



Christian Identity Ministries

A member of the
Congregations of Israel

PO Box 146, CARDWELL, QLD, 4849, Australia

Ph: 07-4066 0146 (International 61-7 instead of 07) www.christianidentityministries.com - hr_cim@bigpond.com

"Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; For He hath visited and redeemed *His* people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he sware to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives." Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian people are *ISRAEL!*

#288

Covenant Messenger

April AD2010

(a publication of N.Q. Fellowship of God's Covenant People)

"WHEN FEAR REIGNS"

Robert McCurry

We have all heard it said that silence is golden. There are times however, when silence can become the most vile, contemptible and cowardly evil that could ever permeate a society; when silence is the fruit of fear. When through silence a society condones *any* evil, silence becomes *sin!* When a society's laws become corrupt and the power (civil authorities) that pass and enforce these laws becomes so totally invasive and seemingly so powerful, that the evil perpetrated by them is quietly and meekly accepted by the people in that society, then 'fear reigns!' Justice, judgment and truth are destroyed. Evil is, at first tolerated, and eventually and inevitably embraced! The longer the deafening silence remains, the greater becomes the fear and evil and the embracing of them. And the greater the fear, the greater the silence! Fear and silence are cancers that will slowly devour the very conscience of man and will surely destroy his soul.

The scriptures tell us that fear is bondage (Romans 8:15), and that Jesus came to deliver us from it (Hebrews 2:15). Fear brings torment (1 John 4:18), and will eventually cause us to be cast into eternal damnation (Revelation 21:8). All manner of evil, unspeakable atrocities, detestable, despicable, vile and decadent evils become accepted as healthy and good! As the twins of fear and ignorance are nurtured and grown, so grows the immoral, putrid filth of what reprobate mankind will accept and embrace! Man's debauchery knows no limits when once he is allowed to break the eternal immutable Laws of God, and no man has the courage to correct, punish or destroy that evil! (Jeremiah 17:9, Romans 1:28)

A wise man once said, "That which we tolerate today, we will embrace tomorrow." I think it could safely be stated that, "That which we tolerate today, we will embrace tomorrow, and that it will eventually become politically correct and 'legally' protected." At that point, society is doomed if the righteous cease being righteous through the sin of silence!

Evil can only reign through fear! Fear can only control the people when they become part of that evil through fear itself! Ignorance, fear and tyranny, both spiritual and physical, are the fruits of a complacent and cowardly society! The only 'salvation' for a nation (society) that has turned from righteous foundations and started drifting toward evil, is for the righteous people of that nation's society to rise up in *whatever* means necessary to 'cut' that evil root out of their society, lest that root grow and destroy their nation! History and scripture are replete with accounts of righteous men, whether few or many (righteous men rely on God's

strength), who have protected the innocent, overthrown tyrants and destroyed evil from among them for the glory of their Creator and the sake of their future generations! A reverent fear of God will allow a 'righteous' people to do no less.

Our founding fathers (which are now called 'terrorists' by the wicked, vile and hateful powers that now rule by *force*), prove the above statement. These righteous few were willing to lose all their 'stuff' and sacrifice their very lives to restore and preserve a righteous society for future generations!

If we truly are the lovers of liberty as we proclaim to be, *if* we are part of 'the called' (Romans 8:28), and *if* we are truly the sons and daughters of God, we can do no less! The blood of untold numbers of righteous men who went before us *and* the pure, righteous blood of our Saviour is crying out to us to stand, to speak out, to fight, and if need be, to die standing against evil, no matter what 'form' it may take. Evil may appear as a masked figure seeking to steal, kill and destroy! Or it may appear in a uniform wearing a badge, a black robe or a three piece suit. It may appear in the halls of government, in the halls of 'justice-judgment,' or in the church sanctuary posing as a minister of light (2 Corinthians 11:15). If those that call themselves 'the church' (called out ones) are sincerely seeking to do righteousness, they will not be silenced. They will grow into the maturity and the mind of Christ, and will be able to

IN THIS ISSUE:

When Fear Reigns,	1
Considering Marriage,	3
Marriage,	5
Mind Your Manners,	6
Does God Exist,	7
Homosexuals Already have the right to Marry,	9
Shortages,	10
Character Development,	11
Root Cause of our Chaos,	12

The views and opinions expressed in the articles herein or herewith are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIM. They are written by fallible men. You must ask Jesus to guide your studies!

CIM reserves the right to edit submitted or reprinted material in line with CIM editorial policy. CIM does the utmost to ensure that the spirit of articles remains intact at all times.

discern between good and evil (Hebrews 5:14). *If* they are His bride, they will expose evil (Ephesians 5:11), hate it (Psalms 37:10, Job 1:1, Romans 12:9, 1 Peter 3:11), and destroy (purge) it from themselves and their nation or it *will* destroy their nation (Deuteronomy 17:7-19, 19:20-21, 22:21, 24:7, Romans 1:32).

I know how a lot of people who read this will think to themselves that I have quoted all but one of the scriptures out of the Old Testament. There are many other scriptures in the writings of the New Testament that most definitely make reference to the death penalty. One *very* important point that most people do not consider when studying the New Testament, is the fact that Israel was under bondage to a foreign conqueror, namely the Roman Empire, *because* they had sinned by not following the Laws of God written to them in the Old Testament! There *was* no New Testament when the Christ came, nor for decades *after* His resurrection. We must indeed be very careful to rightly divide the Word of Truth (2 Timothy 2:16).

By their fruits you shall know them. A true disciple of Jesus *cannot* remain silent. If he does *not* stand for truth (John 14:6) then he knows that he is doomed (Matthew 10:33). Many will cry, "Lord, Lord!" and honor Him with their 'lips' but their hearts are not with Him (Matthew 7:22-23, 15:80). Through the fear of man shall the masses be deceived (Proverbs 29:25, John 7:13).

Let's get back to discussing the paralyzing fear that now grips and controls our society, including the modern day church in America. I have been told by many that I am a brave man, but to be bluntly honest, I am just an ordinary man with all the weaknesses of others. The *only* difference is the strength and courage that comes to me from an absolute faith I have in my Saviour and Sovereign God! Courage is not the absence of fear, but rather the overcoming of fear through faith.

The complacency and compromise we see in the church today is the result of generations of false teaching, both inside and outside the church walls. Government controlled public schools *and* seminaries have, through lies and false teachings, destroyed the knowledge of truth in scriptures and history. We now have the product (fruit) of generations of a deliberate dumbing down of society. An ignorant, apathetic, spineless, mindless, soul-less, mass of humanity that only desires one thing (Hosea 4:6): Security! They have sold themselves into a total tyranny for a bowl of 'soup.' Now we have become a people that are willing to accept anything the powers-that-be determine to be 'good.'

Our civil leaders and ignorant, or perhaps, 'willfully ignorant' pastors would have us believe that to obey *man's* laws is the only way to please God. Civil government has become totally decadent because the 'social' church has become complacent and has sinned unto silence! Not only has civil authority ignored and tried to destroy the eternal Law of God, but the church itself has become totally antinomian (without law). They have taught that the Law has been done away with, contrary to scriptures themselves! (Matthew 5:12). Without law, there is no sin (Romans 3:20, 4:15 and 5:13). Christ did not free us from the Law, but

rather from the curse of the Law, sin and death! (Romans 8:1-15). To break God's Law makes you a *sinner* even if you go to church and profess Christ! (1 John 3:4, Romans 3:31). Fear itself is a fruit of sin. (Romans 8:15). We who walk after the spirit and are of God overcome the spirit of fear (2 Timothy 1:7). Perfect (mature) love casts out fear and the torment that comes with it. (1 John 4:16)

So then, why are those who call themselves the 'redeemed of God,' *afraid* to even *speak* the truth? We have become a people so *fearful* of offending evil, *fearful* that we may be persecuted, and or prosecuted, for even speaking the truth that we have fulfilled Matthew 5:13, because of our *sinful* silence!

We are so pleased to speak of forgiveness, meekness, humbleness and 'love' that we have forsaken teaching the very *essence* of forgiveness and salvation. *Repentance!* How does society know to repent if no man has the Godly courage to expose their sin?

Why has the church become so politically correct, which is simply a term for complacency and compromise, that we don't call sodomy, murder, adultery, fornication,

stealing, covetousness, and all other things contrary to the righteous Laws of God what they are! Sin!?! Abominations!?! Evil!?! Decadence and immorality!?! Why does the 'church' remain, in reality, silent on these matters? Do we now know that we are going to give account for the evil that we tolerate? *Because* we say that we know God and call ourselves the people of God, and confess that we love Him with our lips, but we fail to stand against evil, it will bring greater damnation on us! Are we not just making *pretense* of righteousness? (Matthew 23:14, Proverbs 24:10-12, Luke 12:47-48) How are we not like the Pharisees that Jesus

called 'of your father the devil'? (John 8:44, James 4:17, Matthew 23:24-33).

We have become such a wicked and perverse generation, just as spoken of in the scriptures, that we now call good evil and evil good (Isaiah 5:20).

Why does our society, and even most 'Christians,' lament the death of a murderer of 60,000 innocent children (abortionist Tiller), and condemn the one who had the Godly courage to stop the slaughter of the innocent while weeping and wailing over the early death of a man who helped 'pervert' the minds of millions! A man who paid at least one family \$15 million in hush-money just so they wouldn't expose his perversion with children. These two instances are irrefutable proof of the conditions of society in America.

The 'church' today prefers to 'pick and choose' the scriptures they *want* to believe and live by. They ignore the manifold scriptures that condemn murderers, sodomites, lesbians, adulterers, fornicators and others to death, (18 offences carry the death penalty!) both in the Old and New Testaments. They choose to ignore the scriptures in Numbers 25, where one man had the courage to do what was right, while the civil and church authorities sat by and did nothing! And by doing what was right, saved an entire nation. Or when the head preacher of his day (high priest)

New LOAN ONLY Videos:

Strategic Considerations for a Multi-Generational Vision - Doug Phillips

To be successful in long-term strategic planning, we must understand the signs of the times and make wise decisions about our most important priorities. How will you approach community relationships, entrepreneurship, debt, and inheritance? Where and how will you worship? Who will your children marry?

CI-744 LOAN ONLY DVD @ \$5

Seven Secrets of Successful Multi-Generational Visionaries - Doug Phillips

Successful multi-generational visions, he explains, presuppose biblical patriarchy and post a holy prepatration for the next generation, and parents who embrace such vision must be driven by a holy purpose and have a passion for progeny.

CI-745 LOAN ONLY DVD @ \$5



in 2 Kings 11, overthrew the civil authority even with being charged with treason, and reinstated 'lawful' government. The 'preachers' stepped up to the holy call to stop an unrighteous civil government and set a whole nation free from an ungodly tyrant. Just like the preachers and clergy of the Revolution in America.

Our time is fast coming to a close for a chance of *repentance* in America. We may have already crossed the line according to 2 Kings 24:4 with the holocaust of abortion (killing - murder) of 60 million children. Be that as it may, *we are still accountable to a Holy God for our sin of silence!* Our silence and inaction has been and will continue to be, judged both now and in eternity.

It is the whole duty of man to obey God (Ecclesiastes 12:13-14). How much more to those who confess Him as Lord and Saviour.

Accountability to a Holy God is not optional. America, Australia, repent or perish!!!

WAKE-UP, PASTORS, WAKE-UP, CHRISTIANS!!

Courtesy, Wake-up Herald, 605 Moore Rd, Newman GA 30263

CONSIDERING MARRIAGE

by **Emilie Cianciola and Elysse Barrett**

WHAT A BEAUTIFUL GIFT OF GOD
IT IS TO FIND A GODLY SPOUSE!

Homeschooling is primarily about Biblical family revival. When we catch a vision for restored Biblical family life, training up our children in the LORD is a natural facet of that revival. As homeschool graduates, we grew up in a very pro-family environment; many of us were surrounded by great examples of strong and Godly marriages. As we have grown from children to adults, most likely we have seen our own parents grow and change. Hopefully one thing that has remained constant is the value of family and inter-family relationships - although there are always those who want to go it alone.

Proper and blessed family relationships are very dear to the heart of God, and are a subject frequently addressed in Scripture. The foundation of Biblical family life is marriage. It is the holy and sanctioned context for raising up children for the LORD, increasing His Kingdom, where they are forming and fellowshiping in Ecclesias.

"Behold, children are an heritage of the LORD, and the fruit of the womb is his reward. Like arrows in the hand of a warrior, so are the children of one's youth. Happy is the man who has his quiver full of them; they shall not be ashamed, but shall speak with their enemies in the gate." Psalms 127:3-5.

"And you, fathers ... bring them up in the training and admonition of the LORD" Ephesians 6:4. A warrior on his own, even with many arrows, cannot achieve a great deal - however, when a bunch of warriors get together, and then have many arrows between them, much can be achieved!

Considering that the very basis of Christian home education is a vision of family revival, one would expect to find that the homeschool community would cherish a Biblical view of marriage as being a holy and desirable pursuit.

What a beautiful gift of God it is to find a Godly spouse! The unique relationship between a man and a woman is a source of great mutual blessing, encouragement, and support, as each fulfills the roles given to them

by their creator. It is, indeed, a "good thing" to be married.

"He who finds a wife finds a good thing and obtains favor from the LORD" Proverbs 18:22.

Among our generation of homeschool graduates, courtship and marriage are popular topics. Much has been written and spoken on the subject - although there are always some who take no notice; and some of those who do want to do things right, still have much difficulty finding someone.

The message of maintaining a life of purity has been invaluable to the growth and maturation of many Christian homeschooling families, and have often served as a springboard for analyzing other areas of our lives against the standard of God's Word. Yet, despite the abundance of available information on courtship, a significant percentage of the Christian homeschool graduates reflect the prevailing societal attitudes in our secular culture, choosing to postpone rather than promote marriage. Among homeschool graduates, girls and guys alike, there is a definite drought of solid pre-marriage relationships and, it seems, even fewer homeschoolers are actually getting married and starting their own families. For all the *talk* there seems to be a lack of *acting* on the beliefs that we profess to hold.

Still, many homeschool graduates treat marriage with cool indifference. These are the ones who consider themselves far too independent and sophisticated for marriage. Marriage would be the end of the fun; the end of total freedom and all the time in the world to pursue wealth, education, and recreation; before even considering settling down to find a marriage partner and have children. For these, marriage is acceptable in theory, but certainly nothing to be pursued in earnest until several years hence. This group of homeschool graduates might even declare that singleness is an almost hyper-spiritual state. "You can do so much more for the LORD when you are single!"

Our modern culture wants extended play time, and even our parents' generation often encourages this thinking. In days gone by, the chorus of societal influence that was crying, "Why aren't you married? You oughta be ashamed. All your little brothers and sisters are married and have families already!" is gone. In our time, the modern chorus of societal influence is urging, "Take your time! You've got so much to see and experience before you settle down. Now is the time to find yourself." Has this new attitude been beneficial for the single contingent and society as a whole? If we have been raised with such a high regard for marriages and families - and we understand the importance of raising a Godly seed - then why don't we see more of our generation getting married and starting families? In some cases, undoubtedly because homeschooling families are isolated from other such families, and without churches or ecclesias to fellowship in, contacts with suitable future spouses is hindered.

One would expect to see the firstfruits of the homeschool movement eager to marry and begin families of their own, carrying on the tradition of Godly home life. So, why aren't we observing more Godly unions being formed? Why are more and more homeschool graduates deliberately delaying the beginning of their own families? In his recently published book, Dr. Voddie Baucham addresses this very issue:

"Another area where we tend to have a full-screen atti-



tude is marriage. The January 2005 issue of *Time* magazine featured an article on the extension of adolescence in our culture. Young adults in America are acting more and more like children every day. They leave home for college only to return after graduation, and often without jobs. They are also getting married late in life.”

R. Albert Mohler, president of the Southern Baptist Theological Seminary in Louisville, set off a firestorm in August 2005 when he told a radio audience: “The sin that I think besets this generation, is the sin of delaying marriage as a lifestyle option among those who intend someday to get married but they just haven’t yet.” Numerous media outlets picked up on Mohler’s comments, which apparently enraged many Christians. However, I believe Mohler is on to something.

As I travel across the country, I am amazed at the number of intelligent, Jesus-loving, Bible-toting, ministry-minded young men who absolutely refuse to grow up and take a wife! It is as though there was a new book of the Bible discovered (I call it 2 Hesitations) that reads, “Thou shalt not marry prior to graduate school, or at least until you have a middle-class income and a 40(k).” The only thing worse is looking into the eyes of scores of young women who ask me what they have to do to get these guys to man up and marry them.

Perhaps it is the skyrocketing divorce rate that has young men and women backing away from marriage. Or maybe it is the bad marriages they witnessed growing up. Then again, it could be that the cost of living has soared so high that one needs significantly more income to support a wife. However, if you ask me, I believe the answer is none of the above. The young men and women I meet actually believe there is something out there that they need to experience before they dive in to the deep, dark, oppressive world of marriage. For some it is travelling to Europe or Africa. Others want to spend time on the mission field (casting their pearls before swine, and giving that which is holy to the dogs, Matthew 7:6). Still others believe there is some magic age at which one automatically becomes ‘ready’ for marriage. Whatever the case may be, it is a far cry from the Biblical admonition, “*He who finds a wife finds a good thing and obtains favor from the LORD*” Proverbs 18:22.

How should we be thinking differently? While we certainly don’t have all the answers, here are some thoughts and observations that have come from extensive conversations with our parents and wise friends.

From our observations there are several contributing factors to the mindset of delaying marriage. Everywhere we look we are reminded that being a good person means that you should be sure and take lots of time for pursuing school. A person should also be sure and explore all of their own passions and interests before raising a family. There’s an unspoken rule that if you decide to get married and have children, you’ve cheated yourself out of finding out who you are and really could be. It used to be that being a parent was the highest position in the culture. Nowadays, the ‘position’ of mother and father has been demoted and it’s more respectable to be a doctor, pastor, or teacher—that’s where a person’s true fulfillment is supposed to be.

Another contributor in the lives of young people can be pressure from family that has aligned themselves with cultural norms - especially with grandparents and great-grandparents who may have had a bad experience getting married young - or just want it to be ‘better’ for their grandkids than all the hardships they suffered. They may even mean well, but they are hard-pressed to find a strong Scriptural basis for their belief in delayed marriage. Maybe this belief is communicated to the next generation in a subtle way—like joking with their grandkids that, “If you get married before you are X age, don’t expect to get an inheritance . . .” or something along those lines.

This encouragement of young people towards cultural norms (and away from marriage) even comes from homeschooling parents and grandparents who haven’t truly “caught the vision” of a sustained, multi-generational familial community. Thus may even come out of a lack of faith or trust of their own children - fearing that perhaps their children will make the same mistakes they did. The irony of this is that in our human nature we are going to make several mistakes, and some of them may be the ones our parents made.

It would seem another barrier is due to differing views of pre-marriage relationships - especially if young men don’t see that they play a major role in the decision making process.

This brings us to the point of preparation - money, education, skills, and material possessions being brought into the marriage. Even this can be another large obstacle towards pre-marriage and marriage relationships. We see our own generation pursuing college degrees, buying a home, putting aside a certain amount in the bank, or having any number of other goals that they need to achieve before they can pursue marriage. Each of these goals is good, and our intention is not to discourage someone away from these goals, but to challenge young people (and parents) especially to have reasonable standards and goals.

We passionately believe in preparation for marriage. It is a good and Godly thing - we are called to no less. However, it is possible to fall into thinking that the more prepared we are, the better our marriage will be. Soon it begins to consume us and preparing for marriage becomes the end in, and of itself, not the means to the end as it was supposed to be. Just look back a generation or two, and you can find many examples of where young people got married (often at a very young age) who were not well prepared (at least financially, yet their marriages were strong and shining testaments to the perseverance of love, over a half of a century later. Sometimes when we prepare too much we begin to depend on our own strength rather than God’s, and that’s a bad habit to form for marriage. If we expect to hold our marriages together in our own strength, they will surely fail.

We discussed with our parents this question of how much should a man or woman be prepared before coming to a marriage, and this is the bottom line they gave us: it should be reasonable.

As we discussed this further, we did our best to summarize some of their insights based on a lot of Bible study, prayer, and experience, watching successful and failed mar-

Tapes/CDs of the Month:

these messages from the late Pastor Earl F.

Jones are now available on CD:

C-001

LIVING IN AND OUT OF BABYLON

C-002

HEGELIAN DIALECTIC STUDY

C-003

THE ENSLAVEMENT PROCESS

C-004

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LOVE AND LAW C-005

ISRAEL HAS DESTROYED HERSELF

C-006

THE IMMIGRATION SCAM

these historic messages are still very relevant, and Earl was an excellent researcher and teacher - now these messages are on CD. This month - 6 CDs @ sug don \$20



riages. We were blessed and encouraged by what we came away with.

Ideally, the man would be prepared spiritually, and have given thought and effort to being materially prepared, but we don't believe he has to have totally 'arrived.' In fact some life challenges will be given to the couple by God to be met head-on together.

These same thoughts can apply for the girl, but since she is the one being looked after and coveted by the man, her preparedness and the wealth she brings may be in other areas. Her training may make her the 'perfect' helpmeet - intellectually, vision-wise, and skills-wise to be frugal and thus help their financial position. This is the 'capital' so to speak that she can bring to the marriage.

The couple should be prepared to carry out their Biblical mandate of having and rearing children as soon as the marriage is entered into (all forms of sexual activity which are to avoid pregnancy are forbidden in the Scripture: sodomy, harlotry, masturbation, - even abstention is limited). The parents of both children could help them achieve this several different ways, from financial help to housing (if needed or done in a Biblical way). Parents should not be unreasonable in demands of financial preparedness.

On the issue of being a Christian, however, there should be no compromise. They (parents and young people) should be flexible on some aspects of each person's doctrinal or spiritual understandings as the 'exact' match of growth, views, etc. can be unreasonable. Parents need to recognize that they themselves have grown in their 'spiritual walk' and 'understanding' and shouldn't expect total maturity of their children in these areas. If they continue to fellowship or attend the same church as others of their family, it will encourage growing in the same direction, and develop their spiritual growth. If they are on their own and away from this fellowship, they are more likely to backslide and have no spiritual growth or development.

Remember, the man will need someone to 'lead,' even as he himself is led (God-Jesus-man-woman, is the order). He should be prepared to do this the Biblical way of cherishing her and her views, growth, etc. in these areas. The woman should be prepared to follow, but with the idea of walking together as you both come to the same, or agreeable conclusions - with the idea that we are always responsible to God and God's Word for our growth. Yet, what a marvelous strength you can have together as you both work to lead and teach your family - for future generations. If young families can do this together, it will help them all to grow.

To sum up, the underlying factor that can lead to delayed marriages is fear - although there is also a shortage of suitable spouses - fear of failure and vulnerability, fear of intimacy and closeness, fear of responsibility and loss of independence (the latter being the result of being on your own for a long time). As understandable as these anxieties are, our God does not want us to be fearful or immature. He wants us to be bold and courageous, trusting in Him, and stepping forward in faith (Joshua 1:9). Fear is bondage, and young Christians must be willing to break out of this bondage with God's help. This can only be accomplished by replacing our thoughts with God's thoughts - reading and meditating on the many Scriptures in which the LORD commands us "do not fear" (Isaiah 41:10 and 13, Deuteron-

omy 31:8, Psalms 56:3, etc). Let us, as homeschool graduates, cast away timidity and begin the next generation with spirit and confidence!

The result of delayed marriages is often a more difficult transition into married life when it does come, because of the unnaturally prolonged state of singleness and unrestrained independence, to the detriment of the new generation of homeschoolers (or by then, they find it too difficult and like the dog returning to its vomit, take the backward step of going back to the school system).

It is important to clarify that we are not speaking of those who desire to begin their families and are positioning themselves for marriage. We are not addressing those who are actively awaiting God's choice for them and are busy-ing themselves with the tasks immediately before them, and yet have one eye open for whom God may be bringing into their lives. My prayer is that God does reward these family-minded individuals with their spouses and bless their marriages as they serve Him together!

No, we are addressing only the infiltration of the world's half-hearted attitude towards marriage into our Christian community. What can be done? It is time for the homeschoolers to replace the secular attitude towards marriage with the Biblical one. It is time for young people and parents together to turn towards God and ask Him to transform their lives by the renewing of their minds - seeking right-thinking on the issue of marriage. If we submit ourselves and our desires to the LORD, becoming others-centered rather than self-centered, and consciously begin to value what God values, we believe that God will bless us with Godly homes as we carry on the vision of homeschooling communities into the next generation.

Marriage is a good thing. It is a gift of God that young adults should be encouraged, not discouraged, to pursue. No homeschool graduate should feel that there is anything awkward or unscriptural from desiring a wife or husband.

[Elysse Barrett, 23, has a vision for her generation that is expressed in her life passions - especially her writing. Emilie Cianciola, 24, has been homeschooled all her life. Her parents were pioneer home-schoolers in Ohio.]

Courtesy Home School Digest, PO Box 374, Covert MI 49043

Library Builder:
**THE HIDDEN POWER BEHIND
FREEMASONRY**
by the late Lt. Col.
Gordon "Jack" Mohr

*"But having renounced the hidden things
of dishonesty, not walking in craftiness,
nor handling the Word of God deceitfully."
- 2 Corinthians 4:2*

*Jack blows the lid off the secret societies,
paganism and the occult behind the free-
masons. A useful expose for understanding
what they are all about. Good reference
work.*

#727 @ sug don. \$17.95

MARRIAGE

[from a mother to a daughter who is preparing for marriage]

Every young lady looks forward to when she will marry. Most have ideas that are just not what reality will offer. Your dad and I have always tried to make this clear and to instill in you that life is going to get tougher. We have tried to prepare you for this. To finally understand and experience the kind of relationship that God intended for a man and a woman "to become one." To experience the great blessing of children. To look at them, knowing that they are a part of each of you. To share the joys of having a family grow together.

The Lord placed this great desire in each of us to seek this, to have a need for this. But the pathway is never smooth on that journey. What will get you through the hardships that appear from time to time is your firm, steadfast commitment with the Lord and your marriage being united with Him.



Go to your husband with an open heart, not with caution. Your future happiness lies with him. There's more to loving a man than the feelings of the heart. Your husband needs to know that you see and appreciate all that he does for you. He carries a heavy burden of responsibility that with every decision he makes and action that he takes, he knows that it doesn't just affect him, it affects his family also. And when he makes a mistake, then he also knows that his family might bear it with him.

You will be his comfort, but in these moments, you will actually be a source of strength to him. He needs to know that his wife and children support him and will follow him in trust.

What happens between both of you should always remain just between both of you. Work problems out with your husband and rely on the Lord. As I have always tried to teach you, never react. Always pause, breathe, and think, before you act. When you need to bring an issue before your husband, think about how you are planning that and why. Don't keep secrets between you. Always have an open marriage with trust and honour.

Marriage is the second biggest commitment you will undertake in your life. The first is your commitment to the Lord. By fulfilling your responsibilities in your marriage, you are fulfilling a large proportion of your responsibilities to the Lord. And through it all, understand and appreciate how much you have been blessed.

As my first child, you have witnessed many of my mistakes as a wife and mother. Learn from them and don't repeat them. Some of the strongest lessons that my mother taught me were by deed and not by word. I hope that somewhere I have made such an impact on you as well. My mother is a strong and able woman, she raised me to be a strong and able woman, and your dad and I have raised you to be a strong and able woman. If your dad was in front of you now, he would say "you are ready."

Courtesy Dragon Slayer, Box 766 LaPorte CO 80535

MIND YOUR MANNERS

Recapture Etiquette and Family Time
by Joel Hilliker.

I have an image of the quintessential Italian family dinner, with a huge family - grandparents, aunts, uncles, cousins, parents, children - passing heaped dishes of pasta around a large yet cozy table, with chitchat, smiles and laughter sweetening the air along with the culinary aromas.

Apparently in our world, such a scene is becoming like a fairy tale. Not only are families increasingly scattered and tiny, but regular family meals and family worship are becoming a rarity.

In his book *Our Culture, What's Left of It*, Theodore Dalrymple describes a growing epidemic within Britain of family meals being replaced by uncivilized hunter-gatherer activity. He laments "the breakdown of the family structure - a breakdown so complete that mothers do not consider it a part of their duty to feed their own children once they have reached the age at which they can forage for themselves in a refrigerator - that promotes modern malnutrition in Britain." Children and adults forage and scrounge when hungry, or eat fast food on the go (not necessarily from a fast-

food outlet, it can be done at home!). They snack alone, even stealthily, often in the company of a television or other distraction.

What a missed opportunity. Amid life's busyness, the daily evening meal should be a welcome coming together among family members. A chance to connect, to share, to listen to each other. An opportunity to strengthen the bonds of unity and love. To teach these things to the smaller ones, as their eating habits are supervised and encouraged. It is also a chance to teach your children, by example, how to eat properly. An occasion for each person to see that he is a part of something bigger than himself. This is reinforced further by their gathering quietly for worship with extended family and church.

The need is certainly great. Just watch schoolchildren eat among, even with, an adult present. They tend to engage in some pretty unrefined behaviour. They need the reinforcement of good table manners that can occur only when a family eats together regularly - unless the parents themselves cannot demonstrate refinement.

Moved by these thoughts, I recently pulled a book off my shelf that had accumulated quite a bit of dust. Leafing through its pages, I quickly realized it had been sitting unused for far too long. It's called *Amy Vanderbilt's New Complete Book of Etiquette*. It was published in 1952; my copy was last updated in 1963. It's not even 50 years old - and yet, the rules of social graces it details seems to represent some exotic historical epoch. It helped me realize just how casual and low-minded our culture has become - and how quickly. In America in particular [following in the footsteps of Hollywood for so many years], it seems we *pride ourselves* on our uncouthness; we *exalt* the rude and the base.

I found one bit in this book extremely helpful: a list of rules children should be taught on dinner table conduct. Here, summarized from Amy Vanderbilt in 1963, are some things we **SHOULD NOT DO** at the table:

- Sit down to a meal unwashed and uncombed or improperly dressed.
- Tilt our chairs or push them back from the table with all our body weight on them.
- Tuck in a napkin, or suck or lick our fingers instead of wiping them on a napkin. The tablecloth is not a napkin substitute!
- Lounge on the dinner table, including putting our elbows on it or sitting back on our spines. (Apparently it is okay to put elbows - preferably one - on the table between courses).
- Put more than a manageable mouthful in our mouths at one time.
- Chew with our mouths open, talk with food in our mouths, or with obvious noise or lip-smacking.
- Speak unnecessarily loudly, or chatter incessantly - children should only speak when spoken to, and not join in adult conversation.
- Behave noisily and conspicuously.
- Burp, belch, sneeze or cough without attempting to turn away from others, and then only behind the cupped hand or a clean handkerchief.
- Scratch, pick teeth, spit, comb the hair, or tend the nails.
- Pull our finger joints, drum our fingers, or indulge in



any similar irritating little habits that set people's teeth on edge.

- Leave a spoon in a cup, or eat with a knife.
- Interrupt a conversation - except for an important reason and then only after asking permission to speak.
- Leaving the table before everyone is finished and excused. Sometimes children and parents are like two different families, with each 'doing their own thing' in regard to eating.

So there you have it. Soon after reviewing these rules with my daughters, one of them corrected my wife on the placement of an errant elbow during a meal. I told her that it was *far* more rude and inappropriate for a child to correct an adult than it was to misplace an elbow.

But that does bring up an obvious but crucial point: The best way to teach these rules is by our example. And the only way to do that is by eating together, regularly, as a family.

Courtesy The Philadelphia Trumpet, Box 3700, Edmond OK 73083.

DOES GOD EXIST?

by Evan Wiggs

Atheists are fond of asking the difficult questions of Christians. Many don't want the Christian to really answer, they just want them to cringe before their great intellect. But they are not as smart as they think. Actually each question is weak in points, and with this paper I intend to look at the atheist's questions and answer each one so that you, as the reader, can be adequately armed when you come to your meeting with the evangelical atheists. Atheism is losing out today in the postmodern world. They are making a lot of noise lately but that is mostly a death rattle. I am working on a paper refuting Hitchens' "God is Not Great," and Dawkins' "The God Delusion," both of whom are part of the fearsome foursome of modern atheism. Now to the questions that atheists like to ask. I will answer in bold type.

THE QUESTIONS:

1. "I can't see Him, I can't hear Him, I can't feel Him. My senses don't register Him"

It is amazing that this argument is still tried. I know the Jesus Seminar looked for Jesus, but my grandmother Lillian sure found Him. This is a classic argument from silence. It only shows the paucity of thought.

2. "I believe God is merely a creation of our own wish for something bigger than us. It is a reflection of our own insecurities."

This is irrational in that the atheist can really only describe his own motives. He believes God is thus. This is a strawman argument. God is being redefined as a wish fulfillment and thus can be argued away. This argument has another serious error. How can men know that God is "nothing but" a projection, unless they have "more than" knowledge? To be sure that man's consciousness is the limit of reality and there is nothing beyond it, one must go

beyond the limits of man's consciousness. But if one can go beyond, then there are no limits. This objection says that nothing exists outside our minds, but a person must go outside the boundaries of his own mind to say that. This is a self-defeating argument.

3. "A belief in God is irrational. Logic doesn't apply to a belief in God. We cannot empirically prove Him, so He doesn't exist." This belief in God is only irrational if the logic is based on the material world. By saying that we cannot empirically prove Him is basing all on material science. The atheist cannot empirically prove his own mind which is making this false deduction.

(I will list the following questions and answer them below):

4. **Cosmological Disproof** - God is a self-causing being. But it is impossible to cause one's own being, for a cause is prior to its effect, and one can't be prior to oneself. Therefore God doesn't exist.

5. **Teleological Disproof** - The universe was either designed or it happened by chance. Chance is an adequate cause of the universe. Therefore the universe was not designed and God doesn't exist.

6. **Ontological Disproof** - God is by definition a necessary existence. Now necessity cannot apply to existence. Therefore, God does not exist.

7. **Moral Proof** - An all-good God would destroy all evil. An all-powerful God could destroy evil. But evil is not destroyed. Therefore such a God does not exist.

8. **Existential Disproof** - If God exists then all is determined. But if all is determined then I am not free. But I am free. Therefore, God doesn't exist.

THE ANSWERS:

4. **Cosmological (from Creation) Argument.** This is a Prime Cause Argument. There is a universe and it is finite and of limited duration. The universe had a beginning. Anything that had a beginning must have been caused by something. Therefore the universe had a creator.

How do we know the universe is finite, of limited duration and had a beginning?

1. *Second Law of Thermodynamics.* This is the law of entropy or the fact that all energy and order leads to states of less energy and less order. This is very simple. I have a more rigorous definition in my paper *Ten Reasons Evolution is Wrong*. What entropy tells us is that the universe is like a top that has been spun and it is winding down.

2. *Evidence of a "Big Bang" Beginning.* We can look all around us in the universe and see

we had some kind of energetic beginning. There is a heat signature in the two-meter band in space that tells us there was some heat at some time in the past. So it appears that with that heat there was also a beginning.

3. *Time cannot be infinite.* This argument goes like this: An infinite number of moments cannot be traversed. If an infinite number of moments had to elapse before today, then today would have never come. But today has come. Therefore an infinite number of moments have not elapsed before today (i.e. the universe had a beginning). But what-

New Tapes/CDs:

cd#C-147 Defending the Law of the Tithe, pt 1,

James Upton

cd#G-798 The 10th Commandment, pt 1

cd#G-799 The 10th Commandment, pt 2

cd#G-800 The 10th Commandment, pt 3

cd#G-801 The 10th Commandment, pt 4

cd#G-802 The 10th Commandment, pt 5

(all Ted Weiland)

cd#E-293 We Are Getting Close to the End, pt 1

cd#E-294 We Are Getting Close to the End, pt 2

cd#E-295 We Are Getting Close to the End, pt 3

(all Don Elmore)

tape#J-297 Application & Practicality of Biblical Law, pt 4. **John Weaver**

(this like J-298, can also be put on a dvd, with unmatched video, as too long for cd)

dvd#J-298 Application & Practicality of Biblical Law, pt 5. **John Weaver**

(sound & picture do not match - too long for cd)

cd#J-299 The Last Days, **John Weaver**

cd#J-300 Principles of Deliverance, pt 3

John Weaver



ever is, has a beginning, is caused by something. Hence, there must be a Cause (Creator) of the universe.

Dependency Argument. This is a sub-cosmological argument. Why is there something right now rather than nothing? What is keeping us in existence? The argument is: Every part of the universe is dependent. If every part of the universe is dependent, then the whole universe must also be dependent. Therefore the whole universe is dependent for existence right now on some independent Being.

2. **Teleological (end or purpose) Argument.** This is a Design and Information Argument. All designs imply a designer. There is great design in the universe. Therefore there must be a Great Designer of the universe. How do we know the universe is designed and not just a random event?

1. Complex design such as watches, buildings and paintings require a creator.

2. The greater the design requires a greater designer. Beavers make log dams but they could never make Grand Coulee dam. A million monkeys sitting at typewriters for a million years could never type Hamlet, but Shakespeare did it on the first try.

3. "Complex design" means specified complexity. Crystals have specificity but not complexity. A crystal's information put into letters would be: abababab. Random polymers have complexity but no specificity. A random polymer's information put into letters would be: jfgakreutiohtpaiohr.

Living cells have both complexity and specificity. This kind of specified complexity is never produced by natural laws. The living cell information put into letters would be this sentence: DNA is the most specific complex code known to man.

3. **The Ontological (being, reality) Argument.** Known as: The Perfect Being Argument. If God did not exist, then He would be lacking perfection, namely existence. But if God lacked perfection, then He would not be absolutely perfect. But God is by definition an absolutely perfect being. Therefore, an absolutely perfect being (God) must exist.

The Necessary Being Argument. If God exists we must conceive of Him as a necessary Being. But by definition, a necessary Being cannot not exist. Therefore, if a necessary Being can, then it must exist.

Now we will look at some more arguments we can look at in talking with our atheist friends.

The Moral Law argument is a great argument for the person who believes in nothing but the material. If all that exists is material then there is no reason to believe in absolute morality. These people are fond of saying this statement in many varying ways. "Everything is relative" - they misunderstand that this statement is itself self-defeating and is an absolute statement destroying their whole argument. Every atheist will agree that there appears to be a moral law. I remember in my younger days I worked with an evangelical atheist. We worked in a book store and one day we all were working sorting books on a big table. The atheist, looking to score some points against the lowly Christian said: "I don't believe there is any such thing as sin." I let the silence go on for a bit to make my statement more dramatic. I said to him:

"Have you ever done anything to anyone, a friend or loved one that you are so ashamed of and would not want to share that with everyone right now?" He looked at me speechless as thoughts flooded his mind and I said: "That is sin!" So we come to the moral law argument.

4. **Moral Law Argument.** From Romans 2:12-15; "a law is written on their hearts." Moral laws imply a moral Law Giver. There is an objective moral law. Therefore there is a moral Law Giver. Moral laws describe what ought to be. Natural laws describe what is. Is there an objective moral?

1. Humans do prescribe to proper behaviour for other humans. Atheists are so very fond of getting very worked up over all the bad things people who claim to be Christians do. I always want to ask them why they think those things are so bad seeing as they don't really believe in an objective moral law. Or do they?

2. How can we say "the world is getting better or worse" without an outside moral standard?

3. If Hitler was objectively morally wrong and is not just an opinion, then there must be an objective moral law to which we are all bound.

4. Moral relativists like to talk moral relativity but live by objective morality.

As to the argument that God being all good is allowing evil in the world and if He can do something about it and isn't, that is proof there is no God; all we have to say is God is all Good (but He also makes peace and creates evil) and is capable and IS doing something even as we watch. Is that not the work of Jesus on the cross in redeeming and drawing "all that were under the law." (Galations 4:5).

5. **Religious Needs Argument.** How the argument goes: Human beings really need God. What humans really need, probably really exists. Therefore God exists.

It is irrational for real needs to exist and there be no way to fulfill those needs in the universe. One may have a need for water and look in the wrong place for water, and die, but water exists to fulfill the basic need of man for water.

Does man need a God?

Even the atheists need a God.

1. Julian Huxley: "On Easter Sunday, early in the morning, I got up at daybreak, before anyone else was about, let myself out, ran across to a favorite copse (small bit of woods), penetrated to where I knew the wild cherry grew, and there, in the spring dew, picked a great armful of the lovely stuff, which I brought back, with a sense of its being an acceptable offering, to the house. Three or four Easters running I remember doing this. I was fond of solitude and of nature, and had a passion for wild flowers: but this was only a general basis. When sanctity is in the air, as at Easter, then it can have free play."

2. Friedrich Schleiermacher defined religion as "a feeling of absolute dependence on the ALL."

3. *The Humanist Manifesto II* says "commitment to all humankind is the highest commitment of which we are capable."

4. John Dewey defined religion as "any ideal pursued with great conviction because of its general and enduring value."

5. Paul Tillich even used the word "god" of the feeling of ultimate commitment.

6. August Comte set up a humanist cult with himself as the high priest.

7. The United States Supreme Court even defined secular humanism as a religion in the 1965 case *United States v. Seeger*.

8. William James even admitted "that those who set this world afire are themselves set aflame from another world. They are the saints, not the secularists. They believe

New LOAN ONLY video
INTELLIGENT DESIGN
Professor Tom Woodward 60 min lecture
Hosted by Saltshakers, Inc. Melbourne.

August 2007.

plus 15 min. interview.

if you have something that is intricate, and yet runs like clockwork, it is because it was designed that way - like the universe!

DVD#CI-647 LOAN ONLY sug don \$5



in a supernatural world, which secular humanism denies.”

9. Charles Templeton was a protégé of Billy Graham and some said he would be greater than Billy. However, a crisis of faith caused Charles Templeton to lose his faith. Charles Templeton published his atheist manifesto *Farewell to God: My Reasons for Rejecting the Christian Faith* and tried to eviscerate the Christian faith by attacking it as “outdated, demonstrably untrue, and often, in their various manifestations, deleterious to individuals and to society.” In an interview with Lee Strobel in his book *The Case for Faith*, this exchange took place:

“And so how do you assess this Jesus?” It seemed like the next logical question - but I wasn’t ready for the response it would evoke.

“Templeton’s body language softened. It was as if he suddenly felt relaxed and comfortable in talking about an old and dear friend. His voice, which at times had displayed such a sharp and insistent edge, now took on a melancholy and reflective tone. His guard seemingly down, he spoke in an unhurried pace, almost nostalgically, carefully choosing his words as he talked about Jesus.

“He was,” Templeton began, ‘the greatest human being who ever lived. He was a moral genius. His ethical sense was unique. He was the intrinsically wisest person that I’ve ever encountered in my life or in my readings. His commitment was total and led to His own death, much to the detriment of the world. What could one say about Him except that this was a form of greatness.’

“I was taken aback. ‘You sound like you really care about Him,’ I said.

“Well, yes, He’s the most important thing in my life,’ came the reply. ‘I... I... I,’ he stuttered, searching for the right word, ‘I know it may sound strange, but I have to say ... I adore Him.’ - I wasn’t sure how to respond. ‘You say that with some emotion,’ I said.

“Well, yes. Everything good I know, everything decent I know, everything pure I know, I learned from Jesus. Yes ... yes. And tough! Just look at Jesus. He castigated people. He was angry. People don’t think of Him that way, but they don’t read the Bible. He had a righteous anger. He cared for the oppressed and exploited. There’s no question that He had the highest moral standard, the least duplicity, the greatest compassion, of any human being in history. There have been many other wonderful people, but Jesus is Jesus.’

“And so the world would do good to emulate Him?”

“Oh my goodness, yes! I have tried - and try is as far as I can go - to act as I have believed He would. That doesn’t mean I could read His mind, because one of the most fascinating things about Him was that He often did the opposite thing you’d expect.’

“Abruptly, Templeton cut short his thoughts. There was a brief pause, almost as if he was uncertain whether he should continue.

“‘Uh ... but ... no,’ he said slowly, ‘He’s the most ...’ He stopped, then started again. ‘In my view,’ he declared ‘He is the most important human being who ever existed.’

“That’s when Templeton uttered the words I never expected to hear from him. ‘And if I may put it this way,’ he said as his voice began to crack, ‘I ... miss ... Him!’

“With that, tears flooded his eyes. He turned his head and looked downward, raising his left hand to shield his face from me. His shoulders bobbed as he wept.”

6. **The Existential Argument.** This is really a funny argument for an atheist to come up with, as most atheists

are materialists, and materialists admit that everything is material. That implies there is no free will. They like to beat up Christians with this to try to say our concept of free will is stupid. But that is not true if that argument passes on beyond the material - which opens up thought in areas and directions the atheist is uncomfortable.

But, about free will, let us look at the three possible worlds, and there are only three, that God could create.

1. There is the world of amorality. This is a world where there are only rocks, trees and animals but no humans that could make a moral choice.

2. There is a world of immorality. This world where there are humans, but those humans are robots who are forced to love God. Forced love is nothing but rape and is immoral.

3. Finally there is a moral world. This world is where there are humans, but those humans have been given free choice to love God or not (or so they think - it was all orchestrated by a Sovereign God, who knew the end from the beginning, CIM). Thus the dynamic tension is brought up that man can choose to not love God or to love God. It is obvious that this world is the one God chose to create because He is moral in character.

“As many as were ordained to eternal life believed.” Acts 13:48.

I hope this article helps you in your decisions you make for the LORD.

Courtesy Home School Digest, Box 374, Covert MI 49043

HOMOSEXUALS ALREADY HAVE RIGHT TO MARRY

Reed R. Heustis, Jr.

Yes, homosexuals have the right to marry, but please don’t clobber me just yet.

The entire homosexual marriage issue has been misframed from the beginning. Advocates for homosexual marriage have cleverly couched the debate in special terms so that Christians who reject homosexual marriage are immediately forced to fight themselves out of a box, lest they be branded as ‘bigots.’ Because Christians always seem to be on the defensive, it is no wonder that the homofascists have advanced their agenda as far as they have.

It is long overdue for Christians to go on the offensive by reframing the debate altogether. Currently the debate is framed this way: If you deny the rights of homosexuals to marry, then you are denying a fundamental liberty to a segment of our society. Denying a group of citizens a fundamental liberty, that is enjoyed by others, is a violation of civil rights. Therefore, opposition to homosexual marriage is likewise a rejection of civil rights.

As one can see, those who defend the Biblical view of marriage must immediately fight from a position of defense. However, a major glaring flaw exists in the sodomites’ deceptive premise, and it is surprising that Christians have not fully exploited it. Homosexuals already have the right to marry. (Yes, you read that correctly. Let me repeat it). Homosexuals have the right to marry. And what’s more, I support that right.

There is a very simple explanation for this seemingly shocking statement. If the debate is to center upon the “right to marry,” then marriage itself must be defined at the outset. When the homofascists babble about the “right to marry,” they are actually talking about a ‘right’ to have the



state recognize as legitimate, a union between same-sex couples. Such unions, however, do not constitute true marriages.

The Book of Genesis does a superb job describing what a marriage truly is:

“And Adam said, This is now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh; she shall be called Woman, because she was taken out of Man. Therefore shall a man leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave unto his wife; and they shall be one flesh.” (Genesis 2:23-24).

The Gospel of Mark supports the Book of Genesis: *“But from the beginning of the creation God made them male and female. For this cause shall a man leave his father and mother, and cleave to his wife; And they twain shall be one flesh: so then they are no more twain, but one flesh. What therefore God hath joined together, let not man put asunder.”* (Mark 10:6-9).

From the infallible Holy Scriptures themselves, which constitute the Word of God, it is clear that the definition of marriage is a cleaving together of one man and one woman, becoming one flesh.

A man cannot cleave to another man; nor can a woman cleave to another woman, and simultaneously exist in a relationship that is rightly called a marriage. So, do homosexuals have the right to marry or not? Of course they do. Regardless of whether someone has homosexual or heterosexual tendencies, each person already enjoys the right to marry by cleaving to a member of the opposite sex. A rejection of “homosexual marriage” does not deny this fundamental right to marry, and therefore is not a violation of any person’s civil rights. If a homosexual man wishes to cleave to a woman, then he is equally and alike, free to do so, no different than a heterosexual man. Therefore, those who tout themselves as homosexual already enjoy the **right** to marry. They should change their tune!

The defense of the biblical position therefore should not unnecessarily focus on **civil rights**. All people have the right to marry someone of the opposite sex, regardless of whether they are hetero or homo-sexual.

Instead of constantly having to fight out of a corner, it is time for Christians to turn the tables by taking an offensive strategy that forces their opponents to admit publicly that **their goal is to redefine marriage**.

That is what is going on here. Sodomites want to have their cake and eat it too. They want so desperately for God to approve of their perverted relationships, that they rebelliously seek to rewrite the Bible and redefine marriage. What’s more, it angers them to no end. People should not care about angering homosexuals though. They should care more about angering an all-righteous God!

(Reed R. Heustis, Jr. is an attorney and a Southern California native. Reed earned his Juris Doctorate from California Western School of Law in San Diego, and his Bachelor of Arts degree in Political Science from the University of California at Irvine. Reed, his wife and daughter attend Trinity Reformed Baptist Church in LaMirada, California.)

Courtesy The Virginia Christian Israelite.

SHORTAGES

by R.J. Rushdoony

“From Eden on, the world has always faced potential or actual shortages. Economic progress means overcoming shortages by applied intelligence and work.”

Like most people of my age, I had a good education. That is, I was fed all the liberal myths and illusions as gospel truth, and I was fed them ably. I was just beginning junior high school, at the beginning of the 1930s, when I was taught that, by the time I would be twenty-one years old, the world’s coal and oil deposits would be exhausted. I was warned that it was necessary for my generation to face up to the fact of this coming world disaster. Of course, the world’s total known deposits of coal and oil were far less than they are now, but the same horror story of a world blackout, of a cold and energy-less world population, is still with us.

In recent years, I have spoken of this junior high indoctrination to a number of people, of my age and younger. Perhaps, I reasoned, I had a few teachers of rather dim outlook, and others may have been better taught. To the contrary, I found that such teaching has been commonplace from at least the 1930s to the present.

I hardly need add that it is very prevalent today. Such thinking has been well answered in a number of able books. We live in a world of disaster by expectation, and disaster by state decree. There is, for all such people, a scary and alarming fact about creation: there is a built-in scarcity. Earlier, humanism tended to operate on the premise of infinite resources. In the twentieth century, especially after mid-century, opinion began to favor the scarcity doctrine.

Now it is true that this world is a limited world. Infinity and infinite power and resources belong

only to God. The very fact of creatureliness limits both man and the universe. Man finds this a disaster only because he dreams of being as God, and to be anything less is for him an evil. To live in the world God made is to live in a world of shortages *which only man can relieve*. Adam faced a world of radical shortages on Day One of man’s history; a shortage of tools, of housing, of furnishings, of tableware, of everything. True, he had a garden full of fruit, but no stepladder, and no bucket or bushel basket. Have you ever tried to shinny up a fruit tree with a naked butt? Anyone who has ever picked peaches knows how fuzzy peaches are on a tree, and how that fuzz clings to the flesh and itches; there is no relief short of bathing. A scratched and itchy Adam must have spent more than a little time cleaning up in one or another of Eden’s four rivers, Pison, Gihon, Hiddekel, and Euphrates. No doubt, more than once before his first meal and after, he must have wondered why God did not provide him with more equipment. The Landlord had placed him in a situation of appalling shortages.

Of course, it was intentional. The potentialities in the world are, while not infinite, more than man can ever develop in the long history of time. To be developed, those potentialities require work and intelligence, and a progressive capitalization. The key, as Edward A. Powell points out, is finding where the potentialities are.

Disaster faced the world of the 19th century, with its

Pamphlet:

TO YOUNG CHRISTIANS

by Sheldon Emry

“I believe that Christianity is in the corrupt situation that it is in primarily because of that one thing, that one thing that is destroying Christianity perhaps more than anything else, that the one set of parents have not taught even the things they know of God to their own children. So that each generation is more ignorant of the Word of God than the past one. We can’t blame it on the anti-christs, they were there to take responsibility from us, but we had no authority to give them the responsibility.” Good instruction based on Paul’s instructions to Timothy. Good for young couples.

#744 @ sug don \$2.75



radical dependency on whale oil, until oil was first discovered and brought out of the earth. Since then, a series of previously once unknown or unimagined potentialities have led to new sources of power, energy, and technology. From Eden on, the world has always faced potential or actual shortages. Economic progress means overcoming shortages by applied intelligence and work.

One area of total shortage facing Adam in Eden was money. None existed. This was only natural, of course. Before there can be money, there must be work; there must be the production of wealth, and exchange by means of a trustworthy medium of exchange, which is gold. Not surprisingly, two verses in Genesis 2, verses 11 and 12, tell us where gold was to be found.

Modern, fiat money represents wealth, just as a snapshot represents a house or a man pictured therein, but it is neither. This is why it always inflates and becomes progressively worthless. Sound money does not merely represent wealth: it is wealth. The gold ruble of the tsars is still valued money, but their paper money is worthless except as a curio.

Gold as money, like every other form of wealth, has a price; it costs something to mine and refine. In brief, it is costly money. However, the goal of the humanitarian statist is *costless money*. The value of the costless money to men is that it enables the state to enact legislation and controls, with powers previously unobtainable except by brute force, and inadequately even then. Costless money appears as a bonanza for all; a means of having one's cake and eating it too.

J. Freire d'Andrade, in commenting on our modern, costless paper money, observed, with respect to its consequences:

"First: work is not the only way to earn a living. Secondly: work can receive a value independently of the worth society attributes to the services rendered. That is, a situation arises where we have no voice, as individual members of society, in setting the value of the work of others."

Costless money is state money, state capital, and it leads to state-ordained projects, and state-controlled economics. This is now not only a national but an international fact of economic life; because people are taxed directly and indirectly by inflation, to provide for world growth, and supposedly, world economic stability. As a result, we face a world of government-made economic structures where, in d'Andrade's words, "all countries have built the life of their communities upon an entirely artificial structure."

One of the purposes of costless money is to relieve world hunger and eliminate shortages. One of its consequences, finally, is to create massive and sometimes artificial shortages and hunger. The shortages are artificial when goods are withheld from the market, or go to the market in inferior form, because the money is becoming worthless.

Production is perverted by a number of causes, but on the whole and in the main, by statist policies and intervention. War can pervert production dramatically by creating artificial barriers and artificial markets within those barriers. Debt also perverts production, often dramatically, and certainly, since World War II, this has been a major factor in preventing production. Finally, costless money is the decisive and continuing form of perverting production.

It does more than pervert production. It perverts and destroys civil orders, rulers, and nations. It has not been sufficiently stressed that Louis XVI, in refusing to follow the counsel of Turgot, his finance minister, that there be "No bankruptcies, no new taxes, no loans" ensured his own downfall. In dismissing Turgot, he dismissed being repeated everywhere today. It was to Napoleon's credit that he refused that course and told his first cabinet, "I will pay cash or nothing." Napoleon held "National debt as immoral and destructive, silently undermining the basis of the state; it delivers the present generation to the execration of posterity." Moreover, he held, "While I live, I will emit no paper," meaning both bonds and paper money. Not surprisingly, Napoleon had no popularity with the other powers of his day.

Weber, in his excellent study, *Grow or Die!*, comments, "In essence, to say that we are running out of resources means, according to sociologist Ben J. Wattenburg, that 'the one key resource - the intellect of man - is running dry.' But that is not happening." What we do have a shortage of is the morality to resist the exocomics of antichrists, to resist costless money, debt, and statist nostrums. We want men and the world to be saved by shortcuts and by fiat answers devised by man.

Shortages are less a problem now than they were in Eden. The problem is man, and man is a sinner. He dreams of being God, of creating out of nothing, so that he can have something for nothing. He governs his life, his economics, and his politics in terms of that dream. Century after century, he has attempted to impose his statist dream (or nightmare) on history, only to create disaster upon disaster. He will not learn; he refuses to learn. After all, you can teach a god nothing. Only when man becomes again a man in Jesus Christ, when he surrenders the madness of his dream to be god, can he deal, by means of intelligence and work, with the problem of shortages.

The problem is at root a moral problem, and it requires a moral answer.

1. See, for example: John Maddox, *The Domsday Syndrome*, New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, (1972) 1973; and Melvin J. Grayson and Thomas R. Shephard, Jr., *The Disaster Lobby, Prophets of Ecological Doom and other Absurdities*, Chicago, Illinois: Follet, 1973.

2. J. Freire d'Andrade, *Freedom Chooses Slavery* (New York, NY: Coward-McCann, 1959), 61.

3. *Ibid.*, 54.

4. Tilden, *A World in Debt*, 221, 248, 313, 323.

5. James K. Weber, *Crow or Die!* (New Rochelle, NY: Arlington House, 1977), 61.

Courtesy Chalcedon Report, Box 158 Vallecito CA 95251

*Do you have 'Rapture-deceived' friends?
- an indepth look into the rapture -
by Pastor Ron Poch*

I WANT TO BE LEFT BEHIND

"And it shall come to pass, that he that is left in Zion, and he that remaineth in Jerusalem, shall be called holy . . . Isaiah 4:3.

"The meaning of shout, trumpet and caught up ... I enjoyed the way he takes us through the Word. He reveals the different aspects of each of these words as they apply to the context of the Bible. I agree with the author that 'God's people should be living in the here and now.'"

Finally - something that is easy to read and understand - should be in the hands of honest seekers everywhere.

#447 @ sug don \$23.85

CHARACTER DEVELOPMENT

by Luke Martin

THE WORD CHARACTER DOES NOT
APPEAR IN THE BIBLE.

However, the words *integrity* (in the Old Testament) and *virtue* (in the New Testament) have the same basic meaning. "*The integrity of the upright shall guide them: but the perverseness of transgressors shall destroy them.*" (Proverbs 11:3).

Integrity simply implies an upright life, being the same



all the way through, and the ability to control oneself in a time of crisis. Good character has been defined as the ability to recognize what is right, kind, decent, wise, and loving in every situation: having the desire to be virtuous and acting consistently in accordance with this knowledge and desire.

Character is the prevailing nature of a person. It involves moral strength or weakness. The special ways in which a person feels, thinks, or acts, whether they be good or bad, make up their character. Good character therefore is the expression of moral firmness and self-control.

Proper character development is important to ensure a good foundation for congenial relationships in the home. Good character provides a safeguard that helps a child cope with peer pressure. It is youth with sound character who come through the crisis experiences of life successfully. This is especially true when their lives are subjected to the control of the Holy Spirit.

Parents bear the primary responsibility for the development of proper character. Good character does not develop spontaneously. Children have to be taught what is right and then be **required** to do it consistently.

When we really get serious about building character into the lives of our children, we may need to go through a school of rehabilitation ourselves. Why? Because the deficiencies we see in our children probably reflect our own. Some parents are blind to their children's character deficiencies because they are blind to their own. Within a church body of faithful believers, such a situation will be less likely to develop if parents are open to the counsel and observation of others. A real positive benefit from belonging to a church group. Let us consider several specific character traits.

OBEDIENCE

True obedience entails doing what an authority figure asks us to do. It means doing it immediately, respectfully, joyfully, and completely. It is the opposite of doing our own thing.

Obedience requires the submission of the child's will to those who are in charge. The child must be taught this submission through the process of love and law. Because a child's will is set to do their own thing, they must be taught very early the meaning of the little word **'no.'** To learn this, they will, of necessity, experience pain and correction. Disobedience should always be understood to equal pain, suffering, and regret. Of course, the opposite will also be true: obedience brings happiness, approval, and satisfaction.

Obedience should have top priority in the parents' scale of values. No one can experience salvation apart from obedience. It is God who commands us to obey Him first of all. He also commands that we obey those to whom He has delegated authority, such as government officials, church leaders, and the boss on the job. Obedience is essential if a child is to attain their highest usefulness in God's kingdom.

Obedience helps children to see love behind authority. As long as children are allowed to challenge authority, they will see only what the authority is denying or requiring. Both they and their parents will be unhappy. Once children are taught to submit their will promptly, they will be able to come to rest in their spirit and to respect discipline. They then will be able to enjoy the protection and security that comes from being under authority.

To teach obedience effectively, the parents should seri-

ously consider their own obedience (regular Bible reading, tithing, gathering for fellowship, remaining faithful to their spouse, not harming their bodies with cigarettes or alcohol, etc.). Does the child see you bowing before the authority of heaven as you face your responsibilities? Do they see you going to the Word of God for direction? Do you consistently obey those who are called to shepherd the flock of God? Expecting wholehearted obedience from the child is futile if our own obedience is in question.

Teaching obedience requires that the parent be reasonable. They can require only what is within the child's understanding and ability to comprehend and perform. What is required must not go beyond safe boundary lines, so that the child can come to realize that his parents have his best interests in mind.

Consistency in the process, coupled with daily communication, will rivet indelible impressions and establish a mutual relationship between parents and child.

REVERENCE AND RESPECT

Reverence is a deep respect for God, for others, and for oneself. The little child should be taught very early to think of God with esteem because He is the highest authority. As the child's understanding continues to mature, this esteem should develop into a wonder mixed with love and fear. A proper reverence for God will also produce respect for those to whom God has delegated responsibility to teach knowledge and wisdom. Those authorities are you as the parents, the Sunday and day school teachers, the pastor, the elders, the employer, civil officers, and anyone else who is commissioned to maintain order.

Children who are not taught to respect others usually develop little regard for themselves or their peers. They will not care for their own appearance, dress, or speech. Besides manifesting unkindness and discourtesy for others, such children often fall into abuse of their own bodies and persons.

Lack of respect for others causes real problems for the teacher when the child starts school. Disrespect lies at the heart of many of the academic difficulties children experience. This is explained in the proverb, *"The fear of the LORD is the beginning of knowledge: but fools despise wisdom and instruction."* (Proverbs 1:7).

Respect should be given to the **property** of other people as well as to their persons. All property is owned by someone whom God has made. When children are allowed to abuse or destroy the property of another, they also, to some extent, destroy the owner's well-being and rob God by doing so. While they should always show respect for property, they should respect another person's property with a higher regard than their own (or their parents).

By their attitudes and behaviour, parents very early convey a sense of reverence or the lack of it. Parents who lose their tempers, raise their voices, or holler and scream at their children place those children at a tremendous disadvantage. Unless a sincere apology is made when this happens and an effort is made to relate honorably, permanent damage will be done to the child's spirit. In contrast, parents who demonstrate appreciation for each other and for others - their in-laws, pastors, teachers, employers, and neighbours - plant seeds of proper respect in the child's frame of reference.

Parents should strictly forbid teasing, belittling people,

Must have book: **THE JEWISH STRATEGY** **by Revilo P. Oliver**

How the Jews have survived thousands of years of persecution - and why we of the West may not survive this century.

"For all practical purposes, the natural aristocracy of our race, which once gave it some sense of direction, has been totally destroyed, by revolutionary massacres, by contrived wars for hallucinatory ends, by economic looting under the guise of 'democracy,' by internal corruption through the fostering of its vices, and by miscegenation."

#138 @ sug don \$16.25



jesting, and gossiping. The saying 'if you can't speak well of a person; you should not speak at all;' is worth practising. Conversely, the use of honorary titles, such as brother and sister, aunt and uncle, and sir and madam, will establish a sense of relationship that enhances appreciation for authority.

FORGIVENESS

Teaching your child about forgiveness is essential to sound character development. Forgiveness is the voluntary choice to pardon, excuse, and forget others' wrongs against us. It is the heartfelt release of any bitterness or resentment aroused against someone who trespassed against us. It requires relinquishing every desire to get even or to return the hurt. True forgiveness to the individual trespasser can only be effected through *them asking for forgiveness*.

Forgiveness also involves confession and restitution on the part of the individual who hurts and offends another. The child must learn not only to forgive others, but also to seek forgiveness by making confession, apology, and restitution when he wrongs them.

Unforgiving attitudes are the cause of many home relationship problems. Parents who fail to deal redemptively with their child's disobedience by correction and forgiveness immediately place a wall between themselves and their child. Guilt and negative attitudes that are not brought out into the open, cleared up, and forgiven, will fester like hidden sores and will continue to erupt and worsen with time. By the same token, parents cannot turn a blind eye, or allow a child to continue in transgression, without dealing adequately with the problem.

Children must be taught and shown by parental example that confessing wrong-doing is honorable and right. Every child should be privileged to hear their father and mother say, "I'm sorry." Children must also witness the peace that forgiveness brings when pardon is expressed and the matter forever closed and forgotten. To dig up the past or to rehearse offenses that have been properly cleared does a terrible injustice to any child.

The child who cannot feel forgiveness will not learn to forgive others either. Thus begins a course of life that will lead to many strained and broken relationships. Children run away from home, marriage partners divorce, youth turn to rock music and drugs, all in efforts to escape the condemnation that comes from guilt that is not confessed, cleared and forgiven.

The Lord Jesus set the example for forgiveness. When hanging and suffering on the cruel cross, He looked at the thief who asked to be remembered, and said, "*Verily I say unto you today, you shall be with me in paradise.*" We who have received forgiveness from God will forfeit that forgiveness if, from our hearts we do not forgive our brothers their trespasses (Matthew 18:35). Children need the assurance of their parents' forgiveness. They also need the example of parents who refuse to hold any accounts against another even if they have been hurt or offended by that person's thoughtlessness.

TRUTHFULNESS

Without being truthful, a person will never be able to seek forgiveness from God and others, or to enjoy the forgiveness that depends on truthfully acknowledging the need to be forgiven. Without truthfulness, a person is doomed to having their word questioned and scrutinized, or simply ignored, even when they are telling the truth.

To escape the tragedy of living a life of deception later,

a child must learn to be truthful early in life. Truthfulness is a way of earning future trust by accurately reporting facts and events. A child needs to learn to gain the approval of others without misrepresenting the facts. For children to be truthful, they must be taught to face the consequences of mistakes. Lying to avoid punishment will lead to other distortions of character and moral ruin.

The child must learn that 'truthfulness must start with me.' Unless children can be completely honest with themselves, they will never be able to accept themselves as they are. Without truthfulness, they will never be able to seek help to strengthen the weak areas in their life, because they will not be able to face their needs honestly.

Being completely honest with oneself is a most difficult step. But it must be taken and then followed by a determination to be totally and completely honest with God and others. Unless he is truthful, the child will grow up making excuses for himself, covering up, and exaggerating. He will isolate himself from others by a wall of falsehoods and distortions that he erects to hide behind. He will live with the constant fear that the lies he told to avoid the consequences of mistakes and failures will be exposed.

Teach the child early that "*lying lips are abomination to the LORD: but they that deal truly are His delight.*" (Proverbs 12:22). Make your own life an example of truthfulness. Tell the truth when you are questioned about possible errors you may be guilty of, such as missing the fellowship meeting because something else seemed more important at the time. Be honest with your child and apologise when you misjudge or falsely accuse him.

Reduce punishments for offenses when the child tells the truth immediately after being caught in wrong-doing. Let your child know that an honest confession is always more honorable than lying in order to escape consequences. Praise truthfulness.

Above all, start early to teach what the Bible says about truthfulness and the evils of lying. Read stories

about characters who were honest and refused to lie even when doing so seemed costly. Help your child to realize what the end of all liars will be, in contrast to the reward of those who are truthful. (Revelation 21:7,8).

Parental example in all the character traits mentioned is immeasurably important and valuable. Their actions often mirror our own character. The more we express the divine nature of our Lord Jesus, the greater will the advantage be to our children.

In this perilous and permissive age, let us as parents rise up and shoulder the responsibility and the privileges that are ours, so that the generation that follows us may be able to say, "Thank You, Lord, for parents who loved me, cared for me, and brought me up in the nurture and admonition of the Lord."

Courtesy Light of Life, 200 Florey Drive, Charnwood ACT 2615.

ROOT CAUSE OF OUR CHAOS

Robert McCurry

Sin. It is a word that you don't hear a lot about any more. Like so many other things in this nation the idea of sin has been sanitized. We call it a 'mistake,' or an 'error,' or a 'miss-step,' but no matter how much lipstick and make-up you put on the pig, underneath it is still a pig.

Politicians don't lie, they 'miss-speak.' Governments don't steal, they 'tax.' Schools don't 'educate,' they indoctrinate. Whoremongers don't commit adultery; they 'sleep



around.' Yep, today we rarely call things what they are. Politically correct synonyms are now the white-washed lexicon for long established prohibitions. As I asked in a commentary five years ago, Whatever happened to sin?

All of the problems that our nation is dealing with are a direct result of sin. We live in a fallen world where the heart of man is deceitful above all things, and desperately wicked. We used to understand that. We used to teach it to our children. For man, sinning is as natural as breathing. No one has to be taught how to be selfish. But today, we have bought into the fable that men are naturally good. All of the evidence leads to a different verdict. This nation has a sin problem.

Sin is an individual problem that impacts us all. The price of sin is death. But somewhere along the path to liberation sin has been sanitized. You see, my sin does not just affect me; it touches others in a way we cannot even understand. Sin would be bad enough if my soul was the only one injured by my disobedience but, unfortunately, individual sin bleeds over on the rest of us. The idea that my sin doesn't harm others is preposterous.

Hitler sinned. His lust for power led him to murder. How many lives have been impacted by his actions? All Bernie Madoff did was steal, but his purloining rattled the foundations of the financial world. Margaret Sanger only wanted to be 'liberated' but her selfish heart led to women's 'reproductive rights' and the destruction of millions of lives. Hugh Hefner's crusade was waged to feed his natural sexual attractions but it opened the floodgates to the porn industry.

The heart is deceitful above all things. Your heart can convince you that good is bad and bad is good. LBJ thought that government charity was the "Christian thing" to do when he created the "Great Society," turning on the spigot of legalized government coveting. Lincoln thought he was doing a good thing when he over-ran the rights of individual states. Obama believes the government's function is to take care of us from womb to tomb. "I hear tell the road to hell is paved with good intentions."

Civil laws are nothing more than government's attempt to control the sin nature of the individual. Although the moral anarchists want to tell us that we can't "legislate morality," the obvious fact is that is exactly what the purpose of laws are. Stealing is (morally) wrong, so, in an effort to stop it, we make laws to punish it. "Law-breaking" is the moniker we put on the behaviour and fines and incarceration are the penalty of civil-sins.

But external restraints are never enough to control inward desires. No matter how many laws we create, someone will always find a way around it. In fact, we hire 'lawyers' to poke escape hatches in those restraints. Over the centuries our law-books have exploded from ten simple rules, to law libraries full of the failures of 'legislating' morality.

Jesus said, "Think not that I am come to destroy the law, or the prophets: I am not come to destroy, but to fulfill." (Matthew 5:17). That is why I love the Law of God. If we follow it, no one gets hurt. Yet we are hell-bent on removing its clear teaching from the minds of our children. We can't build enough prisons to control the hearts of unregenerate men.

You see, God's plan was simple. Knowing the heart of man, He put into place a set of controls (government) for society and it began, first and foremost, with the individual. The ultimate goal in God's justice system is for the individual to establish self-control. If we fail to teach our children the importance of self-government by obeying the "law written on the heart," then all true liberty will be lost. Liberty comes through obedience. Disobedience (sin) brings a loss of freedom. Failure to control one's inward desires

leads to outside controls.

Family is the next level of government that the Lord puts into place. From the earliest age parents are admonished to "train up a child in the way he should go." It is the role of the parents to help the child strengthen his or her inward controls. In the simplest of terms it is the parents' duty to teach a child to govern himself so that others will not have to. Because the enemy has directed his laser at the destruction of the family, this safety net of first response has eroded. If the child fails to control their behaviour the responsibility falls to the parents. If no parental controls are in place, or if the controls are ineffective, then the family turns to the church for restoration.

The church is God's sanctuary between the people and the civil magistrates. But the church has dropped the ball. Having bought into the church growth movement, relationship evangelism, and the Purpose Driven bilge, the Law of God is no longer used as the antidote to the Spiritual AIDS ravaging the country. As the church goes - so goes the nation. A little leaven infects the whole crowd and our toleration of sin in the camp has weakened the cultural immune system - the church. The ineffective "religious system" has given sway to the civil authorities. Moral anarchy reigns supreme as we turn to amoral government to harness the passions of men. Morality is only relative in the absence of truth. Today, our prisons are full and our churches are empty as we continue to ignore the clear warning of our founders.

John Adams: "We have no government armed in power capable of contending with human passions unbridled by morality and religion. Our Constitution was made only for a religious and moral people. It is wholly inadequate for the government of any other..." Government has usurped the authority that God originally gave to the people. We have surrendered the rights of the individual to the sovereignty of Caesar.

Samuel Adams, the Father of the American Revolution said: "Let divines and philosophers, statesmen and patriots, unite their endeavors to renovate the age by impressing the minds of men with the importance of educating their little boys and girls, inculcating in the minds of youth the fear and love of the Deity ... and leading them in the study and practice of the exalted virtues of the Christian system."

James Madison, the Father of the Constitution opined: "We have staked the whole future of American civilization, not on the power of government, far from it. We've staked the future of all of our political institutions on our capacity ... to sustain ourselves according to the Ten Commandments of God." ... I wonder if these boys could get elected today...

Our leadership is swimming in sin. They are incapable of delivering us from our body of death. We will never change the nation through the political process. Changing one set of sinners for another will not save us. Only a return to Biblical morality and the Grace of God can.

Until we recognize the source of our trouble this nation is doomed. We do not have a political problem. Our problem is sin!

Courtesy Wake-Up Herald.

Our thoughts and prayers go out to the wife and children of Dan Gentry from Christian Research who passed away suddenly during illness, at 52 years of age.

Thanks for the good comments received about our messenger. We thank God for the material we can pass on to you. Thanks for your ongoing support. May our God bless you and keep you safe, and give you His protection,

