



**Christian Identity Ministries**  
in conjunction with N.Q. Fellowship of God's Covenant  
PO Box 146, CARDWELL QLD 4849, Australia

A member of the  
Congregations of  
Israel

Ph: 07-4066 0146 Fax: 07-4066 0226 (International 61-7 instead of 07)

"Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; For He hath visited and redeemed *His* people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he swore to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives." Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian people are *ISRAEL!*

#237

*Covenant Messenger*

December AD2005

# "AN EXAMINATION OF AMERICA'S TURNING POINT" Part 13 by Pastor Don Elmore

## "IN THE BEAUTY OF THE LILIES"

About 1856 William Steffe wrote a Methodist camp-meeting song with the traditional "Glory Hallelujah" refrain; it started with the words, "Say, brothers, will meet us on Canaan's happy shore?" The tune had such an infectious swing that it became widely known. Early in the war between the United States of America and the Confederate States of America, a regiment stationed in Boston included a soldier named John Brown. This regiment using Steffe's tune sang about the murderer John Brown of Kansas and harper's Ferry, Virginia, but directed it as a jest towards their contemporary with the same name—John Brown.

Soon more and more verses were added about the radical abolitionist John Brown. As the song spread among the Union troops, it became their unofficial anthem—"John Brown's Body." But in 1862 new words were substituted in place of "John Brown's Body" which became the best known song of the Union Army during the War, and has come to be a well-loved American patriotic anthem—even though the theological and political meaning of the song is not only unchristian, but also pro-humanistic! (it is listed in the World-Wide Church of God's song book).

The words of the song became known as:  
"The Battle Hymn of the Republic":

Mine eyes have seen the glory of the coming of the Lord,  
He is trampling out the vintage where the grapes of wrath are stored.  
He has loosed the fateful lightning of His terrible swift sword.  
His truth is marching on.

I have seen Him in the watch-fires of a hundred circling camps  
They have builded Him an altar in the evening dews and damps.  
I can read His righteous sentence by the dim and flaring lamps  
His day is marching on.

I have read a fiery gospel writ in burnish'd rows of steel,  
As ye deal with my contemners, so with you my grace shall deal;  
Let the Hero, born of woman crush the serpent with his heel,  
Since God is marching on.

He has sounded forth the trumpet that shall never call retreat  
He is sifting out the hearts of men before His judgment-seat  
Oh, be swift my soul, to answer Him! Be jubilant, my feet!  
Our God is marching on.

(after each stanza is the chorus):

Glory! Glory! Hallelujah!  
Glory! Glory! Hallelujah!  
Glory! Glory! Hallelujah!  
His Truth is marching on.

But the last stanza of this famous hymn has some very puzzling lyrics:

1. In the beauty of the lilies,
2. Christ was born across the sea.
3. With a glory in His bosom
4. That transfigures you and me.
5. As He died to make men holy,
6. Let us die to make men free.
7. While God is marching on.

But where does it say in the Scriptures that Christ was born "in the beauty of the lilies"? Since the writer of this poem/song (Julia Ward Howe) wrote these words while she lived in New England, USA, then it is certainly true that Christ (if she meant Jesus) was born across the sea from the United States—the Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea—but "in the beauty of the lilies"? Jesus was born in a stable in the little town of Bethlehem—with no mention of lilies.

Few commentators even attempt to explain these first four verses. Most of them just ignore them entirely with no explanation or documentation about Jesus being born in lilies or any views on what the glory in His bosom was. In contrast, the same commentators have no difficulty in explaining the last three lines.

Two interpreters gave the following explanation of the first line:

## IN THIS ISSUE:

An Examination of America's Turning Point, .....	1
The Moral Model of Abstinence, .....	6
Gambling, .....	7
Teenagers, .....	9
Yah's Laws - 7th Commandment, pt 5, .....	9
Who Did Moses Really Marry, .....	10
Kinslaughter, pt 8, .....	11
excerpt from Facts for Action, .....	13
No Fear, No God, .....	13
Arrested Development, .....	14

The views and opinions expressed in the articles herein or herewith are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIM. They are written by fallible men. You must ask Jesus to guide your studies!

1. One indicated that the only reason he could think of was that there must have been lily bulbs planted underneath the soil under the stable where Jesus was born.

2. Another honestly revealed that he had absolutely no idea what lilies had to do with the birth of Jesus.

All others omitted any comment whatsoever about what these four verses meant.

In order to find the possible answer to these unusual lyrics, the background of the author and her political and religious beliefs are presented in order to provide important information as to the motive and meaning of this most famous “hymn,” and in particular these four puzzling verses of the last stanza.

### THE AUTHOR INVOLVED WITH THE AIMS OF THE “SECRET SIX”

First of all, Julia Ward Howe was the wife of one of the “secret six.” The secret six were:

1. Dr. Samuel Gridley Howe, her husband. A famous physician and hero of the Greek War of Independence.

2. Theodore Parker, the most famous preacher of his day. After turning against Christianity, he became a Unitarian preacher. He died in Rome, Italy shortly after the Raid on Harper’s Ferry Arsenal.

3. George Luther Stearns, lead pipe manufacturer who after the outbreak of the hostilities between the North and South, joined the Union army as a major.

4. Franklin B. Sanborn, schoolteacher who married an invalid heiress and inherited her fortune. Later became a powerful governmental official in Massachusetts and promoted State control of education and philanthropy.

5. Gerrit Smith, a multimillionaire who inherited vast holdings from his father, who had been a partner of John Jacob Astor. After John Brown was arrested for murder and treason, he fled the country and feigned insanity.

6. Rev. Thomas Wentworth Higginson, war hero, literary critic, social activist. After rejecting Christianity, he became a Unitarian minister. Advocate of women’s rights, Prohibition and Socialism.

These six men “were really contemptible men who hired an assassin, armed a murderer, supported secret crime in the name of compassion and dealt their country a terrible blow while claiming the motives of angels” (*The Secret Six*, Otto Scott, page 4). In addition, some of them also helped finance the Scofield Bible—the Bible whose notes has played an important part in promoting the *futuristic* view of prophecy which has provided the evangelical Christian with false religious reasons to urge America to support the aims of the Esau/Canaanite/Ashkenazi/Zionist religious-political nation of Israeli.

The murderer they armed was Freemason John Brown. Julia’s husband, along with the other five members of their elite group, were instrumental in financing and supporting this man who introduced *terrorism as a political tactic* by his Pottawatomie murderous activities in Kansas. Later they financed his attack at the Harper’s Ferry, Virginia Arsenal, in a failed attempt to start a war between the slaves and slaveholders.

The attack at Harper’s Ferry, although it failed, proved to the Southerners what they had before thought to be not credible—the idea that men of their own race would kill them on behalf of another as a cloak for political power. Even more alarmingly to the South, was the high praise and

elevation of John Brown by Northerners and its press after he was caught and executed—so much so that he became a martyr for their cause of abolition and humanism. This son of a former trustee of Oberlin College during the tenure of President Charles Gradison Finney, denier of the *Westminster Confession of Faith*, became the glorified hero of their antichristian movement.

The secret six were the **terrorist** group of the mid 1800’s! Quoting from *The New Yorker* in its review of Otto Scott’s book: “The author’s thesis is that John Brown and the cabal of eminent Massachusetts clergymen, literati and wealthy businessmen—the Secret Six—who encouraged and financed him *were pioneers in a use of terror* that in our day has come to plague the world: the idea that killing even innocent people is moral if it serves a greater good.”

Mrs Howe came to admire the **terrorist** John Brown. She believed that his death would be holy and glorious. She sacrilegiously *equated* the death of the murderer of innocent people, John Brown; with the sacrificial, substitutionary death for His guilty people,

Jesus—just as she *equated* the fight against slavery with the sacrifice of Jesus. To her deranged mind, the radical, insane, mass-murderer—John Brown—would glorify the gallows like Jesus glorified the cross.

Thus, while on a visit to Washington D.C., with her husband and the Reverend Thomas Wentworth Higginson in 1861, she was asked by the Reverend James Freeman Clarke, ardent abolitionist and Unitarian preacher, to write some new words to the song that they had all just heard the Union soldiers singing in the campsite.

The song was to the tune of an old methodist hymn to which the soldiers had put the words:

“John Brown’s body lies a-moldering in the ground; .. His soul is marching on...”

And that night she did.

### INVOLVED WITH THE UNITARIANS

Julia and Samuel Howe belonged to the Church of the Disciples Unitarian Church in Boston, Massachusetts, pastored by the Reverend James Freeman Clarke. Like the infamous preachers of the “Secret Six,” Theodore Parker and Thomas Higginson, they:

1. Denied the deity of Jesus
2. Denied that Jesus taught Christianity
3. Denied the divine source of the Bible
4. Denied Protestantism
5. Denied the concepts of sin
6. Denied the atonement
7. Denied the miracles of the Bible
8. Denied the existence of the trinity
9. Denied the second coming of Jesus

They denied the historical Christian doctrines—therefore, they were NOT CHRISTIANS! They were rabid abolitionists and Transcendentalists who worked obsessively for social reform and a more progressive centralized government.

Most people think that the “*Battle Hymn of the Republic*” is a Christian hymn about the second coming of Jesus—but since the author was neither a Christian nor a believer in the second coming of Jesus—then the “*Battle Hymn of the Republic*” must be about something else.

The title gives a big clue. *It is the Battle Hymn of the REPUBLIC*. It is not the battle Hymn of the Church not the Battle Hymn of Christian Soldiers, but the Battle Hymn of

New Video:

### AMERICAN DICTATORS

*In a dictatorship there is no choice, the elections are controlled, the police are the military, fear equals control, speech is suppressed, the economy is looted, the people are slaves.*

*“We don’t know the future but we’ve studied human history and regardless of who wins the Nov. 2004 election, the NWO wind.” Alex Jones.*

Documenting the staged 2004 election

#CI-394 DVD @ sug don \$20 or loan \$6



the Republic. What Republic? The United States of America (the Northern States), as the Southern States had seceded and formed the separate Confederate States of America. And the Battle was the War between the two--known erroneously as "The Civil War"--because it actually was a war between two separate countries that previously had been united. So, the full title would be the battle Hymn of the Republic of the United States of America Against the Confederate States of America.

### INVOLVED WITH HUMANISTIC MOTIVES

Julia Ward Howe had a reason to write what she wrote. The Union Army was not faring well in the early part of the War. There was not a strong motive for many Northerners to fight and kill their brethren from the South. A rally cry was needed to help provide the desire to fight this awful War and to encourage men to enlist in the Army. What better reason than to be fighting for the cause of God—i.e. a holy war!

The following is from a tract written by **Pastor Charles Jennings**. It provides a rare glimpse into the sinister motives behind this masterpiece of humanistic propaganda:

### HUMANISTIC PROPAGANDA

"There are many beautiful, inspiring, spiritual hymns and songs of the Christian church that were born out of adversity, during times of revival or God's rich dealings with His servants ... However, there are some songs that have been adopted into Christian hymnody that do not belong there because of their history and/or doctrine. One such song that has crept into the Christian church and its worship, unnoticed, is the song entitled: "The Battle Hymn of the Republic." This song should not be considered a hymn of our Faith, because of its sinister origin, the attitude and actions that it promoted, and the liberal philosophy of its authoress, Mrs Julia Ward Howe.

First, concerning Mrs Howe, she is commonly known as a writer and social reformer, and *not* as a Bible-believing Christian. After her marriage to Dr. Samuel Gridley Howe in 1843, they moved to Boston and became associated with the famous Unitarian "Church of the Disciples," pastored by the well-known Unitarian transcendentalist, James Freeman Clarke.

Mrs Howe and her husband became deeply involved in the anti-slavery movement of the 1840s and 1850s and edited one of its papers, the "Boston Commonwealth." In her zeal and desire for social reform at any cost, Mrs Howe came to revere the basest of men such as John Brown, the Kansas murderer and terrorist.

After the infamous murdering rampage at Harper's Ferry when John Brown was condemned to die, Mrs Howe believed that "John Brown's death will be holy and glorious. John Brown will glorify the gallows like Jesus glorified the cross."

As a Unitarian, her religious views were not based on the fundamental *theocentric* (God-centered) doctrines of the Scriptures, but upon the 19th century liberal anthropocentric (man-centered) beliefs of the higher critics, poetic

mystics and the advocates of transcendental meditation. These beliefs can be briefly summed up as the fatherhood of God and the brotherhood of men.

By her own statements it is very clear as to what her opinion was concerning Jesus Christ. She said, "Not until the Civil War did I officially join the Unitarian Church and accept the fact that Christ was merely a great teacher with no higher claim to preeminence in wisdom, goodness and power than many other men.

Having rejected the exclusive doctrine that made Christianity and special forms of it the only way of spiritual redemption, I now accept the belief that not only Christians but all human beings, no matter what their religion, are capable of redemption.' These are the same fallacies and heresies, which are espoused by the religious and academic liberal establishment yet today.

On the occasion of the writing of the words of the song 'The Battle Hymn of the Republic,' with the blessings of President Lincoln, she wrote words to a popular tune of the day that could be used as a rallying cry of the North in support of their invasion of the Christian South.

The message of this song, shrouded in religious terms was intended to convince the people of the North that they were involved in a 'holy war' for a righteous cause. Simply stated, it was used as typical war propaganda by the Lincoln administration for brainwashing the citizens of the North in their bloody invasion and destruction of the South.

Ever since Mrs Howe wrote the words to this song in December 1861, many sincere well-meaning Christians have unknowingly sung this song with religious zeal and fervor without understanding its original intent and meaning. Along with other prominent Unitarians, as Mrs Howe viewed the Union troops of the 'Army of the Potomac,' she was then inspired to write the words that are known and sung today.

She portrayed the Union Army as the 'coming of the glory of the Lord' going to 'trample out the vintage where the grapes of wrath are stored.' She plainly states that 'I have seen Him (God) in the watchfires of a hundred circling (Union) camps.' To her, Lincoln's 750,000 volunteers were the 'Army of God' going forth to slaughter the evil resisters of social reform and progressive centralized government.

The 'burnished rows of steel' that she mentioned referred to the polished Union cannons that rained down death and destruction upon not only the Confederate soldiers, but also upon southern cities and countryside.

.... At this critical time in our history the South was definitely more adamant in its stalwart defense of traditional Calvinistic Christianity that dated back to the early reformers while the religious establishments of the North were accepting and promoting the fallacious anti-Biblical concepts of the more modernistic approach to such truths as the authenticity and inerrancy of the Scriptures.

In summation, the South held to and practiced a more

### Tapes of the Month:

#### GIVE THE DEVIL HIS DUE

A-7810, A-7811, A-1812, A-1813

*It seems many people are still hung up on this false 'god'.*

*This is a study of every passage in the Bible relating to the serpent, devil, satan, lucifer, etc. You'll be startled to find that the "satan" taught by the churches (and Pete Peters) actually does not exist! The "devil" of the Bible is entirely different than what false religion says "he" is. Get this series and prepare to have your confidence in the churches badly shaken!*

#### A-7924 **BABYLON, LUCIFER, ASSYRIA & Palestine.**

*A study of Isaiah 13 & 14 with the relationship of all these to each other.*

**A-8001 THAT OLD SERPENT CALLED THE Devil & Satan.** *The churches use Rev. 12 for their false "satan is a fallen angel" doctrine. This study proves the "satan" of Rev. 12 is a confederation of nations fighting Israel! Another example of how church doctrine hides*

*Bible truth!*

this month's 6 tapes for \$25 posted



Biblical form of Christianity while the North was straying from its roots of Puritanism and Biblical foundations.” —(copied from a tract: *Historical Heresy: Battle Hymn of the Republic*; Website: [www.truthinhistory.org](http://www.truthinhistory.org), Pastor Charles A. Jennings).

### SHIFT OF CHRISTIANITY IN AMERICA

The Pilgrims and Puritans of New England were the builders of the strongest Christian colonies of all the thirteen original colonies. With leaders such as John Winthrop, they built towns that were both church-centered and that were governed according to the laws of God. Only white male Christian church members could hold either ecclesiastical or civil office, as well as vote. It was the law in these Puritan colonies that all its citizens had to attend church on Sunday, as well as all the business had to be closed on this commanded day of rest.

By the late seventeen hundreds, the Unitarians, Quakers, Transcendentalists, Humanists, Socialists, Deists and liberal theologians pushed the Puritan “city set on a hill” into a deep valley of Unitarianism. Boston, once the stronghold of Christian America became the unofficial center of the evil forces of the religions of humanism.

And as immigrants from New England moved westward to the frontier land, especially western New York state along its newly built Erie Canal, they took with them their heresies. Western New York then became the area of new religions (example: Mormonism), new revivals (example: Finney’s man-planned decision revivals) and new types of churches (example: “creedless” churches).

Eventually the Christian center in America shifted to the agricultural plantations and small towns of the South. The South, with its strong reformed churches, successfully fought off the advancement of the theology of the humanists.

Since the humanists could not penetrate the theology of the churches of the South as it had done in the North—they had to find another avenue of attack. That avenue was the **immediate** abolition of slavery. And exploit it they did—with a vengeance. With emancipation as their decoyed goal, it served to justify them in their delusions of righteous grandeur. It mattered not to the radical abolitionist that slavery would soon end—war or no war—for the abolishing of slavery was not their major aim. It was just the means to serve their satanic agenda of universalism, integration and miscegenation—a total denial of God’s instructions to His people to be “holy”—that is a separate people.

### INVOLVED WITH A “GHOSTLY” NIGHT VISITOR

Julia Howe wrote that she herself did not really write the lyrics now known as the “*Battle Hymn of the Republic*.” She testified that a “spiritual visitor” awakened her in the middle of the night. She quickly got out of bed and went to her desk. There she copied what the “ghostly” night visitor dictated to her. In a very short time she had written all of the words to this poem/song and she went back to sleep.

So, like Freemason Charles Gradison Finney, Freemason Joseph Smith and many others in this era of America’s spiritual decline, evil spirits also influenced Julia ward Howe. No wonder this song is so powerful and deceptive. It is full of double meanings. The intention of this song is to have Christians think they are singing about God’s return to

destroy evil—while the song is really about the Northern Armies destroying the Christian churches and towns of the South. Also, its intention is to have Christians think they are singing about Jesus being born across the sea in the beauty of the lilies—while the song is really about another god.

### DECEPTION OF JESUS’ BIRTH

Deception is the main weapon of the adversary. Notice how the popular Encyclopedias (*World Book*, *Collier’s* and *Compton’s*) and Freemasonry’s “*Morals and Dogma*” trace the “bait and switch” deceptive tactic used in identifying the time of Jesus’ birth:

“**Mithras** was the Sun-God of the Persians, and was fabled to have been born in a grotto or cave, at the Winter Solstice. His feasts were celebrated at that period, at the moment when the sun commenced to turn Northward, and to increase the length of days. This was the great feast of the Magian religion. The Roman Calendar, published in the time of Constantine, at which period his worship began to gain ground in the Occident, fixed his feast-day on the **25th of December ...**” (*Morals and Dogma of the Ancient and Accepted Scottish Rite of Freemasonry*, Albert Pike, p.587).

**Mithras**, known in other countries as Serapis, **Osiris**, Atys, Adonis was born on **December 25!** The Bible does not give the exact date of Jesus’ birth, but indirectly it can be said that His birth occurred in the fall of the year, probably during the Feast of Tabernacles. “No one knows the exact date of Christ’s birth” (*World Book Encyclopedia* under the topic: Christmas).

According to Collier’s Encyclopedia: “In England, the Puritans condemned the [Christmas] celebration and from 1642 to 1652, issued a series of ordinances forbidding all church services and festivities. This feeling was carried over to America by the Pilgrims and it was not until the nineteenth-century wave of Irish and German immigration that enthusiasm for the feast began to spread throughout the country.”

So Jesus was NOT born on December 25th. But Mithras WAS born on December 25th. So, according to *Compton’s Encyclopedia*: “Because there was no knowledge about the date of Jesus’ birth, a day had to be selected. The Eastern Orthodox and the Eastern Rite churches within the Roman Catholic Church chose January 6. The day was named Epiphany, meaning ‘appearance.’ The Western Church, based at Rome chose December 25th ... In the later half of the fourth century, the Eastern and Western churches adopted each other’s festival, thus establishing the modern 12-day celebration from Christmas to Epiphany.”

If the date of Jesus’ birth was not known and a date had to be selected—why out of 365 possible days to select, would “Christian” leaders choose the **one day** that was the birthday of a pagan god? For the same reason that Julia Ward wrote that Christ was born “in the beauty of the lilies.” As many Christians think that they are celebrating Jesus’ birthday when it is really another god’s birthday, so many Christians think they are singing about Jesus being born across the sea when it is another god!

What god was born across the sea in the beauty of the lilies? The answer is **HORUS**, the son of Osiris (whose birthday was on December 25th - see above). And who was Horus and his family? The following gives a brief history of this “divine family of Egypt.”

### THE DIVINE FAMILY OF EGYPT

### NEW CATALOG

After many months of preparation the new catalog is ready to print. Unfortunately, before it is printed, already there are new additions - but that’s just the way things go. It has been many years since the last one, so this will have much more material in it. There are changes, some deletions, and series of tapes are listed as a series rather than as individual tapes - to save some space, otherwise the catalog would be twice as big. A donation of \$5 would be appreciated! Thanks



The pharaohs of Egypt traced their lineage to the god Horus. **Horus** was the son of **OSIRIS** and **ISIS**. Osiris reigned on earth, and married his sister Isis. Their mission was to bring civilization to humanity, to teach people about the practice of: (1) Government, (2) Religion and, (3) Marriage.

This “civilization” that was brought to Egypt and spread to the world [by Jesuits, Talmudists, Freemasons, Unitarians, Quakers, Deists, Transcendentalists, etc], was not the government that was given to God’s people Israel—to rule their civil, ecclesiastical and family governments—but an opposing counterfeit kingdom.

One day Seth, the god of disorder, murdered his brother Osiris, the god of order [similar to the murder of Abel by his brother Cain]. The murder happened at a banquet when Seth invited guests to lie down in a coffin [similar to what the initiates of the Skull and Bones (both former presidential candidates: George Bush and John Kerry both were members of this Masonic special group) are instructed to do—lie naked in a coffin]. Several guests tried unsuccessfully. When Osiris climbed in, Seth and his conspirators nailed down the lid, weighed the coffin down with lead and cast it into the Nile.

This happened in July when the waters of the Nile were rising. The coffin drifted down to the Mediterranean Sea and washed ashore at Byblos, in Phoenicia. A tamarisk tree grew up around the coffin, completely enclosing it in its trunk. When Isis found the tree, she released the coffin from it and shipped it back to Egypt. While grieving over her husband’s body, she transformed herself into a kite. As she flew over the body, she miraculously conceived a child [conception of a child without a father—similar to the virgin birth of Jesus].

Isis tried to hide her pregnancy from Seth. Thoth, the god of wisdom, advised her to flee because Seth would try to kill her child [similar to Herod’s attempt to murder the infant Jesus]. She went to the marshes, where she gave birth to her son, **Horus**. **Horus was born out of the lotus flower (water lily)** that rose from the primeval waters.

The ancient Egyptians noticed that the lotus responds to the presence or absence of light/warmth. It submerges itself by night and rises from the water at dawn, symbolically “worshipping the sun.” This sun-loving water lily was the Egyptian Christ/Krishna.

The son of Isis, Horus, who was born among the lilies, became the archetype of the pharaohs, the **sun god’s representative on earth**. Isis kept her young son hidden until he became an adolescent and could face Seth to claim his rightful inheritance, the throne of Egypt.

While Horus was growing up, the sun god, Re, grew old and started drooling. Isis took the saliva that fell to the ground and modeled it into a serpent. She placed the serpent across Re’s daily path in the sky, and it bit the sun. Since the sun had not made the serpent, he could not cure himself. He turned to Isis for help. She said she could do nothing unless he revealed his secret name to her.

Re realized this was the only way he could be cured. So he reluctantly allowed **his secret name to be passed from his bosom directly to hers**. Isis was forbidden from revealing it to anyone except her son Horus. The Eye of Re—the supreme power of the creator—was thus given to Horus, and subsequently to all the pharaohs down through the ages. It then became known as the all-seeing **Eye of Horus**.

Eventually, after an indecisive battle with Seth, Horus became the god of kingship, and the pharaohs traced their lineage to him, the god who triumphed over evil.

### SUMMARY

Osiris was born on December 25th. His son, Horus, was born “in the beauty of the lotus (water lilies) across the sea” in the Egyptian marshlands. The glory (Re’s secret name) that was in Re’s bosom was transformed into Isis’ bosom. From Isis’ bosom it was transformed into Horus’ bosom that transfigured him into the god of kingship. His “all-seeing-eye” - the supreme power of the creator was given to Horus and then to all the pharaohs of Egypt. Except for the short time of Joseph’s providential era of power, Egypt’s government has rivaled and opposed the people of God’s covenant.

From Egypt, over the centuries, it has infiltrated into powerful kingdoms and movements, promoted by such groups as the Jesuits, Talmudists and Freemasons. The “all-seeing eye of God” has become one of the symbols of this ancient foe of God’s Kingdom—now known as the New World Order.

Julia Ward Howe’s motive in writing the “*Battle Hymn of the Republic*” was to make the War of Northern Aggression against the Confederate States of America a “holy war.” Being married to one of the “Secret Six,” the major supporter of terrorist activity in the mid 1800s and being a Unitarian and Transcendentalist provided her with a zeal to try to bring to pass their antichristian ideals.

By clothing her lyrics in religious terms, she was able to hide its original intent and meaning. To Mrs Howe, God was in the Union Army leading it to destroy the South: i.e.

humanism destroying Christianity. And the evil South - symbolized by Julia as a serpent - was portrayed as being crushed by the heel of the Union troops - Glory! Glory! Hallelujah! The god of the Unitarians is marching on.

And the god of the Unitarians has been marching on ever since, until now it has almost “marched on” to the entire world. Thus, the real meaning of the last stanza of her propaganda war song of the Republic of the Northern States of the United States of America was:

In the beauty of the lilies [*in the Egyptian marshlands*], Christ [*Horus*] was born across the sea [*Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea*], With a glory [*of Re’s secret name*] in his bosom that transfigures you and me [*to be under the “all seeing eye” of his kingship*]. As he died to make men holy [*men like John Brown, who died to make men a part of this progressive centralized government under the control and influence of the all seeing eye of Horus and his successors, as slaves in his one world kingdom of darkness*]. Let us die to make men [*black slaves*] free [*by destroying the South*]. While [*the*] god [*of humanism*] is marching on [*to make the United States and the Western Territories, a land of humanism and socialism*].

Compare the words of the Battle Hymn of the Republic with the chorus of another famous hymn, Onward Christian Soldiers:

Onward Christian Soldiers, marching as to war,  
With the cross of Jesus, going on before!

With WHOM are the Christian soldiers at war? WHAT are they fighting? As it was in the past, so it is in the present.

“For so it was, that the children of Israel had sinned against the LORD their God ... and had feared other gods. And walked in the statutes of the nations, whom the LORD cast out from before the children of Israel, and the kings of

### limited quantity - one time offer THE POWER OF A PRAYING WIFE

Stormie Omartian

*Today’s challenges and pressures can make a fulfilling marriage seem like an impossible dream. Yet God delights in doing the impossible if only we would ask! Every woman who desires a closer relationship with her husband will appreciate this refreshing look at the power of prayer in marriage.*

#527 @ sug don \$18.00ppd



Israel, which they had made ... And they rejected his statutes, and his covenant that he made with their fathers, and his testimonies, which he testified against them; and they followed vanity, and became vain, and went after the nations who were round about them, concerning whom the LORD had charged them, that THEY SHOULD NOT DO LIKE THEM” (2 Kings 17:7,8,15).

Simply put, from its unique beginning at Mt Sinai—ancient Israel eventually rejected God’s laws that were both divinely spoken and written to them and instead walked in the laws of the other nations—that is, the laws of those governments that had the “all-seeing eye” of Horus!

Modern day Israel (the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic and Scandinavian and kindred people) has repeated its history. The unique Christian beginning of the Pilgrim and Puritan colonies, which kept many of God’s laws in the 1600s, was short-lived. The change away from God’s Law in these colonies began in the late 1600s when the Puritans allowed the arranging of Colonial Charters which permitted Corporations, such as the Massachusetts Bay Company, to tax the inhabitants.

Nearly a century later, at the conclusion of the Revolutionary War, Cornwallis (who was a Freemason) “surrendered” to General George Washington (was also a Freemason), on the condition that the Bank of England would control the central Bank of the new country. Cornwallis’ troops were then allowed to leave with their arms and ammunition—very unusual for a “surrender.” The theretofore worthless Continentals were then redeemed at 100 cents to the dollar with British silver.

Congress, under the Articles of Confederation, authorized Ben Franklin to borrow 8 million Livra from the British Crown. He signed the note on July 16, 1782. The loan was due on January 1, 1788. When it became obvious that the Note could not be paid, a Constitutional Convention was held to reorganize the bankruptcy.

Seventy-two years later, the congressmen of the Southern States of America walked out of congress in session because they did not agree with the policies the Northern States had forced on them. This action caused a State of Emergency which suspended the Republic of the united States of America and therefore suspended the constitution and its government. Within a few decades, an elite group of private bankers created a private corporation in England, which has gradually replaced the republic with the ancient policies of the “all seeing eye” of Horus in the areas of government, religion and marriage.

Thus the present day multicultural, diverse, and pluralistic humanistic United States keeps the laws of the nations of God’s enemies—not the laws of the God of their Christian forefathers! Today’s United States Government is in no way founded upon the Christian religion. America now honours the statutes of the god who was born “in the beauty of the lilies” rather than the statutes of the God who was born in a manger!

The assault was first on the divine being of Jesus. The anthropocentric humanistic believers taught that Jesus was, at best, nothing more than a wise man. But the Holy Scriptures dogmatically exclaim that “God also hath highly EXALTED HIM” [Jesus] (Philippians 2:9a) and that “there was given him [Jesus] DOMINION, AND GLORY, AND A KINGDOM, that all people, nations, and languages should serve HIM; HIS dominion is an everlasting dominion, which shall not pass away, and HIS kingdom that

which shall not be destroyed” (Daniel 7:14).

The assault continued onto the churches of which Jesus was the head. But Jesus said after His resurrection to His apostles: “ALL AUTHORITY is given unto me in heaven and in earth.” (Matthew 28:18b).

As the Father sent the Son and gave to Him ALL AUTHORITY; and as the Son sent the apostles and gave to them delegated authority; the apostles built the local churches of Israelites throughout the lands in which they had been scattered (Ephesians 2:20). And the authority granted by God to His local churches trumps any authority of pagan governments.

The everlasting Kingdom of God, with Jesus Christ as the exalted King over His particular covenant people Israel in their God appointed lands—that is what the Unitarians, Quakers, Transcendentalists, Deists, Universalists, Rationalists, Socialists, Humanists, Communists, Freemasons, Jesuits, Talmudists, etc. want destroyed.

“Glory, laud, and honour unto Christ [Jesus] the King-

This through countless ages men and angels sing.”

..... to be continued..

Courtesy The New Covenant Messenger, PO Box 321, Union KY 41091

a better song than “The Battle Hymn..” which has also been around much longer might be....:

“A mighty fortress is our God,  
A bulwark never failing;  
Our Helper He, amid the flood  
Of mortal ills prevailing.  
For still our ancient foes  
Doth seek to work us woes;  
Their craft and power are great,  
And armed with cruel hate.  
God surely will deliver us.

Did we in our own strength confide,  
Our striving would be losing;  
Were not the right Man on our side,  
The Man of God’s own choosing.  
Dost ask who that may be?  
Christ Jesus, it is He;  
Lord Sabaoth His Name,  
From age to age the same,  
And He must win the battle.

And though this world, with evils filled,  
Should threaten to undo us,  
We will not fear, for God has willed  
His truth to triumph through us.  
The powers of darkness grim,  
We tremble not for them;  
Their rage we can endure,  
For lo! Their doom is sure,  
Their place is not in Zion.

God’s Word above all earthly powers—  
No thanks to them - abideth;  
The Spirit and the gifts are ours  
Through Him who with us sideth.  
Let goods and kindred go,  
This mortal life also;  
The body they may kill,  
God’s truth abideth still;

## New Booklet WHO IS A JEW?

Stephen E. Jones

*Jeremiah’s revelation, Jesus is the trunk of the Good Fig tree, Replacement theology, the law of pruning, laws of sacrifice, Old and New Covenants, The Tribeship itself. Edom forcibly converted to Judaism 126BC, the Chazars voluntarily convert to Judaism 740 AD, What should Christians do?*

**Get your copy now \$6.25ppd**



His Kingdom is FOREVER!

.....*Martin Luther, 1529, translated 1852 - altered*

## THE MORAL MODEL OF ABSTINENCE

by Stephen Contrado, Th. M.

The Alcohol, Drug Abuse and Mental Health Administration estimates that more than 7 million Americans are Alcohol abusers and 10.5 million are alcoholics. Administrative statistics about Alcohol consumption and its consequences provide evidence enough to support the temperance movement. According to one study, alcohol is a factor in 50% of all traffic fatalities, 225 of home injuries, 16% of on-the-job injuries, and 56% of all fights leading to hospital treatment. but the figures don't tell the most tragic part of the story; the innocent are often the victims of alcohol consumption. The drunk driver kills a child crossing the street or slaughters the family returning home after church service. The drunken spouse batters an innocent mate. The drunken parent abuses the powerless child. An inexperienced youth drinks too much and is raped.

Ironically, many people condemn religious explanations of drunkenness and spiritual methods of treatment as *moralistic*. But who is being narrow minded? In so labeling and discouraging Christian norms, the rationalistic activists undermine the effective moral solution of the problem. True Christians understand that no one should consume alcohol even if they are fortunate enough not to be addicted, and they expose alcohol consumption as sin, even for those individuals not addicted.

Moderate users of alcohol don't accept responsibility for immoderate drinkers. In setting a bad example by drinking, these people become responsible for the failure of others. How can we justify consuming alcohol when it invites our weaker brothers and sisters to indulge in destructive behaviour they don't have the intelligence and will power to refuse? Psychologists and other non-Christian professionals have done little to solve the alcoholism problem. Can there ever be any appropriate circumstances and socially responsible policy that ignores the weakness of others?

A strong family rejects intoxicating and addictive substances, and a just society is an extension of the family. The church too must set an example for the family and society. If some drinking is tolerated, the limits of who should drink and how much becomes hazy. The system based on individual need or opinion and varying standards of how much drinking is appropriate hasn't worked at all. Such a system invites hypocrisy. It also makes authorities ambivalent and incompetent to enforce laws. No recovery plan for the alcoholic really works while there remains social rationalization for alcohol use.

The only effective means to eliminate alcohol addiction and its social costs is to abolish alcohol consumption from the land. The individual should assume social responsibility. Let's not allow the weak to be emboldened and perish by the insensitive example of a general tolerance of alcohol consumption. Saint Paul the Apostle said, "Take heed lest by any means this liberty of yours become a stumbling-block to them that are weak." (1 Cor. 8:9).

Courtesy Destiny Letter, PO Box 177, Merrimac MA 01860-0177

## GAMBLING

(Condensed: (c) David E. Pratte, <http://www.gospelway.com/morality/gambling.htm>)

· Usually the people who gamble the most are the people who can afford it the least: the poor and the elderly.

· Americans gamble more money each year than they spend on groceries!

We will note moral issues, appealing to the highest authority, the Bible, which reveals the will of God (2 Tim 3:16,17).

## WHAT GAMBLING IS

It refers to a bet in which each player agrees to risk losing some material possession to other players in exchange for the chance to win the possessions of other players without compensation to the loser, the winner(s) and loser(s) being determined by the outcome of a game. No goods or services of fair value are given in exchange for what is lost. The loser will give up his possessions without being recompensed, and the winner will gain possessions without repaying the loser. *Gambling profits from the loss of another.* For example: Casino gambling, pokies, roulette wheels, dice and card games for money, numbers games, etc, played for stakes; Betting on horses, dogs; Lotteries; Bazaar and fair booths where you pay to spin a wheel and try to win a prize, etc; Charity and church-sponsored bingo, raffles, etc. If someone says, "It's a good cause," then just make a donation!

## GAMBLING VIOLATES BIBLES PRINCIPLES

Rules for Transfer of Property. Three legitimate ways for money/possessions to transfer from one owner to another:

1) The Law of Labour ("the work ethic") A person may be paid as compensation for work done to produce goods or services that benefit other people. 1 Timothy 5:18 - the labourer is worthy of his wages. Ephesians 4:28 - do not steal but labour at good (beneficial) work. 1 Thess. 4:11-12 - to meet our needs, we should do our own business

and work for an income (not take what other people earned). Matt. 20:1-5. 2 Thess. 3:10-12 - People should work so they can eat their own bread (not other people's bread). We must not try to live of the labours of others. We can expect goods or services from others only as compensation for work we do that produces something of benefit (Eph 4:28). The gambler seeks to get something for nothing by taking what other people have earned; to profit by causing loss to others. (1 Cor 9:7-10; Gen 3:17-19; Prov 31:24; Acts 18:3).

2) The Law of Exchange. A person may simply agree to exchange possessions (goods or money) with someone else. Each party is paid or fairly compensated by receiving possessions of fair value in return for what he gives up. Bible examples are: Gen 23:1-18 - Abraham bought a field and a cave for money. Matthew 13:45-46 - a merchant sold possessions to buy a pearl. John 4:8 - Disciples bought food. In a fair transaction both parties receive what they view as fair compared to what they give up; a gambler hopes other people will lose so he can take their property. (Gen 33:19; Prov 31:16; Acts 4:34, 37).

3) The Law of Giving. A person may knowingly choose, of his own free will, to unconditionally give something away as an expression of good will or kindness, with no obligation for the receiver to offer any compensation in return. Eph 4:28 - one who has earned goods by his own labour may choose to give to others in need. 2 Cor 9:6,7 - we should give willingly and cheerfully, not grudgingly. Acts 20:35 - it is more blessed to give than to receive. Do gamblers consider giving to be more blessed than receiving? 1 John 3:17-18; 1 Cor 13:3 - giving is motivated by love, compassion, and desire to help others. Is this what motivates gamblers? No, they agree to give (if they lose) only because they want to win what others possess! Gam-



blers do not give freely, as an act of love or compassion. (Matt 19:21; 25:35; 2:11; Acts 2:45; 4:34-35).

Covetousness and Greed. Ephesians 5:5-7; 1 Cor 6:9-11; 1 Cor 5:11. Covetousness is the desire to wrongfully take someone else's property, in a way not authorised by God. Acts 20:33-35 - Paul did not covet other people's property but was willing to work to earn what he needed. 2 Cor. 9:5-7 - A legitimate gift involves willingly choosing to give as a gesture of good will and kindness. If we seek to take someone else's property which he really does not want to give, while at the same time we seek to avoid giving him fair compensation in return, that would be covetousness. (Rom 1:29-32; Deut. 5:21; Mk 7:20-23; 1 Tim 6:6-10; 2 Tim 3:2; Rove 1:19; 21:26; 15:27; Heb 13:5).

The Principle of Stewardship. We are stewards of possessions that belong to God. 1 Peter 4:10-11 - as stewards, we should use the blessings we have to glorify God. A steward is a servant who has been entrusted to use his master's property to achieve the master's purposes. The master will judge the steward for how well he used the property (Luke 12:42-46; 2 Chron 28:1; 1 Cor 4:1-2). Psalm 24:1-2; 50:10-12 - Material property is part of our stewardship; God ultimately owns all physical things but has entrusted them to us. 1 Tim 6:9-10, 17-19 - Instead of being greedy and loving physical things, we should use them to accomplish God's purposes. This includes providing for the needs of ourselves and our families, giving to the church, preaching the gospel, and helping the needy. Our material possessions are not ours to use as we please. We must use them to do God's will and then give account to Him for the use of them. (Haggai 2:9; 1 Chron 29:11-14; Acts 4:32-35; 1 John 2:15-17; Deut 10:14)

The Gambler is an Unfaithful Steward. Luke 16:1-2 - Instead of using the Master's possessions for the intended purpose, the unfaithful steward wastes them or risks losing them for selfish purposes (Matt 25:14-30). Suppose you give some money to a friend for safekeeping. But then, without your permission, he uses your money as his stakes for gambling. Whether he won or lost, would you not confront him for misusing your money? For his own selfishness he risked losing what belonged to you. Every gambler gambles with money that belongs to God without His permission. He wastes and risks losing it.

**The Law of Love.** Matt 22:39 - Does the gambler love his neighbour as he loves himself? Luke 6:27; 1 John 3:16-18 - Love leads us to do good, not harm, even to our enemies. Gambling harms our neighbour (Romans 13:8-10). Love does not seek to profit by taking what belongs to others against their will and without compensation. The very essence of gambling is hoping other people will lose, so you can profit at their loss. Matt 7:12 - Do to others as you want them to do to you. A gambler tries to do to others what he does not want them to do to him. 1 Cor 13:5 - Love seeketh not its own. Philippians 2:4 - we should seek, not just our own interests, but the interests of others. Gambling is selfish and self-seeking. The gambler seeks personal gain and profit by taking other people's possessions.

**The Root of Evil.** 1 Tim 6:9-10 - The love of money is the root of all kinds of evil. Greed leads to many foolish and hurtful lusts, many sorrows. If gambling is greed, then we should expect to find it associated with all kinds of sin and immorality. Matt 7:16-19 - a tree is known by its fruits. A corrupt tree will surely produce corrupt fruits. The fruits

caused by and associated with gambling:

· **Poverty, neglect of families, quarrelling, and divorce** because gamblers often gamble with money the family needs. One out of every five homeless people admit that gambling contributed to their poverty. Even casino owner Donald Trump admitted: "People will spend a tremendous amount of money in casinos, money that they would normally spend on buying a refrigerator or a new car." About 1/3 of all millionaire lottery winners end up in poverty again afterward!

· **Anger, hatred, and even murder** directed by the losers against the winners.

· **Drinking and drugs, alcoholism and addiction** always abound with gambling, in response to sorrow and guilt.

· **Lying** because gamblers seek to hide their habit and their losses.

· **Crime** - attracts criminal types. Gamblers often deal drugs, embezzle, or steal to gamble or to pay gambling debts.

· **Prostitution, Immorality**

· **Suicide** as an escape from compulsive gambling or from huge losses.

Temptation and Evil Influence. Like drinkers and drug abusers, gamblers begin by thinking they can avoid the dangers involved. But participation lures them in deeper. Is it worth the risk? 1 Tim 6:9 - those who love money (v 10) and are minded to be rich fall into temptation, a snare, and many foolish and hurtful lusts.

Temptation is associated with gambling. Matthew 6:13; 26:41. Is it right to pray to avoid temptation and then deliberately subject ourselves to it for the sake of passing pleasure? James 4:3; Matt 18:6-9. 1 Cor 15:33 - Gambling puts us in the company of evil men and tempts us to participate in other sins. Proverbs 13:20 - Keeping company with wise men will make us wise, but associating with morally and spiritually foolish people will cause us to suffer (24:1-2). The gambler is running with the wrong crowd, subjecting himself to temptation. Many people will be lost eternally because of gambling's influence.

**Example and Influence.** We must imitate the example of Jesus (1 Peter 2:21-22; Matt 10:24-25; 1 Cor 11:1). Can you honestly imagine Jesus playing a pokie machine or betting (on the horses)? Honestly now, does gambling harmonise with the example of Jesus and the teaching of His Word? Gamblers not only sin and tempt themselves to sin, they also tempt others to sin. 1 Tim 4:12 - Set a good example ... Matthew 5:13-16 - our lives should be like a light ... Who will glorify God from seeing you gamble? Matthew 18:6-7. Many gamblers know that their marriage companion strongly objects. Is this the proper, loving way to treat a spouse (Ephesians 5:22-29)? *Whom does the gambler tempt to sin...?*

**Young People** - as with smoking, drinking, and drug abuse, most gamblers start young because of the influence of others: friends, relatives, older people. If you gamble, your example may lead a young person to start or continue gambling, and then become trapped in the sins associated with it. Do you want this on your conscience? Compulsive gamblers - If a reformed compulsive gambler sees or knows you gamble, your example encourages him to try the practice again. If he does, he is immediately addicted again. And you are responsible. Non-Christians - Many people who are not Christians know that gambling is very un-

New tapes:

#E-114 Divine Illness - Deut Basics 22

#E-115 God the teacher 1 - Deut Basics 23

#E-116 God the teacher 2 - Deut Basics 24

#E-117 God the teacher 3 - Deut basics 25

pastor Don Elmore

#G-547 Principles of Biblical Economics 7

#G-548 Principles of Biblical Economics 8

pastor Ted R. Weiland

#J-119 The Need of the Hour, J. Weaver

#J-120 Trading God for a Man,

pastor John Weaver



Christ-like. If you gamble, you may never be able to lead them to hear the soul-saving gospel. yet we are to do all we can to help other people be saved. (1 Cor 8-10). The gambler will never be a successful soul-saver (Prov 11:30). If you gamble, you must gamble with others. So every gambler has a bad influence on someone. The only way to avoid this problem is simply to avoid gambling altogether.

Courtesy Fair Dinkum: <www.thedinkum.com>

---

## TEENAGERS

### An Epidemic of Teen Pregnancies

The Canton Repository recently reported that 65 out of 490 female students attending Ohio public high school are pregnant—a jaw-dropping 13 percent. Officials expect the number of pregnant students in the district to rise to 99 in grades 7 through 12 once school is in session.

Local health statistics are equally abysmal. According to the Canton health Department, 104 out of 586 babies born in July to residents belong to mothers between the ages of 11 and 19.

The Timkin High School statistics run counter to the general trend in America's teen birth rate, which has gone down over the past two decades—largely because of the widespread availability and knowledge of contraceptives and the increase in non-pregnancy-causing sexual activity. But they are consistent with the fact that more teenagers are having sex than ever before—a trend evidenced by the explosive spread of STDs among youths. Timkin High is implementing a program to address pregnancy, prevention and parenting. Yet these types of programs don't deal with the problem's root causes.

Same news agencies blame movies, TV and the like. Though such factors definitely contribute to the increase in teenage sexual activity, the real problem comes down to a *lack of right education*—particularly at home. And that goes beyond education about birth control.

Teenagers in this permissive society who don't know **God's purpose for sex** will most likely indulge in harmful sexual activity—destroying any chance of a happy family life in the future. What is needed is this right knowledge—and parents who are willing to teach it.

Courtesy The Philadelphia Trumpet, Nov. 2005.



---

### “Thou Shalt Not Commit Adultery.” (Ex 20:14).

Leviticus 18 provides several Seventh Commandment statutes that depict adultery. Verses 6-17 pertain to incestuous relationships and were addressed in part 2 of this series. Verse 19 prohibits conjugal relations during a wife's menstrual cycle, and verse 20 prohibits marital infidelity, both of which were addressed in part 3. Verse 21 condemns offering your seed to Molech, which was demonstrated in part 4 to be an idiom prohibiting forbidden lineage and interracial relationships.

## MISCEGENATION

In addition to the scriptures provided in the previous

installment of this series, there is further biblical evidence banning miscegenation or race mixing. Yahweh's desire for purity, including racial purity, cannot be denied. This has been demonstrated not only from Leviticus 18:21, but also from Ezra 9 and 10. Furthermore, Yahweh's design for his creation to produce after its own kind is repeated ten times in Genesis 1. This idea was expanded upon in the non-canonical book of Ecclesiasticus:

*“Every beast loveth his like, and every man loveth his neighbour. All flesh consorteth according to kind, and a man will cleave to his like.”* (Ecclesiasticus 13:15-16)

Although the following two passages initially appear to be off point, consider the biblical principle found therein:

*“Thou shalt not muzzle the ox when he treadeth out the corn.”* (Deuteronomy 25:4).

The Apostle Paul demonstrated that this precept is to be applied to more than just livestock:

*“For it is written in the law of Moses, Thou shalt not muzzle the mouth of the ox that treadeth out the corn. Doth God take care for oxen? Or saith he it altogether for our sakes? For our sakes, no doubt, this is written: that he that ploweth should plow in hope; and that he that thresheth in hope should be partaker of his hope. If we have sown unto you spiritual things, is it a great thing if we shall reap your carnal [NASV: material] things?”* (1 Cor 9:9-11).

Yahweh is concerned for all of his creation. However, it is evident from Paul's application of Deuteronomy 25:4 that Yahweh is principally concerned for man who was created in His image. With this principle in mind, consider the following statute from Leviticus 19:

*“... Thou shalt not let thy cattle gender with a diverse kind: thou shalt not sow thy field with mingled seed: neither shall a garment mingled of linen and woollen come upon thee.”* (Leviticus 19:19).

Was Yahweh only concerned with livestock, produce and clothing? Or was it for man's sake that it was written that we are not to gender diverse kinds? The answer to this question is found in Deuteronomy 23:

*“A bastard shall not enter into the congregation of Yahweh; even to his tenth generation shall he not enter into the congregation of Yahweh.”* (Deuteronomy 23:2 KJV). *“No one of illegitimate birth shall enter the assembly of Yahweh; none of his descendants, even to the tenth generation, shall enter the assembly of Yahweh.”* (Deut 23:2 NASV)

The Hebrew word “mamzer,” translated bastard and illegitimate birth in the KJV and NASV, is defined in Strong's Dictionary:

*mamzer (mam-zare'); from an unused root meaning to alienate; a mongrel, i.e. born of a Jewish [Israelite] father and a [non-Israelite] heathen mother.*

Therefore, a bastard or a person of illegitimate birth in Deuteronomy 23:2 in our English versions is not what we, in the twenty-first century, usually think of him as being. nevertheless, a child conceived from an interracial relationship is illegitimate in yahweh's sight as much as a child born out of wedlock because they are both the consequence of biblical adultery, the mating of two people outside lawful limits.

Along with other Old Testament passages, Nehemiah's inspired commentary on Deuteronomy 23:3 reveals that the congregation or assembly of Yahweh is not speaking of a religious assembly, but, instead of the geographical territory where Israel abode. Therefore, this phrase demands



racial, not necessarily religious, segregation. Although the Bible clearly dictates racial and, therefore, geographical segregation for Israelites - Exod 33:16, Lev. 20:24-26, Numbers 23:9, Deuteronomy 32:8, 1 Kings 8:51-53, Acts 17:26, etc., Yahweh, nonetheless, intends that His Laws and covenants be communicated to the rest of the world - 1 Kings 8:41-60, Isaiah 2:2-3, 49:3-6, 56:3-8, Mark 16:15-16, etc.

The judgment upon the offspring for a lineage and/or interracial relationship, as described in Deuteronomy 23, may seem harsh, especially because the offspring were not participants in the initial sin. However, there is biblical precedent for this kind of judgment. Canaan was the consequence of incest, and yet Yahweh judged him and his descendants, a judgment which included a prohibition that forbade the Israelites from intermarrying with them in spite of the fact that they were racially alike. Moab and Ammon were also the consequence of incest, and they and their respective lineages were likewise judged by Yahweh as being unfit for the Israelites to marry. Another reason for this prohibition is provided in Deut 23:3-4. However, because Yahweh is impartial in judgment, incest must have played a part in His judgment as well.

Why would Yahweh be so harsh upon the innocent victims of their parents' transgressions? Yahweh knows the beginning from the end and that His judgments are remedial in nature for the overall good of mankind. Therefore, one of the reasons for Yahweh's judgment upon the mamzer or mongrel, it would seem, was to deter the perpetuation of the same sin, and thereby keep bloodlines pure and prevent genetic interbreeding weaknesses.

People are more likely to mix with those who are or who appear to be racially alike; therefore, according to the Laws of Yahweh, the mamzer, who would look more like an Israelite than a pure-blood non-Israelite, is to be segregated from Israel just as the pure-blood person of another race or forbidden lineage is to be segregated. (unfortunately, our governments are not upholding these laws, in fact, they are trying their hardest to have our Israel people interbreed with the other races, even the churches cooperating with them in this. So, if we are to obey, we need to segregate ourselves, and get together in separate communities, with only our own people being allowed to be a part of them - wake up people! In one or two generations, you won't be able to tell who is a mixed-breed or not by looking at them. Who will your children and grandchildren marry? Will it be according to God's Law, or not??? CIM)

Ezra and other leaders in Judah understood the importance of keeping Israel's bloodline pure:

*"... the princes came to me [Ezra], saying, **The people of Israel and the priests, and the Levites, have not separated themselves from the people of the lands, doing according to their abominations, even of the Canaanites, the Hittites, the Perizzites, the Jebusites, the Ammonites, the Moabites, the Egyptians, and the Amorites. For they have taken of their daughters for themselves, and for their sons: so that the holy seed have mingled themselves with the people of those lands: yea, the hand of the princes and rulers hath been chief in this trespass... Now, therefore give not your daughters unto their sons, neither take their daughters unto your sons, nor seek their peace or their wealth for ever: that ye may be strong, and eat the good of the land, and leave it for an inheritance to your***

*children [the obedient ones] for ever... Should we again break thy commandments, and join in affinity with the people of these abominations? Wouldest not thou be angry with us till thou hadst consumed us, so that there should be no remnant nor escaping? O Yahweh God of Israel, thou art righteous: for we remain yet escaped, as it is this day: behold, we are before thee in our trespasses: for we cannot stand before thee because of this."* (Ezra 9:1-5).

This passage is principally addressing the three forbidden lineages of Canaan, Moab and Ammon and those with whom they had mixed. These were the people in and near the land of Judah in Ezra's day. However, the same would have unquestionably applied to other races if they had been the non-Israelites in question at the time. Nehemiah expanded and applied Deuteronomy 23:3, that is, the prohibition against mixing with Ammon and Moab, to all forbidden foreigners:

*"On that day they read in the book of Moses in the audience of the people; and therein {Deuteronomy 23:3} was found written, that the Ammonite and the Moabite should not come into the congregation of God for ever... Now it came to pass, when they had heard the law, that they separated from Israel all the mixed multitude... And I [Nehemiah] contended with them ... and made them swear by God, saying, **Ye shall not give your daughters unto their sons, nor take their daughters unto your sons, or for yourselves. Did not Solomon king of Israel sin by these things? ...***

***Shall we then hearken unto you to do all this great evil, to transgress against our God in marrying strange wives? ... Thus cleansed I them from all strangers...*** (Nehemiah 13:1-30).

The phrase "the mixed multitude" is translated from the Hebrew word 'ereb.' This word is defined by Strong:

'ereb (ay'-reb); or 'ereb .. the web (or transverse threads of cloth); also a mixture, (or mongrel race).

The prophet Hosea also rebuked the house of Israel for bearing strange children:

"They have dealt treacherously against Yahweh: for they have begotten strange children..." (Hosea 5:7).

The scriptures, in this and in the previous installment of "Yah's Laws," demonstrate that miscegenation or race-mixing is forbidden in the Bible. It is adultery, that is, the adulteration of any and all races, the mixing of two diverse seeds that Yahweh never intended to be mixed as biblical evidence indicates. (in being segregated and separated from other races, also shows that there was never an intention to evangelize or proselytize them, either! CIM)

Typical of cultures that have rejected their biblical moorings, and that, more often than not, call good evil and evil good (Isaiah 5:20), today's multicultural society condemns segregation and promotes miscegenation. However, it is man's desire to integrate and miscegenate that will ultimately destroy the different races and their cultures. In contrast, Yahweh's biblical design for man to segregate and remain pure protects and perpetuates the different races and their cultures. It should be apparent to anyone who considers these consequences which of the two is truly hateful and destructive.

.....to be continued...

Courtesy Mission to Israel, PO Box 248, Scottsbluff NE 69363

## WHO DID MOSES REALLY MARRY?

Christian Identity Ministries - PO Box 146 - CARDWELL QLD 4849

NEW VIDEO:

### CONFRONTING THE EVIDENCE

#### A Call to Re-open the 9/11 Investigation

*This DVD proves that it was not 19 screw-ups who flunked out of flight school who terrorized us. Watch our special 3 hour 9/11/04 event plus 1 hour "Painful Deceptions" by Eric Hufschmid. You will also find the rules for the \$100,000 challenge and \$10,000 contest for High School and College Students.*

#CI-398 sug don \$20 Loan \$6

may be copied and distributed widely



by David J. Putterlik

In Numbers 12:1 we learn that Moses married an Ethiopian woman. Who exactly was the Ethiopian woman? Was she a black woman from Sudan, then called Nubia, or was she a white woman from another geographical location in Saudi Arabia, then called Midian?

The reason I ask these questions is, because there were two different geographical areas during the era of Moses that were referred to as Ethiopia, or more properly, Cush. One was Sudan or Nubia as it was then called, and the other one was called Midian, which is present-day Saudi Arabia. Basically, this area is dubbed Asia Minor and consists of Saudi Arabia, Western Iran, Iraq, Afghanistan and India. One must know the historical background of geography in order to determine whether or not Moses actually married a black woman.

One of these geographical areas was all black at the time of Moses, and the other was all white. Let us begin by presenting information from *The New Treasury of Scriptural Knowledge*, by Jerome H. Smith. On commenting on Numbers 21:1 (page 171) we read:

“Ethiopian or Cushite, Matthew Poole notes that this is probably Zipporah, who here is called an Ethiopian, in Hebrew a Cushite, because she was a Midianite; the word Cush being generally used in scripture, not for Ethiopia properly so called below Egypt, but for Arabia, as some late learned men have evidently proved...”

In many places in your Bible, the word Cush or Kuwshiy in Hebrew, often translated as Ethiopia, actually refers to Saudi Arabia rather than Sudan. Saudi Arabia was called Midian during the era of Moses. According to Exodus 2:15 through Exodus 3:1, Moses fled to the Cush or Ethiopia called Midian. There he married the daughter of Jethro, also called Reul. The woman he married was named Zipporah. Her dad was a high priest of Yahweh, Almighty God, in Midian. The original Midianites were descendants of Abraham's fourth son, Midian, whose mother was Keturah (see Genesis 25:1-4). Keturah was from the area of Iran and Saudi Arabia. In any case, Moses actually married a distant relative who descended from Abraham, not a Nubian woman.

During the era of Moses, present day Iran, Iraq, Pakistan, India, and Afghanistan were all ruled by a race of people called Aryans. Please allow the *World Book Encyclopedia* (1994) to qualify this statement:

“Other people who referred to themselves as Aryans were Iranians. In about 1500 BC the Indo-Aryans settled in what is now known as Afghanistan, North India, Pakistan, and Iran. The word “Aryan” comes from Iran.”

*Webster's New Collegiate Dictionary* defines Aryan:

“A member of the caucasian race, one branch of which early settled in the Iranian plateau while another branch entered India.”

In Numbers 25:1-18 and Numbers 31, we read how the children of Israel committed inter-racial fornication and idolatry, which were the ceremonial rites to become a Baal worshipper. God told Moses to kill all these race-mixing Baal worshippers. They were the racially mixed Midianites and Moabites, not the racially pure ones. The affected area was Saudi Arabia and other parts of Asia Minor, including Jordan.

It is interesting to note that around this same time India and Saudi Arabia passed laws that forbade inter-racial marriage. It was known as the Caste System. These Aryans,

who ruled at that time, who were they? They were actually Israelites. In Isaiah 29:1-10, the Holy Bible refers to Jerusalem, Israel, the city where David dwelt, as Ariel. The word “Aryan” is merely a transliteration of the Hebrew word *Ariel*. And Ariel is a variant form of the word “Israel.” Both mean: “Lions or Princes Who Rule with God.”

The lion was a symbol for the tribe of Judah. The Aryans were white Judahites and Benjamites who invaded the land of Midian with Moses. Eventually they settled in Iran, Iraq, Syria, Jordan, Pakistan, Afghanistan, and India.

Remember that the city where David dwelt was called Ariel. 1 Sam 16:12 and 17:42 describe David as having a beautiful and fair countenance (light complexion), ruddy (rosy cheeks), and reddish-blond hair. In the Song of Solomon (KJV) it describes Solomon as white and ruddy.

Has the light come on yet? Moses married a white, Aryan Midianite, a racially pure descendant of Abraham. The reason Miriam (Moses' sister) complained about Moses marrying Zipporah is because she was from Midian,

and the children of Israel were at war with Midian and Moab. God cursed Miriam because Zipporah was a descendant of Abraham. This curse reverts to Genesis 12:1-3 where God told Abraham that He would curse those who cursed Abraham's seed.

So next time someone tells you that everyone from Ethiopia was black and that Moses married a Negro woman, ask them which Ethiopia she was from. Also ask them how well they know their history about ancient geography? You see, the designation of Ethiopia is our

English translation Bibles is very misleading, as it refers to Saudi Arabia in a lot of different places, and to Sudan in other places. But in neither case does it refer to modern day Ethiopia. But let me qualify that last statement before I end.

In Wycliffe's Bible Dictionary under the word “Cush,” two areas are described:

3). “The designation of Ethiopia is misleading, for it does not refer to the modern state of Ethiopia. The biblical Cush bordered Egypt on the south, the land of Nubia or modern Sudan.”

4). “Another land is described as compassed by the river Gihon (Gen. 2:13). Since this river flowed into the Tigris and Euphrates (Gen 2:10-14), the land has been located in western Iran.”

Those are the two “Ethiopia's.” One was inhabited by Nubians (black people), and the other was inhabited by Aryans (white people), during the era of Moses. Moses got his wife from the “Ethiopia” inhabited by Aryans.

2 Timothy 2:15 admonishes us to study to show ourselves approved unto God, workmen that need not be ashamed. A thorough study of history and the Bible will reveal that Moses did not marry outside of his race. Those capable of housing the mind of Christ know that race mixing was prohibited back then - Deut. 7:1-3; Hosea 5:7; Ezra 9:1-2; Nehemiah 13:23-27. And since Yahweh - Almighty God had Moses hang all the race-mixers who had joined themselves to Ball of Peor (Numbers 25:1-18), do you really think that Moses would have been qualified to carry this out if he had married someone of another race? Scripture states that God is the same yesterday, today and forever, therefore, race-mixing is still a sin today, under the New Covenant.

[Courtesy Operation Vigilant Guardian, PO Box 47423, Jacksonville FL 32247]

### Only One Left! THE ANOINTED STANDARD TRANSLATION

*An exactingly literal and accurate translation of the New Testament, presented in chronological order with copious notes and appendices.*

produced by Harrell Brothers Publishing House.

#999 reduced to \$35  
phone for this one!



### Adam de Witt

Whilst the Queen's Establishment Warred with Sanctions, Blacks Warred with Bloodshed by a Canaanite.

The Zimbabwe African National Liberation Army (ZANLA) of ZANU and the Zimbabwe People's Liberation Army (ZIPRA) of ZAPU based their doctrine upon the Jewish political bewaying called Communism. ZIPRA took its lead from the Soviets (an anti-white Russian clique being over 80% Jewish in make-up) whereas ZANLA had Chinese teachers, who in turn followed 'Judaism-for-the-goy' (Communism). Good police work and good intelligence was able to thwart many an uprising in the landlike swathes (rural areas) where the whites were under the greatest threat and many were killed. The world media would have us believe that the Rhodesian war was either a folk (civil) war or a freedom struggle. Yet the whites were not at war with the folkmote in Salisbury, it was the blacks who were at war. But even the 'Rhodie' whites did not seem to grasp this.

ZIPRA was motorized with Soviet panzer trucks in Zambia. ZANLA was helped by the Jewish-backed FRE-LIMO who were ousting the whites from Portuguese East Africa by way of rape, torture and mutilation of whites. ZANLA was Mugabe's mob and bore the brunt of Rhodesia's 'Fire Force,' but ZANLA was backed by rich cronies in loathsome places. Mugabe's long-time friend and geld (money)-backer of his ZANU PF is the billionaire landowner, Nicholas Hoogstraten. Do not let the Saxon-Dutch name fool you, he is neither white nor black. His family was turfed out of Spain in the 15th year-hundred (century) and fled to Holland where they took on a Dutch name. 'Hoog' means high, and 'straten' means streets. It was in the High-streets of Hoogstraten where a certain brood plied the geld and slave trade, on benches, or banks. That brood of snakes is commonly called, Jews. The Bible tells us that their line comes from the loathsome Canaanites. During the 17th and 18th year-hundreds, Hoogstraten's family were amongst the busiest of slave traders, shipping hundreds of thousands of black beasts to the New World to stuff up the new lands there for their froward (perverse) increase (gain). Nicholas Hoogstraten is the role model Canaanite.

The name Hoogstraten is quite common in Holland and Belgium and most folks with that name are not Jewish. However, Canaanites often take on names of their hosts in order to 'do as if' (pretend) they are one of them and thus outtoiling (exploiting) becomes easy. That is why most folks think the age old Canaanites have died out, but they have not, they have simply put on stealth hallmarks (identities). A large part of this mixing unclean brood have called themselves 'Jews' to bemuddle their true identity. They 'do as if' they are Hebrew of the Tribe of Judah but they are not, see Rev. 2:9. Therefore we have Jews with Hebrew names, but it is all false the same way we have Jews with German, Russian, Dutch or English names.

Yet they are all interlopers, not one of their brood are Israelites.

The Jew, Hoogstraten, began buying land in Rhodesia in 1963 and now owns nine large farms and cattle ranches covering more than a staggering million acres! Hoogstraten backed ZANU all during the war on whitey. In an interview with one of Britain's leading dailies 21 April 2002, he called Rhodesian whites, "white trash" and those whites he deemed his friends he called "disgusting people." Yes, he said this with all the hissing of a black hearted snake. I may

say some strong things of my Rhodesian kinsmen but it is out of shock due to a string of blunders that, I know, will hurt them greatly. And when anyone of my kinsmen suffer, I suffer - kin is kin. I want to see my kin doing well. But the more my kinfolk suffer, the more the likes of that snake, Hoogstraten, cheer and rake in increase. He understands, "een man's dood is een ander man's brood" (one man's death is another man's bread [livelihood]). He said that he had no pains at "indiginising" his holdings.

What he means is that he buys up, for a song, holdings of fleeing whites who are trying to get away from black terrorists whom he backs. He sacks any white foreman and hires blacks who belong to Mugabe's party, which of course is Hoogstraten's puppet party. Anyone who really believes that a jungle-bunny like Mugabe has the nous to take over whites on his own wit need to admit they have not grown smarter than kindergarten level.

The same article of the Guardian newspaper also told how Hoogstraten threw a hand-grenade at the home of a business rival back in the 1960s. Yes, he is a vile creature; he also calls non-Jewish women "chattels" - he is talking of

my kinfolk! These women he so belittles are half the befolkling of my Saxon-Israelites, the Apple of God's eye. This foul Canaanite bragged that he stocks white women in his land-houses (mansions) in Monte Carlo, in Maryland, in Florida and in Rhodesia for his loathsome blithes (pleasures). He is also building a \$40,000,000-\$60,000,000 palace for his unclean self in the south of England. Picture how smug he must be, he goes to Rhodesia in 1963 as a 17-year-old with the geld his forebears made on selling

black slaves. He backs the blacks as a means to lower the worth of the white holdings and snaps them up in the name of Black Nationalism securing his yonderyear (future) by being the true head of the blacks, but behind the stage. Mugabe is simply his mug. How silly must the white Rhodesian feel now?

Jews are show-players (actors) and Hoogstraten is as the rest of his ilk. He played the role of a white, tricking whites to trust him who believed he was right behind them. But whites are too kind and gullible to know that one needs to get behind someone in order to stab them in the back! Whites also failed to understand why the world media always paints them in a bad light when it is quite simple: all the networks and publishers are of the same Canaanite stock as Hoogstraten. It is not for nothing that Hollywood is also called "Kosher-dale." Whites also trust their (wo)man kings who are so easily bribed. Queen Elizabeth dances with blacks in Suid Afrika but snubs white, Saxon-Israelite Boers, she apologizes to the Maoris for white Christian settlement of NZ, she has ANC chiefs at a meal in Windsor Castle and she sends an errand of well-wishes to Mugabe on the day that marks the anniversary of the end of white sway in Rhodesia. She is not on the side of whites or the Hallowed King, she is against those He died for and His Law. That makes her an anti-Christ - one of so many!

Rhodesian security men understood as early as 1956, that blacks could pose a threat. Although they shaped a very good fighting might (force) they were never asked to do the right thing, namely, drive out all blacks. It could have been done so easily, they had the most strike ready landmight (army) in the world. Kill ratio was at times 23 :1. On operation Dingo at Chimoio in Mozambique, November 1977, 165 SAS and Rhodesian Light Infantry drop-screen (para)troops leapt into a camp holding 9,000-10,000

### Celebrating Christmas?

you should read:

### IS CHRISTMAS CHRISTIAN?

by Sheldon Emry

*This little booklet examines the "modern" as well as "ancient" festival. You may be somewhat shocked, but we pray this booklet will help you understand what is happening to our beloved land.*

#103 @ \$5.25



black soldiers of whom 5000 were killed. At other times the kill ratio was only 3:1.

One must wonder what the ethnicity was of those soldiers who died on the Rhodesian side because the Rhodesians also hired blacks. This is another big no-no in the books of God's Law. Whites, Mixlings and Asians were hired and were found in all branches of the military. By 1979 due to white-flight, some back up infantry companies could muster less than 30 whites for troop-set-up (deployment) the rest being made up of blacks. 80% of the Rhodesian Army and police was black! The white Rhodesians, were and are, a bunch of black-lovers. It is sad to sound so nasty, but whites on the whole have always sold their own kind out for a weird love for other races. The Bible tells us that there is little hope for such whites.

**"There is no hope; no, for I have loved strangers (from zûwr, in the setting it means, racial aliens), and after them I will go."** (Jer 2:25).

**"They (the zûwr) shall not dwell in thy land, lest they make thee sin against Me."** (Exod. 23:28).

**"They were mingled among the heathen and learned their works."** (Psa 106:35-36).

**"If thou hast stricken thy hand with a stranger (zûwr) thou art snared."** (Prov. 6:1-2).

**"When the tabernacle has been pitched (when the body of the Lord, the Saxon land has been set up) the stranger (zûwr) that cometh nigh shall be put to death."** (Num 1:51).

It is true that the Bible tells us to love strangers; BUT, it also says to kill them! Why the muddle? Well, the translators have all been Judeo-Christians and thus simply hid the true meanings of words linked to race because they wanted all races to fill their churches. Therefore they hid the gulf between the strangers who are kin and the strangers who are NOT kin (another race). The Bible when thoroughly pried (studied) on race, from the early writs shows that there are some strangers you can hug (of your own race) and those you are to kick a mile (those who are not of your race).

**"O children of Israel [Isaac-sons, Saxons] YOU ONLY have I [God] known of all the families of the Earth."** (Amos 3:1-2).

**"I was sent to the lost sheep of the house [race] of Israel and TO THEM ALONE."** (Matt 15:24).

..... to be continued..

#### ----- a little item from **FACTS FOR ACTION**

Many will remember Jim Bob Duggar and his family. Jim Bob previously lost in his bid to unseat US Congressman Tim Hutchinson. Even at that time, Dugger, a real estate agent and former car salesman, was assailed for bringing his 14 children into this world. Now, he is father of **16** with the birth of Johannah, their first girl in eight years. Both parents agree to have even more, should God grant them. They consider children a blessing from God *and to be a blessing unto God!* Their home-schooled children help them run "a tight ship," believe it or not. Jim Bob is building a 7000 square feet home.

A *Discovery Health Channel* documentary is being filmed of them, to be broadcast May 18, 2006. The reaction to number 16 has been, well, horrific, but predictable (from a narcissistic, humanist society). On one web site, the Duggars are compared to pigs ([http://bunky.typepad.com/my\\_name\\_is\\_mommy/2005/02/the\\_duggar\\_deba.html](http://bunky.typepad.com/my_name_is_mommy/2005/02/the_duggar_deba.html)) and precious baby Johannah is compared to excrement (<http://www.thespoonbender.com/>). The latter site went so far as to suggest all Johannah had to look forward to was life in a cult where she would be molested by older brother(s). This about a God-fearing family (I [Dan Gentry, FFA] know personally) who believe in teaching their children to abstain

from sexual contact with the opposite sex until marriage. All I can say is: America, REPENT or PERISH. The time for the former is swiftly coming to a close.

The Duggars were 22 & 21, when they began child-bearing, and this four years after they married. To many people (if not most), this is "too young" since (it is reasoned) young people need to *experience the world* and "sow their wild oats" [read '*sleeping around before marriage*, or living in SIN, **contrary to God's Law**'!] even before thinking of starting a family, if they must at all. **This is a lie from the pit of hell**, and is designed to impede Jacob-Israel from fulfilling the *Edenic Mandate* (Genesis 1:28) and the *Great Commission* from Jesus Christ (Matt 28:18-20). A recent article shows that the northeastern states and Wisconsin top the list of unmarried couples living together, or what we used to call "shacking up." These states are called "progressive" and "enlightened" by the controlled press. At the other end of the list are Utah, Arkansas, Mississippi and Alabama, with the lowest percentage of unmarried cohabitants. These states are called "regressive" and "illiterate." The Mormon faith is largely responsible for Utah's being at the bottom of this list, and the other three states are at the heart of the Bible Belt, where marriage is historically more respected (and less likely to be redefined to include all kinds of combinations of individuals). When you consider the social costs of fornication, it is deep irony.

Courtesy Facts For Acts, PO Box 385, Eureka Springs AR 72632-0385

### ----- **NO FEAR, NO GOD**

**by pastor Ken Kemble**

The problem with the youth of today is summed up in two words. Two words that have seemingly molded the entire teen culture (and I say "teen culture," because they really do have one all their own).

You see these two words on bumper stickers, window decals, T-shirts and notebooks. They are the battle cry of today's younger generation. Those two words are: NO FEAR.

No fear of consequences. No fear of authority. And worse, NO FEAR OF GOD. To fear is to respect; to reverence. Therefore, where there is no fear, there is NOTHING, I repeat NOTHING SACRED! And that describes today's teen culture to a tee.

America, what has happened? It's really not the kid's fault. We have allowed ourselves to become TOTALLY DISOBEDIENT to our Lord and Master Jesus Christ, and that brazen disobedience has set the standard for this generation. We have gone our OWN WAY, and have shaken our puny fist in the Almighty's face and said: "And just what do you think you're going to do about it?" And if we don't get on our face and repent and turn to God (and QUICK), it will ONLY GET WORSE!!!

We wring our hands and cry about the crime problem, the drug problem, the AIDS problem, the corrupt politicians, the divorce rate, the gang problem, and on and on. News commentators never seem to have an optimistic thing to say. For crying out loud, WAKE UP! What will it take for you to realize that the answer to our cries is that we HUMBLE OURSELVES, and SEEK THE FACE OF GOD, and turn back to GOD'S ETERNAL LAW? The FEAR OF THE LORD is the beginning of wisdom (Job 28:28; Psalm 111:10; Proverbs 1:7).

It is absolutely FUTILE to sing "God Bless America" while we flagrantly disobey EVERY SINGLE ONE of His commands! It is absolutely HYPOCRITICAL to declare "In God We Trust," while we refuse to honour Him as Lord. Let us turn to the Lord, and obey His Laws, statutes and judgments once again. Let us honour Him as Lord and seek to walk in His way.



The time is FAST APPROACHING when EVERYTHING that can be shaken WILL BE SHAKEN. And everything that is not built upon the SOLID ROCK of GOD'S ETERNAL WORD will COLLAPSE. And Oh, what a dreadful fall it will be!

We must stop making our own laws, and live by GOD'S LAWS. We must stop teaching our teens how to have "safe sex," and start teaching them GOD'S WORDS, and to love the Lord Jesus Christ with all their heart, soul, strength and mind. We must stop having church the way we want, and worship God in Spirit and in Truth!

WAKE UP AMERICA! WAKE UP AUSTRALIA!  
The SHAKING is on its way!!

Destiny Letter, Box 177, Merrimac MA 01860-0177

---

## ARRESTED DEVELOPMENT

by Steve Waterson

Australia's anti-terror legislation threatens the very values it is designed to protect.

William Prynne lived in troubled times. In 1637, when the Puritan preacher was convicted of seditious libel for his Taliban-like rantings, England was mired in political and social unrest; the Civil War was only five years away. With no police force, crime was so wildly out of control that the death penalty was routine—by the end of the 17th century it was prescribed for more than 150 offenses. Prynne got off lightly: he was fined £5,000, had both ears cut off, and was branded on his cheeks with the letters S and L.

But for all its brutality, his sentence followed trial and conviction. Forty-two years after Prynne's mutilation, the Habeas Corpus Act confirmed the centuries-old right of a citizen not to be detained without a trial. And 17th century trials operated under another time-honoured principle: the presumption of innocence. As many jurists have observed, go back as far as you care to—beyond English common law, through Roman law, to the laws of Sparta and Athens—and that rule sparkles as the jewel of any legal code with pretensions to fairness and humanity.

Compared with Prynne's England, 21st century Australia is a remarkably safe place. So it would be interesting to have his view on the changes to those ancient rights contemplated in Australia's proposed anti-terror laws, which allow for secret detention without trial and a year's house arrest on the reasonable suspicion of a senior member of the Australian Federal Police. The government says the new laws are vital for the people's protection, but it is worth asking, before they are passed into statute, what exactly they will defend.

Australia, like other liberal democracies, justly celebrates an enlightened system of government. The individual's freedom is fenced all around by rights and safeguards that over the centuries have coalesced into a mighty protective shield. But these rights lean upon and support each other—and who presumes to measure their relative importance? As in the children's game, one stick carelessly removed could bring the whole structure down.

It is easy to see the appeal of the new laws. No one doubts that the police and intelligence agencies face a monstrous challenge. Penetrating terror organizations as formally structured as the I.R.A. was tough, even for Northern Ireland-born intelligence operatives; so much harder, then, for the average local police officer to discover the plans of ad-hoc teams of murderers like the men who bombed Madrid and London, and who hide among Muslim communities that are themselves relatively impenetrable. But frustrating as it might be to the authorities, the collective wisdom of our ancestors says that before you can be imprisoned, you must first be caught, tried and convicted.

There should be no short cuts in the administration of justice. It is dangerous and difficult work to infiltrate the Mafia, or a Triad, but dedicated and clever officers still try. Should police who cannot gather enough evidence to secure a conviction be able to imprison people without charge? It does not take much knowledge of human nature—nor does it impugn the integrity of the police—to guess whether their evidence-gathering efforts will thereafter be intensified or relaxed. And relaxation of vigilance may prove a deeper danger.

For terrorists to be eradicated, there must be cooperation from the communities they shame. Politicians tell us Muslims in Australia are as peaceful and law-abiding as any other group, but behave as though they don't quite believe it. Those on the outside have been suspicious of Australian Muslims, and slow to engage them; they have been slow to draw new police officers and intelligence agents from among them. That oversight will take a long time to correct. But the prospect of attracting Muslim recruits will be fatally diminished if they can be persuaded that the country's democratic principles are entirely disposable where their co-religionists are concerned. The extremists are efficient at adding their poison; it would be prudent not to add real grievances to their paranoid fantasies. So do whatever is necessary, for as long as it takes, to identify and ruthlessly eliminate the cancer: intensify surveillance, run multi-million-dollar recruitment and information campaigns, tighten immigration and deportation rules, increase penalties across the board—but leave the pillars of Western civilization alone. They survived Hitler and a thousand would-be tyrants before him; trust them to survive the latest wave of murdering nihilists. These principles cannot easily be destroyed from outside, but they can be timidly surrendered, with consequences yet unknown.

It's fair to assume politicians believe that they are acting in Australia's best interests, and that they need to act quickly. But the war against terror will be a marathon struggle, and it doesn't much matter if they were slow off the mark. The country's leaders need to reconsider these ill-conceived, hastily drawn laws. Whatever they think they are protecting, it is not the Australian way of life.\*

Courtesy Time Magazine, Nov. 7, 2005, p.70

---

I'd say it's fair to assume that the laws were written up long before they were 'needed.' Isn't it surprising that the US, the UK and Australia are all passing similar laws? Only Blair had a set-back, in that many of his own party crossed the floor to vote against him - they wouldn't buy the 90 days imprisonment without seeing a judge.

Even John Laws on Monday 21 Nov began saying perhaps we should apply a religious test to immigrants, and only let Christian immigrants come into the country!

Again, we have come to the end of another month, and the end of another year. I trust it has been a worthwhile year for you. Again thanks to those who continue to write and send clippings, and continue to support this ministry. We do appreciate you very much and thank you for your faithfulness. We have been disappointed with some people, who seem to fall away so easily. Remember that you should be concerned about your children and grandchildren continuing in the Faith. Find other families with children and live close to them. May our Father in Heaven, the God of our forefathers Abraham, Isaac and Jacob bless you and keep you and make His face shine on you, and give you His peace and protection, now and throughout the New Year!

