



**Christian Identity Ministries**  
in conjunction with N.Q. Fellowship of God's Covenant People  
PO Box 146, CARDWELL QLD 4849, Australia

A member of the  
Congregations of  
Israel

Ph: 07-4066 0146 Fax: 07-4066 0226 (International 61-7 instead of 07)

"Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; For He hath visited and redeemed *His* people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he swore to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives." Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian people are *ISRAEL!*

#244

*Covenant Messenger*

July AD2006

## SACRED NAME OF GOD? or BLASPHEMY?

by Roger Hathaway

I genuinely appreciate that many people are attempting to take a sincere religious position by honouring a sacred name for God, like *Yahweh*. I think it is being done somewhat in reaction against the organized Christian churches which are nothing more than painted sepulchres. Unfortunately, most people are not aware that such "sacred?" names originated in non-Israelite pagan cultures of the Ancient Near East. There is evidence that YHWH was the name of a god worshipped by neighbouring races but there is no evidence that such a name was used by true Israelites in ancient times. From the historical evidence, it appears that the Edomites have given true Israelites one more fraud which some of our people have claimed with enthusiasm, even making it central to their religion. Here are some details of the history of the word YHWH.

It seems that the use of a personal name of God, specifically YHWH, came into practice during the captivities as some of the Israelites adopted this Aramean deity. The name probably came officially to the Israelite religion when the Edomites joined the Jerusalem Temple about 150 years before Christ. There is evidence that Yahweh had been the Edomites' lord as far back as 1,400 BC. The oldest known appearance of the name YHWH outside the Holy Land was in Egypt about 1,400 BC where it is found on lists with five other sacred names. A Rameses II (1304-1237) list has it 6 times. In an Amon temple of Amenhotep III (1417-1379 BC) the name is associated with Seir (Edom). Other evidence places it with Syria at 1,400 BC. That was near the time of Moses. What is significant and important is that the Egyptian lists do not associate the name with the Israelites who were a nearby existing community at the time. Inside the Palestine area, the earliest appearance of the name is on the Mesha Stele (9th C. BC), a royal inscription, where Mesha, the king of Moab, recounts the favor of Moab's deity in delivering Moab from control of its neighbour, Israel. It appears to me that, since the name had been connected with Edom from the 14th C. BC, it probably became important to the Jerusalem Temple after the Edomites took that over, after they had been forced by John Hyrcanus to convert to the Hebrew religion in the Jerusalem Temple.

As we know, it was only a few years after their "conversion?" that the Edomites had taken over Jerusalem, the Temple, the region politics with their own Herod as king, and the economy. The Edomites would have been right at home with Babylonian Talmudic Phariseism and may have already held that practice before they took over Jerusalem. That religion had a mystic branch of kabbalistic

magic. The sacred name, YHWH, was a key to their magic. As legend has it, the sacred name of a deity is so powerful that the person who knows it then has the power to use that name to command the deity to grant his wishes. Of the fifty four sacred names in the Jewish kabbalah, the primary one is YHWH. For the Edomites who had taken over the Jerusalem Temple and its religion, YHWH was a deity they had long worshipped as a part of their Pagan religion. Paganism was actually started by Cain, himself, and it stayed pretty much with the Cain race until spreading into Greece and Egypt and Italy. That Pagan mother-goddess religion was prevalent throughout the entire mid-east, each group having its own names for the deities. See my article on Paganism for the full history of it (<http://divinepageant.com/Miscellany/PAGANISM.htm>) It is likely that the name YHWH was used by Edom, along with Syria and Moab and perhaps some other close neighbours.

The God of Abraham, Isaac, and Israel never used any personal name to distinguish Him from any peers because He had no peers. Therefore, no personal name was ever needed. The only name He gave for Himself was "I am," which indicated that He is to be identified with all existence. The Greek Septuagint Old Testament of 285 BC never used any sacred name for God, nor was such ever mentioned by other ancient writers such as the Israelite historians, Philo, and Josephus, or the later Eusebius, or even the Jewish Aristeas the Exegete who wrote his commentary on the Greek Septuagint. The word did not appear in any Old Testament text until the Masoretic Text of 1000AD!

### IN THIS ISSUE:

Sacred Name of God? or Blasphemy? .....	1
The Sacred Name, The MSS, and the LXX, .....	5
The Keys to The Kingdom - What Are They? .....	7
Trust and Obey, .....	8
The Jews Behind the Da Vinci Code, .....	8
Dismantling Our Culture, .....	10
How Does God Treat Lawbreakers, .....	10
Blaspheme Against the Holy Spirit, .....	12
Drug Companies Inventing Diseases, .....	12
Britain Plans Total Electronic Surveillance, .....	13
Do Oil & Water Mix?.....	14

The views and opinions expressed in the articles herein or herewith are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIM. They are written by fallible men. You must ask Jesus to guide your studies!

From the viewpoint of modern theologians, it must first be understood that they all still accept the Masoretic Hebrew text of 1000 AD as the true ancient language and Old Testament of our patriarchs. Since that Masoretic Text includes the four letter tetragrammeton, YHWH, over 6000 times, theologians are forced to speculate that Moses must have put it in his Pentateuch. The *Anchor Bible Dictionary*, vol. 6, p.1012, reads as follows: "In terms of the biblical narrative, some suggest that Moses derived the name of Yahweh from the Egyptians, while others think Yahweh was a Midianite deity worshipped by the Kenite clan. Moses would have been introduced to this new deity when he married the daughter of Jethro..." Certainly, Moses would have been acquainted with the Pagan religion of the Egyptians. But, even if the Pentateuch was actually written by Moses (which is not known for sure) in the 15th C. BC, he could not have written it in the Hebrew language which was not formed until the time of the Israelite captivities, from the 8th to the 6th centuries BC, when the captive Israelites merged their native Phoenician language with the Aramaic of their captors. Now, I ask you, who wants to raise his hand to claim that Moses adopted the name of a foreign deity as a substitute for "God" or "Lord." If we set aside the closed-minded obduracy of modern scholarship, we can see that Moses did not write any text in the modern Hebrew language because it did not exist at that time, nor was there any Hebrew alphabet or script. The oldest Old Testament text known is the Greek Septuagint of 285 BC, and the word does not appear in it one single time, or in any other ancient Israelite writing, with one exception which I will mention now.

To place this information in proper context, recall that the northern kingdom of Israelites, who had turned to other gods, had been purged from the Holy Land during the 8th century BC, along with most of Judea. It would not be until 586 BC that the last three unconquered cities of Judea, namely Jerusalem, Azekah, and Lachish, would be defeated. Archeologists have found eighteen ostraca (clay sherds) in the city of Lachish from about 590 BC, most of them being letters from subordinates to a man named Yaosh, the military governor of Lachish. It is unknown if the writer was Israelite or Babylonian. I will quote one of the letters which uses the name YHWH casually as is typical in other documents of that time, certainly not with any reluctance to use a sacred name!

Ostrakon III reads as follows: "*Thy servant Hoshayahu hath sent to inform my lord: May Yahweh bring you peaceful tidings! And now (you have sent a letter but my lord did not) enlighten your servant concerning the letter which my lord sent to your servant yesterday. For the heart of your servant has been sick since you did send to your servant. and now when my lord said, 'You don't know how to read a letter!' as Yahweh lives, no one has ever tried to read a letter to me, and indeed any letter which may have come to me, I have certainly not read it ... at all. And your servant has been informed, saying, 'The commander of the army, Konyahu, son of Elnathan, has gone down on his way to Egypt, and Hodawyah, son of Ahiyah, and his men have sent me to obtain....'*

*And as for the letter of Tobyahu, servant of the king, which came to Shallum, son of Yaddua, through the*

*instrumentality of the prophet, saying, 'Take care!' your servant has sent it to my lord."*

You can note the casual use of the name *Yahweh* without any hesitance in this military correspondence, certainly not with any hint that the name was so sacred it shouldn't be spoken or written! Furthermore, many of the other personal names contain yahu as parts of names. At the time of this letter in 590BC, it would only be four more years before Lachish was conquered as a punishment from God because His Israelite children had gone chasing after Pagan gods. Yahweh was likely one of them! The Pagan religion was being practiced in the Jerusalem Temple. Ezekiel had been taken captive to Babylon in 598 BC, where he was shown a vision from God in 593 BC, about the iniquities inside that Temple. Ezekiel 8:13,14 - "*Then He said to me, you shall see still greater transgressions which they are committing. Then He led me to the vestibule of the gate of the house of the Lord facing the north, and behold here were women sitting there, weeping for Tammuz.*" Tammuz

was the dead son for whom worshippers wept in that religion of lamentations.

Edomites were evicted from their land in 312BC by the Nabateans, then emigrating to a region of southern Judea which was called *Idumea*. Then in 132 BC, the leader of Jerusalem, John Hyrcanus, forced the troublesome Edomites to be circumcised and convert to the Jerusalem temple religion of Talmudic Phariseism. Within a century, Judea was being run by an Edomite dictator, Herod the Great, followed by his descendant herods. The Edomites had become Judeans. When Jesus called His sheep away from that corrupt temple religion, to be Christians, the Edomites continued to be called Judeans, which is translated into English as *Jews*. That race of

Edomites continues to be called *Jews* to this day. They are the eternal enemies of Christ, and certainly NOT God's chosen ones. They are also the ones who worship on the day now known as Saturday. But, I'm getting off track.

A Christian brother, who has honoured the name of Yahweh, recently referred me to a couple fragments of parchment, found in the Dead Sea Scrolls, which are Greek texts that contain the name YHWH. While the general text is Greek, the tetragrammeton is written in what modern scholars call "Paleo-Hebrew" letters, implying that ancient Hebrews had an early script of their own. But, the letters are actually Phoenician characters. Anyway, one of these parchment fragments is dated from between 50 BC and 50 AD. The other is dated in the first century AD, but it would have to be prior to 68AD when the scrolls were buried. Finding the tetragrammeton in Greek Septuagint text is like finding the Holy Grail for one who wants to believe that God has a sacred name. These fragments have supported the Yahwists, who can point to them and say, "See, this proves that the tetragrammeton was used in the ORIGINAL Septuagint!" In their court, they can proudly sit down and say, "The defense rests. Case closed." They have subsequently decried the Greek Septuagint texts of the Sinaiticus and Vaticanus manuscripts as faulty, assuming the tetragrammeton was deliberately removed. If the Yahwists were right in drawing the conclusion that YHWH was in the original Septuagint, I would personally apologise for my criticism of it, and would honour that sacred name for God with sincere enthusiasm. But wait just a moment. There is

### Historical Video

CI-073

## LUCIFER — SUPERSPOOK

by Paul Johnson

A two hour biblical study of the words, Lucifer, Devil, Satan, Dragon, and their meanings, plus the associated verses. A bit old probably going back to about 1987. It's a bit flickery as well - but the content is worthwhile and educational and presented by Paul in his inimitable style. Study and learn! Good supplementary material to Sheldon Emry's tape series on "Give the Devil his Due!" - Paul's presentation is now on DVD only, order your copy for \$15 posted



more to this story and it supports the opposite conclusion.

Let's recall that the Edomites took over the Jerusalem Temple and the religion more than a century prior to Christ. Let's also understand that the Dead Sea Scrolls are collections of widely diverse writings of all types which are from private libraries of people of Jerusalem; perhaps some of them are even from the Temple. The scrolls were buried about 68 AD because the Edomites of Jerusalem (the Jews) were under attack by Rome. They buried their precious writings because such scribal products were very valuable - no printing presses! The Edomites had taken over Jerusalem and had persecuted and oppressed the true Israelites, pushing most of them out of the city to the fringes where many of them suffered poverty. So, these scrolls are mostly, probably ALL, from Edomites who had changed the Hebrew religion to their Talmudic Phariseeism. It appears that they were altering Old Testament texts to read YHWH where the original Septuagint had "God" or "Lord." So, the parchment fragments do not prove the original Septuagint used the tetragrammeton.

My own personal conclusion is more firm than ever, that the Edomite Jews have once again usurped something valuable of Israel, and have duped Jesus' sheep into calling upon the name of their Pagan lord, Yahweh. I would plead with our people to be very suspicious of anything the Edomites offer us, especially their so-called Hebrew translation of our Old Testament, their Masoretic Text, which showcases the sacred name of THEIR lord.

If you are interested in a study of the many sacred names which the Jewish mystics use, I would recommend a book, *Gates of Light*, by Rabbi Joseph Gikatilla, who was born in 1248AD. The book was published in 1994 as one of the Bronfman Library of Jewish Classics. Here are a few quotes from the book.

*"Gates of Light is an encyclopedia of God's Names as well as a map which reveals the connections between the words in the Torah and the Names of God."* (p. xvii)

*"The ineffible Name YHVH represents God's core and proves God's unmediated link between His core and the Jewish people."* (p. xix)

*"The one who knows which Name of God to petition for what he wishes is like one who has the proverbial keys to the kingdom."* (p. xx)

*"Those who want their needs fulfilled by employing the Holy Names should try with all their strength to comprehend the meaning of each Name of God as they are recorded in the Torah, names such as EHYE, YH, YHVH, AdoNaY, EL, ELOH, EloHIM, ShaDaY, TZVAOT. One should be aware that all the names mentioned in the Torah are the keys for anything a person needs in the world."* (p.5)

*"you need to know that there are fifty-four quadrilateral Names connected to YHVH which ad up to two hundred and sixteen letters. These fifty-four Names contain the secret for drawing from the power of all that exists in the world; they are like the soul of the two hundred and sixteen letters which are contained in the verses [of Exodus 14:19-21]. Everything created is included in these fifty-four Names, and these Names are the means for fulfilling the*

*needs of every creature through the intercession of AdoNaY."* (p. 15)

Well, that should give you some idea of what the sacred names mean to the Edomite Jews, even still today. It saddens me deeply that so many good Christians are turning away from the Greek (which EVERYONE spoke at Jesus' time in the entire Middle East because Alexander had forced it) to the Aramaic or pseudo-Hebrew/Jewish names for Jesus and for God. Aramaic is a language of the Afro-Asian language tree, not the Indo-European tree which our ancestors used from their time in the garden of Eden, on down through Sanskrit, Phoenician, Greek, and finally the Germanic languages and English. The so-called "Hebrew" is a mongrel language that developed during the captivities when the Israelites mixed their native Phoenician language with the Aramaic of their captors. The Hebrew language never even had an alphabet until the Herodian script was invented near the time of Christ, so the Old Testament could not have been written in it. The Aramaic languages

were native to the Cain race which migrated into the Sumer region (Babylon) prior to Noah's descendants. Without understanding better, many of our people are scorning the language of God's race in the Bible, and they are choosing the language of Christ's enemies, the race of Canaan and Edom. Our Bible NEVER promoted any such magic practices, nor is there any hint that God has some kind of sacred name which has a power in itself. All that nonsense is from the Jews, and they must be laughing to watch good Christians venerating their blasphemous "names." We have followed the arch-deceivers into their pit again!

As for the same of God, our Indo-European language tradition has an impressive and consistent history. In Sanskrit, the name was dyus; in Phoenician & ancient Briton it was dias; in Greek it was theos and zeus; in Latin it was deus. The word YHWH never entered our Bible until nearly 1000 AD when the Masoretic Text (MT) in Hebrew was completed

and presented to the Roman Catholic church as the authentic original language and text of the Old Testament. The eastern half of Christendom at that time rejected the text as fraudulent and retained the Greek Septuagint of 285 BC, which they still use today.

The name, "Jesus," is the proper English pronunciation for His true Greek name of IESU. The Aramaic pronunciation for IESU is "yahshua." That Aramaic name is then translated into English as Joshua. To use the Aramaic pronunciation, namely "yahshua," is a mistake. Jesus, his disciples, and everyone else spoke Greek. Some of the Cain races who were their neighbours retained their native language of Aramaic along with the common language of Greek. Certainly, Jesus and his friends knew some Aramaic (He even spoke a phrase of it from the cross, *Eli, Eli, lama-sabachthani*, where "El" was a generic name for God). But Greek was their first language because it had naturally evolved from the Phoenician language of their ancestors. Those who claim that Jesus' first language was Aramaic are simply wrong. Greek is the language of our people.

Ultimately, the case for or against the sacred name YHWH is just circumstantial. There is little material evi-

### Tapes of the Month:

#### A-7821 and A-7822

### ALIEN INVASION OF ISRAEL IS A JUDGMENT OF GOD

late pastor Sheldon Emry

*4 parts on 2 tapes. We are being invaded by millions of aliens. We need to understand what the Bible says about it. Our very calamities prove we are Israel. The alien invasion is to include control of the places of worship.*

#### A-7823 and A-7824

### WHY DID GOD GIVE HIS LAW TO ISRAEL?

*4 parts on 2 tapes. Was it really only a temporary and not very effective law to last until Jesus? Or was it given for great and eternal purposes? One purpose was that it was that which would set Israel apart from all other people. Why did Jesus say, "If ye love Me, keep My commandments"? The Law as evidence of God's love to Israel.*

#### All 4, This Month sug don \$16



dence to support the Edomite claim that the name was even known to any patriarch or existed in any ancient scripture. There was no Hebrew language until after the captivities and there was no Hebrew alphabet until the Herodian Script which was invented just before Jesus arrived. There was no Hebrew Old Testament text until 1,000 AD. The sacred name idea was unknown to Josephus and Philo. The name YHWH was never used in the Greek Septuagint of 285 BC. Perhaps the most convincing argument against the sacred name usage is that it is part of the religion of a race of people who are notorious liars, as Jesus accused them in John 8:44. Also there is no place in the entire Bible that God ever suggested a personal name for Himself, and certainly there is no favourable support of a religion of magic for the same of attaining worldly desires. Lastly, the name YHWH is from the Afro-Asian language family, not from the Indo-European (Aryan) family which is the language lineage of Adam, Noah, Abraham, and Israel. I would welcome information from anyone that might suggest ANY circumstantial evidence in support of the sacred name YHWH as authentic for pre-captivities Israelites. ([www.divinepageant.com/sacred\\_name.htm](http://www.divinepageant.com/sacred_name.htm)).

So, the conclusion of this case is left to each person as an individual. If you feel attracted to call upon the Pagan god of the Edomites, you may have found your proper place in the eternal program. If you feel that the highest form of religion is to seek worldly wealth and to use techniques and rituals, then you might even feel drawn to the magical Kabbalah. But, if you feel that your spiritual relationship with God is personal and intimate and something beyond rituals, worldly concerns and magic names, then you will probably feel more attracted to Jesus as your Lord.

Jesus said, in the last chapter of His revelation to John, "Let the one who is unrighteous still be unrighteous, and the filthy one be filthy still, and let the righteous one do righteousness still, and let the holy one be holy still." It is not my wish to convince anyone of anything.; I merely present some information for your consideration. I offer nothing for sale and have no vested interest in whatever God might choose to do with my work. As I have been freely given, so do I freely give. You may consider what I say, or not. The matter is between you and your God, whichever one of them you choose.

by Roger Hathaway, <[www.divinepageant.com](http://www.divinepageant.com)>

#### ADDENDUM:

The following is a letter which I wrote to a Yahwist with whom I have corresponded over a period of months. He did present to me all of the arguments which support the Yahwist claim of sacred-name. But those arguments were shallow and easily refuted by historical known facts. Somewhat edited, here is the gist of that letter.

Dear .....

I wish to thank you for discussing the Yahweh issue with me this past year. I have learned a lot. It has been my only real opportunity to examine the thinking of a Yahwist, and has been something of an eye-opener for me. I've known only a few Yahwists before and found that none of them were willing to defend their religion with evidence. You at least, did present to me the evidence that you had, all of which was easily refuted. I showed you that from ancient records there is evidence that the tetragrammeton was the name of one of the Edomite gods but there is no

evidence that Israel ever shared that same god. From the Bible we can understand that they certainly would **not** share the same god. We know that Esau married into the Canaanites and adopted their Pagan religion.

We know from the Bible that most all of the neighbouring races around Israel worshipped Bel, aka Baal. But, as one studies the many names of deities of those peoples, it gets very confusing. The names of the deities varied a lot, each nation selecting its own names, even though the basic religion was fairly consistent. Anyway, I am simply saying that the Edomites were one of the nation/families who worshipped that **Pagan religion**. Given that fact, we then learn from **Egyptian records** that one of the Edomite deities was named YHWH. On their list of deities, the Egyptians made no mention that Israelites (who were a large presence there at that time of 1400BC) honoured the same god. Further, there is no evidence that Israel ever used the YHWH name. The name next shows up in the **Dead Sea Scrolls** which were buried by **Edomites** around 70 AD. Still no indication

that the Greek speaking Israelites ever used the word. Instead, from the Greek Septuagint of 285BC, we find no hint of any personal name ever being claimed by the Almighty God. Next, about 1,000 AD, the **Masoretic "Hebrew" Text** of the O.T. appears, having been created by the Edomite Jews, the name YHWH appears frequently. Well, Roman Catholicism accepted that spurious Jewish text as authentic and as the original language of the ancient Bible people. The Eastern half of Christendom did not then, nor have they ever accepted it as anything but a fraud. What happened is understandable when we realize the Roman church was influenced by

Jews from the time of Constantine (325 AD) and many popes were admitted Jews. So, they accepted the fraud. Next, in the middle ages, we find the **Kabbalistic** branch of the Jew's Talmudic religion using the name as their chief of fifty-four deity names. Still, no evidence that Israelites or Christians ever used it.

When the Protestant Reformers corrected some of the egregious and most blasphemous teachings of the Paganist Roman church, they did not address the text-language problem of the scriptures because they were primarily interested in getting Bibles printed for the common people. Sadly, the masoretic text was used for the O.T., and still is the basis of English versions which are all printed by Jewish publishing companies. One has to search for a copy of the Bible which Jesus used, namely the Greek Septuagint (but Brenton's translation is available #532 @ \$55.00)

If you really care about researching that yahweh name, it would be well worth the purchase of a book titled *GATES OF LIGHT*, subtitled "*Sha'are Orach*" by Rabbi Joseph Gikatilla who was born 1248 AD, translated into English by Avi Weinstein. The book "*is an encyclopedia of God's Names as well as a map which reveals the connections between the words in the Torah and the Names of God*" (p.xvii). He says on p.xx: "*The one who knows which Name of God to petition for what he wishes is like one who has the proverbial keys to the kingdom.*" He goes on to say on page 5: "*... those who want their needs fulfilled by employing the Holy Names should try with all their strength to comprehend the meaning of each Name of God as they are recorded in the Torah, names such as EHYE, YH, YHVH,*

**Limited quantity available!**  
**as they are now OUT OF PRINT**  
**UNDERSTANDING OUR CHRISTIAN HERITAGE** (in 2 volumes)  
*Compiled by Dr. Graham McLennan BDS*  
*A Christian history of Australia which is not widely known, and has probably been kept from you. Study the roots of Christianity in Australia and understand the people that have gone before. Ideal study material for Home-schoolers. This is definitely not taught in your church or school.*

**Get this history for your children NOW!**  
**Now, both volumes together only \$20ppd**



ADoNaY, EL, ELOH, EloHIM, ShaDaY, TZVAOT. One should be aware that all the names mentioned in the Torah are the keys for anything a person needs in the world." On page 15, he says regarding ADoNaY: "Therefore the name instills authority and it is the rightful Name of the Master of the earth through the power invested by the Name YHVH which dwells therein."

So, where are we now? Some individuals have adopted the YHWH name from the Edomite Jew's O.T. as their sacred name for God. The ancient evidence points to that name being a god of the Paganist Edomites, a name which they brought to Jerusalem when they took over the temple during the Herodian period prior to Christ. Yahweh is, according to ALL evidence, a god of the Edomites, and in their Pagan religion, that would be Satan.

Christians like myself often think that ancients who worshipped Pagan gods must have been stupid or simple, but we are wrong to think that way. Those who worshipped Cain's God knew the reality of his presence in their lives, and they knew his power. (2 Thess. 2:10,11) Jesus will lead us into the Kingdom of Heaven and we shall be free from the influence of the great deceivers and liars of/to whom Jesus spoke in John 8:44.

From a servant of Jesus, Roger Hathaway, January 2006.

**ADDENDUM:**

(10 The May/June 2001 issue of *BIBLICAL ARCHEOLOGY REVIEW* has an article by Ephraim Stern, titled **PAGAN YAHWISM, The Folk Religion of Ancient Israel**, beginning on page 21. The article begins with "The Bible imagines the religion of ancient Israel as purely monotheistic. And doubtless there were Israelites, particularly those associated with the Jerusalem Temple, who were strict monotheists. But the archeological evidence (and the Bible, too, if you read it closely enough) suggests that the monotheism of many Israelites was far from pure ... Some Israelites believed that Yahweh had a female consort. And many Israelites invoked the divinity with the help of images, particularly figurines. I call this Israelite religion pagan Yahwism.

The archeological evidence we will look at comes mostly from Judah in what is known in archeological terms as the Assyrian period, the span from 721 B.C.E., when the Assyrians destroyed the northern kingdom of Israel, until 586 B.C.E., when the Babylonians conquered Jerusalem, destroyed the Temple and brought an end to the Davidic dynasty in Judah."

The lengthy article contains lots of information regarding the digs and their finds, including one picture of three figurines which are upper-half bodies of females who are holding up their large breasts with their hands. These female figures represent the consorts of Yahweh. It was common among Israel's neighbours that each deity had his own consort, all of which were known by the common names of "Asherah" or "Ashtoreth" or "Astarte." The figurines in the picture in this article are Asherahs of Yahweh.

(2) The November/December 2001 issue of *BIBLICAL ARCHEOLOGY REVIEW* has a response to the above article by another archeologist, who says, regarding some inscriptions that were found, "The main texts, the blessings 'to Yahweh and his Asherah' are in fact the standard format for the opening of a business letter."

It appears pretty clear that all evidence confirms that the personal name YHWH was borrowed from Israel's pagan neighbours during the captivities by Israelites who

also borrowed MUCH from the Chaldean Mystery Schools before they returned to Jerusalem with a religion properly called, *Babylonian Talmudic Phariseism*. Egyptian records connect YHWH with some of Israel's neighbours but not with Israel. One need only to read the Old Testament to understand that Israel's God was a jealous God whom other nations recognized as Israel's God and not theirs. What scholar wants to speculate that Israel's God was adopted by other nations who were enemies of Israel? I would suggest that those individuals who feel compelled to worship that pagan deity might as well include his Asherah alongside him on their altars. But, for those who care what God's truth really is, let them present some evidence that YHWH was really a proper name for the God of Israel in pre-exile times. Their main evidence is quite limited to the Masoretic fraud in the Edomites Old Testament of tenth century AD.

And for those who think the Hebrew language was the language of our patriarchs, where is any evidence to support that? It doesn't exist. Consider this, The Edomite Jew Masoretes spent three hundred years preparing the Masoretic Text (MT), supposedly just separating the words and adding diacritical marks. Has any scholar asked what manuscript the Masoretes worked from to create their MT? It is assumed that they must have worked from an ancient Hebrew manuscript. BUT, the square letter Herodian script was only invented during the century before Jesus was born. And there is NO evidence that any Old Testament existed in the Hebrew language or Herodian Script at the time of Jesus. Scholars like Philo and Aristea the Exegete used the Greek Septuagint exclusively for their exegetical

work, assuming that the secrets to understanding God's communicate were in the Greek words and nuances. What's more, they made no reference to, nor hint of, any Hebrew text which might be more authentic. As a matter of fact, NO ancient writer hinted that any such text existed.

It is puzzling beyond belief that there are individuals, who pretend sincere faith, who care not about historical facts. Rather, they adopt beliefs for their religions in spite of strong conflicts against them. Just as with much of mainstream Christianity, religion trumps facts! ..

Courtesy..(www.divinepageant.com/sacred\_name.htm)

**THE SACRED NAME, THE MSS AND THE LXX**  
*Arnold Kennedy*

The Sacred Name issue is essentially an issue between the MSS [Masoretic] text and the LXX [Septuagint] text. I will explain why I believe this is so.

The fact is that most, if not all, of the "standard" Hebrew MSS were translated from the LXX, which itself was the translation of much older Hebrew manuscript(s). As far as I am aware, there is no whole "original" Hebrew text available. Some believe that the 32 passages preserved in the Maasorah are "original Hebrew," but their letters and words differ from other codex found.

My contention is this: If some of those who were involved in the 200-300 BC translation from the Hebrew into the Greek LXX held a religious view about the sacredness of the Tetragrammaton and knowing the nature of religious Jews, it would be expected that any retention or insertion of it would be from that point of view. If the scribes of the LXX translation were following the Jewish "traditions of men," they would have sought to continue

*Only two copies left  
Will not be restocked  
THE 1599 GENEVA BIBLE  
This facsimile reprint edition is big and heavy. The Geneva Bible was favoured mainly for its marginal notes which were entered by the reformers. Because of its size and costs, we will not be restocking when these two are gone. reduced to clear, first in first served!*

Listed #200 @ \$185  
Now to clear @ \$150



that Jewish tradition. That explains why the Tetragrammaton [YHWH] is attached to only some copies of the LXX. If we accept this, there is no doubt that “*kurios*” was the original “name” to be addressed as shown in the LXX, as it is in the New Testament.

There is no logic to support the idea that it was a word in the LXX Greek that was changed from a Hebrew word to a Greek word, because “Yahweh” is not a Greek word. The latter is often stated to be so without any support. To say it must be so is claimed solely to support the Jewish “Sacred Name” theory and using this theory as a basis of argument. We should ask why YHWH does not appear in Rahlfs’ edition of the LXX or that of Göttingen, or even in the apocryphal books.

The following prove nothing against the LXX use of “Kurios.”

1. The fact of such attachments with YHWH in LXX copies.

2. The fact of sometimes finding a Hebrew word within, or attached to, an otherwise totally Greek document.

3. The fact of finding the Tetragrammaton in 2,000 year of Dead Sea Scrolls.

4. The fact that YHWH has been found engraved in ancient rocks.

5. That the Sacred Name is found 6,972 times in the Hebrew manuscripts [note that this refers to the MSS and not to original Hebrew].

6. Lists of verses that suggest Jesus must have used the Divine Name {note the use of the word “Jesus”}.

7. The wrong use of “*onoma*” = “name.”

8. Anything else like this.

Yet these Jewish-originating factors are touted loudly as proofs, some complete with pictures!!

The oldest manuscripts containing substantial parts of the Masoretic Text known still to exist date from approximately the ninth century, and the Aleppo Codex possibly is the first ever complete copy of the Masoretic Text in one manuscript, and it comes from the 10th century. It comes without total access to the original pre-LXX Hebrew, other than some codex. So much for being “original Hebrew”!

Wherever Christianity spread, translations of the Hebrew Scriptures were made based on the LXX. Thus, it became the basis for translations made into Arabic, Ethiopic, Armenian, Old Latin, Coptic, Georgian, and Old Church Slavonic. What happened to the “Sacred Name” in all these? If it had been in the early LXX it would have appeared in some or all of these others.

It would do well for the “Sacred Name” advocates to look at Dr. Bullinger’s appendixes 30 to 33 in the Companion Bible, and to do a Google search on “The Masoretic Text” to see the extent of the Jewish scribal machinations. This search will reveal arguments on both sides, and headers in favour of the MSS will be found such as:

1. “*Scholarly myths perpetuated on rejection of the MSS of the Old Testament.*”

2. “*The text of the Hebrew Bible (OT) was completely preserved by generations of Jewish scholars.*”

There are many such writings in favor of the MSS text, and the way they are presented, as statements sound author-

itative. But when we read the contents, we need to think what they are referring to. It takes very little thought to see that they are not about the original Hebrew text at all. They are about the new Hebrew developed some hundreds of years after the First Advent, complete with Ben Asher’s vowel pointing. As the LXX was part of the source, then the YHWH must have been written back in place of “Kurios” as it is in the LXX, to try to preserve Jewish traditions about sacred names.

The Yahweh advocates have to use the MSS Text because they have no other origin or support. Their 6,972 mentions of the Sacred name comes from this non-Hebrew language source. Yes, the advocates will find plenty of support from Internet resources and scholars who are Jewish or Jew-oriented. They will find support from the Encyclopaedia Britannica, not noting the Jewish ownership. Jesus described these ravaging wolves in sheep’s clothing. Such a bad tree cannot bring forth good fruit.

The claimed freedom to be able to verbalize the name Yahweh is used to suggest that the users are not under Jewish influence, but that will not stop the building from falling as the foundation of the MSS is false. If God preserved

His Word would it be missing in the present era up to the time when the Masoretes formulated the MSS, several centuries after the first Advent. As Jesus and the New Testament writers quoted from the LXX, the preservation of His Word is continued.

Anyone who uses phrases such as. “The authority of the Word of God,” or “The inerrancy of Scripture” cannot subscribe to both the MSS and the LXX at the same time in regard to Sacred Names. So our options are:

1. The Septuagint without Hebrew attachments.

2. The Septuagint Text with Jewish traditions added.

3. The Masoretic Text, not being “original Hebrew.”

I honestly believe everyone who thinks this through will be “turned off” the MSS in favor of the LXX but without Jewish fables.

As we do not have the original Hebrew version that the LXX was translated from, why quote the MSS text as if it were the original Hebrew version? Claiming the 7th to 10th century MSS text to be “original” Hebrew is pure deception. Some Identity believers exalt this deception claiming that, as the Sacred Name is found 6,972 times in the Hebrew MSS, it proves the validity of using the Sacred Name. Then they make great issues about sacred names on this false foundation. It leads them to worship the form and sound of a name rather than what the name represents.

They refuse Jesus’ command, “*When ye pray, say, Our Father which art in heaven, Hallowed be thy name,*” claiming that the word “name” = “*onoma*” actually means “Yahshua” (or similar), and that is what is to be hallowed and how deity should be addressed. This is transferring the direct commandment of Jesus into the commandments if men, as Jesus said, “*In vain they do worship me, teaching for doctrines the commandments of men.*”

Discussions of this type go round and round in circles because the participants do not distinguish between names and titles that are applied to The Eternal and do not understand that there are seven manifestations of God in the

## Viewing for parents and youth GOD’S PLAN FOR FINDING A MATE

By Dr. D.M. Davis

*This is the message that tells parents & youth what to do & how to do it to get safely to the marriage altar. Using the stories of Chad and Heidi Eves and Israel and Brook Wayne, Dr. Davis illustrates four key principles that everyone should follow to get to the marriage altar:*

*1. Stay asleep or go to sleep in relation to the desire to have a mate or get married. 2. Wake up with the leadership of God-given authority figures. 3. Make a wise decision as much apart from emotion as possible to avoid defrauding. 4. Establish a secure relationship that includes physical purity and the winning of the lady’s heart. Composed of two 50-minute sessions, this message will answer most of your questions about how to get a man and woman to the marriage altar God’s way.*

**CI-392 LOAN ONLY VHS or DVD \$6**



Bible and the relationship between them are given.

I do not wish to correspond with anyone who is too lazy or hidebound to do a bit of reading, thinking and pondering on the above recommended Internet search.

With respect, Arnold Kennedy

---

## THE KEYS TO THE KINGDOM WHAT ARE THEY?

Matthew 16:13-20

by pastor R. T. Woodworth

Jesus gave Peter "the keys of the kingdom of heaven" in our text. But just what does that mean? What was Peter to do with such keys? They were obviously a symbol of something, not a key ring with some unidentified metal keys hanging from it.

Some have made this the handing of the headship of the church to Peter, and so they named the church in Rome or vatican City St. Peter's Church. The story goes that Peter then had the power to forgive sins or condemn sinners since Jesus added, "Whatever you bind on earth shall be bound in heaven, and whatever you loose on earth will be loosed in heaven."

Others claim that what Jesus meant was that the affirmation Peter made about Christ was the statement of faith on which the church was to be founded, because Peter told the Christ, "You are the Christ, the Son of the living God." Those who confess this statement of faith become members of the church, the body of Christ.

"On this rock," said Jesus, "I will build My church." But what were the keys for? What would they open or what would they lock up?

One basic concept for building other interpretations is the simple fact that Jesus' main ministry was preaching the kingdom of God, called the kingdom of heaven in Matthew.

Keys are a means of locking out or entering in, of sealing up or opening up. When we study the use of keys in these metaphors, we hope to gain a right understanding of what the keys of the kingdom concealed or revealed. Who holds these keys and what do they open?

Since they are called "keys of the kingdom" we must consider what they unlock and whom they let in. The best and only accurate way to find out is to discover from other teachings of Jesus what this kingdom is, why it is locked to some and opened to others.

Starting with the Sermon on the Mount, in Matthew 5:3 and 10: "Blessed are the poor in spirit, for theirs is the kingdom of heaven .... Blessed are those who are persecuted for righteousness' sake, for theirs is the kingdom of heaven." And in verse 20, "For I say to you, that unless your righteousness exceeds the righteousness of the scribes and Pharisees, you will by no means enter the kingdom of heaven."

**Key No 1.** Those who are righteous, who are humble and are still maligned, those who keep God's laws and believe His prophets, are candidates for the kingdom of heaven.

In Matthew 7:21, Jesus says, "Not everyone who says to Me, 'Lord, Lord,' shall enter into the kingdom of heaven, but he who does the will of My Father in heaven."

**Key No 2.** Those who do God's will have access to the kingdom of heaven.

Next turn to Matthew 18:3 where Jesus tells us another secret key: "Unless you are converted and become as little children, you will by no means enter the kingdom of heaven."

**Key No 3.** Only those who are converted with child-

like faith in Christ will get into the kingdom of heaven.

You cannot buy your way into the kingdom of heaven with good deeds or great gifts or campaign contributions. In Matthew 19:23-24 we read: "Jesus said to His disciples, 'Assuredly, I say to you that it is hard for a rich man to enter the kingdom of heaven ... It is easier for a camel to go through the eye of a needle than for a rich man to enter the kingdom of God.'" He didn't say it was impossible, but "hard" for the rich to find the right key to the righteous kingdom because their values were distorted. Wealth is not a key, nor is poverty, but those who think their charity will open doors are mistaken (rich people are also less likely to obey the command to "tithe" than those not so well off).

**Key No 4.** The key of charity is worthy of commendation but not able to open the gates to the kingdom of God.

In John's gospel are the verses that insist that we must be born again (i.e. born from above, from an origin, beginning). We must believe in Jesus Christ as Lord and Saviour. What then is the result of our faith in Christ besides personal salvation? John 3:3 reads,

John 3:3 reads, "Jesus answered and said to him, 'Most assuredly, I say to you, unless one is born again (from above, an origin or beginning), he cannot see the kingdom of God.'" and in verse 5, Jesus adds, "Unless one is born of water and spirit, he cannot enter the kingdom of God."

**Key No 5.** The believer's new birth by faith in Christ as Lord is a key to the kingdom. Without this drastic change and redirection in one's life, no one can even see what the kingdom of God is, much less enter in.

The Apostle Paul also tells us about this kingdom, of what it consisted, and who will not have a part in it. In 1 Corinthians 6:9-10: "Do you not know that the unrighteous will not inherit the kingdom of God? Do not be deceived: neither fornicators, nor idolaters, nor adulterers, nor homosexuals, nor sodomites, nor thieves, nor covetous, nor drunkards, nor revilers, nor extortioners, will inherit the kingdom of God."

**Key No 6.** Keys are **not** given to sinners who do not repent and turn from their wicked ways.

Another list of keys that will not fit nor open the kingdom of God is given in Galatians 5:19-20. "Now the works of the flesh are evident, which are: adultery, fornication, uncleanness, lewdness, idolatry, sorcery, hatred, contentions, jealousies, outbursts of wrath, selfish ambitions, dissensions, heresies, envy, murders, drunkenness, revelries, and the like, of which I tell you beforehand, just as I also told you in time past, that those who practice such things will not inherit the kingdom of God."

**Key No 7.** Keys to the kingdom are **not** given to those who insist on their sinful ways. Paul repeats this fact in his letter to the Ephesians. "For this you know, that no fornicator, unclean person, nor covetous man, who is an idolator, has any inheritance in the kingdom of God." (Eph. 5:5).

Enough of those who do **not** qualify to hold the keys much less use them. Let's look at those who **are** worthy and trusted to use them to get into the kingdom. Back in Psalm 15, David investigated the qualifications by asking the question and then answering it.

"Lord, who may abide in Your tabernacle? Who may dwell in Your holy hill?" These are other terms to describe God's kingdom or the dwelling place of the Lord. Then he answers with: "He who walks uprightly, and works righteousness, and speaks the truth in his heart; He who does not backbite with his tongue, nor does evil to his neighbour, nor does he take up a reproach against his friend; in whose eyes a vile person is despised. But he who honours those

*New Video:*

From America's Promise 2005 Summer  
Camp:

CI-417 (a) Clouds of Glory, (b) A Glo-  
rious Church, both by J.V. Foster

Only on DVD

Buy \$15 or Loan \$5



who fear the Lord; he who swears to his own hurt and does not change; he who does not put out his money at usury, nor does he take a bribe against the innocent.”

**Key No 8.** Those who walk in the counsel of the Lord, who do tell the truth, who honour those who fear the Lord, keep His word, and do not do the things sinful men do. These shall live with God in His holy kingdom.

In Psalm 23:3-4 are these admonitions about those who will be able to rise to God’s country in His holy land. “*Who may ascend into the hill of the Lord/ Or who may stand in His holy place? He who has clean hands and a pure heart, who has not lifted up his soul to an idol, nor sworn deceitfully.*”

**Key No 9.** Those who have a clean record of words and deeds shall ascend to God’s kingdom country.

Isaiah describes the kingdom of God as a strong and holy city, as does John in the Revelation (Isa 26:1,2 & Rev. 21:2). “*We have a strong city; God will appoint salvation for walls and bulwarks. Open the gates that the righteous nation which keeps the truth may enter in.*”

**Key No 10.** Those who belong to the righteous nation of believers in God and keepers of His commandments.

In Jesus’ parables of the kingdom He gave us the keys to enter in. Several illustrations tell us what the keys to the kingdom are. For example, of the ten virgins who took their lamps, only half were prepared to wait for His coming. They entered in. In the parable of the talents the ones who invested in the kingdom won entitlement to “*enter into the joy of the Lord.*” (Matt 25:10,23). Then the Son of Man comes to judge the nations and “*The king will say to those on His right hand, ‘Come, you blessed of My Father, inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the foundation of the world.’*” (v. 31-34).

**Key No 11.** Those who are faithful servants of all God has given them, those who patiently wait prepared to meet Him, and those who are part of that faithful nation which carries out His righteous laws shall have the kingdom opened to them while others are shut out.

Paul talks about his many trials during his preaching Jesus in synagogues (meetings places) in a hostile Roman environment. And in Acts 14:22 he encourages the persecuted saints in Iconia, Lystra and Antioch by this: “*We must through many tribulations enter the kingdom of God.*”

**Key No 12.** Those who suffer for Jesus through many troubles will find the key to the kingdom of God..

Writing to the Thessalonians, Paul says they should be comforted in the fact that they have endured persecutions and tribulations, “*that you may be counted worthy of the kingdom of God, for which you suffer.*” (2 Thes 1:4-5).

**Key No 13.** Those who suffer for their faith are counted worthy of the the kingdom of God.

Again in Luke 22:29-30 Jesus says, “*But you are those who have continued with me in My trials, and I bestow upon you a kingdom, just as My Father bestowed one upon Me.*”

**Key No 14.** Those who share the trials that Jesus had in His earthly ministry will be given the kingdom of God.

Peter also gives us the keys to the kingdom in his epistle (2 Pet. 1:11) where he encourages the saints to grow in faith and faithfulness. “*For so an entrance will be supplied to you abundantly into the everlasting kingdom of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ.*”

**Key No 15.** Those who grow in grace and knowledge of Christ, who learn His secrets of dealing with human

weaknesses, who practice patience and aspire to spiritual things shall find the way into the kingdom of God.

James asks a question in James 2:5, “*Has God not chosen the poor of this world to be rich in faith and heirs of the kingdom which He promised to those who love Him?*”

**Key No 16.** Those who are rich in faith are promised the kingdom of God.

One final clue is given in the Revelation 21:27 where John tells us that no one will enter the kingdom except “*those who are written in the Lamb’s Book of Life.*”

**Key No 17.** Those whose names are written in the Lamb’s Book of Life, because they have met the standards set by a righteous God and made possible by the Blood of Christ.

Oh, yes, the King is coming and His kingdom is coming when His will is done on earth as it is in heaven. But the kingdom already begun must be cleansed, and the people already chosen must be purged to be ready for that glorious day of triumph.

Are you ready? Do you have any of the keys to the kingdom? Why not? They are given to you by the grace of God for His glory and your good for ever.

Courtesy Christ & Country Church, Baltimore, MD

### **New (old) Video:**

**CI-429**

**The Aryan Nations - A Cross to Burn.**  
A media look at the Aryan nations and their views and groups in northern Idaho.  
also:

**The Sword of Islam. It’s strengths and impact on its followers - may contain some distressing scenes! PG**  
**Only on DVD #CI-429 @ \$15ppd**

**Trust and Obey.**  
It seems that the prophecies of Isaiah might well still apply today, as they did in the day when Jesus quoted them: “*... well did Isaiah prophesy of you, saying, ‘This people draweth nigh unto me with their mouth, and honoureth me with their lips; but their heart is far from me.’*”

People don’t trust God to take care of them, so they take out insurance to cover themselves in case God causes something to happen to them. (hear **Blessed Insurance**, Hallstrom, **#H-134 @ \$5**) And while people profess with their mouth to love God, they can’t set aside one day in seven, the sabbath, to fellowship together, and follow Jesus’ example, whose “custom” it was to go to the meeting place on the sabbath day. Nor can they set aside 10% of their income for the furtherance of God’s kingdom - these deficiencies show a heart attitude which does not **TRUST AND OBEY**, exactly in keeping with what Isaiah said, and Jesus quoted. Are you one of those?

“*Ye have said, It is vain to serve God: and what profit is it that we have kept his ordinance, and that we have walked mournfully before the Lord of hosts? And now we call the proud happy; yea, they that work wickedness are set up [homosexual protection laws?]; yea, they that tempt God are even delivered.*”

**Then they that feared the Lord spake often one to another: and the Lord hearkened and heard it, and a book of remembrance was written before him for them that feared the Lord, and that thought upon his name.**

*And they shall be mine, saith the Lord of hosts, in that day when I make up my jewels; and I will spare them, as a man spareth his own son that serveth him.*”

Are YOU serving HIM, in word and DEED??

### **THE JEWS BEHIND THE DA VINCI CODE**

**by Ted Pike**

Almost everyone realizes the *Da Vinci Code* is an unprecedented attack on Christianity and Jesus Christ. But most people don’t know that the media giants orchestrating this attack are Jewish.

Sony Corporation, the force behind the *Da Vinci Code* movie, is the eye of this Jewish promotional octopus. In the



late 1980s, Sony of Japan bought out Metro Goldwyn Meyer, Columbia Pictures, and United Artists. Former president of Jewish-owned CBS, Howard Stringer (a Jew), became second in command of Sony International. He is chair and CEO of Sony of America.<sup>1</sup>

Sony of America is dominated by Jewish names. Emily Susskind is president. Robert Wiesenthal is executive VP and chief financial officer; Nicole Seligman is executive VP and general counsel. Phil Weiser is CTO and senior VP. Michael Fidler Jr. is senior VP. Jay Samit is general manager of Connect. Gretchen Griswold is director of corporate communications.<sup>2</sup>

Sony's subsidiary, Columbia Pictures, maker of the *last winter. Now NBC, presided over by its Jewish head of television programming, Jeff Zukor, has lavishly promoted Sony's Da Vinci Code movie on NBC movie*, is headed by Amy Pascal, a Jew. She is also chairman of Sony's Motion Picture Group.<sup>3</sup> The producer of the film is Brian Grazer, a Jew.

The screenplay was written by well-known, Jewish screenwriter Akiva Goldsman.

### A MEDIA OCTOPUS

While originating with Sony, the *Da Vinci Code's* promotion is a many-pronged attack on Christianity coming from the Jewish media community.

Sony worked closely with NBC in promotion of NBC/Universal's anti-Christ *Book of Daniel* last winter. Now NBC, presided over by its Jewish head of television programming, Jeff Zukor, has lavishly promoted Sony's *Da Vinci Code* movie on NBC. This past week, *Today Show* host Matt Lauer led the nation on a European "treasure hunt" in the steps of the code. CBS, presided over by Jewish Sumner Redstone, and ABC, by Jewish Michael Eisner, have helped build a firestorm of public curiosity about the book and movie.

### MEGA BOOK SALES

The largest Jewish publishing houses reap staggering profits from the sales of *Da Vinci Code* books. Jewish Joel Klein is chairman of American operations of Bertelsmann A.G., the largest publishing conglomerate in the world. Random House, which the Encyclopedia Judaica confirms is Jewish-owned and controlled, is part of this consortium, benefitting by massive distribution advantages.<sup>4</sup> Random House owns rights to produce all large-print copies of the *Da Vinci Code*. As a division of Random House, Doubleday owns rights to produce all regular-print and special collector's editions of the book. Finally, Anchor Books, another venerable Jewish publishing house and subsidiary of Random House completes this Jewish monopoly by printing all paperback copies.

Jewish-controlled magazines also hype the *Code*. Some 50 popular magazines, including *Time*, *Life*, and *People*, are owned by Time/Warner, with Jewish Norman Pearlstein, editor in chief. *Newsweek*, published by Jewish Donald Graham's *Washington Post*, has featured recent conspicuous articles enticing millions to purchase the book or see the movie.

Articles too numerous to mention continue to emerge from Jewish-controlled newspapers. These include those owned by the Samuel Newhouse chain, the *New York*

*Times*, the *Boston Globe*, the *New York Review of Books*, the *Village Voice*, etc. All have been intensifying interest in the *Da Vinci Code's* blasphemous message. *The New York Times* praised the book as "impeccably researched," despite the *Code's* outrageous claims, including that the Roman catholic Church burned five million women at the stake. These media voices, which reflected so gravely on possible anti-Semitism in Mel Gibson's *Passion of the Christ*, have not a word of concern about the *Code's* rabid anti-Christianity. That's because they share it.

### ATTACKS ON CHRIST CONVERGE

In a previous e-alert, I documented how Jews helped the National Geographic Society to bring the "Gospel of Judas" blasphemy to the attention of the world (See, "Judas: Historic Jewish Hero"). I revealed how NGS' prestigious Codex advisory panel, the driving force behind promotion of this sacrilege, is top heavy with Jewish names.

Such fevered Christ-bashing continues a pattern of stepped-up attacks by Jewish activists over the past six months:

\* Last November, Abe Foxman, head of the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, attacked politically involved Christian conservatives as a threat to freedom. He vilified the Southern Baptist Convention for allowing witnessing to Jews. In his recent book, *Never Again? The Threat of the New Anti-Semitism*, he accused Christians who witnessed to Jews of being anti-Semitic. The New Testament, he rails, is a lying, hateful, hurtful book, ultimately responsible for the deaths of 6 million Jews in World War II. (See, "ADL's Foxman: New Testament is Anti-Semitic")

\* Last December, in CBS's *48 Hours* special, "The Mystery of Christmas," CBS dramatized the possibility that Christ was a bastard. (See, "More Christian Bashing from Media this Easter?")

\* This winter, NBC's *Book of Daniel*, authorized by Jeff Zukor, trashed Jesus and the Christian family. (See, "Who's Behind NBC's 'Book of Daniel'?")

\* Also this winter, Jewish activist Mikey Weinstein was successful in his suit against the Air Force Academy, banning chaplains there from using the name of Jesus in public prayers. He was assisted by Rabbi Arnold Resnicoff, highly placed ethical consultant to both the Navy and Air Force, in upholding such an end of free speech. (See, "Correction: Speech at Air Force Academy Not Free")

### EVANGELICALS WON'T IDENTIFY THEIR ENEMY

One would think evangelical leaders, clearly under attack by Jewish activists in high places, would at least inform Christians of the identity of those who assail them and their Saviour.

This is not the case. Evangelical and new-right leaders are silent concerning the Jewish origins of present attacks on free speech, Christian witnessing, the New Testament, and the integrity of Jesus Christ.

Why this incredible silence? Evangelicals won't identify their attackers as Jewish because of a centuries-old superstition. They believe a divine curse will fall on any person or nation who criticizes Jews. The Biblical promise to Abraham, "I will bless those that bless thee and I will

### New Tapes:

#E-140 The Antidote to Apostasy

#E-141 Learn From History

#E-142 What is a Family?

#E-143 Profitable for Learning

#E-144 Yet Will I Not hear Them

above by Ps **Don Elmore**

#G-657 You Might Be a Christian If.. 10

#G-658 You Might Be a Christian If.. 11

#G-667 Those Mysterious Gentiles.. 1

#G-668 Those Mysterious Gentiles.. 2

above by Ps **Ted Weiland**

#J-140 Christian Manhood

#J-141 Why America Must Remain a

Democracy

#J-142 Christ and the Adulterous Woman

above by Ps **John Weaver**



curse them that curse thee,” (Genesis 12:3) is taken to mean that no matter what evil or injustice Jews commit, Christians must only bless them, never criticize.

This is flatly contrary to Scripture. The Bible teaches that godly reproof and warning are not curses but the greatest gifts that can be given to any sinner. Reproof brings with it the possibility of repentance, saving a soul from damnation.

It was with such a desire to bless Jews, that the prophets, including Isaiah and John the Baptist, fiercely reproofed the Judean people and their leaders for sin. Does the Bible consider such fearless truth-telling to be anti-Semitic? Does it record terrible curses descending upon such prophets?

Quite the contrary, God’s curses did descend on those false prophets who flattered the Jews, exactly as do modern-day evangelicals. In the time of Elijah, compromising prophets cooed unconditional blessings on Ahab and Jezebel. Today Jerry Falwell, John Hagee, and Hal Lindsey lavish blessings on the vilest of Jewish sinners including arrogant, violent men like Shamir, Begin and Sharon.

### **A CULT OF ISRAEL-WORSHIP**

Christ’s followers 2,000 years ago viewed His crucifixion from afar. They were impotent to restrain evil Jewish leaders who had Him killed. [see *Who Killed Christ?* Emry, #108 @ \$5.65] Today, evangelicals are paralyzed from really striking a blow against those who now publicly re-crucify the name and reputation of Jesus. Yes, they write letters and emails and perhaps boycott TV sponsors. Their intellectuals argue against the fallacies of the Da Vinci Code. But no one points a prophetic, bony finger at the Jewish media moguls, identifying their racial and religious origin. No one says like Nathan to the adulterous David, “You are the man!” (2 Sam. 12:7)

Incredibly as Jewish anti-Christ activism surrounds and overlays Christianity, the silence within the Church only becomes more deafening. About all that can be said then, is that when “Israel(i) first” leaders and their flocks are at last herded into gulags to be slaughtered, few groups of people in history will have worked harder to ensure their own destruction.

*End Notes:*

1. Standard & Poor’s Register, 2006.
  2. *Ibid* and Lexis Nexis, *Corporate Affiliations Internationals*, volume 8, 2005.
  3. LexisNexis, *Corporate Affiliations International*, volume 8, 2005.
  4. *Encyclopedia Judaica*, “Publishing.”
- Courtesy National Prayer Network, PO Box 828, Clackamas OR 97015

*In view of the above, consider the following:*

### **DISMANTLING OUR CULTURE Editorial, The Bairnsdale Advertiser.**

The ban on Bibles in some public hospitals shows the absurdity of trying to balance the right to be out of step with the rest of the world, and the rest of the world’s right to the enjoyment of harmless customs and traditions.

If Bibles are to be prohibited in hospitals the next logical step is to outlaw them in hotels and motels. The move

won’t cause a crisis in health care or an outbreak of moral chaos. But it shows how meekly public institutions surrender time-honoured practices when faced with spurious and nonsensical arguments.

The Bible ban, reported on May 14 by the *Sunday Herald Sun*, is another nail in the coffin of common sense. For years, manipulative groups have dismantled our traditional ways by claiming that they offend, or might offend, newcomers to Australia. The objects of attack vary according to times and seasons.

Mostly they relate to Christian festivals and symbols, since Christians outnumber believers in all other faiths. National days also have come under fire. Thirty years ago Anzac Day had to withstand criticism before weathering the storm. Australia Day is routinely besmirched.

Today not only Bibles but Christianity as a subject in public schools is either resented by teachers or reduced (by State-authorized religious education curriculum) to a porridge of incoherent snippets of ethics, morality or comparative religion.

The result is a slow withering of Australian culture. Left to itself, our culture displayed neither self-consciousness nor intolerance. It could never reasonably be said that we resent newcomers to our shores, or disparage those who follow different religions.

We Make No Apology

We cared little about our neighbours’ religious inclinations. That is why when we found ourselves in hospital we hardly noticed the Bible in our bedside drawer or if a crucifix hung over our heads. We never complained about the Bible in our hotel or motel room. We didn’t protest about Sunday church service times among the tourist and restaurant leaflets.

All that is changing. We must now defer to the supposed sensitivities of Hindus, Sikhs, Muslims, Jews, and atheists. Culture shock must be minimized for people from exotic places who, having come among us seeking a better life, find our ways, idioms and accent strange and complex.

What else should they expect? We are a distinctive people, with peculiar ways that are worth learning. We need not apologise for them. Moreover, there is no evidence that our culture or religious attachments offend newcomers. That idea is a fiction perpetrated by manipulators who aim not so much to protect minority groups as to dismantle our traditions (see above!).

Absurdly, a small vocal minority successfully blackmails governments, courts of law, hospitals and schools by threatening to label them as opponents of our pluralist consensus. But their arguments have no significant support within the immigrant communities they seek to protect.

Those who are most offended are the haters of Christianity and its moral traditions. They prefer an antinomian society where idiosyncrasy reigns supreme. The ban on Bibles is a straw in the wind of the attempted dismantling of our culture.

*Bairnsdale Advertiser*, Mon 22 May 2006

### **HOW DOES GOD TREAT LAWBREAKERS? by Arnold Kennedy**

We have 244 words in the New Testament referring to “it is written” in the Old Testament together with quota-



tions from the prophets of the Old Testament. Jesus and the Apostles used these as the basis of argument. It has been said, "The Law leads us to justification, and justification leads us to the Law for sanctification."

We are told about two things regarding God. They are "goodness" and "severity." The principle of how these operate are shown in the following verse:

*"Behold therefore the goodness and severity of God: on them which fell, severity; but toward thee, goodness, if thou continue in his goodness: otherwise thou also shalt be cut off."* (Rom 11:22).

With the woman caught in adultery, Jesus demonstrated the additional component of compassion and forgave her because she had the chance of continuing on in God's goodness. [the whole situation with the woman taken in adultery, is of course, another story. It was an attempt to entrap Jesus with a lawyer question in which 'heads they win, and tails you lose.'] She was not to break the laws of the kingdom any more. Being forgiven gives us a new heart and attitude, we now walk softly and carefully, but we have to note that the Law is still in place.

The "Go and sin no more lest a worse thing come upon you" still applies. Early in the Bible we find this principle where God said to Cain, "If thou doest well, shall not thou be accepted?"

In our Criminal Justice system our being good or severe to the prisoner is conditional upon any demonstrated repentance and willingness to make restitution. A level of restitution is found within the Law. It is doubtful if full repentance [not remorse] can ever be outside of the Gospel. Repentance from breaking God's Law is a major component of the Gospel and the power of God unto salvation. Any gospel without the repentance factor is not the true gospel. so, there is a time to throw away the key and a time not to do so.

#### **WHAT ABOUT THINGS LIKE HOMOSEXUALITY?**

When seeking to answer questions about homosexuality, abortion and other major current issues, we have to understand the place of the Law of God. If we say we love God, we are to hate sin... "Ye that love righteousness, hate sin." Hatred of the garment spotted by sin is not advocated today. We have to hate homosexuality and such sins so much that we fight against these actively. They are bringing God's judgment upon our society. We can no longer afford to take a soft approach to sin. Jesus was hated because He reproved sin in society. He did not say that loving relationships between homosexuals was OK. His love was so great that He gave Himself for sinners. Jesus came to save, not to condemn. He came to save lawbreakers from what was destroying them. He came to give them Life and to give them the power not to be lawbreakers. This is where the gospel comes in.

Since "all have sinned ...", the civil and criminal laws must be the same for everyone. We do not isolate sin into good or bad sins because breaking any one of them is breaking the whole Law. As pointed out from James 2:9, the mere having respect of persons breaks the law and makes that person doing it a transgressor himself. But some lawbreaking, like homosexuality, is being made more visible than others and may become more dangerous to society. In our legal system conscience is more towards man and for the Christian he must have a conscience void of offence towards God as well. The government must rule in the fear

of God. We will come to show what are the principles of godly government.

#### **How Does The Law Apply.**

In publications like the educational resource material, "Affirming Diversity," the pro-homosexual analysis under "A Religious Perspective" is well researched, and few believers can answer it from a non-emotional attitude. Why is this? It is because we do not understand what applies of the Law of God today and because we do not understand what the components of this Law are. We have to know what is already fulfilled and what will be fulfilled when King Jesus comes to take His Kingdom.

The Levitical Law has been fulfilled in Jesus' death and resurrection. This is where justification and forgiveness comes from. We are told specifically that it is the handwriting of the Law of Ordinances that is abolished and is "nailed to His cross." But this is only one component of the total Law of Moses (God).

Then we have other components like the Commandments, Statutes and the Judgments. These three were laid down for permanent national observance. They are for all time and are fully and inherently perfect so that they must not be allowed to be politically violated in any way. They constitute a moral, spiritual, economic and political system that is binding on rulers and subjects alike. These are designed to be the laws of the land.

The system of social justices is anchored upon these eternal principles and relates to the sanctity of:

- Life
- The home
- The family
- Private property ... and inheritance
- Individual freedom of character and integrity
- The individuality of race

Protection for the aged from fear and want.

This is what leads on to the "Royal Law" concerned with the sanctity of the Person of God, the Name of God, the Holiness of God and that which gives all the glory to the Lord. Popular concepts of social justice are incomplete and sometimes wrong because they ignore the eternal principles God has established.

When we look at Homosexual, New Age and World Government literature, some aspects of native religions and popular political thought, we see that each and every one of these principles is under attack. And, the attack has the element of "rage" and elimination of all that opposes it. Those who seek to promote the breaking of God's moral law also seek to destroy the above principles. But there is also a sad side to this; some church people have been seduced into agreeing with those who seek to destroy God's order and that Law "which is perfect, converting the soul."

At this present time we are seeing legal advantage being given to people like homosexuals, racial universalists and those seeking destruction of what God ordained for our good. Funding in many countries is often confined to those organizations that support homosexuality and other New Age ideals. Children are being taught to accept the new "values" at full costs to the taxpayer. Now we are hearing that "heterosexism" is a dirty word like "racism." They say that "heterosexism" implies oppression and that heterosexism must be expunged from school curriculum. In the world news we have heard that it should be disallowed to

**PLEASE NOTE:**  
All Ray Capt's videos are now available on DVD (remastered), and are very good quality (VHS also available).

**FAULTY DVD's**  
If you have received a faulty DVD please return it stating the problem, so we can ascertain what caused it and perhaps prevent sending out further faulty ones. As soon as we are then able to send a better copy, we will send a replacement. Your help is appreciated. We now have better equipment for converting NTSC to PAL. This should help also!



introduce one's wife as, "This is my wife," because they say this indicates possession and domination by the dominant and powerful. "Monogamy" is now being spoken of as being outdated and wrong.

The Charter for Gay and Lesbian Rights is being increasingly actively endorsed in local and national government. One of their buzz words is "homophobia" which is said to indicate fear and hatred of Homosexuals. This in turn is creating national laws against "hatred" of homosexuals. They are seeking to disadvantage and eventually eliminate Christian marriage and call other things "marriage" and "family."

There is now evidence that where homosexual control has been achieved in organizations and businesses, recruitment is sometimes solely through homosexual publications. It is being said, "Financial or civic protection to religious organizations which demonstrably discriminate in their hiring or caring policies against homosexuals should be withdrawn."

We have seen that the "hatred" is really on the other side so that the so-called anti-"hate" legislation is a lie. Consider who has the hate. For instance, a politician in New Zealand who spoke out against homosexuality received the letter bomb, but he did not send one to them. Those mailing the bomb had the hate.

We will be seeing much more of this "rage." The "Word" is coming to pass;

*"Why do the nations rage, why do the people imagine a vain thing. The kings of the earth set themselves, and the rulers take counsel together, against the Lord and against His Anointed. Let us break their bands asunder, and cast away their cords from us."*

*"He that sitteth in the heavens shall laugh: the Lord shall have them in derision. Then shall He speak unto them in His wrath, and vex them in His sore displeasure...."*

Psalm 2.

Jesus will then rule from His Holy Hill with a rod of iron; those bands and cords will be our protection. These are the cords of a Man; they are the cords of love. Until then we must "fight the good fight." Yes, we must fight, but we must do it with "the mind that was in Jesus Christ." Otherwise we will still fail.

## BLASPHEME AGAINST THE HOLY SPIRIT

by Arnold Kennedy

In New Zealand and Australia there are major morality problems with Pentecostal churches, with even Pastors being caught out in sexual acts with women in their congregations. There is obviously something wrong with their "Holy Ghost" ministry, and that is that they are living other than holy lives. This behaviour is not dissimilar to what is found with the Roman Catholic clergy, in that both have developed a Nicolaitan structure that Jesus says He hates. God "gives people over" to these sins - [Romans 1] for the reasons given within that chapter. The essential meaning of "holy" is separation, and one does not have to be bright to see that this includes racial separation.

The New Testament links the Law (of Moses) with the Blood of the New Covenant: "He that despised Moses' law

*died without mercy under two or three witnesses: Of how much sorer punishment, suppose ye, shall he be thought worthy, who hath trodden under foot the Son of God, and hath counted the blood of the covenant wherewith he was sanctified, an unholy thing, and hath done despite unto the spirit of grace?"* (Heb 10:28)

This connecting link is what the Pentecostal churches deny and in this they become lawless in their eliminating the commandments and statutes together with the fulfilled Ceremonial Law. It seems that they cannot see the phrase, "The commandments of God" through the New Testament pages.

*"What then? shall we sin, because we are not under the law, but under grace? God forbid. Know ye not, that to whom ye yield yourselves servants to obey, his servants ye are to whom ye obey; whether of sin unto death, or of obedience unto righteousness?"* (Rom 6:15)

Not being "under the law" is about not being under the condemnation (and wrath the Law works) of the broken

law when forgiven, but there is no provision for knowingly continuing in sin that grace may abound after having been forgiven. God is indeed "slow to anger" but there comes a day of judgment.

If miscegenation were the entire picture in regard to unforgivable willful sin, then Ezra and Nehemiah would have been wrong in demanding divorce (actually, just a putting away) of Israelites' foreign wives and children, and then restoring them when they did put away their foreign wives. In that case it was the continuing in that willful sin without divorce that constituted what would have been unforgivable.

*"For if we sin wilfully [present tense] after that we have received the knowledge of the truth, there remaineth [passive] no more sacrifice for sins."* (Heb 10:26)

Note the, "after we have received the knowledge of the truth." This is important because some have racially intermarried without knowledge of that error, and thus have opportunity to repent ... and separate (divorce). Verse 27 is passive also.

So we can see that continuing in sin is the essential principle behind the unforgivable sin - (or should I say unforgivable sins). Miscegenation was just one sin amongst others where the death penalty was demanded under the Law, but even then there was mercy possible upon repentance. But the death penalty was not demanded for all sins under the Law. So John tells us, "There is a sin unto death: I do not say that he shall pray for it." This is tricky in language in that there is no indefinite article in Greek, so we cannot conclude that there is only one unforgivable sin. Miscegenation is one sin that is usually easily-identified outwardly, but I would not conclude it is the only unforgivable sin.

## Drug Companies 'inventing diseases to boost their profits'

By Mark Henderson, Science Correspondent

(The Times - April 11, 2006, [www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,3-2128371,00.html](http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,3-2128371,00.html))

Pharmaceutical companies are systematically creating diseases in order to sell more of their products, turning



healthy people into patients and placing many at risk of harm, a special edition of a leading medical journal claims today.

The practice of "diseasemongering" by the drug industry is promoting non-existent illnesses or exaggerating minor ones for the sake of profits, according to a set of essays published by the open-access journal Public Library of Science Medicine.

The special issue, edited by David Henry, of Newcastle University in Australia, and Ray Moynihan, an Australian journalist, reports that conditions such as female sexual dysfunction, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and "restless legs syndrome" have been promoted by companies hoping to sell more of their drugs.

Other minor problems that are a normal part of life, such as symptoms of the menopause, are also becoming increasingly "medicalised," while risk factors such as high cholesterol levels or osteoporosis are being presented as diseases in their own right, according to the editors.

"Disease-awareness campaigns are often funded by drug companies, and "more often designed to sell drugs than to illuminate or inform or educate about the prevention of illness or the maintenance of health," they say.

Particular conditions that are highlighted in the journal include sexual function in both men and women. The prevalence of female sexual dysfunction, one paper claims, has been highly exaggerated to provide a new market for drugs, while the makers of anti-impotence medicines, such as Viagra and Cialis, have been involved with their presentation as life-style drugs that can boost the sexual prowess of healthy men.

Ordinary shyness is routinely presented as a social anxiety disorder and treated with antidepressants, while newly identified conditions such as "restless legs syndrome" - a constant urge to move one's legs - are presented as being much more common than they really are.

Richard Ley, of the Association of the British Pharmaceutical Industry, rejected the accusations, pointing out that Britain has firm safeguards against disease-mongering. Many of the authors' criticisms, he said, were aimed squarely at countries such as the United States, where pharmaceuticals can be openly advertised directly to patients. "Drug companies are not allowed to communicate directly with patients, and we so not invent diseases," he said.

"We provide information that there are treatments out there that might help certain conditions, but at the end of the day it is down to health professionals to decide if they are appropriate.

"The best safeguard is that the doctor who knows the product and knows the patient's history is the one who decides what to prescribe."

.....off the internet

## BRITAIN PLANS TOTAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE OF ROADS

In trial runs, the high-tech system increased arrests per officer ten-fold.

by Mark Rice-Oxley

LONDON - First there was closed-circuit TV. Then speed cameras. Then DNA profiling, plans for ID cards, and cellphone data storage.

In March, Britain enhanced its reputation as the surveillance capital of the West with a global first: recording the movements of all cars on the road and storing the data for at least two years.

It's a network of thousands of cameras harnessed to software that can read car license plates, check them against a central database, and alert police to suspected criminals or terrorists. Police chiefs are thrilled at the technology, arguing it will provide an unrivaled crime-fighting

tool that will also aid anti-terror efforts. In regional trial runs, the number of arrests per officer shot up from around 10 per year to 100 per year. Convictions also increased. But civil liberty activists are aghast at yet another move by the authorities to spy on citizens in the name of security and law and order, warning of a growing bank of Orwellian technology.

'1984'

"The freedom and anonymity of the open road is something that is culturally important here," says Simon Davies, director of Privacy International. "Now like some scene in '1984,' the fact that we will travel and be detected and analyzed changes the whole psyche of the nation."

In their defense, police say they need the best technology available to reduce perennially rising crime rates and face an acute terror threat.

"Criminals use cars, it's as simple as that," says John Dean, a retired officer who is coordinating the rollout of the automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) program. "It's taken a while to get the police service to realize this can make a significant difference to crime detection and terrorism."

## SAME CAMERAS, NEW CROSS-CHECKING

Britain's 30 million motorists have long been used to assiduous roadside camera surveillance, be it to deter speeding or monitor London's congestion charge - an £8 (AU\$20) fee charged for driving into central London during business hours.

But the ANPR nationwide system will use the extensive camera network already in place as well as new cameras to capture license plates from as many as 50 million cars a day and store them in a vast databank with date, time, and location stamps. Within a matter of seconds, the database will signal whether the car may be of interest to police, cross-checking the plate against a list of stolen and suspect vehicles and also verifying for proper insurance, taxation, and roadworthiness. Dedicated ANPR operators will then alert roadside units to the rogue vehicle.

"People who drive stolen cars often steal them as a result of burglary," says Mr. Dean, "so you might find property in the back or other material. *It's very efficient.*" [emphasis added].

Police say life is about to get tougher for criminals, whether they are involved with drugs, firearms, identity fraud, or property theft, or terrorism. At least one vehicle was used to convey the July 7 [2005?] bombers and their materials part of the way to London last year. Police are not saying that ANPR would automatically have foiled the plot. But Dean says the technology, already in use at a local level in some parts of the country, had brought "benefits to the investigation."

## TRACKING MOVEMENTS OVER A LONG PERIOD

Even if an immediate arrest is not possible, the data will help the authorities build up an intelligence picture of the movements of suspicious vehicles and analyze journeys that drivers have made over several years. The intelligence service MI5 will also use the database, according to Frank Whitely, a senior police officer. But not everyone thinks that trusting cameras and cops is a good idea. Already in Britain there is a fierce lobby opposed to the proliferation of speed cameras, which many see as a tool of the tax man rather than a road safety enforcer.

Some wonder whether ANPR, which will cost tens of millions of pounds to set up, will be used primarily to drum up fines and revenues from road-tax delinquents. Nigel Humphries of the Association of British Drivers lobby group worries that "real criminals have cars that can't be traced anyway." He says the system may offer benefits, but "there need to be safeguards."

## PARLIAMENT SHOULD HAVE OVERSIGHT



Edward Garnier, a Conservative MP and spokesman on home affairs, says that Parliament, not the police, should act as arbiters over the system because of its implications for the criminal justice system and for civil liberties. "I can understand why the police want to use this technology but they should not be the arbiters," he says

Privacy and civil liberties champions take a more fundamental opposition to the scheme. Mr. Davies of Privacy International, likens it to "weeding with a bulldozer." "So long as you believe that every person in government and authority is just and fair and that the machinery of the state never screws up, then it's fine," he says. In fact, the ANPR technology has fallen well short of a 100 percent score in policing London's congestion fee. Other police databases have similarly proven fallible.

Opponents of surveillance say Britain is rapidly emerging as the biggest of Big Brother societies, a "database state" with an increasing tendency for automated answers to social questions. The government still plans to use biometric identity cards beginning in 2008, together with a national database. A national DNA database already has samples from 1 in 10 British adults, more than 100,000 of whom have never been charged or even cautioned. And recently, Britain persuaded its European partners to join it in storing data from cellphone records for up to two years as a counter-terrorism tool

And it may not stop there. Experts are already working on systems that can automatically recognize human faces and it may not be long before machines can pick out a "suspicious" face in a crowd. Many on both left and right of the political spectrum find the growing use of surveillance disturbing. "Frankly I don't want to see a society in which the Big Brother element comes to the fore," says MP Garnier.

(Mark Oxley - Correspondent of *The Christian Science Monitor* - [www.csmonitor.com](http://www.csmonitor.com))

---

## DO OIL AND WATER MIX?

*pastor Chuck Kuhler, B.S., M.Th.*

Taking an experiment from a sixth grade science class, I pose the following: *if you take a cup of oil (of any type) and mix it with a cup of water and then shake the combination, will both the oil and the water remain in suspension or will they once again separate? The answer: They will not remain in a suspended mixture and will separate out.*

I use this example to refute the claims of politicians and the media. where they like to spew out such rhetoric as: (1) we are a nation of immigrants; (2) this nation is a mixing bowl of many nationalities, and ad infinitum, with regard to the (illegal) or should i in politically correct format say "undocumented" alien problem.

Well, I have some information for both the politicians and the media. This nation may be a mixture of people from many different European countries, but we are all related peoples of Israelite ancestry. English, Irish, German, Italian, Greek, Scandinavian, French, etc. are Caucasian peoples descended from Adam. It is therefore like mixing oil with oil or water with water.

The influx of mixed mestizos and indians from Mexico and Central American countries is nothing less than an invasion of God's land.

Why was Abraham so careful about selecting a wife for Isaac, and Isaac in a similar manner for Jacob? Was this to prevent pollution of the selected lineage? Throughout the book of Genesis, we see this happening continuously. In Genesis 1, our God continually stresses "kind after kind." Why was this so important to our heavenly Father? Why was our God so concerned about the Israelites remaining separate from other peoples?

Today we see all manner of "mixed" marriages and "mixed" relationships, all with the blessing of our courts and with the acceptance of our religious leaders who disregard and flaunt God's words. There is very little indication that the immigrants coming here will assimilate into our American culture. They insist on our culture yielding to an acceptance of their culture. In spite of claims of the Roman Church, these immigrants do not for the most part accept our God. The Roman Church has been involved with these illegals for centuries and yet many still practice their heathen beliefs. The media claims that there are ONLY 12 million present grossly underestimates the presence of almost 20 million or more.

What are we to do? We must put our trust in our God. As the prophet Isaiah says in 59:19-21, "So they shall fear the name of the Lord from the west, and his glory from the rising of the sun. When the enemy shall come in like a flood, the Spirit of the Lord shall lift up a standard against him. And the Redeemer shall come to Zion, and unto them that turn from transgression in Jacob, saith the Lord. As for Me, this is my covenant with them, saith the Lord; My spirit that is upon thee, and my words which I have put in thy mouth, shall not depart out of thy mouth, nor out of the mouth of thy seed, nor out of the mouth of thy seed's seed, saith the Lord, from henceforth and for ever."

Both the prophets Isaiah (13:14) and Jeremiah (50:16) speak of that day when every man shall flee to his own land. I think the verses in Isaiah are most clear on this point starting with Isaiah 13:9 and continuing through the balance of that chapter.

As God brought the Assyrians and the Babylonians against ancient Israel and Judah (750-490 BC) because of the sins of the people, so today he is bringing the heathen of the world against the descendants of these ancient Israelites. Stay close to your Father with prayer and supplication in these very difficult times. God bless you.

Courtesy Virginia Christian Israelite, Box 109 Round Hill VA 20142

## Benefits From Cider Vinegar

Remember, cider vinegar helps to lower glucose. By taking 2 tablespoons prior to each of two meals daily for four weeks, people lost an average of two pounds during the four week trial, per Dr. David Williams, (Alternative).

Also, Dr. Jarvis from his "Folk Medicine" book recommended drinking a tablespoon of apple cider vinegar mixed with water with each meal to increase hydrochloric acid production and improve digestion. Vinegar's virtues have been known for some time, and we need to rediscover its use!

---

If anyone is interested in obtaining some of our old ex-loan videos, as we update to DVD's, let me know by sending \$10 for "used videos" and we'll send you six videos of whatever we have available at that time, that means NO requests!. (\$8 goes for postage!)

Thanks to all who have written and sent orders and support. We have lost several names again, and our condolences to those who have lost loved ones. Please try and help us increase our numbers again by talking to friends and relatives. Remember we can't send anonymously, and must have a request to send material to someone. Remember also to leave your materials that you have acquired to an interested and caring person, so that they will not be taken to the rubbish dump when you pass on, and be wasted. Thanks for your help. Please, if you are moving, tell us beforehand and try and give us a new address. Several pieces of mail have come back marked "forwarding expired"! May the God of Israel bless you and keep you, and make His face shine on you and be gracious to you and grant you His peace,

*Hendrik (Hank) Roelofs* 14

