



**Christian Identity Ministries**  
 in conjunction with N.Q. Fellowship of God's Covenant People  
 PO Box 146, CARDWELL QLD 4849, Australia

A member of the  
 Congregations of  
 Israel

Ph: 07-4066 0146 Fax: 07-4066 0226 (International 61-7 instead of 07) [www.christianidentityministries.com](http://www.christianidentityministries.com)

"Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; For He hath visited and redeemed *His* people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he swore to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives." Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian people are *ISRAEL!*

#262

## Covenant Messenger

January AD2008

### "THE AGE OF ILLUSIONS - PART 9"

by Pastor Don Elmore

What about the birthright Kingdom? Does it have any significance anymore? It certainly did in the Old Testament times.

And even the New Testament scripture admonishes us NOT to be like Esau who "*despised his birthright.*" Almost every Sunday school student knows the story: Esau thought so little of his birthright that he sold this precious first-born gift to Jacob for a meager bowl of lentils. By means of this transaction, Esau willingly gave up his birthright to his twin brother Jacob.

Jacob had twelve sons, but by two different wives (four different women)—Leah and Rachel (Bilhah and Zilpah). Because of this, he also had different first-born sons: Reuben born of Leah and Joseph born of Rachel.

Since Reuben was born earlier than Joseph, he was the inheritor of the birthright. But the Bible (1 Chron 5:1) indicates that he was disqualified for this special honour:

*"Now the sons of Reuben the first-born of Israel, (for he was the firstborn; but forasmuch as he defiled his father's bed, his birthright was given unto the sons of Joseph the son of Israel..."*

At Jacob's deathbed ceremony, he adopted these two sons of Joseph—Ephraim and Manasseh—as his own two sons. Thus, as each son became a tribe in the Kingdom of Israel, there were thirteen tribes since Joseph's two sons represented Joseph.

When the Kingdom of Israel split into two separate kingdoms, Ephraim and Manasseh both became part of the Northern Kingdom. Thus, the Northern Kingdom became the birthright Kingdom while the Southern Kingdom of Judah was the scepter Kingdom.

The elect of God of the Northern Kingdom, even though they were divorced and separated from God and their brethren in the Southern Kingdom, still had the birthright blessings. For their birthright blessing had been prophesied by their grandfather Jacob (Genesis 48:19-22):

*"... He [Manasseh] also shall become a people, and he also shall be great: but truly his younger brother [Ephraim] shall be greater than he, and his seed shall become a multitude [commonwealth] of nations. And he blessed them that day, saying, In thee shall Israel bless, saying, God make thee as Ephraim and Manasseh: and he set Ephraim before Manasseh. And Israel said to Joseph... I have given you one portion above thy brethren..."*

Although Ephraim and manasseh were actually grandchildren, they are spoken of as being sons, tribes, or half tribes of Israel, many times in Scripture. The birthright double-blessing was given to Joseph, to be passed on to his

sons with Ephraim having the leadership position. In prophecy, the name Ephraim may also be found in association with the ten tribes of the House of Israel, because of this leadership. Neither Judah nor the House of Judah, have this place of honour as a right because Reuben's birthright was given unto the sons of Joseph.

It is necessary to understand that God does not treat all people the same. He even makes differences between each Tribe of the children of Israel and then between the House of Israel and the House of Judah. Paul, the apostle, makes some of the differences clear when he writes in Romans 9:6-11:

*"...For they are not all Israel, which are of Israel: neither, because they are the seed of Abraham, are they children: but, in Isaac shall thy seed be called. That is, They which are the children of the flesh, these are not the children of God: but the children of the promise are counted for the seed... (For the children being not yet born, neither having done good or evil, that the purpose of God according to election might stand, not of works, but of him that calleth)."*

Although the context of these verses is Jacob and Esau, it is quoted to establish that God does select [or elect] to establish His purposes. Later in this chapter, in verses 24 to 25, Paul writes of two God-called peoples:

*"Even us, hath he called, not of the Jews (Judah) only, but also of the Gentiles [Ephraim]? As he saith also in Hosea, I will call them my people, which were not my people; and her beloved, which was not beloved (because they were divorced)."*

### IN THIS ISSUE:

The Age of Illusions, Pt 9 .....	1
Who Are You? .....	2
The Implications of "Whosoever Will" Examined ...5	
A Letter to Pastor Spradlin regarding Universalism 7	
Rural Social Engineering, .....	9
African Gangs Pose Threat .....	11
New Study Links Mercury to Autism .....	12
Disease: Caught or Earned? .....	12
Speak Unto the Children of Israel, .....	14
Raise Godly Children, .....	14

The views and opinions expressed in the articles herein or herewith are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIM. They are written by fallible men. You must ask Jesus to guide your studies!

The clue is that Paul quotes the prophet Hosea. The Gentiles that Paul is referring to must be the same people that Hosea is writing about. Who is Hosea writing about that God will call them His people?—it is the House of Israel—the birthright kingdom of Joseph.

Hosea wrote about Ephraim. In fact, he mentions them 37 times in his little book. Paul reaffirms what Hosea had prophesied—that the divorced House of Israel would ONCE AGAIN be reunited and reconciled with both the House of Judah and with the God of their fathers,—just like their father Joseph was reunited with his brothers and father in Egypt after many years of exile.

Paul, in the book of Ephesians, discusses the same two groups. But although the House of Israel had become “strangers and aliens” (2:12) from the commonwealth of Israel they were never non-Israelite “strangers.” They had been living outside of God’s fullness, but, “now in Christ Jesus ... are made nigh by the blood of Christ.” Then Paul goes on to describe the enmity that had been between the two kingdoms, saying that He [God] “might reconcile both unto God in one body by the cross, having slain the enmity thereby” (2:16). Both Judah and Israel could now build together for an “habitation of God through the Spirit (2:26).”

But to do so would take a new covenant. Heb. 8:8-13

Courtesy The New Covenant Messenger

---

## WHO ARE YOU?

by James Jester

The basic philosophical question of all time is, who am I, what am I here for and where am I going? This is of great concern to everyone. Does it matter who you are? There is a saying “It doesn’t matter what you know, it is who you know that counts.” I think we all realize that there are exceptions, but for practical purposes, we know this is true.

One prominent example of this is when people die they usually leave their possessions to their children. A trust or a will is the instrument used to assure that this is done. Keep this in mind, for this is the whole point of this article, because it is the whole point of the Holy Scriptures. Is not the Bible divided into two sections: the Old Testament and the New Testament? A Testament is a last Will which names certain beneficiaries. This is a point that is never brought up in Bible Colleges throughout the world, yet this should be the context of any Bible class. No one seems to recognize the pronouns used in the sacred scriptures, nor the name of beneficiaries in the Bible. Is this not crazy? This is one of the first questions to ask when interpreting the scriptures: Who is the Bible written to, and what is the context? Why isn’t this being done in our seminaries? Is there a conspiracy to hide the truth, just as there are in other venues of life?

So, is the Bible a universal book meant for all people, or is it exclusively for certain named people? Most of us (myself included) have been taught the catholic (universal) theory, that the Bible and salvation is for everyone. I will bring to your attention some scriptures to illustrate that the Bible is for certain beneficiaries and not universally for everyone on the face of the planet. I know this sounds foreign to most people, and ever offensive, but we should let the Bible speak for itself. I don’t need to burden you with excessive “proof texts.” All you need to do is lay aside what you’ve been taught concerning this subject, and read the Bible with an open mind, and you will see it.

Following are some passages chosen for this brief study. I will highlight certain words to emphasize the context, since today we are used to ignoring certain words

which are seemingly unimportant. I may occasionally ask a question or make a comment to help you with this study. This will be broken down into these areas: God, people, and a covenant made between these two parties.

### I. Which God?

Since the words of Jesus the Christ are printed in red in many Bibles, perhaps the following should be as well, since it is God, the Father, speaking. All quotations are from the King James Version of the Bible, unless otherwise noted.

Does God have a name, or some way He identifies Himself? This study is being considered in the similitude of a Trust or Will, so this is an important question.

“And behold, the Lord stood above it, and said, I am the **Lord God of Abraham** thy father and **the God of Isaac**: the land whereon thou liest, to thee will I give it, and to **thy seed**: Gen. 28:13.

Notice which God is spoken of here, and to whom this God is speaking. The God of a certain person, and He is speaking to Jacob, and He is speaking of Jacob’s descendants. God is **not** speaking to everyone here.

“And thy seed shall be as the dust of the earth, and thou shalt spread abroad to the west, and to the east, and to the north, and to the south: and in thee and in thy seed shall all the families of the earth be blessed.” vs 14. - Ex.3:6,15

“Moreover he said, I am the God of thy father, The God of Abraham, and the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob. And Moses hid his face; for he was afraid to look upon God.”

“And God said moreover unto Moses, Thus shall thou say unto the children of Israel, “**The Lord God of your fathers, the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob, hath sent me unto you, this is my name for ever, and this is my memorial unto all generations.**”

Isn’t this amazing, that God, the Almighty, the Immortal, refers to Himself as the Lord God of certain named mortal men, and goes so far as to say, this is His name? Exodus 20:1, 2, 3:

“And God spake all these words, saying, I am the Lord **thy God**, which have brought thee out of the land of Egypt, out of the house of bondage.

Thou shalt have no other Gods before (or beside) Me.”

### II. People of God?

Since (as seen above) there appears to be a God who takes the name of certain fathers, then obviously the descendants of those fathers must be the children of God. Are there really people of God in the world, literally? I don’t mean those who have become “saved” or converted or joined a church, necessarily. I mean for real. What does the Bible say: in Psalm 44:1-3

“We have heard with our ears, O God; our fathers have told us what work thou didst in their days, in times of old; How thou didst drive out the nations with thy hand, and plantedst them; how thou didst afflict the people, and cast them out. For they got not the land in possession by their own sword, neither did their own arm save them, but thy right hand, and thine arm, and the light of thy countenance, because thou hadst a favour unto them.”

That’s normal of a father, isn’t it?

### A. Equality?

Psalm 78:52 “But made his own people to go forth like sheep, and guided them in the wilderness like a flock.

Many will say I’m too literal here, that the scripture means people of God in a figurative sense referring to their character. I would agree that there is a spiritual or figurative sense intended in many passages, but that doesn’t mean that



it isn't true literally. The Bible is plain. God favours only covenant descendants, therefore, the equality of all races is a myth.

Exodus 1:1-5 "Now these are the names of the children of Israel, which came into Egypt; every man and his household came with Jacob. Reuben, Simeon, Levi, and Judah, Issachar, Zebulun, and Benjamin. Dan, and Naphtali, Gad, and Asher. And all the souls that came out of the loins of Jacob were seventy souls; for Joseph was in Egypt already."

Why does the Word of Yahweh name names? Why does God take on the name of certain men, as He has plainly said in Exodus 3:15? Why does the Bible contain genealogical records? Are these there for no reason? These records are usually glossed over in any Bible study or casual reading for obvious reasons. But, does it occur to anyone that these are part of the inspired divine revelation to us? All of the Bible is God's Word, not just our favourite parts. These not so popular passages must be there for a reason, and should be respected, even though one might not know the reason they are there.

Am I saying that we are saved because of our genetics? No. The scripture is clear that salvation is conditional upon repentance and faith. However, who is it that exercises such faith? I submit to you that the race of people who are descended from Abraham are capable of repentance and saving faith. I know this is difficult to accept, for most of you, but let the Bible speak for itself.

I know this comes as a shock, it did to me, but that's because we all have been taught catholic (universal) theology all of our life. Many respond, "But didn't [the] Christ (not a name, but an adjective, CIM) die for all men?" At this point I will ask you to define "all" in its context, and to define "men" according to the scriptures, not as we use the word today.

Isaiah 53:6 "All we like sheep have gone astray; we have turned everyone to his own way; and the Lord hath laid on him the iniquity of us all."

So, who is Isaiah speaking of with the "all"? And why is he including himself with the word "we"? Who is the "us all" who have sinned against their God? The context proves it is Israel's (Jacob's) descendants.

Exodus 11:7 "But against any of the children of Israel shall not a dog move his tongue, against man or beast: that ye may know that **the Lord doth put a difference between the Egyptians and Israel.**"

Here it is very plain that Yahweh made a difference between two groups of people. Yes, God segregated one from the other (God practised Apartheid, CIM). He didn't send missionaries to convert the Egyptians before He killed them either.

Deuteronomy 7:6. "For thou art a holy people unto Jehovah thy God: Jehovah **thy God hath chosen thee** to be a people for his own possession, **above all peoples that are upon the face of the earth.**"

Exodus 19:5. "Now therefore, if ye will obey my voice indeed, and keep my covenant, then **ye shall be a peculiar treasure unto me above all people: for all the earth is mine:**"

Deuteronomy 14:2 "For thou art an holy [in other words, separate, exclusive] **people** unto the Lord thy God, and **the Lord hath chosen thee to be a peculiar people**

**unto himself, above all the nations that are upon the earth."**

Psalm 135:4 "For the Lord hath chosen Jacob unto himself, and **Israel for his peculiar treasure.**"

1 Peter 2:9-10 "But ye are a chosen generation, a royal priesthood, an holy nation, a peculiar people; that ye should shew forth the praises of him who hath called you out of darkness into his marvellous light; Which in time past were not a people, but are now the people of God: which had not obtained mercy, but now have obtained mercy."

So according to these verses, Israelites are above everyone else, and are God's special possession. There is no concept of "equality of all men" in these verses. They are purely exclusive.

Also, the words, "in time past," concerning these people who "were not" and had no mercy, and yet now they do, is a reference to when the Kingdom was divided in two (House of Israel and House of Judah). The New testament (or Covenant) was made with the same people who broke the Old one and now there is a reconciliation between them. This is what "redeem" means, to be bought back again from a former state.

### **B. Lost Sheep?**

Psalm 79:13 "So we **thy people** and sheep of thy pasture will give thee thanks for ever; we will shew forth thy praise to all generations."

Jeremiah 50:17 "**Israel is a scattered sheep; the lions have driven him away: first the king of Assyria hath devoured him; and last this Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon hath broken his bones.**"

Ezekiel 34:6, 11-12 "My sheep wandered through all the mountains and upon every high hill: yea, **my flock was scattered upon all the face of the earth, and none did search or seek after them.**"

"For thus saith the Lord God; Behold, I even I, will both search my sheep, and seek them out. As a shepherd seeketh out his flock in the day that he is among his sheep that are scattered, so will I seek out my sheep, and will deliver them out of all places where they have been scattered in the cloudy and dark day."

The Bible plainly shows us that Israel (which is Jacob and his descendants) are like lost sheep, just scattered throughout the globe. Sometimes history refers to these people as the "lost tribes of Israel."

### **C. What Does Jesus Say?**

Matthew 10:5-6 "...these twelve, Jesus sent forth, and commanded them saying, go not into the way of the Gentiles (nations), and into any city of the Samaritans enter ye not: **But go rather to the lost sheep of the house of Israel.**"

Matthew 15:24, "But he answered and said, **I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel.**"

John 10:7, "Then said Jesus unto them again, Verily, verily, I say unto you, **I am the door of the sheep.**"

John 10:16, "And **other sheep I have, which are not of this fold: them also I must bring, and they shall hear my voice; and there shall be one fold, and one shepherd** (no more split kingdom into Judah and Israel, but one fold - Kingdom, CIM)."

John 10:26-27 "But ye believed not, because ye are **not of my sheep**, as I said unto you. My sheep hear my voice, and I know them, and they follow me."

We can see by these passages, that Jesus spoke the

## **Tapes of the Month: PRINCIPLES OF DELIVERANCE**

**by John Weaver**

*This series of 8 tapes deals with many aspects of deliverance and is based on Judges 6, 7 and 8. People all over Christendom need to be awakened, how will it happen? Can we get them to wake-up?*

*Are numbers (of people) important?*

*What does it take to form a majority? Get this important series now and understand how it will happen.*

**J-288, J-289, J-300, J-301, J-302, J-303, J-304, J-305.**

**8 tapes @ \$32 this month only!**



same as the prophets of the Old Testament, referring to them as “sheep.” So Jesus wasn’t all inclusive either! Jesus wasn’t ministering to everyone. Jesus didn’t want everyone on the planet saved. Once again, read what He said.

And who are these “other sheep” Jesus spoke about in John 10:16? It is those “not of this fold” (those in Judea). Who are they? It is those of the other tribes of Israel who were already scattered across Europe, those who were not a part of the remnant who returned to Judea under Ezra and Nehemiah.

### III A Covenant With Whom?

The Covenant is what ties this all together. God clearly made a Covenant (vow) with Himself while Abraham slept. It will never be broken or else He wouldn’t be God. Remember, the New Covenant is the Will and Testament of our God. A New one was made because the Old one was broken by His people.

#### A. Those Named In The Old Testament.

Genesis 15:18, “In the same day the Lord made a covenant with Abram, saying, Unto thy seed have I given this land.”

Genesis 17:1-7 “*And when Abraham was ninety years old and nine, the Lord appeared to Abram, and said unto him, I am the Almighty God; walk before me, and be thou perfect. And I will make my covenant between me and thee, and will multiply thee exceedingly. And Abram fell on his face: and God talked with him saying, As for me, behold, my covenant is with thee, and thou shalt be a father of many nations. Neither shall thy name any more be called Abram, but thy name shall be Abraham; for a father of many nations have I made thee. And I will make thee exceeding fruitful and I will make nations of thee, and kings shall come out of thee. And I will establish my covenant between me and thee and thy seed after thee in their generations for an everlasting covenant to be a God unto thee, and to thy seed after thee.*”

Psalms 89:8 “*He has remembered his covenant forever, the word which he commanded to a thousand generations.*”

Notice this covenant is everlasting. It never ends. This must be why there is a New Covenant; it is just an extension of the Old made with the same named people.

Psalms 89:3-4 “*I have made a covenant with my chosen; I have sworn unto David my servant. Thy seed will I establish forever and build up thy throne for all generations.*”

Psalms 89:34-35 “*My covenant will I not break, nor alter the thing that is gone out of my lips. Once have I sworn in my holiness, that I will not lie unto David.*”

Psalms 105:9-10 “*Which covenant he made with Abraham, and his oath unto Isaac; and confirmed the same unto Jacob for a law; and unto Israel for an everlasting covenant.*”

Isaiah 59:21, “*As for me, this is my covenant with them, saith the Lord; My spirit that is upon thee, and my words which I have put in thy mouth, shall not depart out of thy mouth, nor out of the mouth of thy seed, nor out of the mouth of thy seed’s seed, saith the Lord, from henceforth and for ever.*”

Exodus 19:5, “*Now therefore, if ye will obey my voice indeed, and keep my covenant, then ye shall be a peculiar treasure unto me above all people: for all the earth is mine.*”

Exodus 24:7, “*And he took the book of the covenant, and read in the audience of the people: and they said, All that the Lord hath said will we do, and be obedient.*”

Exodus 34:28, “*An he was there with the Lord forty days and forty nights; he did neither eat bread, nor drink water. And he wrote on the tables the words of the covenant, the ten commandments.*”

Wow, you never thought of the Commandments as the Covenant, did you? Here it is again:

Deuteronomy 4:13, “*And he declared unto you his covenant which he commanded you to perform, even ten commandments; and he wrote them upon two tables of stone.*”

Leviticus 26:9, “*For I will have respect unto you, and make you fruitful, and multiply you, and establish my covenant with you.*”

Most Bible students quote the New Testament verse that says God is no respecter of persons, and that therefore all races are included for salvation. This would be a complete contradiction of the above verse if that were true. The solution is plain. That God is no respecter of persons is true. But which persons? In Biblical context who is the Bible speaking of, and to? Certainly, only to Israel (Jacob) and his descendants. Not to every person on the planet. There is no other way to harmonize this seeming contradiction in scripture.

Leviticus 26:44-46, “*And yet for all that, when they be in the land of their enemies, I will not cast them away, neither will I abhor them, to destroy them utterly, and to break my covenant with them: for I am the Lord their God. But I will for their sakes remember the covenant of their ancestors, whom I brought forth out of the land of Egypt in the sight of the heathen, that I might be their God: I am the Lord. These are*

*the statutes and judgments and laws, which the Lord made between him and the children of Israel in mount Sinai by the hand of Moses.*”

Deuteronomy 7:9, “*Know therefore that the Lord thy God, he is God, the faithful God, which keepeth covenant and mercy with them that love him and keep his commandments to a thousand generations;*”

Do not forget the pronouns in scripture. If our God were universal (as we are commonly taught) then these pronouns would not be there.

#### B. Those Named in the New Testament

You may object and ask, “Hasn’t the New Testament changed all of this?” I would ask, can you show me proof of such a theory? For your convenience, I have provided New Testament scriptures.

Luke 1:72, “*To perform the mercy promised to our fathers, and to remember his holy covenant:*”

I ask, which fathers, which covenant? Certainly it is those spoken of in the Old Testament and the covenant that went with those names.

Acts 3:25, “*Ye are the children of the prophets, and of the covenant which God made with our fathers saying unto Abraham, And in thy seed shall all the kindred (or families, which is certainly not other races) of the earth be blessed.*”

Ephesians 2:12, “*That at that time (the time of the scattering of Israel, because the kingdom was divided) ye were without Christ (anointing) being aliens from the commonwealth of Israel, and strangers from the covenants of promise, having no hope, and without God in the world:*”

Hebrews 8:8, “*For finding fault with them [Israel], he saith, Behold, the days come saith the Lord, when I will make a new covenant with the house of Israel and with the house of Judah: (the divided kingdom). Not according to the covenant that I made with their fathers in the day when I took them by the hand to lead them out of the land of*

*Historic Video:*  
**CI-043 - DVD**  
**Kearney Farm Crisis Meeting #4**  
**Everett (Sileven) Ramsey**  
**movie: In God We Trust - + -**  
**Slide Show**

**DVD-CI-043 @ sug don \$15**



Egypt: because they continued not in my covenant, and I regarded them not saith the Lord. For this is the covenant that I will make with the house of Israel after those days, saith the Lord: I will put my laws into their mind, and write them in their hearts: and I will be to them a God, and they shall be to me a people.'

Hebrews 10:16, "*This is the covenant (evidently the New one made with the same people) that I will make with them after those days, saith the Lord, I will put my laws into their hearts, and in their minds will I write them. And their sins and iniquities will I remember no more*"

I hope you have noticed in these quotes, it does not say God made a covenant with everyone on the planet.

### CONCLUSION

In attempting to show you that the Bible is an exclusive book for a select race only, I have given scriptural evidence only. There is endless archeological and historical evidence to support what I have stated here. But all we really need is the Bible; and if we say we accept it as God's Word, then that is all we need.

By way of illustration, if I were to hand you a Bible, and ask you to tell me what you notice about it, you would first notice that it is fairly large, and it has "Holy:" on the cover. Those two points would tell you it is special, separate from others, and of high moral character. next, you would see it is made up of many books, and some may have portions of red print. But probably more significant of all you would see that it is divided into two sections, the Old and New Testaments. This should tell you it is a book of covenant. This alone, besides all other evidence, should confirm what I've given in this study.

Most of what passes as Christianity today, gives the theory that the Old Testament was for Israel, and the New Testament was a change, which made God's covenant open to everyone of the globe. I think you can now see the folly of the universal (catholic) theory. It is like God made a mistake, and then said, "Oops, I've got to do something different." So He sent His Son to make amends. Doesn't anyone see that this not only destroys an everlasting covenant and makes God a liar, but also destroys His omniscience. Away with this nonsense! As unpopular as it is, we must accept that the Bible is an exclusive Will and Testament, relating to one God and one people. You know it does.

I think you will agree, on the basis of the Covenant God made, that yes, it does matter who you are.

A final point, not for the standard reader, but for the seminarians and the serious Bible student who has struggled with the conflict between Calvinism and Arminianism. Covenant theology solves the seemingly unresolved disagreements between the two theological positions. I will not go into a study of this here, but you have studied theology, so think about this. You know what I'm talking about here.

Are you satisfied with the irreconcilable positions of these two schools of thought? Are you satisfied with the disunity in the body of Christ (anointing)? Are you content to accept the fact that two theologies dominate the church world instead of one. Does it not concern you that these two positions have one thing in common, i.e. Universalism (Catholicism) and no covenant. That alone should raise a flag of caution. The Bible is very clear as to who the elect is. This has always been a debate between the Calvinist and the Arminian. Let's settle this once and for all.

*"For Jacob my servant's sake, and Israel mine elect, I have even called thee by the name: I have surnamed thee,*

*though thou hast not known me"* Isaiah 45:4.

So according to this verse, who is The Elect? Both the Calvinist and the Arminian are wrong; and yet they both are correct in parts of their theologies. I will not, in this study, go into the five points of Calvin vs. the answers by Arminius. They both have argued from the flawed assumption of no covenant (catholicism/universalism), i.e. God is no longer working with Israel as a nation, but rather, is now working with non-covenant peoples. But I ask you, what did Matthew (a New Testament writer) have to say about this: - 1:21,

*"... thou shalt call his name JESUS: for he shall save his people from their sins"* [note it is not "call His name Christ" for Christ is an adjective, not a noun, CIM]

Jesus the Christ was of Israelite blood (not Jewish), so "his people" would also be of the same lineage. It is these only He came to save. These are His brethren (means, 'from the womb'). These are The Elect. These only, are His Sheep. Covenant theology is the answer to all the debates. It is the alternative view, and the answer, to all the theological problems with the other two positions. do you not see that without a covenant you have nothing in regards to Jesus' salvation.

So, it does matter who you are. Are you among those of the covenant seed? Are you among those for whom Jesus, your brother, came to save? After five centuries, don't you think it is time for the church to get back to the most fundamental foundation of all doctrines, the Covenant? It is time for the truth to prevail, regardless of how unpopular it is.

Let the change come, and may the kingdom come, and God's will be done on earth, as it is in heaven.

Courtesy New Covenant Messenger, Box 321, Union KY 41091

-----  
**THE IMPLICATIONS OF "WHOSOEVER WILL" EXAMINED**  
Rev. 22:17 and John 3:15-16  
*by Lloyd Palmer*

There are a number of Biblical words, in the King James Version particularly, that are used as crutches by religious Universalists to justify their "salvation for all men" doctrine; one word in particular, is "*whosoever*." In addition, there are other critical "key" words that have also contributed to doctrinal confusion and misinterpretation of the Word. This paper will focus primarily on the word *Whosoever* as contained in the two verses cited above.

English Bible translators applied many questionable words in their attempt to bring forth the true meaning of the Word of God from the original languages, but especially the all-inclusive "*whosoever*." At the very least, today's modern English however, has taken on meanings never intended by our Anglo-Saxon ancestors, for then, many understood the Biblical *exclusivity* of the Israel of God!

From these dubious word choices and/or new meanings, many false doctrines and erroneous concepts have been implanted in the minds of unstudied Christians, who, to their own hurt, prefer that an equally confused Shepherd tell them what he thinks the words, and verses mean.

History reveals that many words were chosen by the translators to reflect the prevailing doctrines of the era. But over time some words have taken on modern, but corrupted meanings. The Latin word "*Gentile*" is one of these fuzzy words. Most dictionaries define "*Gentile*" as a non-Jewish person. This error of definition disguises the ethnicity of the so-called Gentiles and dilutes their true identity. Universalists also love the word *Gentile* because it lends support to their notion that the Christian Gospel is all-



inclusive, intended for all ethnic types on this world (see footnote 1).

Other English words twist Scripture to present something not intended. Consider the word “Hell.” Both the Hebrew and Greek offer several words that represent different physical locations on earth that have been transliterated as being the “hell” of eternal punishment. Yet none of these Hebrew or Greek words even imply that such a mystical site actually exists!

Then there’s also the controversial word, “strangers.” Here, the Talmudic Masoretes had a field day in mis-assigning Hebrew words to depict a particular stranger(s) in their original text, in many cases, applying a word much different in context than what the original text demanded, as a cross-check with the Septuagint or LXX reveals. Confirming deceit by these Talmudic Masoretes when they rewrote the Hebrew language Bible — into the Masoretic Text of the current KJV Old Testament.

And who hasn’t been stymied by the misuse of “Satan” and “Devil,” and the mistaken application of *Lucifer* in Isaiah 14, injected therein by Jerome. For the translators took two common nouns, the “sawtawn” (*Adversary* in Hebrew) and “diabolos” (*False accuser* in Greek) and transliterated these words into the Proper nouns: “Satan” and “Devil,” respectively! Why? (see footnote 2).

The same abuse of the King James version’s exclusive use of “Whosoever.” The English word “whosoever” was poor exegesis by English translators whether by misguided choice or intentional design. If today, we refer to the modern misunderstanding of “whosoever” as meaning “anyone” or “all,” the result is doctrinal confusion!

“Whosoever” is an objective pronoun. According to the **Oxford English Dictionary**, “objective” means: “*dealing with outward things or exhibiting facts uncoloured by feelings or opinions; not subjective.*” (see Note 3).

As an adjective, “whosoever” is used to modify and convey a, “*fair, impartial, equitable, neutral, open-minded and unprejudiced*” meaning to the noun it modifies. In contrast, if “whosoever” is used as a subjective pronoun, according to Oxford (when representing art, literature, written history, a person’s view, etc), it means: “*proceeding from personal idiosyncrasy or individuality; not impartial or literal. Placing excessive emphasis on one’s moods, attitudes, opinions, etc, unduly egocentric.*”

Now that we have examined the differences in “objective” and “subjective,” which one of the two applications is in harmony with Scripture? Jesus told His disciples, “**Ye have not chosen Me, but I have chosen you and ordained you...**” (John 15:16). This is a subjective statement, and not an objective one!

(Late) Arnold Kennedy, Bible Expositor from New Zealand, puts it in perspective. He says it boils down to doctrine. Do you follow the “**people choosing God**” notion - the ‘man doing his own thing’ concept adopted by the other religions of the world, or do you surrender to the scriptural “**people chosen of God**” doctrinal concept of true Christianity?

Your answer will determine whether you think the word “whosoever” applies to anyone who confesses Jesus (the **people choosing God** notion - those induced to making

a decision for Jesus, raising a hand, signing a card, responding to an altar call, etc), or whether you embrace the revealed, “**people chosen of God doctrine.**”

As Kennedy stated: “**But with Biblical Christianity; God chooses the people He will have to follow Him, and to be His people.**”

Allow me to ask this question: If all that a person has to do is “confess” Jesus as his Personal Saviour, which is the doctrine of most Judeo-Christian churches, then why did Jesus say these words:

“**Not every one that saith unto me. Lord, Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven; but he that doeth the will of my Father which is in heaven. Many will say to me in that day, Lord, Lord, have we not prophesied in thy name? And in thy name have cast out devils? and in thy name done many wonderful works? And then I will profess unto them, I never knew you depart from me, ye that work iniquity.**” (Matt 7:21-23).

These words are strong ones, but they reveal that not every one who “confesses” Jesus will be saved! In John 6:44 Jesus is even more explicit on this point, saying, “**Murmur not among yourselves. No man can come to Me, except the Father which had sent Me, draw him.**”

Universalists love to quote both Rev. 22:17 and John 3:15-16 to claim that the KJV’s “whosoever” as it is used in these verses applies to all ethnic groups. When we look up the Greek word that “whosoever” was translated from, we discover something unusual. The word is #3588 in *Strongs Greek Dictionary*.

But it is actually three word in Greek: “*ho, he, to, in all their inflection; the definite article; the (sometimes to be supplied, at others, omitted in English idiom): the, this, that, he, she, it, etc.*” The list depicts only ‘subjective’ words. None of the words could be considered as objective.

Thus it is understood, that “he,” “{she,” and “it,” etc. are subjective pronouns. Subjective pronouns are exclusive. Therefore, the catch-all word “whosoever” is a misleading word in this current Biblical interpretation because it totally changes the inflection. I suggest that a corrected phrase be used in lieu of the word “whosoever,” as other Bible versions have done, and, as the Companion Bible notes: “**that tests omit whosoever will.**”

We should apply the phrase “those who” since it doesn’t destroy the “people chosen of God” doctrine of the Bible. For example, if we delete “whosoever” and apply “those who” in those two verses of John 3:15-16, its scope narrows and becomes focused.

“That those who believe in him should not perish, but have eternal life . For God so loved the world [a limited concept that does not mean the planet, but in the sense of ‘world of music, or ‘world of sport’, ‘world of Israel’ - CIM], that He gave His only begotten Son, that those who believe in him should not perish, but have everlasting life.”

Now it becomes much less inclusive when properly translated and removes the concept that Jesus was speaking generally as many are inclined to mistakenly assume, thus diluting the exclusivity of Israel as well, from this concept.

So the next time you read Scripture and come upon the word “whosoever,” try substituting “those who” and see if it doesn’t make for better Bible exegesis.

When you understand the “people chosen of God” doc-

*We have some good used books available surplus to our requirements, these are single copies only. Ring for them, or take a chance:*

**The Dissolution of Eastern European Jewry, by Walter Sanning, \$12**

**The Forbidden Truth, by Anon. E. Mous.\$4**

**The Covenant People, by Destiny \$6**  
**Democracy & Treason in Australia, by Alan Gourley, \$8**

**Armageddon-Rendezvous with Destiny, by Destiny, \$4**

**In The Image of God, by Destiny, \$7**  
**Christ Was Not a Jew, by Conner, \$9**



trine, you will also comprehend that our English translators choice of “whosoever” was a bad choice that led to the Universalist’s mistaken assumption that Jesus was speaking to all groups of humankind on an equal basis.

“And when the Gentiles heard this, they were glad, and glorified the word of the Lord: and as many as were ordained to eternal life believed.” (Acts 13:48).

Note 1. Two short studies on the words Gentile are also available - both very appropriate and exceptionally revealing. One, by *Curtis Clair Ewing*, is entitled: “*A Study Into the meaning of the Word “Gentile” as used in the Bible.*” It exposes the many instances in the Bible where it has led to confusion! # 503 @ \$2.85.

The other, by *Robert H. Becker*, is titled: “*Gentile, A Study of the Word as used in the Bible.*” (#515 @ \$2.85) It confirms that 557 times it is translated from the Hebrew word, “*Goy*,” in the Old Testament, it has been rendered: “**Nation(s), 373 times - Heathen, 142 times - Gentile(s), 30 times - People, 11 times - Another, 1 time, and non-Jew, 0 times.**

In the New Testament, “*Gentile*” comes from the Greek word, “*ethnos*” but when translated into English it appears as follows: “**Gentile(s), 93 times - Nation(s), 64 times - Heathen, 5 times - People, 2 times - and as non-Jew, 0 times.** The Greek word “*Hellen*” has also been translated as follows: **Greek(s), 20 times and Gentile(s), 7 times.**

It is important to note here, that *Gentilis* is a Latin word, yet the translators put it in the earliest of Hebrew texts, all written at a time when Latin was not yet a language!

Note 2. Several revealing studies also have been done on the subject of the validity and existence of a “*Satan*.” Very much like the confusing use of the word *Gentile*, the words, *Satan, Devil, or Lucifer*, have also been abused by the translators: mistranslated or transliterated from original Hebrew and Greek in lieu of the far more appropriate and definitive words, “*Adversary*” and “*false accuser*.”

This mistaken use of *Satan* and *Devil* seems to have become a panacea for all contrived ills and sins of man; most Christians being unaware of the true meanings of these words used by the Talmudists in their Talmud and by the early Catholic (universal) Church scribes to instill a fear of the unknown in their congregations, thereby inducing a dependency upon the hierarchy of Priests and Rabbi’s for safety and salvation.

A process similar to the assignment of a live goat that God had earlier instructed was to be used to atone for the sins of ancient Israelites in Leviticus 16:20-26 as something physical needed; a visible resolution to and for their wrong doing: “*the goat shall bear upon him all their iniquities unto a land not inhabited.*” Christians today, also “lean” upon a contrived *Satan* to assign blame for their own problems.

Several studies are available to assess the application of *Satan* and *Devil* in the many verses wherein it has been applied in lieu of *Adversary* or *False accuser*. Further, it should be noted here, that whenever the plural, ‘*Adversaries*’ was necessary, the translators were forced to use the plural, *Adversaries*, otherwise their *Satan* doctrine would be diluted by assigning multiple “*Satans*” and thereby muting their all-powerful demon doctrine.

Note 3. It should be noted that the word, “*Whosoever*” is found only in the KJV. The other Bible versions have changed this from being a collective word, into one more

focused on individuals. The Companion Bible refers to this word as more appropriately worded as “*every one who*” in lieu of *Whosoever*, as is similarly found in Moffatt, Ferrar Fenton, and the New Jerusalem Bible.

Courtesy SITW, Box 513, Albert Lea, MN 56007

## A letter to Pastor Spradlin, regarding Universalism C/- Kingdom Digest

Dear Pastor Spradlin (et.al.),  
“*Let Us Reason Together*”. This title that introduces your editorial commentaries in the Kingdom Digest becomes an invitation and an opportunity for discussion about issues or commentary that you have offered therein, and especially in the current February issue.

Your article titled, *Orthodox Absurdities* critiques, with some justification, five issues that you consider to be “*Absurdities*” found within most Orthodox churches, yet what I gather from your selection of these particular “*Absurdities*,” is that they all fail in varying degrees, to accommodate your support of the doctrine of Universal salvation as offered to us in the opening lines of the article. I’ll quote your words:

“*The Doctrine of Universal Salvation is the only teaching which makes any sense of man’s dilemma concerning his ultimate fate. Most Christians are taught a Doctrine of Dualism. Dualism teaches that God’s universe will be divided into the “saved” and the “lost” -- “heaven” and “hell.” God’s universe will forever be divided.*”

Well, Pastor, If universalism was the purpose of God’s great plan for the ages, then why did God have to accommodate man’s failures by the many Covenants He made with them over history; differing each time that

man (I use *man* in lieu of ‘*Israel*’ to accommodate your thesis) failed to abide one, God was forced to offer another, until His loving concern for man (*Israel*), He gave His Son as the final option.

Now if you think that Jesus came to save *All*, then I suggest that you offer Biblical proof of this theses, but do so only after reading the discussion papers (see above, and also Arnold Kennedy’s “*The Misuse of the words ‘All,’ ‘Every,’ and ‘Whosoever.’*”, #208, Jul 2003, p.6) that speak to the proper application of the words, *All, Every, Whosoever will*, for these words and others as well, have been wrongly treated as supportive of the universalism doctrine that seems to be emerging among a few of the shepherds of Christian *Israel* today; stimulated it would appear, by a variety of impulses, often personally related, to family, to business ties, and internal pressure from within their congregations. Further, if Salvation is so broadly universal, why do we need His Bible as an instructive guideline that not only records the events of history for our benefit, but it is instructive in many other aspects of our lives; from health, to government, from marriage to other social contracts, and much in between. Yet in analyzing the Bible, we discover that it is primarily focused solely on *Israel* - being the **Book To, For, and About Israel!**

There we find God being instructive to a single people, to one race, and focused only to one Nation, *Israel!* Not only is the focus of the Word directed at and for *Israel*, the very words of God confirm this point in a number of verses that allude to His exclusive choice of *Israel* as His Chosen People. Consider just this one from Amos 3:2 when He is speaking to all *Israel*: “*You ONLY have I known of all the families of the earth:...*”

### New Video:

*Not listed before, but copied from an old VHS tape:*

### NEW AGE BIBLE VERSIONS

Gail Riplinger.

*While we do not agree with all the thinking of the “King James Version Only” people, there are some interesting things revealed in this old video. Recently transferred to DVD (not DVD quality!)*

**DVD-CI-537 @ \$15 posted**



Similarly, Jesus was equally specific in His comments about the direction that His disciples were to minister on their approaching mission to spread the Gospel of the Kingdom. In Matthew 10:5-6 Jesus commanded His disciples saying:

*“Do not turn aside into the heathen districts, nor enter the towns of the Samaritans; but go rather to the lost sheep of Israel’s house.”* (Ferrar Fenton)

It is clear from this verse that their direction was explicit, just as that of Paul’s direction was steered and shaped by holy spirit, for here are Paul’s words on this point about Israel’s exclusivity, found in Acts 13:26, also from the Ferrar Fenton translation:

*“Men, brothers (from the womb), sons of Abraham’s race, and those among you who reverence God, to us the message of this salvation is sent ....”*

Similarly, when Jesus made His sudden and unannounced trip out of Judea to Canaan, leaving His disciples to catch up, His conversation with the Canaanite woman speaks to the direction of His own mission, for when the woman insists that He cure her daughter, He does not answer, choosing instead to stall until His disciples had arrived and sought to persuade Jesus to send her away (see Matt 15:23-24)...

His answer to her was delayed to effect an impression on His disciples, and to us ... since she was not an Israelite, rather an alien Canaanite. Jesus is led to advise her (and the disciples, and us) as to His mission: “I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel.” While He did cure her daughter, He did not offer her salvation in the manner of that granted to the thief on the cross.

What seems to emerge from your article depicting the five “absurdities” is a refutation of them because they allude to the fact that many people will not be saved, which is biblically true; but you cannot accept this as something considered by a loving God, ignoring in the main, the true nature of god which is not always a God of Love as the Universalists would assume, but rather also a God who “makes peace, and creates evil” (Isa 45:7), and who also stated, “I kill, and I make alive; I wound, and I heal; neither is there any that can deliver out of my hand.” (Deut 32:39).

Again, the title of your message refers to “Reasoning” out or away an issue, but think on this point in relation to such a hope: Can a “reasonable” discussion between two dedicated Christian Israelites result when one of them disclaims the uniqueness of his birthright and like Esau, treats with disdain the exclusiveness of that Divine grant made only to Israel; sees it as trivial, and only a part of a “greater” whole, a world-wide offering, devaluing the unique and special gift of something that God has always promoted as important and a prerequisite to even being “considered” for salvation.

Especially when we know from Jesus’ own words that say: “The Son of man is come to save that which was lost...” (Matt 18:11). Now what is it that was “lost”? Well, God supplies the answer in Jeremiah 50:6 when He says: “My people hath been lost sheep...”

Pastor Robert Record in a paper titles, “Why Did

**Christ Say He Had Come”** suggests that we take a second look at this verse. It does not say that Jesus came to save those who are sinners and lost, rather it says He came to save *that* which was lost. God answers this question in Ezekiel 34:15-16, “I will feed my flock ... I will seek that which was lost, and bring again that which was driven away.” There is also a note of concern that concludes God’s question in Jeremiah 50:6, for as that verse continues, it identifies those who led the sheep astray: the *Shepherds!*

As I noted earlier, you are not alone among some of our Christian Israelites, who promote the doctrine of Universalism as also combined with the idea of the “*restitution of all things*,” the proponents of this thesis, cloaking the final salvation of some within and among graduated levels of Divine acceptance.

Like the Judeo-Christian churches, you would make the words of God spoken to Abraham that see his seed becoming a “*blessing to all families of the earth*,” (Gen 12:3) as having been adopted (and promoted) as being a “responsibility” of Israelites *to* the world; Israel treated and judged solely for how they support this demand as a mission and a measure of success of their own worth, demonstrated by how they promote and support those “other families” in their “being” a blessing to all the world.

Such an interpretation would appear to be a “works” measure that makes Israel responsible for the salvation of the world if we were to adopt such an interpretation of these instructions to Abraham, when it is rather, simply a promise by the Lord to Israel, that His grants to them, will in turn, and by demonstration and association, indirectly provide benefits to these “others” of the world, thereby improving the conditions of their lives.

But God refutes this from being a doctrinal idea (that collaboration be considered as a cooperative and joint measure), from being a mission of Israel when He states in Ezekiel 28:25, “When I shall have gathered the house of Israel from the people among whom they are scattered, and shall be sanctified in them in the sight of the heathen, then shall they dwell in their land that I have given to my servant Jacob.” He keeps Israel separate and distinct, and in

their land, which are the lands where White Christian Israel has been “gathered.”

Note too, the special reference in that verse from Ezekiel above, the fact that *God will be sanctified in them* at this time, for it would appear that His actions will demonstrate to the heathen among us, that His favour lies only with Israel.

(See also how God resolves the massive immigration problem facing Israel today as Isaiah 13:13-16 speaks to their *returning to their own land*, or be *thrust through*. Verses 6 & 9 confirm it as future, using “*the day of the Lord cometh*”)

Further, when God alludes to Israel as “Jacob,” we are assured that He will keep us separate from what befalls the world at that time, for in Jeremiah 30:7, and despite His speaking of a most difficult period to come, He says: “*Alas! For that day is great, so that none is like it: it is even the time of Jacob’s trouble; but he shall be saved out of it.*”

### **New Tapes:**

**CD-C-128 Taking Over, pt 4,**

**Ted Weiland**

**CD-C-129 Taking Over, pt 5,**

**Ted Weiland**

**E-219 As Of One, Gal.3:16, Don Elmore**

**E-220 Sane Living in an Insane World,**

**Deut 10:12-22, Don Elmore**

**E-221 The day of the Covenant,**

**Dec 16, 1838, Don Elmore**

**E-222 Open Thine Hand Wide,**

**Deut 15:1-11, Don Elmore**

**E-223 Ye Do Err, Mat 22:23-33,**

**Don Elmore**

**J-183 The Christian & Debt, pt 8,**

**John Weaver**

**J-184 An Election Sermon,**

**John Weaver**

**K-520 God’s Unchangeable Purpose with Israel, pt 3, James Bruggeman**

**K-521 God’s Unchangeable Purpose with Israel, pt 4, James Bruggeman**



We certainly are “Jacob,” we have the same nature that drove Jacob before his wrestling with the Lord’s angel, but in his success, God changed his name to Israel, *a prince with God...* So to, we today, with our Jacob nature will also experience our own wrestling before we are awarded that name change to *Israel*.

If we understood what God chose to do as noted above in Ezekiel 28:25, God’s next words in vs 26 give even more assurance in words that add to His distinction and separation of His people: “... *when I have executed judgments upon all those that despise them round about them; and they shall know that I am the Lord their God.*”

So, let me conclude with this thought, for it is central to our Faith, and belongs among us as concern, and in a way, relates to how you view salvation: It is the hope that as Israelites we would have been seen by the “Others” as proper examples, that our faith and works have served as a blessing to the other families of the earth; that the Divine largess granted to His chosen over the ages has brought the others along and benefitted their lives: but as to their salvation, such is up to God. He addresses this point in II Esdras 9:9-12 (It impacts the “restitution of all things”).

*“Then shall they be in pitiful case, which now have abused my ways: and they have loathed my law, while they had yet liberty, and, when as yet a place of repentance was open unto them, understood not, but despised it: the same must know it after death by pain.”*

Continuing in the same Esdras, chapter 9 we find God advising Esdras not to concern himself for these “Others,” stating: “*And therefore be thou not curious how the ungodly shall be punished and when; but enquire how the righteous shall be saved, whose the world is, and for whom the world is created.*” What does that do to Church “Missionary” demands?

In these end times, we are remiss if we think that the massive immigrations going on today are representative of what the Bible speaks to in the end times. These events are under the dominion of those of Esau, whose dominion today was predicted by his father Isaac after listening to him whine about having lost both birthright and blessing in Gen 27:34-40. Esau is promised a dominion over Jacob that eventually is overcome by Divine intervention.

Esau today, seeks a community of peoples about him whose numbers in effect, might overcome the success and power of Christian America and other Israel countries, for the political control of immigration has been given to those of Esau for many decades. But, this is resolved as I noted earlier, just as the Lord outlined in Isaiah 13.

The end of Esau’s dominion is addressed in Obadiah, and the Lord is most explicit about His own actions. In verses 8-10, He states: “*Shall I not in that day, saith the Lord, even destroy the wise men out of Edom, and understanding out of the mount of Esau? And thy mighty men, O Teman, shall be dismayed, to the end that every one of the mount of Esau may be cut off by the slaughter. For thy violence against thy brother Jacob shame shall cover thee, and thou shalt be cut off for ever.*”

That Gift of kinship that God has so favoured His people, whom He calls the ‘sons of God,’ cannot be treated cavalierly, nor subordinated to an adoption of all peoples into Israel: despite the remorse and concern that this may cause.

Sincerely, JRN --- by email.

---

## RURAL SOCIAL ENGINEERING

### Global Village Idiots Attack the Australian Identity

**Andrew Phillips** looks at the importance of regional Australia to our national future and culture, and how it is under assault by the Australia-haters in their frenzied rush towards globalisation

No true Australian could deny the important place regional Australia and its people hold within the national psyche, even in today’s urban-centric society.

Despite the continued changes in our nations demography, this affinity with our outback, regional centres and primary producers has remained unchanged. It was evident from the time of Henry Lawson, as witnessed by the popularity of his work amongst Sydney readers of the Bulletin—nothing has changed, our people appear to have merely substituted McLeod’s Daughters for Lawson, but the nostalgic regard for our regional counterparts continues unabated.

Indeed, it could be argued that Australia’s regional centres and our primary producers are the last bastion of our national identity. The traditional values regarded as quintessentially Australian are, more often than not, found in these areas, unadulterated by a massive influx of immigrants from cultures alien to our own and generally unfettered by the malaise of selfishness and greed so evident in many of our cities.

The natural disregard regional Australians have for idiotic creations and observances dictated by social engineers, such as “Harmony Day,” is to be applauded and it is hoped the lack of interest in such ridiculous observances within country schools continues.

Little wonder that in the effort to create a borderless global village, social engineers and economic rationalists alike have decided something

must go; that “something” is a proud, distinct, productive and independent regional Australia - the very heartland of Australian identity.

The ability to produce food required to feed the nation is an issue of national sovereignty. This ability has been under continued, relentless assault for over 20 years as economic rationalists in most political parties demand a raft of rural sector reforms to placate foreign critics (sometimes referred to as “trading partners”) and special interest mostly left-wing globalist groups (such as PETA and Animal Liberation), while reassuring our beleaguered farmers that such reforms will produce a veritable cornucopia of international markets for their own produce - if only they can just hold on.

Unfortunately, as the gulf between urban dwellers and regional Australians continues to widen, our country counterparts find themselves increasingly isolated. Their concerns in parliament are increasingly ignored as our so-called “representatives” heed the shrill cries of the loudest pressure groups.

Economic rationalists, motivated by their perverse dream of a level economic playing field in which multinationals have access to the entire world market, benefitting from access to the cheapest labour available and using their competitive clout to squeeze the lowest price for raw commodities from the producers, continue to use their influence in the major political parties to push our nation’s producers to accept continued deregulation and restructuring of their

### To Clear: GRAMMAR OF SEPTUAGINT GREEK

by Conybeare & Stock.

*Not only an indispensable reference resource, it is also an ideal reader for anyone wishing to study the Septuagint in the original (Greek) language. A reprint, this volume includes: extensive introduction, major sections covering accidence and syntax, selected readings with indepth introductions and full textual and grammatical notes.*

#533 @ \$25.30 NOW \$18.00



sectors.

Few could forget the footage of local dairy farmers and their desperate families protesting against the deregulation of their industry over 10 years ago. Outside of government offices and large supermarket chains, family members fighting to defend the continued existence of the family farm were dragged off by police and charged for having the audacity of opposing the new religion of "globalism."

The assault upon the economic lifeblood of regional Australians continues, year after year, on a myriad of fronts.

As a succession of governments of both political hues continue to sign an endless stream of international and UN sponsored treaties, the effects on the Australian people continue to be as disastrous as they are obvious.

National Competition Policies result in local producers being forced to accept deregulation and abolition of single desk marketing when faced with the withholding of allocated funds by both state and federal governments for refusal to comply. This flies in the face of reason, when every indication shows that there is strength in unified marketing and customers prefer to deal with the single desk as it provides greater accountability.

Regional Australians bore the brunt of Howard's altruistic foreign relations policy when he suddenly decided to "forgive" Iraq's debt to Australia, leaving Australian grain growers out of pocket to the tune of \$140 million - with no compensation. Combined with the interest involved, it was an increased financial burden our struggling regional communities did not need.

The government's economic rationalist thug "Bio Security Australia" continues to find any avenue possible to further the government's plan to flood the nation with dubious quality foreign produced food. This organization has been at the forefront of the push to introduce the importation of over \$69 million worth of US pork, threatening our local producers' industry, worth \$540 million per year (but then, we shouldn't be eating that anyway! CIM). Local producers have expressed concern at the possible introduction of PWMWS (Post Weaning Multisystemic Wasting Syndrome), a disease capable of wiping our 60% of a farmers stock.

The list of Bio Security Australia's targets seems endless. Queensland banana farmers, with their \$400 million industry are threatened by Black Sigatoka and Moko disease, with an outbreak in 2001 resulting in the closure of 12 farms and a cost to taxpayers of \$27 million. Citrus growers are also in their sights and South Australian apple growers have their \$40 million industry at risk following the decision to allow in NZ imports with the risk of fire blight. These are just to name a few.

Howard's recent threat to deny farmers access to water is an unconstitutional move contravening Section 100 of our Constitution. Clearly the internationalists would prefer to force farmers off their lands and raise regional unemployment from the closure of associated industries and services rather than spend our taxes on a solution to the water problems (increased catchment areas, solar powered desalination plants). They would prefer that Australians put their health at risk from eating dubious quality food produced in unsanitary conditions and flooded with chemicals prior to export, rather than supporting the food output of our local farmers.

Naturally, the Global Village Idiots are not so foolish as

to put all their eggs into one basket and rely merely upon economic pressure to fragment our regional communities.

The campaign by special interest groups to vilify our nation's food producers continues unabated, so much so that in some states farmer bodies have had to launch a counter attack via costly television ads in order to educate the wider community of the important place our farmers and regional communities have in the maintenance of our nation's economic well-being and standard of living.

The social engineers know they can only succeed by influencing the minds of the young and continue their campaign of misinformation in our nation's schools and universities. Despite claims that discussions regarding rural issues and practices will be "unbiased," first hand reports indicate that our "open minded" lecturers are more talk than action.

Rural students find themselves overridden in discussions about primary industry practices such as mulesing, with city-centric teachers launching tirades against the so-called cruel practices performed by the people putting food on their plates. Regional Australians are further portrayed in the minds of city-dwelling children and youths as cruel, inhuman, environmentally irresponsible, and of little importance when it comes to the continuity of the nation.

Little wonder that reports leaked into the media reveal that one farmer takes his own life every four days.

not content to rely on an economic war of attrition and poisoning the minds of our nation's youth, the government realizes that in order to complete the farcical quest to make Australia a true melting pot (or is that a salad bowl? CIM) with no identity, unified only by the fact none of us have anything in common, they must break apart the final bastion of traditional Australian identity - the rural community.

Few living in regional Australia could claim to not notice the recent influx of Arabs, Africans and Asians

literally flooding into rural areas, recent additions forced upon us by the government practice of "spreading the load" of immigration (clearly those less tolerant in the city are starting to make unpleasant noises).

These new arrivals, supported by a raft of government officials, "church" volunteers and company support workers, often end up as compliant labour for processing plants who claim they can't get an Aussie to work for them. Sponsored for 12 months, they are then often permitted to settle permanently and bring out their relatives, all of whom are given the same support as earlier arrivals and receive English language lessons from ratepayers' funding via "council grants."

Of course, rural Australians are essentially conservative in outlook, both socially and morally - which is the very reason they have managed to continue their position as guardians of our identity.

Organisers of the recent Murray Bridge Multicultural Festival in South Australia (sponsored by a local meat processing company with a penchant for importing Chinese, Arab, African and Vietnamese labour) discovered this fact recently following the launch of the much touted "Inaugural Multicultural Festival" which was to showcase "all the different newly arrived cultures and apparently "thank them for their wonderful contribution" to making Murray Bridge a much more interesting place to live.

With a population of over 17,000 in Murray Bridge itself, not to mention the many rural communities within a relatively short drive, festival organizers struggled to pull

***No Longer Available: Only 3 copies left***  
**MURDER BY INJECTION**

**Eustace Mullins**

*The story of the medical conspiracy against America (and people everywhere). This extensive hard-cover book is a classic on this subject. The title needs no explanation, and says it all. Every parent should read this book before taking children to get their shots. Be warned, you will not take them after reading it, preferring them the way God created them to being "doctor enhanced"!*

**#641 @ \$31.95**



in a couple of thousand spectators over the entire weekend. Going into damage control, the media naturally crowed front page what a dramatic success the event was and looked forward to one the following year.

Considering that the Murraylands district has a population of over 47,900, the “festival” was hardly a raging success. Most of those attending were more than likely families of participants, or the regular “alternative lifestyle” multiculturalists one finds at Womadelaide who drove up in their usual decrepit Kombi vans (as an explanation for those living outside South Australia, “Womadelaide” is an annual internationalist festival held in Adelaide involving multiculturalists from all over the globe, some locals unkindly referring to it as a freak show for the great unwashed hordes of political fringe-dwellers).

It can be seen that there is no place for a unique Australian society or culture in the grand vision held by economic rationalists and the social engineering Global Village Idiots. Regional Australia is a thorn in the side of those who desire a borderless world, where international business is free to flood markets with cheap foreign produce and take advantage of cheap, compliant foreign labour.

It is time for all Australians to take an uncompromising stand against the un-Australian practices displayed by governments, big business and social engineering educators—before it is too late.

Become active, join a nationalist part and become an Australian protectionist—NOW. -----

*Andrew Phillips has had a long involvement in Australian politics, having been the Senate team leader for the One Nation Party in South Australia, and is now the National Chairman for the Australian Protectionist Party. This article is purely written in his capacity as an independent political commentator. For more of his independent political articles, see the South Australian site for the Australian Protectionist Party at [www.protectionistsa.com](http://www.protectionistsa.com)*

Courtesy the new magazine, DESTINY, PO Box 722, Croydon Vic 3136; [www.protectionist.net](http://www.protectionist.net)

Send them \$5 for this first issue, or \$20 for 4 issues!

### AFRICAN GANGS POSE THREAT

Australia faces the new problem of black African gangs in their midst.

A new problem has arisen in modern Australia, coming hot on the heels of a huge tide of immigration into the country of black Africans, including particularly large numbers of African refugees. Police sources have revealed that there are increasing problems with African street gangs, and that they have been becoming increasingly violent.

The Sudanese gangs have been pointed out as a particular problem, with many young Sudanese men having been part of the SPLA (Sudan People’s Liberation Army), a rebel group that fought in a revolution to overthrow the Sudanese government. Other race-based gangs are out on the streets, with Pacific Islander and Asian gangs also becoming a problem. The threat of jail poses no particular deterrent to many of those in the African and Vietnamese gangs, with one young worker saying that “Prison would be like Buckingham Palace compared to where they’ve been—refugee camps and the like.”

One report noted that African gangs were moving into

the central business district of Melbourne, targeting Crown Casino and the Southbank area in particular, and were armed with knives, batons, baseball bats, machetes, and samurai swords. The Melbourne suburbs of Dandenong and Springvale have been particular problem areas also. Residents and street police have asked police command to set up a special taskforce to combat violence and crime regarding the African migrants living in Melbourne’s inner-city housing commission estates such as Flemington and Fitzroy.

Groups of young Sudanese males have made it their practice to congregate in large numbers at train stations around Dandenong, and have been accused of intimidating behaviour and harassing people. Police spokesmen seem to be whitewashing the problem instead of fixing it.

In one incident, in June 2006, some two hundred youths were involved in violent brawls at a Sudanese wedding; many of the thugs were armed with samurai swords, machetes, and baseball bats. Risking their lives, 32 police bravely took on the 200-strong mob, and broke up the fighting. Further incidents of gang warfare in the Dandenong area have occurred, also involving weapons.

Yet more and more police resources are being spent on ways for the police to deal with the Sudanese problem. It has been reported that “police received specialist training with Sudanese community leaders on how to deal with cultural differences.” The fact is that our police forces have limited resources, and limited time to spend on combatting crime. For every 100 hours the police have to spend on the extra problems created by Africans imported into Australia, that’s 100 hours of police time lost, that would otherwise have been spent on combatting existing crime problems.

Australia now has more than 23,000 Sudanese refugees, whereas just 10 years ago there were “only” 2600. Police have expressed concerns about the growing level of Sudanese crime.

The Herald Sun newspaper has conducted a study of 400 cases in Melbourne’s Magistrate courts, and found that 14% of offenders originated from the Horn of Africa and the Middle-East, which is proportionately 20 times more (that is, 2000% more) than would be expected of the offender rate for the general Australian population.

However, the fact is no matter how many studies show that black African communities have a higher proportion of crimes (especially regarding violence and rape), Liberal-Labor will not ban black African immigration. Unlike the general population, Liberal-Labor are committed to destroying European society in Australia (which one did you vote for? CIM), and will not stop until every person in Australia is of Third World ethnicity, a result of a long-term programme of immigration-driven GENOCIDE of the Australian people.

Following public protests against the street-level realities of living with high numbers of black African refugees, the Liberal government of John Howard decided to cut back the number of Sudanese refugees coming into Australia. It should be noted that this is just a temporary cutback; it is not a ban on black African immigration.

Indeed, as Liberal-Labor are committed to the destruction of the Australian people via immigration and multiracialism, this decision does NOT mean an increase of

*New LOAN ONLY video DVD*  
**THE RETURN OF THE DAUGHTERS**  
*A vision of victory for the single young woman of the 21st century. For the first time in history, young ladies can expect to encounter a large gap between their years of basic training and the time when they marry—if they marry. Now Christian girls are seriously asking “What’s a girl to do with her single years? This highly controversial documentary will take viewers into the homes of several young women who have dared to defy today’s anti-family culture in pursuit of a biblical approach to daughterhood, and to rebuild Western Civilization, starting with the culture of the biblical home*  
**DVD-#CI-549 LOAN ONLY sug don \$5**



immigration from Europe. Instead, the government announced plans to bring in more “refugees” from Asia instead.

Australians should not be fooled that John Howard, or any other Liberal-Labor politician, is somehow “secretly” in favour of a predominantly European Australia. John Howard, Kevin Rudd, and Liberal-Labor politicians in general are committed to the creation of a multiracial Australia, even though this will mean the end of the Australian people.

Some Liberal-Labor politicians have made noises about immigration problems, but these are designed to fool the Australian people that these politicians are “secretly” really on-side. Australians should not be fooled: we need to face the fact that Liberal-Labor politicians are dedicated to the idea of making white Australians a minority in their own country (at which time we can look forward to the conditions that now exist in the old Rhodesia, now known as Mugabe’s Zimbabwe! CIM)

Liberal-Labor, with their so-called “non-discriminatory” immigration programme (it actually discriminates against European immigration, and against the survival of our people), are the current status quo in Australia, but maintaining that status quo equals death, and we must choose life if our nation is to survive.

We have to choose between two alternatives. We can do nothing, which gives passive approval to anti-Australian genocide, or we can join together with other Australian Protectionists to change things for the better.

The choice is simple.

References:

- “Police say Sudanese a gang threat,” The Australian, 5 Jan 2007
- “Refugees said to be turning to crime for kicks,” Townsville Bulletin, 27 Dec 2006.
- “Gangs link to home threats,” Sunday Herald-Sun, 8 Jan 2006.
- “Race Gangs Take Crime War ti City,” Herald-Sun, 3 Mar 2006
- “Politicians Silent as Racist Perverts Continue Attacks!” [www.protectionists.com/editorial20070106.htm](http://www.protectionists.com/editorial20070106.htm)
- “Warning on African refugee gangs,” The Australian, 26 Dec 2006
- “African answer to teen trouble,” Herald-Sun, 22 Jan 2007
- “No, this is not multiculturalism - it’s madness,” The Age, 9 Jan 2007
- “West Australian Premier proposes inner city curfew for juveniles” [www.abc.net.au/am/content/2003/s874560.htm](http://www.abc.net.au/am/content/2003/s874560.htm)
- “Some kind of beat-up” [www.theage.com.au/news/in-depth/some-kind-of-beatup/2007/02/10/1170524341435.html](http://www.theage.com.au/news/in-depth/some-kind-of-beatup/2007/02/10/1170524341435.html)
- “Ethnic crime: Somalian and Sudanese,” Australian News Commentary, 26 Jan 2006, [www.australiannews.com.au/Ethnic\\_crime\\_African.htm](http://www.australiannews.com.au/Ethnic_crime_African.htm)

Courtesy DESTINY, address above.

## NEW STUDY LINKS MERCURY TO AUTISM

Level of disorder dropped dramatically after element removed from vaccines (World Net Daily, March 3, 2006)

A new study shows a direct relationship between mercury in children’s vaccines and autism, contradicting government claims there is no proven relationship between the two. Published in the March 10 issue of the *Journal of American Physicians and Surgeons*, the data shows since mercury was removed from childhood vaccines, the increase in reported rates of autism and other neurological disorders in children not only stopped, but actually dropped sharply—by as much as 35 percent.

Using the government’s own databases, independent researchers analyzed reports of childhood neurological disorders, including autism, before and after removal of mercury-based preservatives.

According to a statement from the Association of American Physicians & Surgeons, or AAPS, the numbers from California show that reported autism rates hit a high of 800 in May 2003. If that trend had continued, the reports would have risen to more than 1,000 by the beginning of 2006. But the number actually went down to 620, a real decrease of 22 percent, and a decrease from the projection of 35 percent. Stated the AAPS: “This analysis directly contradicts 2004 recommendations of the Institute of Medicine, which examined vaccine safety data from the National Immunization Program of the CDC. While not willing to either rule out or to corroborate a relationship between mercury and autism, the IOM soft-pedalled its findings and decided no more studies were needed.”

As more and more vaccines were added to the mandatory schedule of vaccines for children, the dose of the mercury-based preservative thimerosal rose, so that the cumulative dose injected into babies exceeded the toxic threshold set by many government agencies, the physicians’ group explained.

Up until about 1989, pre-school children got only three vaccines - polio, DPT, and MMR. By 1999, the CDC recommended a total of 22 vaccines to be given before children reach the first grade, including Hepatitis B, which is given to new-borns within the first 23 hours of birth. Many of these vaccines contained mercury. In the 1990s, approxi-

mately 40 million children were injected with mercury-containing vaccines.

The rate of autism sky-rocketed between 1989 and 2003. Currently, there are more than a half million children in the U.S. who have autism.

In 1999, on recommendation of the American Academy of Pediatrics and U.S. Public Health Service, thimerosal was removed from most childhood vaccines as a “precautionary” measure. There was no admission of any causal link between thimerosal and autism.

The authors of the new report, David A. Geier, BA and Mark R. Geier, MD, PhD, believe consumers should still be concerned about mercury, as it is still added to some of the most commonly used vaccines, such as those for flu.

States the report: “Despite its removal from many childhood vaccines, thimerosal is still routinely added to some formulations of influenza vaccine administered to US infants, as well as to several other vaccines (e.g. Tetanus-diphtheria and monovalent tetanus) administered to older children and adults. In 2004, the Institute of Medicine of the U.S. National Academy of Sciences retreated from the stated 1999 goal of the AAP and the PHS to remove thimerosal from US vaccines as soon as possible. ... As a result, assessing the safety of [thimerosal-containing vaccines] is a matter of significant importance.”

Courtesy SITW, Box 513, Albert Lea, MN 56007

## DISEASE: CAUGHT OR EARNED?

by Peter Frogley

Diseases or illnesses are not ‘caught’ or acquired by fate, they are earned. Some out of ignorance and some laziness, but nonetheless earned.

Many questions can arise when the concept of ‘earn-



ing' diseases is discussed. Such questions as:

- If we don't catch diseases, how is it that when flu is going around everyone seems to get it?
- How is it that not every one catches a cold when colds are going around?
- How can two people eating basically the same diet, living the same life-style develop different diseases?
- If disease is caused by violating the laws of nature, how can a man in his 90s who has violated these laws all his life is in relatively good health, and his two-year-old grandson is dying of cancer—a chronic degenerative disease?

There are four main factors which determine the diseases or illnesses one will develop when they violate God's laws. These are:

- Attitude
- Genetic potential
- Types and severity of stress
- Vitality of the body

### ATTITUDE

Negative attitudes and thoughts compromise the electric field, disrupting the communication between our innate intelligence and our body, inhibiting the health pattern being constantly projected to the body. As a result the normal daily wear and tear on the body, to various degrees, is not repaired, because either innate intelligence does not get the full message of the need to initiate repairs, or the message of repair sent out by the innate intelligence does not get to the area in need of repair.

Different attitudes and negative thoughts will compromise the electric field in specific areas, thus disrupting communication between the brain and the specifically effected area. For example, an attitude of anger can cause problems in the throat region. Thus when one is harbouring a specific wrong attitude, they are setting themselves up for a specific problem. This happens in two ways:

- The normal 'wear and tear' factor will not be attended to on a daily basis for the specific area of the body affected by the wrong attitude, and thus it slowly degenerates over time.
- This specific area of the body so compromised will now become weakened tissue. Thus any stresses that are introduced will negatively effect that area more than other tissue that has retained communication with the brain.

For example, a person with a low self-image will compromise the portion of the electric field affecting the skin. When this person eats toxic foods, the skin (now being a weakened area) will be the site for the deposit of these toxins and skin symptoms develop, such as acne.

Another person who does not feel loved and supported by his family compromises the electric field around his neck area. This person may find that regular physical activity becomes a major stress on the neck and thus vertebrae may slip out of place, causing neck problems.

The more balanced the electric field, the better the communication between innate intelligence and the body. This allows the body to handle stresses that may otherwise cause disease.

### GENETIC POTENTIAL

Diseases are not inherited, rather the tendency or potential of a disease is passed on from parents to offspring.

A genetic tendency is only a potential disease. A genetic weakness does not have to manifest as a disease unless the laws of God are violated. Only then will the

weakness manifest. For example, if a father has a heart problem he will pass on a genetically weak heart tissue. This does not mean the child will have a heart problem, nor that they will develop a problem. Should the child violate the principles of life, however, those violations will take their toll on the weakest tissues in the body, in this case the heart.

These violations of the principles of life begin at conception and continue through life. For example:

- If the egg and/or sperm is toxic as a result of the general health of the parents at conception, the union of both will be toxic. any genetic weakness at conception begins to become toxic and thus diseased.
- If the mother is not healthy whilst carrying the child, the baby will need to compete with the mother for nutrients, but ultimately mother will have priority for available nutrition and energy.

Examples of this competition for nutrients may be seen in the mother developing tooth decay, leg cramps, extreme fatigue and other physical ailments.

- The mother's diet whilst carrying the child determines the nutrition the child is able to receive. If the mother eats a toxic diet the child receives the residual toxins, which bring a genetic weakening, especially during the the first three months of pregnancy. This is why many doctors refuse to give drugs or administer x-rays during the first trimester.

• The nursing mother passes her diet onto the child. If the mother is not eating nutritiously, genetic weakness will continue to be fed.

• The eating and living habits of the child, including attitudes, can have a detrimental effect. Even if parents had done the right thing nutritionally, a poorly eating child with poor attitudes

will feed genetic weaknesses.

- Living and eating habits continue to be important even after childhood. Young adults can feed genetic weaknesses if they begin to eat poorly.

As each generation passes, the manifestation (clinical evidence) of the weakness shows up earlier. So it is possible, even likely, that a grandson may develop a degenerative disease before the grandfather. This pattern was borne out in the Pollinger cat experiments of the 1940s. It showed that each generation of cats fed a poor (cooked) diet degenerated rapidly from the previous generation. At the fourth generation the line stopped as their parents were either sterile or the kittens were stillborn.

The good news is that genetic weaknesses or tendencies grow weaker with each generation that chooses a healthy life-style.

### TYPES AND SEVERITY OF STRESS

Different stresses will affect the body in different ways, thus different stresses will be manifest in different parts of the body. This factor is only minimally influenced by genetic tendency, but may establish a new genetic weakness for offspring. For example, a cigarette smoker will obviously store much of the noxious toxins of this habit in his lung tissues, whether he has genetically weak lung tissue or not. However, if he does happen to have genetic weaknesses of the lungs, the symptoms resulting from smoking will manifest much earlier than one who does not have genetically inferior lung tissue.

The body, in some cases at least, attempts to eliminate or store specific toxins through certain avenues. For example:

- Salt is emitted via the skin (this is why perspiration

*pamphlet*  
**CONQUEST BY STEALTH**  
*Are we losing our country to UN control? Just last year the UN proposed to the US that a global tax office be used to collect taxes from citizens to support a standing "world army." Wilderness areas are being closed to the public - no fishing - no hunting signs being erected on public lands.*  
**sug don \$1 for 5 copies**



tastes salty).

- Uric acid is stored in the big toe or feet (gout).

Also, the more severe or toxic the stress, the greater toll it will take on the health of the body.

### VITALITY

By definition, the vitality of the body refers to the overall energy level of the body, how much irritability the cells possess, the closeness of its pH to 7.0, how free the tissues are of toxins, the energy producing capability of the cells, etc.

The greater the vitality, the more energy the cells will have, increasing the vicarious elimination efforts by the tissues. This vicarious elimination will head toward the surface of the body — to get the toxins out of the body. Thus the mucous membranes and skin will generally be avenues of exit where symptoms will arise when the body's vitality is high. For example; sinusitis, ear infections, measles, chicken pox, etc.

As the vitality lowers—due to continued toxic input, stress and suppressing cleansing reactions—the body is no longer able to afford the energy and must simply store the toxins in its tissues, in the deeper layers of the body. This can result in arthritis, cancer, senility and diabetes, etc.

The better we understand the structure and function of the human body the better we can care for it and therefore significantly reduce health problems.

I am indebted to Dr Joel Robbins for the content of this article. -Courtesy Light of Life, 200 Florey Drive, Charnwood ACT 2615. www.lem.com.au

### SPEAK UNTO THE CHILDREN OF ISRAEL

*That They Go Forward!*

Never in the history of God's people was this instruction God gave to Moses more important than now. The old order is passing and as the crisis deepens it is essential that we go forward, declaring in no uncertain terms, as we go, the meaning of judgment as empires fall, nations disappear, systems pass away, and fear and terror abound on every hand. Where else except in the pages of these Letters can the information so needed by all in this day of strife and trouble be found? A question everyone should consider seriously is, What am I doing to help spread the glorious tidings of the Gospel of the Kingdom?

To those who have been blessed with a knowledge of the truth it is a privilege and a duty to pass on to others the information which has meant so much to them. In what better way can this be done, and at the same time do a personal favour for your friends, than to present them with a year's subscription to these Letters. Its readership testifies to the interest these Letters are creating among the thoughtful who desire to have a better understanding of the Divine plan of the ages.

No man is condemned who earnestly endeavours to carry out assigned work regardless of the degree of success of his efforts which may be seen and tabulated. Condemnation comes only when he refuses to go forward. Jesus paraphrased this in the illustration of the plowman who turns back: "No man, having put his hand to the plough, and looking back, is fit for the kingdom of God" Luke 9:62).

Time is indeed short but, with these Letters as a medium through which you can increase your witnessing manyfold, you have at your command a means of multiplying your testimony. This is no time for any of us to falter; we must move on ahead and intensify our activities in the months before us.

We are now in our 22 year of publication, while beginning a new calendar year. Will 2008 be a year of stirring

events? We feel it will be, while we continue to present to our readers the "thus saith the Lord" of Divine revelation, based on the world's only authoritative source, the Holy Bible, which supplies certainty and understanding in the midst of a confused and troubled world.

Also, as 2007 comes to its close, we pause a moment to thank all of our readers, who, during the past twelve months have so splendidly helped us by donations and the assistance rendered to increase the distribution of the Messenger, and the literature and tapes. We are most thankful that the Lord has raised up so many faithful friends who have been so ready and willing to cooperate in every way. The circulation has remained fairly stable as a result of this support, which represents one of the major fruits of the sustaining efforts of those who have so generously assisted us. Sadly, we have been farewelled by a number of our older readers, who have gone to their rest, we hope and pray that many of their children will pick up the torch and run with it.. To all we say God-speed and may His blessings rest upon you as go forward!

adapted from Destiny Letter, Box 177, Merrimac MA 01860

### we are commanded by the Almighty to RAISE GODLY CHILDREN

We are told to raise our offspring up in the way they should go. Our *Bibles* do provide us with guidelines to do this. The *Apocrypha*, which used to be in the King James Version of the *Bible* until sometime in the mid 1800s, and were included in the Greek *Septuagint* version of the Old Testament, were used by the Eastern Church, being quoted as Scripture by the early Christian Fathers such as Irenaeus, Clement of Alexandria, Tertullian and Cyprian.

Luther included the *Apocrypha* in his *Bible* (1534) as "books which are not equal to the Sacred Scriptures but nevertheless are good and useful to read" [we learned this from *Irwin's Bible Commentary*, 1928]. Calvin included them in his *French Commentary* in 1435.

In the *Apocrypha*, we learn some more reasons why raising Godly offspring is so important, and what happens when we raise up unGodly offspring. The full chapters are worth reading for those who have an *Apocrypha* to glean from. "*Better it is to have no children, and to have virtue:...*" Wisdom of Solomon 4:1.

*"Desire not a multitude of unprofitable children, neither delight in ungodly sons. Though they multiply, rejoice not in them, except the fear of the Lord be with them. Trust not thou in their life, neither respect their multitude; for one that is just, is better than a thousand, and better it is to die without children, than to have them that are ungodly."* Ecclesiasticus 16:1-3. Courtesy Hear Ye! Hear Ye! Christ's Servants Among the Ozark Mountains, General Post-office, Lead Hill, Arkansas.\*

The above shows why in other places we have been instructed to leave inheritances only to Godly offspring, in order that we do not fund the unGodly! Better to leave it to Godly friends and acquaintances, than to unGodly children! -----

Again our thanks to all those who have contributed to our work, and have helped to find new readers. Your continued efforts are very much appreciated. Thanks also for the letters, orders and newspaper cuttings. Please continue with them all. Again we have had some computer problems, which has put us a bit behind, but God willing, we will catch up again soon. We are still planning for Tasmania in March. May our Heavenly Father bless, guide and protect you during this new year, to Him be the glory,

