-suffering, gentle, good, trust, meek. Seems to me we are not to conform to the system. Indeed, in Acts 5:29 we read that it is better to heed/obey God than man (Ladies, that does not mean the male of the specie, it means in context, the makers of the system- lawmakers)

If we fail in the little things we will fail in the greater

things. Where a pig goes through today, a hog will through by and by. What gain is there if we teach such things as truthfulness, honesty, while it is OK to be dishonest to the will of God on the little things. Indeed, if they be so little, why are they then so hard to heed? Surely these little things are then so easy to give up?

The other joke of fashion, my ladies of Saxondom, is, how awful it is when it's out of date. If that be so, then all fashion is ugly because all fashion is at some point out of date! Yet real comeliness is never out of date. Are the skies and clouds ever out of date? What of the trees and blooms in the field, or the ruddiness of our Saxon children? Yet the fashions of the world are deemed comely now, yet grotesque tomorrow.

Today it is fashionable for women to have short hair. Is that what God wants? Today men's hair is longer than women's hair. Is that what God wants?

"*Doth not even nature* (God's creation; not the heathen, mother-nature goddess tripe) *itself teach you that, if a man have long hair, it is a shame unto him? But if a woman have long hair, it is a glory to her, for her hair is given her for a covering.*" 1 Cor. 11:15-16.

This means, a man's hair if long is a shame (i.e. a dishonour) but thus honourable or glory if short. Likewise the other way around for a woman long hair a sign of Christian honour, but short hair of heathen dishonour. The next verse tells of being contentious as being a custom we are not to have as Christians, that is to say, we are not to contend these rules from God. God wanted to make a seeable means to keep us aware of the Godly appointed man-woman headship arrangement. Different uniforms for different ranks. Verse 6 states plainly that it is a shame for a woman to be shaven and the context is to be cut short for the words shorn and shaven are used. Thus no short-

haired 'gals' and no skin-head girls. She is to have long hair, it is her head covering; and because a Christian woman wants to be in communion with God, she MUST do it the way He wants her to do this, and he wants her head covered with long hair [although some women are not able to maintain very long hair after having children, as long as it is longer than men's and looks feminine]. Why then do so many Christian ladies contend this - i.e. be contentious?

"*For every woman that prayeth or prophesieth* (gives a witness of God, or speaks of her Christianity) *with her head uncovered* (her head covering being long hair) *dishonoureth her head; for that is even all one as if she were shaven.*" vs 5.

Short hair is deemed as shaven for a woman. A Christian woman with long hair is a living witness that she acknowledges God's order of headship. Paul was not just addressing women of his day in one area; he was writing to: "*all that in every place call upon the name of Jesus the Christ.*" 1 Cor 1:2.

Ladies of Saxondom, it is a proud honour to be the ambassadors of those whom God has appointed as His spokesmen for His kingdom and himself. Is it a burdensome thing to thane (serve) and be the helpmate of the

## NEW LIMITED QUANTITY New York Times Bestseller: CONFESSIONS OF AN ECONOMIC HIT MAN

by John Perkins

*In this riveting personal story, Perkins tells of his own inner journey from willing servant of empire to impassioned advocate for the rights of oppressed people. Covertly recruited by the United States National Security Agency and on the payroll of an international consulting firm, he traveled the world—to Indonesia, Panama, Ecuador, Columbia, Saudi Arabia, Iran, and other strategically important countries. His job was to implement policies that promoted the interests of the U.S. corporatocracy while professing to alleviate poverty—policies that alienated many nations and ultimately led to September 11 and growing anti-Americanism.* Hardcover

**Order Now! Secure your copy \$40 posted**  
get in quick, these few copies will soon be gone!

Hear John Perkins story on video #CI-397



highest living creatures of God's creation? Yes, deny it if you wish, but tell me, of all the things God has formed on earth, what is the highest? Slugs, Trees? Horses? Cats? Dogs? Black males? Alright, let me put it another way: If God loved His Son Jesus and Jesus was the only living creature to be free from sin, who or what was worthy enough for Him to lay down His life for? Trees? Cats? Dogs? Horses? Blacks? Or was it His chosen Israel race, now known as Saxondom? Ladies, that means he died also for the men of that Race. And, seeing that you were created for the men of that race and they are your head (each individually), and the head of those men is Jesus. Then under Jesus, they are the highest forms of creation. And guess what? God made you as helpmates for that part of creation. Not to serve cats, dogs, save trees and dolphins and fight for the rights of blacks and half-breeds. No! He called you to serve those who are under the Son of God. I see women getting goose bumps at the thought that they get a high flying job to serve some smelly Canaanite in some multinational corporation. Yet the Canaanites are a lowly thing. How strange it is, to see the women of my race calling the men of my race lesser beings. They'd rather stay single or wed and bed a beast. Yet God says it is a glory to be a Christian woman helpmate to a Christian Saxon Man.

Women of Saxondom. TODAY YOU are called, serve your God by being the helpmate of an ambassador of God. It is your highest and most noble calling. There is no higher calling for the Ladies of my race. Without you my Women of Saxondom, the Men of Saxondom are but shadows in the land. We are to be apart from the world, but not from each other - so, get together in Saxon-Christian church-type communities - do it NOW!

So, a big deal over hair, but then it is a big deal because it is about obedience. About an outward sign to the world, about showing the world we belong to our God, it's about pleasing God and to that, dare we then say, Big deal?

I have heard women say: but in the heat? So God says, long hair is for cold climates? Chapter and verse please? And, assuming that be so, then men should have long hair in cold climates too - yet are men there to go cold? Come on, Women of Saxondom, you can do better than that. Wear a pony tail, besides, long hair shades the head. Long hair on a woman is a sign that she agrees with God, that she is Hallowed - i.e. that she is a Christian. Those who say they are Christian and will do everything to skirt around this simple issue make a mockery of God for they make His words of none effect. These ladies tell the world, we do not have to heed the things of God that we don't like. Sorry my other half of my specie: Christianity is not a supermarket deli, you cannot pick and choose what suits you. Be careful then that you are not the Christians that Jesus spoke of in Revelation, because you were not hot or cold but lukewarm (saying to yourself, Oh God doesn't mind) that He will spew you out. Jesus was not addressing the heathens, he was addressing so-called Christians.

Your pastors won't tell you this because most of the flocks are female today, and the pastors don't want to upset their shrinking client base (usually the men in such churches go along because the wife wants to save him from

his heathenism, as if it is within her grasp to do so by dragging him to a Judeo-house of pig-swirl). If Saxon women no longer wish to heed Saxon men, then those women have in effect said, "We do not accept God's order of things and we do not accept God's ambassadors on earth." That, Women of Saxondom, is a grave rebellion against God. You kill the hearts of those God chose to have authority in His kingdom. Women are to reflect their men and the men are to reflect Jesus. That is why the apostles were men. This is why men only are allowed to be priests. If women are priests, then men end up reflecting women, the order is then fordone. If women wish to be called Christians, then the way is narrow, not the Broadway. If a woman can not accept the narrow path, then she may as well become a Buddhist, and let Buddha save her. -----



### Where are the REAL MEN?

*only a few copies left!*

## MISSING FROM ACTION Vanishing Manhood in America by Weldon M. Hardenbrook

*"A pioneering treatment of the feminizing of men and of Christianity that is of the greatest importance. It opens for debate, in a clear and dramatic way, what is a major crisis in our society today—the crisis of manhood."*

*"Because the women's movement springs, I believe, from men having abdicated their God-assigned responsibility, it is high time somebody reminded them of what it is. Perhaps [this book] will help men to be men again, and women to be glad of it for a change." # 901 now reduced to \$20*

### Thou Shalt Not Commit Adultery (Exodus 20:14)

The Seventh Commandment is routinely limited to infidelity. However, in addition to marital unfaithfulness, Leviticus 18 provides several other Seventh Commandment statutes that also depict adultery. Vs 6-18 pertain to incestuous relationships and were addressed in Part 2 of this series. Vs 19 prohibits conjugal relations during a wife's menstrual cycle, and vs 20 prohibits marital infidelity, both of which were addressed in Part 3 of this series. Vs 21-23 provide additional statutes describing three other forms of adultery seldom associated with the Seventh Commandment.

### Offering Seed to Molech

In addition to the prohibitions against incest, menstrual contamination and infidelity, vs 23 & 23 condemn sodomy and bestiality. However, interjected between verses 20 and 22, is a prohibition that at first appears to be out of context with the rest of the chapter:

*"And thou shalt not let any of thy seed pass through the fire to Molech, neither shalt thou profane the name of thy God: I am Yahweh."* (Leviticus 18:21).

This particular verse is typically interpreted as a prohibition against idolatry. However, why would a statement about idolatry, specifically child sacrifice, be included in a chapter devoted to sexual taboos? Perhaps this prohibition is not out of place after all, provided that this verse is translated and interpreted correctly. Following are four clues that should prove helpful in determining Moses' true intent.

### Clue #1

The phrase "the fire" in vs 21 is in italics, indicating that there are no corresponding words in the Hebrew manuscripts and that these two words were added by the translators. This is not to say that Molech worship did not at times include offering children as burnt sacrifices. The fact that this did occur is indicated in other passages. However, this *is not* what is being addressed in Leviticus 18, and, therefore the words "the fire" do not belong in this verse. **[It should be noted, and of interest, that the first part of**



**this verse reads “And thou shalt not give of thy seed to serve a ruler (margin: probably Moloch) in the Septuagint! CIM]The judgment for this crime is found in Leviticus 20:**

“...**Whosoever he be of the children of Israel, or of the strangers that sojourn in Israel, that giveth any of his seed unto Molech; he shall surely be put to death: the people of the land shall stone him with stones.**” (Lev 20:1-2)

The translators did not add the phrase “the fire” in the judgment for this sin, and its absence leaves room for the possibility that there is more than one way to offer or sacrifice your seed to Molech.

#### Clue #2

Verse 5 of Leviticus 20 uses the words “whoring” and “whoredom” to describe this same transgression. These two words are indicative of some kind of sexual misconduct, either figurative or literal:

“**And I will set my face against that man, and will cut him off from among his people; because he hath given of his seed unto Molech ... And if the people of the land do any ways hide their eyes from the man, when he giveth of his seed unto Molech, and kill him not: Then I will set my face against that man, and against his family, and will cut him off, and all that go a whoring after him, to commit whoredom with Molech, from among their people.**” (Lev. 20:3-5)

This passage is not addressing Israel’s “whoredom,” that is, idolatry as a nation. It, instead, pertains to an individual’s whoredom with Molech or with what Molech represents. Therefore, the whoredom addressed in Lev. 18 and 20 is more likely literal than figurative.

#### Clue #3

Leviticus 18:21 forbids letting one’s seed *pass through* to Molech. The phrase “pass through” is translated from the Hebrew word “abar.” Strong’s Concordance and The New Brown-Driver-Briggs-Gesenius Hebrew-English Lexicon define ‘abar,’

‘**abar** (aw-bar); a primitive root; to cross over; used very widely of any transition (literal or figurative; transitive, intransitive, intensive, causative); **specifically, to cover (in copulation).** (Strong’s Hebrew & Chaldee Dictionary,” p.85).

... prop. causeth to pass over, sc. **semen....** (The New Brown-Driver-Briggs-Gesenius Hebrew-English Lexicon, p.718)

The latter lexicon also quotes Job 21 where the Hebrew word ‘abar’ is translated *gendereth*:

*Their bull gendereth, and faileth not; their cow calveth, and casteth not her calf.* (Job 21:10)

Other versions of Job 21:10 translate ‘abar’ as *mates* and *breeds*. It may *not, yet, be apparent* what specific sexual sin is being referred to in Leviticus 18:21; nevertheless, *it is apparent* that the prohibition contained therein agrees with the context and is, therefore, consonant with the other Seventh Commandment statutes found in chapter 18.

#### Clue #4

With the previous three clues in mind, the statute in Leviticus 18 and the judgment in Leviticus 20 are, obviously, not meant to be understood as prohibitions against sexual participation with the god Molech himself. Therefore, Molech represents something or someone else. Molech was the national deity of the Ammonites, and the Ammonites were one of several lineages with whom the Israelites were forbidden to intermarry. The fact that the

Ammonites were a forbidden lineage is the final clue for the correct interpretation of this prohibition. Extra-biblical research indicates that *passing one’s seed to Molech* is a Hebrew idiom condemning either forbidden lineage or interracial relationships because either transgression mingles or pollutes Israel’s genes and usually leads to idolatry.

Peake’s Commentary on the Bible questions the customary interpretation of Leviticus 18:21 and then provides alternate analyses:

“21 is generally interpreted as referring to a ceremony whereby children were passed through fire, possibly burning them as whole-offerings. But **it is curious that the prohibition here occurs among sexual matters.** The ancient versions have ‘cause to serve’ (Sam.), ‘serve’, (LXX), ‘**cause to lie down for sexual intercourse**’ (Syr.), whilst other Greek Versions have ‘compel by force.’ (Peake’s Commentary on the Bible, p.249).

In his book *Old Testament Light : The Indispensable Guide to the Customs, Manners, and Idioms of Biblical Times*, George Lamsa provides an interpretation of Leviticus 18:21 from the Syriac translation of the Old Testament:

“**Seed,**” in this instance, means “semen.” The Eastern text reads: “**You shall not let any of your semen be cast into a strange [non-Israelite] woman to cause her to be pregnant...**” ... **This ordinance is against cohabiting with pagan [non-Israelite] women...**” (Old Testament Light, p.177)

Both the *Encyclopedia Judaica* and *The Jewish Encyclopedia* reference the book of Jubilees while commenting upon the meaning of this idiom in Leviticus 18 and 20:

**The book of Jubilees 30:7ff connects intermarriage or rather the marrying off of one’s children to pagans [non-Israelites] with the sin of Moloch [alternate spelling for Molech]. ... the prohibition of Moloch ... the impregnation of a pagan woman, an interpretation lying behind the Syriac translation in Leviticus 18 and 20. The common denominator of all these traditions is the understanding of Moloch worship as the transfer of Jewish [Israelite] children to paganism either by delivering them directly to pagan priests (that could be as sending them to a Roman Catholic school to be educated!) or by procreation through intercourse with a pagan woman. This tradition, which could hardly be an invention, is now corroborated by the evidence in the Assyrian documents.** (Encyclopedia Judaica, volume 12, p.232)

In the book of Jubilees intermarriage with all [non-Israelite] Gentiles is prohibited, no allowance being made for proselytes (Jubilees, xx. 4, xxii. 20, xxx. 11; comp. Targ. Yer. Tp Lev. xviii. 21, “*Thou shalt not give any of thy seed to make them pass through the fire of Moloch,*” which is translated: “*Thou shalt not give a child in marriage to a [non-Israelite] Gentile by which the offspring is turned over to idolatry.*” (The Jewish Encyclopedia, Volume VI, p.611)

A portion of the passage from the book of Jubilees referred to in the previous citation follows:

“**... if there is any man in Israel who desires to give his daughter or his sister to any man who is of the seed of the [non-Israelite] Gentiles, he shall surely die**



*... for he has committed a sin and a shame in Israel ... And to this law there is no limit of days and no ceasing and no forgiveness, but he shall be rooted out who defiles his daughter, among all Israel, because He has given of his seed to Moloch ... And thou, Moses, Command the children of Israel and testify over them that they shall not give any of their daughters to the [non-Israelite] Gentiles and that they shall not take any of the daughters of the [non-Israelite] Gentiles; for this is accursed before the Lord... And it is disgraceful to Israel to those that give and to those that receive from any [non-Israelite] Gentiles any daughters, for it is unclean and accursed to Israel; and Israel will not be clean of this uncleanness of him who has pf the daughters of the [non-Israelite] Gentiles for a wife, or who has given of his daughters to a man who is of any of the seed of the [non-Israelite] Gentiles... (Jubilees 30:6-12).*

Only peoples of other races and forbidden lineages are included in the prohibition in Leviticus 18:21. Israelites were permitted to marry other racially alike non-Israelites. The Midianites, for example, were not Israelites; however they were descendants of Abraham and were, therefore, not included in the Leviticus 18:21 prohibition.

While Leviticus 18:21 addresses this sin in an idiomatic fashion, Ezra was more direct in dealing with violations of this particular Seventh Commandment statute:

*“The people of Israel, and the priests, and the Levites, have not separated themselves from the people of the lands, doing according to their abominations, even of the Canaanites, the Hittites, the Perizzites, the Jebusites, the Ammonites, the Moabites, the Egyptians, and the Amorites. For they have taken of their daughters for themselves, and for their sons: so that the holy seed have mingled themselves with the people of those lands ... Now therefore give not your daughters unto their sons, neither take their daughters unto your sons ... that ye may be strong, and eat the good of the land, and leave it for an inheritance to your children for ever.” (Ezra 9:1-12).*

*“We have trespassed against our God, and have taken strange wives of the people of the land: yet now there is no hope in Israel concerning this thing. Now therefore let us make a covenant with our God to put away all the wives, and such as are born of them, according to the counsel of my lord, and of those that tremble at the commandment of our God; and let it be done according to the law.” (Ezra 10:2-3).*

Ezra declared that this was to be done according to the law. The only law he could have been referring to was the Seventh Commandment statute found in Leviticus 18:21.

With these witnesses in mind, and because Deuteronomy 20 associates this sin with whoredom, and because the phrase “pass through” can have sexual implications, and especially in light of the fact that Leviticus 18 is a chapter devoted to sexual perversions and not idolatry, it should be apparent that verse 21 fits perfectly the context of Leviticus 18. This verse is not about idolatry, but instead condemns forbidden lineage and interracial relationships, also known as miscegenation, that pollutes Israel’s genes and often leads to the worship of false gods.

..... to be continued.

Courtesy Mission to Israel, PO Box 248, Scottsbluff NE 69363

## THE CAR AND THE FAMILY

by Peter Stokes

The Car and the Family? You can’t see the connection? Bear with me.

When the first car appeared on the ‘roads’ people were told to walk in front of them waving a red flag. Why? To

protect the pedestrians and other road users - horses and other animals.

Gradually the restrictions were removed a people became more accustomed to these noisy machines. For many years people did not even have to get driver’s licenses. Slowly speeds increased, more cars were on the roads and for the safety of the drivers and other road users various road rules were introduced [probably at the instigation of insurance companies, because they don’t like to pay out. CIM].

We realised the value of the car but we also realised that we needed rules to say who could, and who could not, drive one. We told people that had to have a license, they had to observe speed limits and road signs and if they did not do this thing called ‘driving’ correctly we would even take away the license to drive a car.

It is not hard to see that we have gone overboard with today’s myriad of laws and traffic lights and ever slower speed limits (down to 40 Km/H) despite roads being better and brakes and stability being far superior to those early days. So what has this to do with the Family?

All good research shows that the family is still the best ‘environment’ in which to raise children. The marriage environment gives children more personal security and better mental health. Children raised by their biological parents are known to generally do better in education and then in adult life. A stable marriage gives children a good value base and when combined with discipline-enforced boundaries produces well balanced adults who go on to be good parents who go on to produce good children. (provided they follow the same rules as their parents). Break down the model and society starts to break down too.

So why have we gradually undermined marriage and family instead of preserving it? Why do we think it is acceptable to have rules for drivers, but not parents? Why do we say you have to be a certain age to drive a car and yet not a certain gender to get married? Why do we continually increase road rules but remove all the rules about who can marry and who should have children?

Why do we tell our young people they have to obey the rules of the road but feel it is OK to remove the rules about what is a right and good ‘relationship.’

There are those who say “laws don’t create a good society, attitudes do.” To some extent they are right, but laws are made to guide society and show what ‘attitude’ is acceptable and desirable for the greater good [which is why God gave His Laws to Israel, CIM]. We use road rules to show what is an acceptable attitude when driving, so rules actually train the attitudes. We would not consider simply throwing away the rule book, but that is exactly what we have done with relationships, marriage and the family.

We go further than just make road rules - we enforce them. We discipline the bad driver and can even restrict his/her movement by taking away their license - but parents are told it is wrong to discipline their children and restrict their movement for their own good or as punishment when they do wrong. (judges send people to jail).

Something else we often hear today is that prohibition does not work. Yet again that is only partly right. Prohibition works well when it goes hand in hand with the right education. The evidence of this is obvious when you look at how driving deaths have decreased over the last twenty years through greater ‘control’ and better ‘education.’

It is also clearly obvious in regard to smoking. Tighter controls and better education have seen the percentage of the population who smoke in constant decline since about 1980 when around 45% of the population smoked. Now less than 20% of the population smoke and this is producing huge benefits for our health and society in general.



Yet despite this 'common sense' 'control and education' approach to driving and smoking, we have generally gone in completely the opposite direction with regard to relationships, marriage and the family.

Consequently, marriage rates in Australia started to decline in the seventies and have never recovered. So why the difference in attitude between how we maintain good standards on our roads and how we treat the family?

Because marriage is a Biblical institution and seen as a 'religious' concept, those who believe 'God is dead' like the humanists and Marxists believe everything else that is 'religious' should be dead too. This is based on philosophy and ideology, rather than common sense and evidence-based decision making. The sexual revolution, a humanist philosophy of the 60s and 70s, took the first shot at 'relationships,' and when Lionel Murphy granted easy divorce in the 70s it too was clearly based on his philosophy and ideology, not on what was best for marriage and the family.

Murphy disliked all Christian ideas and knowing that 'marriage-for-life' was a major foundational plank of the Christian society he decided to blast that foundation to pieces. Sadly, because the natural custodians of marriage and family were largely asleep, he achieved an easy victory. Marriage has not recovered since and many would say neither has the church!

Over subsequent years we have seen a continual undermining of marriage and family through humanist philosophy. Kevin Andrews (federal MP) suggested in 2004 that there have been ten major trends as a result of this attack on marriage and family:

1. People are marrying less
2. Couples are marrying later
3. More couples cohabit before marriage (see series of articles by Ted Weiland on Seventh Commandment! CIM)
4. More people remain unmarried
5. The (white, CIM) birth-rate is falling
6. Remarriage rates have fallen
7. Divorce has increased
8. The number of children involved in divorce has risen
9. One in 4 children is born out-of-wedlock
10. An increase in single-parent-families

Many of these 'trends' have been actively, although not always overtly, 'encouraged' by successive governments. Following easy divorce came the granting of property and tax 'rights' to co-habiting couples, and the term living in a 'de-facto' relationship arrived and became acceptable.

Naturally if you did not have a 'religious' reason to marry and there was no financial benefit to it, why commit to someone when more and more marriages are ending in divorce. Walking away from commitment became easier than working at improving the relationship.

Because governments downgraded 'marriage,' people began thinking that it didn't matter if children lived with both their parents. The 'stigma of having sex outside marriage disappeared (but not from God's Law! CIM), and so did the notion that you need to be married to have children. Despite growing evidence of the damage this was causing children, no government dared take away these newly-gained 'human rights' because too many of them were part of the sexual revolution.

In fact we promoted sex outside marriage by telling children they were "going to do it anyway so we will show you how to do it safely." Of course, "safe-sex" wasn't really safe as was evident from increasing 'unplanned pregnancies' (and STDs) so we started to KILL our unwanted babies and call it a 'medical procedure.' Children became 'commodities' instead of a natural development of loving committed marriage relationships. They were no longer seen as a 'gift from God' because God did not exist and "we are all just a product of evolution." Unfortunately,

many Christians also swallowed that lie (because it was not sufficiently opposed by the clergy! CIM). They also stopped thinking it was important to elect Christians to parliament because they also swallowed the humanist lie that "politics and religion do not mix." (When God says you may only set a brother over you - Deut. 17:15, that is a white Christian married family man, with a white wife and white children! No foreign wife, and no alien adopted children; and, no women in public office! CIM)

Naturally, if we were to throw away the driver's manual and let anyone get behind the wheel and drive wherever they like, however they like and at whatever speed they like, it would be a disaster, but few people stop to ask what happens if we throw away the BOOK OF LIFE, the Bible, and its guide to relationships. The Bible is the manual that shows us how to live.

Rather than see the dangers, governments and even some churches continue to listen to minority groups who want 'more' rights. Anti-discrimination legislation is designed to remove 'difference' and actually promotes the lowest common denominator. Anti-vilification legislation is aimed at shutting down open debate about the desirability of people's choices.

As a consequence, the real value to society of heterosexual relationships, marriage and family, as **prescribed** by the Bible is progressively undermined. Unless Christians get off their backsides and continue the fight they started last year when they supported the first major government attempt, probably in 30 years or more, to halt the slide into a complete free-for-all called 'same-sex-marriage,' then we will end up with exactly that, a free-for-all that will be the downfall of 'society' as God intended it to be.

The threat of same-sex 'marriage' has not gone away - some homosexual groups are trying to repackage it under the guise of 'civil unions.' (see the videos on the **Homosexual agenda, CI-204 and CI-218 [not for children!]** **Loan Only @ \$6 each from CIM**) If they achieve that, the end result will still be disastrous, not only for society generally but also for the children who will be a product of a value-less social engineering.

Just as we need rules and education for the car, we need the same for the family. It is only by understanding the past, that we can correct the present and prepare for the future. (That is why God gave us His unchanging Law - all we need to do is OBEY IT! CIM)

Courtesy Saltshakers, PO Box 6049, Wantirna Vic 3152

## TELEVISION TRAUMA

The latest research on television watching habits tells us what we already know - and what we have been saying for a long time....

Too much television and TV sets in bedrooms are detrimental to children and teenagers (all of us, actually!!!) We are increasingly concerned about violence, sex and bad language on television. We also need to be concerned about the amount of TV watched by children and the location of the TV set.

Three studies published in the Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine in July show that "excessive television viewing by children is linked to diminished academic achievement." The findings confirm that parents should limit television viewing, especially for very young children.

**One study** of 348 California third-graders found that children with computers in the home—but no TVs in their bedrooms—scored the highest in standardized maths, reading and language-arts tests.

Children without TVs in their bedrooms watched less TV each week (10.7 hours a week, compared with 12.8 hours a week by children with bedroom TVs).



A second study, of nearly 1,800 first-graders, found that children who watched more than two hours of TV a day when they were toddlers performed poorly on three reading and intelligence tests. The American Academy of Pediatrics recommends that children younger than 2 years old **not watch television!**

A third study, conducted in New Zealand studied TV viewing and long-term educational achievement of 1,000 persons born in the early 1970s. The researchers found that watching large amounts of TV—more than three hours a day—as a child or a teen was associated strongly with not finishing school or getting a university degree by age 26. This indicates that excessive television viewing “may have long-lasting consequences” in people’s socio-economic well-being.

(Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine <<http://archpedi.ama-assn.org>> NZ study available in full. Washington Times, <<http://washingtontimes.com/national/20050705-122627-3189r.htm>>) Courtesy Saltshakers

### REVIEW OF IVF PROCEDURES

Health Minister Tony Abbott is pushing ahead with a review of funding for IVF procedures despite opposition to his call a few months ago to limit payment for IVF procedures, particularly once women pass a certain age and are much less likely to become pregnant from the procedures.

Mr Abbott has appointed a Committee to conduct an independent review of assisted reproductive technologies (also known as IVF) and report back by February 2006. The six member review committee will be chaired by Professor Ian Fraser, Professor of Reproductive Medicine in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology at the University of Sydney.

I a statement announcing the committee membership, Mr Abbott said Medicare funding for IVF, also known as assisted reproduction technology, had tripled over the last 15 years, from \$25.6 million in 1991 to \$78.6 million last year. Mr Abbott said the review was designed to advise the government on the cost-effectiveness of IVF funding taking account of recent evidence from Australia and overseas.

Interestingly, even some of the IVF clinics in Melbourne have said they will advertise to tell women they should have their children EARLIER because it is hard to conceive later!

(Mr Abbott’s media release: <[www.wealth.gov.au/internet/ministers/publishing.nsf/Content/health-mediarelyr2005-ta-abb084.htm](http://www.wealth.gov.au/internet/ministers/publishing.nsf/Content/health-mediarelyr2005-ta-abb084.htm)>) Courtesy Saltshakers. address above

### PURPOSE OF GOVERNMENT

courtesy *Digest of the Divine Law* by Howard B. Rand (Note: As Destiny Publishers writes, “There is no other work like this—none which will so clearly and quickly inform you of the Divine Law as given in the Bible.” We agree, this book should be in every Christian’s library.)

Originally the purpose of government was to establish justice and equity, compelling the refractory to conform with laws that would insure peace. But governments cannot function without financial support, so each citizen contributed toward that support for the protection afforded him. That contribution became a financial assessment and today is called a tax levy. So long as a government carries out its legitimate purpose, protecting its peoples from aggression within and without, a nominal tax is sufficient for such purposes; but when a government becomes aggressive or departs from the fundamental principles of administration, an abuse of the right to tax (unless there are safeguards to prevent it) will follow. -

### DO YOU KNOW WHY? - For the Children!

Do you know why, after having left a piece of wood on some green grass for a few days, the grass underneath becomes a brownish yellow?

This happens because the sun has not been able to give that grass the benefit of its life-giving rays. The sun is God’s great gift to all the earth. Everything depends on sunshine for life and the action of the wood on the grass shows this. Try it for yourself and see how the grass dies when the sun cannot get to it.

Do you know that all things depend on each other for their lives? The plants need the sun for their life and animals need the plants. All life is dependent on some other thing. Take the detestable shark and crocodile. They, while being a danger to us, are essential, as strange as this may seem, in the world. They keep down the spread of disease and sickness by eating dead animals which have been swept down our rivers into the seas. We call them scavengers but they are all an important part of our world. We are dependent on God for all things for it is He who provides us with all that we need to live. (note also, that God stipulated that we, His people, are not to eat scavengers - pigs are scavengers, too! CIM)

Courtesy TKC, PO Box 1478 Ferndale WA 98248

### JONAH & THE WHALE

#### Source Unknown

In spite of the testimony of Jesus Christ, the Bible account of Jonah and the Whale remains probably the most derided of all the incidents narrated in the Scriptures. Nevertheless, there is authentic record that this admittedly remarkable event has been repeated on at least two occasions, during whaling operations, in modern times. In one instance the victim was extricated during the cutting-up process, many hours after the monster which swallowed him was harpooned. In the other case the man was actually disgorged by the creature itself. Both men completely recovered from their Jonah-like experience. Courtesy TKC.

### Hiding Ignorance Behind Low Standards

by *Ryszard Linkiewicz*

Today’s children are probably the most under-educated and ignorant generation of the last 100 years. All the tests to which modern schoolchildren are subjected: ELLA, SNAP, the school certificate and the higher school certificate produce results that seem to point to increasing levels of intelligence and ability.

The brutal fact is that the standards have been lowered to such an extent that children who, in former times, would have been regarded as sub-normal are now regarded as well within normal range. When ostensibly-average 14-year-olds can’t even spell their own names properly, or struggle to read the most elementary children’s books, or fail to identify the suburb they live in as a part of Sydney, or have to get their calculator out to figure what 7 by 3 is and still get it wrong, then you have a failure on a massive scale. Courtesy Daily Telegraph, 30/08/05, p22.

Thank you for your letters, orders, contributions — all are needed and very much appreciated. I hope you like this *Messenger* - I really appreciated these articles myself. May the God of Israel bless you and keep you safe during these trying times of “government terror by law”. Without OUR Father in heaven to watch over us, where would we be?

