



Christian Identity Ministries

A member of the
Congregations of Israel

PO Box 146, CARDWELL, QLD, 4849, Australia

Ph: 07-4066 0146 (International 61-7 instead of 07) www.christianidentityministries.com - hr_cim@bigpond.com

"Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; For He hath visited and redeemed *His* people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he sware to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives." Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian people are *ISRAEL!*

#333

Covenant Messenger

February AD2014

(a publication of N.Q. Fellowship of God, Æs Covenant People)

MULTICULTURALISM

As a tool to Divide and Conquer

The Layman's Primer

by Louis Beam

No nation is born multicultural. Multiculturalism is an unnatural as well as unhealthy and social condition that can only afflict states in national decline. A multicultural state carries in its genes the seeds of eventual national destruction.

All multicultural nations will be found to be in a state of political, moral, economic and social decay. Greed and corruption will characterize the government coupled with oppressive measures directed against citizens. Lies and deceit will be stock and trade of media, politicians, and educational institutions. Such are the bellwethers of a multiculturalist advent.

In modern times multiculturalism is instituted from the top down as an elitist ruling class tool used to play one or more racial or ethnic groups against another. The ensuing cultural melee serves the political designs, economic goals and power needs of elitist rulers and their sponsors. This technique was developed by Marxist ideologues who used multiculturalism in Russia to divide and conquer resistance to the institution of a communist state. The end result of their successful takeover was the murder of thirty million humans in the Soviet Union alone. Many more elsewhere.

The same internationalist cabals who sponsored Lenin, Trotsky, and Stalin as the multicultural leaders of the Soviet state from their banking houses in New York, similarly sponsor the multicultural leaders of the United States, Canada, and Europe today. An interlocking network of foundations such as Ford and Carnegie, international banking empires such as Rockefeller and Rothschild, and government agencies firmly in their control work in tandem with controlled propaganda outlets such as the New York Times, CBS, and Hollywood, to promote, foster, and institute multiculturalism today. While the examples used in this essay deal primarily with the United States the same process with the same methods is being employed elsewhere. This of itself is prima facie evidence of a cabal which promotes multiculturalism as a tool to achieve its objectives.

Multiculturalism is being used as a hammer to forge the compliant people who will compose the obedient states of the New World Order. As a weapon of post modern political warfare multiculturalism has few equals, which, thus explains its use against all of Western Europe, the United

States, Canada, Australia, and New Zealand. Deliberate fragmentation of these nations and the resultant loss of national identity and purpose into politically disharmonious units, serves as a stepping stone to world government. And who will compose that world government? A ruling class consisting of an "economic hierarchy," that replaces the philosophy of the nineteenth century "natural hierarchy." A force that views countries and the people that live in them first as economic targets to be exploited, and second as military targets to be defeated if they resist.

One must not let himself be confused by the window dressing of willing dupes from the left who are most often, but not always, seen as the spokesmen for the glories of multiculturalism. Liberal supporters of multiculturalism amount to nothing more than opportunist parasites riding on the back of a social fungus attacking the body politic. While some incoherent liberals have been spokesmen for multiculturalism they should not be viewed as representing other main stream liberals any more so than do so-called conservatives

like Bob Dole and Newt Gingrich speak for constitu-

IN THIS ISSUE:

Multiculturalism,	1
And it Rained on their Party,	3
Sheltering in the Real World,	8
Sheltering ... or ... Equipping?	9
The Church as Institution has Failed to Teach,	9
The Place of Tradition,	10
The Levitical Tithes,	12
Do Your Children Know the Book of Proverbs?	13
Sweden, Angry Young Men,	14

The views and opinions expressed in the articles herein or herewith are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIM. They are written by fallible men. You must ask Jesus to guide your studies!

CIM reserves the right to edit submitted or reprinted material in line with CIM editorial policy. CIM does the utmost to ensure that the spirit of articles remains intact at all times.

tionalists and populists. The real stimulus, unseen elitists who promote multiculturalism as a tool of warfare, are themselves anything but liberal, progressive, or democratic. Rather they are global social tyrants who seek more power, more wealth, and more control over people -- and they could care less what the politics are of those they seek to rule.

Elitist schemers envision a dictatorial world government composed of forcibly federated states, which, properly speaking, are *police states without borders*. The United Nations will serve as the store house front and public face of those who from behind the scenes manipulate world events. Economically envisioned is a global workers plantation overseen by transnational corporations who have no more concern for the human rights of those who produce goods and insure compliance of their subjects by defining all opposition to the border-less police state as terrorism. Thus will be the good times. In bad times, when elitist multinational corporations are unable to maintain control, the armed might of NATO will be used to enforce obedience by non-compliant states.¹

METHODS USED AND THEIR EFFECT

Just as television commercials are run by those who will profit from their airing, multiculturalism is fostered upon a country by its sponsors who intend to benefit from its acceptance.

Those who sponsor multiculturalism are properly called multiculturalists and generally will be found to be those people with the least amount of personal culture appertaining to them. As a dying tree drops its leaves and is attacked by fungus and worms of decay, so too is a nation set upon by multiculturalists. The dominant culture is attacked from all sides. This is not so much a product of maliciousness as necessity. By vilifying, levelling, and weakening the dominant culture, an environment is created for social, political, and economic which produces change that will benefit the sponsors of a multiculturalist state. If the government and news media relentlessly pursue issues of race, gender, and diversity in preference to the real issues that need to be addressed, there will be an increasing division of society along the same lines. Which is exactly what the sponsors of multiculturalism want.

Social instability, caused by a steady erosion of standards and values, coupled with a scramble over dwindling economic opportunities by conflicting ethnic groups, produces precisely the alienation and conflict needed to implement a multicultural state. Further, the lack of common standards and values leads to personal disorganization, resulting in unsociable behaviour. This is the life support system of a multicultural state. In a word: *anomie*. [lacking social or moral standards].

As a political tool multiculturalism has several applications. It is used to prevent a national consensus among the electorate. The confluence of divergent life views, cultures, beliefs, religions, ethnic habits, etc. insures a swirling river of discontent upon which the multiculturalist rides. It is a perfect method of ensuring that there can never in the future be accord, unity, and a common agreed upon destiny among those ruled.

Multiculturalism represents a basic form of divide and conquer, to the benefit of corrupt government and its sponsors.

Multiculturalism is likewise a financial tool used to socially and economically level a targeted population. When implemented, it becomes in fact a battle over scarce resources and shrinking economic opportunities, with government weighing in on the side of cheap labour. A continual flow of impoverished workers is insured through immigration (both legal and illegal), who by working for less compensation continually drive wages down. For the vast majority of citizens the standard of living will not increase, but rather constantly decrease.

As a general rule: *"The amount of multiculturalism in any society is directly proportional to the corruption at the top of a political system and inversely proportional to national unity."*

This means: multiculturalism will have succeeded in so much as the country has failed.

Multiculturalism can further be used as a "transitional tool," to take a targeted population from one form of government to another. When a political condition of greed, massive corruption, and diversity of objective is coupled to a social condition of drugs, violence, and discontent, therein exists the perfect environment for governmental change to a system that more closely serves long term interests of ruling elitists. Seeing that both the problem and solution are provided by the same people makes the CIA's importation of some one hundred billion dollars worth of

cocaine and other drugs into the United States understandable (see *The Heroin Highway*, CI-100 @ sug don \$17 - old historic DVD). While at the same time explaining FBI, ATF, and other, more secretive federal government agencies involvement in domestic terrorism or its cover-up. Suddenly, that which erroneously was previously thought to be unrelated events show their common thread and purpose.

Within the deleterious milieu of multiculturalism exists the propaganda opportunity for re-education of the people into a more malleable

entity. A targeted population will be shaped mentally by new forms of public education in the schools, media indoctrination, and by elitist pronouncements. Thus placed in a crucible of economic necessity and social pressure, once free citizens become despondent masses, adjusting to and accepting fundamentally changing national circumstances as a matter of expedient survival. For the reticent, conformity by force will ensue in the form of legal penalties disguised as anti-drug, anti-terrorism, or anti-hate laws. All of this leading to what George Orwell so aptly predicted in his book 1984:

"Almost certainly we are moving into an age of totalitarian dictatorships. An age in which freedom of thought will be at first a deadly sin and later on a meaningless abstraction."

A society is being spawned where those with the most unsociable behaviour, deviant life-style, or personal failures are given the most by government. This is no accident! It is not government blundering, nor is it misguided liberalism; it is exactly what it is by design, purpose, and objective. A program advocated by both Republican (Liberal) and Democratic (Labor) administrations for the elitist backers of both are the same. It is the program of a government which has fallen firmly into the hands of evil forces. Failure to recognize this salient point will result in endlessly chasing after tangents, or needlessly blaming those who have no power to change the current direction of events.

A "Liberal Utopia," would be a place where everybody has guaranteed employment, free comprehensive health-care, free food, free housing, free clothing, free utilities, and only Law Enforcement have guns. Believe it or not, such a place does indeed exist.....

**It's called:
PRISON**



Anger directed towards liberals,² Blacks, or people of colour is wasted. Reorganization of the government from the bottom up would be productive.

Multiculturalism, like drugs, is an insidious weapon. Both destroy the heart and fabric of a people. All ties to family, community, and one's people as a whole are destroyed by these two opiates of the human mind. Both are sponsored from the top down by one world elitists bent upon creating a world order whose power is such that its subjects possess no potential for resistance.

By its very nature every emerging police state seeks to harness both the power of the state and the people to its will. When calls are made for support of cryptic slogans such as war on crime, drugs, terrorism, hate, poverty, etc. what is really meant is "grant power to the state and applaud the rape of your freedom." In sum: multiculturalism is another program designed to create the subjects of a Police State Without Borders. When coupled with the "war on drugs," and "war on terrorism," Orwell's world of endless war is realized. It would be their world, their orders, and nothing new, for a lust for despotism is as old as mankind itself.

The anti-thesis of multiculturalism is moral, religious, and cultural solidarity among the people of a nation. Belief in one's self and the ultimate good of his people. A cohesiveness that produces a national vision, with set boundaries of acceptability in the affairs of a nation, while allowing for the natural differences in men. Multiculturalism as a tool of warfare becomes impotent and rejected in such an environment.

A necessary first step toward recovery is to look at politics, social policy, and government emanating from the Capitol with new eyes, unclouded by a lifetime of false information and deception propagated by elitist sponsors. Pretending any longer that the "bought and paid for" political prostitutes in the Nation's capitol represent you or anyone you know is tantamount to cutting your own wrist with a razor blade. Self-destructive behaviour may qualify one for government "protected class status" under diversity laws—but it will not save you, your family, or your nation.

America, Canada, and most of Europe (and the other Western Nations) are ruled by politicians about whom the best that can be said is that they are men of ill repute, each one out to loot the state. One thing is clear, the ruling elite of today are far closer in ideological viewpoint to world government ruled by a privileged few than it is to the world of the American Revolution and 1789. Yet still, the spirit of 1776 lives on in this land. For once again *Rebellion to tyrants is obedience to God* is afoot. Listen closely and in the distance you can hear the ring of liberty's bell calling gallant sons and noble daughters to her aid. They know no left, nor right, only treason. And they will not fear to answer. Let tyrants tremble. And though the heavens fall, let there be justice.

Notes:

1. Those who imagine the United Nations in and of itself as a military threat are naïve or deliberately misled. Global elitists would never trust the U.N. with an army other than one on loan. Because of the U.N.'s diversity there is too great a potential for some member states taking as serious elitist propaganda of "democratic" rule and "equality" then using any military that might exist without elitist sanction. NATO on the other hand is controlled by the United States government, which is the chief force behind the establishment of the New World Order. It is, and will remain in the foreseeable future, the army of choice for ensuring compliance. A quick change of hat from green to blue will make NATO troops "UN Forces" when such need is sanctioned by elitists.

2. Indeed liberal thinkers such as Daniel Brandt have written far more eloquently about the deleterious effects of multiculturalism than

the present writer. Brandt's essay Multiculturalism and the Ruling Elite is a must read for those interested in the subject.

Contributed

AND IT RAINED ON THEIR PARTY.....

And the Pentecost Age is Over

by Adam De Witt

When King Saul was crowned on the day of Pentecost, God had it rain on his party and the wheat harvest, a sign of a curse as you do not want rain on the day of wheat harvest. There are a lot of lessons and symbols in all of that biblical tale which very few folk seem to grasp. When Mandela was given all his "hail Mandelas" a few days before he was buried, it rained on his "hallowed" party. Can we draw parallels between the two events just stated? I think you will see why one can at the end of this article and use this as a pointer to where we are in the "timespell" of God's plan for us.

As for myself, I never went to ZA (South Africa) in all my worldly treks until a set of events took place some ten years ago. Before such time, ZA seemed a place low on my radar of places to go, and that largely due to the way the "world" painted it. Yet when I became a Christian and started to love my Sakson roots because of becoming a Christian, suddenly ZA became a land of interest.

It also struck me that ZA had history with so many things in common with the USA. Both had a Dutch start, in fact Dutch was spoken in New York State in several places up until the end of the Victorian age and in New Jersey a local district Dutch grew to become known as New Jersey Dutch. Also the flag of Albany, the state capital is basically the same flag, an orange, white, blue banner as that of the Union of South Africa, but with a different emblem within the white section, yet set in the same place. What's more, the flag of Brooklyn (the name Brooklyn is based on the name *Breukelen* in Utrecht shire in Holland) has as the motto, *Eendracht Maecht Maght*, which was also the motto of the ZAR or Zuid Afrikaanse Republiek (a Boer Commonwealth in ZA) but spelled, *Eendragt Maakt Magt*, and is the motto also of Belgium, *Eendracht Maakt Macht*.

Also, those colonists who did not agree with the establishment rule in the core settlements in both the USA and ZA, went on wagon train treks into the wilderness to be met by warlike heathen tribes. And both lands had establishment internal wars to wipe out whites who did not go along with establishment laws, such as the war against the Boers and the War against the South (the War of Northern Aggression) and both suffered burnt earth policies and concentration camps, and both ended up having an apartheid solution to racial issues and both had these axed after WW2. These are but a few things but there are many more parallels between ZA and USA.

BACK TO MANDELA . . .

Nelson Mandela was not his real name, that is, the Nelson bit. His real name was an African name, Rolihlahla, and when translated means, "Trouble Maker." Only recently did the Edomite newspaper Haaretz admit that the Jewish secret services trained Mandela, so he was groomed by the Jews. This was also reported by the Netherlands Broadcasting Corporation (NOS, Nederlandse Omroep Stichting). He became involved in the anti-colonial movement, groomed and trained by the Mossad.

ANTI-COLONIAL MEANS: ANTI-WHITE.... or



ANTI-GOD'S FOLK

Sky news wrote, "Israeli Mossad agents operating in Ethiopia in 1962 unwittingly (unwittingly?? Come on., what tripe, unwittingly.... *AdeW*) trained Nelson Mandela in hand-to-hand combat, weaponry and sabotage, according to a document released by Israel's state archives." One wonders why they released this? Are they proud? Guess so. A letter from a Mossad official to the foreign ministry, dated October 11, 1962 titled "THE BLACK PIMPERNEL" and released to the public on Sunday, recalls a conversation in which "we discussed a trainee in Ethiopia named David Mobarari from Rhodesia.

"The aforementioned was trained by the *Ethiopians* in judo, sabotage and weapons," the letter read. "The Black Pimpernel" was the nickname given at the time to Mandela, ... According to Haaretz newspaper, which first reported the story, the term "Ethiopians" was probably a code name for Israeli Mossad agents working in Ethiopia. "He greeted our men with (Hebrew salutation) 'Shalom'....," The Saportar Report claims he also spoke "a bit of Yiddish."

So he was also called the Black Pimpernel, a warped twist in the half-real character named the Scarlet Pimpernel of the 18 hundreds who saved white wealthy folk from the communists during the "reign of terror" in France.

This jew-groomed Black Pimpernel was trained in any means to get rid of "Colonialism" and that meant getting rid of God's folk who he had created to colonize the world. Adamites are the race that can blush, namely have rosy cheeks. The dusky races could not, and up until Adamites cast their pearls to the swine, i.e. gave our gifts to other races ... these other races were Hunter-Gatherers. An ancient term for Hunter-Gatherers is 'Creatures-of-the-field,' and in Middle English, 'Beasts-of-the-field.' God told Adamites this, "*And God blessed them, and God said unto them, Be fruitful and multiply, and replenish the earth, and subdue it: and have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over every living thing that moveth upon the earth.*" Genesis 1:28.

These verses mean that the earth was already befolked before the Adamites, and the Adamites thus were told to breed greatly. They were told to restock the earth with THEIR kind. That means colonize. Indeed, one of the great, great grandchildren was called Eber, which means, Colonizer. Yet, "Trouble Maker" Mandela was trained by the jews to de-colonize the Adamites from Africa. This means that his name Trouble Maker was very apt, and he was an anti-Christ. Mandela was a Beast-of-the-field and his lot was to be replenished by Adamites ... The Bible makes it clear that if we do not replenish the lands the Almighty gives us to replenish by driving out the heathen, that one day they will turn and drive us out.

This article, "It Rained on Their Party....," is not about Mandela as such, it's about looking for the end of the Pentecost age in which Mandela played a role and the Fake Jubilee that they declared. Many who read this may not understand that term, the Pentecost age, so I'll give a brief summary of what is meant thereby.

THREE MAIN FEASTS

God Almighty has given OUR folk three main feasts in each year, of course within these are several other feast days, but there are three main ones and they are, Pass-over, Weeks (Pentecost), and Booths (Tabernacles). I will in this article not go into great depth to prove the use of feast days as shadows and types for kingdom levels as such, because there are a great amount of articles written on this subject, but it is enough to say that each feast was sort of "school play" as it were, to teach OUR folk the three levels of God's Kingdom on Earth and that the Kingdom is not some far off place, either in time or beyond the planet.

The Pass-over level began on the Pass-over from Egypt and ended on the Pass-over on the cross in 33AD. The Weeks or Pentecost level began on the day of Pentecost with the 120 in the upper room that day in 33AD. And it will end somewhere in time on a Pentecost feast. The issue is therefore, being able to spot when this has or will happen.

Because church history and even secular history teaches that the churches stood for Christianity, and that the churches as such still exist, then it becomes hard to see what is going on. If one assumes that the churches stand for Christianity, then one will never see when their age has ended, or better said, Christianity on a Pentecost level.

The churches and secularists both believe that what the churches teach IS Christianity and so those folk in either camp do not understand that there are three Christian kingdom levels, and more to the point, that the churches had an age given to them in which to operate and when that age ends, they TOO end. The churches cannot accept that in some future time, they simply will be, no more. Nearly

everything that the churches have stood for and done will be **UNDONE** and that goes not only for the traditional ones such as the Anglican, the Catholic, the Lutheran, the Reformed, the Presbyterian and Uniting and such like, but also for the neo-protestant ones such as Jehovah, Aô's Witnesses, the Mormons, the Seventh Day Adventists, the Pentecostals and so on. All things which they have done that are not befitting level 3 will be gone and forgotten. They do not understand that because they believe Christianity will never die, and because they think that they stand for Christianity, they will not die.

They do not understand that they were given a time to stand for Christianity and when that time is over, it is over for them. This is hard for the churches to fathom and harder still to fathom that Christianity will not only carry on without them, but more to the point, it will at last become what the churches were never able to achieve.

In fact, the churches are kaput already and nearly as good as gone. What is left is a shell of what they were. But the shell can be propped up, and that is what we see today. A propped up shell. So when and how can we tell when their age truly fell before it became an empty shell? The answer lies not in how many of their buildings stand or the numbers of folk going into these buildings on a Sunday or Saturday (although the numbers are the lowest ever in history), no, the sign is seen in something else.

CD's of the Month:

by the late Howard Freeman, who had a good understanding of legal matters and had a unique way of telling his stories. Humerous and enlightening!

#1 Social Security, Insurance and Gambling (X-349b)

#2 Obedience and Command (S-303)

#3 Common Law & Your Rights, pt 1, (AC-408)

#4 Sheep in Wolf Country, pt 1 (AC-8501)

#5 Sheep in Wolf Country, pt 2 (AC-8504)

#6 The Tongue and its Power (S-337)

#7 Applying the Principles of Law (AC-713)



WHAT CAN WE LOOK AT TO SEE IF THE CHURCH AGE IS OVER?

The signs to look for are something that is no more, yet once was — What then was that? It has to do with rule and ruling in the dealings of state.

It has to do with the number one duty which they no longer carry out anymore. It is not good enough to say, “Well their doors are open and some folks still go to their services.” If that is all that 2000 years have stood for then one is saying that Jesus sent seven ‘geists’ into the world, and with this empowered the churches to be religious “feel good,” (or bad if you’re a sinner) social clubs, no greater than what the Path-finders (Scouts) are. Yet that is not what Jesus did or ordain for the seven church “geists.”

He held sway over the seven candle sticks in Revelation and sent each one into the world, one after the other. When one waned, he sent the next. Each one had a calling and that calling was not to be once or twice a week get together clubs for its fans. The aim was for them to multiply and drive out heathen governments and replace them with Christian governments, thereby creating God’s Law for Godly rule, in and over Godly territories. That means rule and take dominion; God and State rolled into one growing kingdom. What is commonly called Church and state rule. And that is what they did, but without God’s Law

. They were kneaded with the leaven of the Pharisees, so they were on a ‘wheat level.’ Nevertheless, that was the game-plan. But there were only seven candlesticks, so only seven times of church empowering. There is no eighth empowerment for the churches, only seven, and when the seventh is spent ... it is game over for them.

Please remember and fully understand, that does not mean that Christianity is over; it means on the level of the seven candle sticks (which is on a Pentecost level) that, ‘THAT’ is what is or will be over and done with. The seven ages of church empowerment is or will be over, not Christianity, at the end of the last church age. The thing then to look for is when that empowerment ended to establish the end of the Pentecost age which began in 33AD.

So again, what was the empowerment given to them and is that still found in the world today - and if not, when did it end? And, if it has ended, then on what kingdom level are we now? And if that next level is not here yet, then why not? Remember, there are 3 kingdom levels; Pass-over, Pentecost, and Booths. These three levels are also typified by three Bible characters, and so we could say, Pass-over is a Moses type kingdom, Pentecost is a Saul type kingdom level, and Booths is a David type kingdom level. As far as growth goes, collectively, we can see Pass-over as children (do as you are told), Pentecost as teenage (they think their father doesn’t understand), and Booths as grown-ups (Father knows everything).

The first started with the out-going from Egypt and ended on the cross of 33 AD. The second started on the day of Pentecost 33 AD and its end is at the end of the church age, and the last ultimate level is yet to come.

These are the asks that need to be asked, sadly no one asks these; and thus they ask amiss and cannot see what is

going on around them from a kingdom outlook. Well, they see things, but take these things as seen via the teaching of the church or secular traditions only and thus are not aware by observation of the changes of kingdom levels. Once you know what the empowerment was of the Pentecost age, then you can identify when that died. Then you can work out where we are now, and where we are heading.

Dr. Stephen Jones has uncovered a remarkable detail of Biblical history and cycles related to this whole topic (although the things that I wish to delve into, a bit further on, about the ending of national sunderness [apart-hood] laws, he has not spoken of as far as I can tell. So I believe together, we can be telling things as a double witness.

The things that he has picked up on lock-step with the things I am dealing with in this article and hence why I claim, these things can act as a double witness. Dr. Jones has pointed out that the OT Israelites, our forbears, after Egypt, spent 40 years wandering through the Saudi Arabia wilderness, as a “church in the wilderness” and that on a larger scale this is reflected in the church age as a Church going into the wilderness again ... with the “after-Egypt” experience being a short term fore-shadow thereof.

The long-term NT church in the wilderness is a 40 times Jubilee year cycle, which as tally is: 40 x 49 years; the tally is 1960. Add 33 years for when the age began and allow for the Hebrew year beginning on the equinox, then the end of the church age or Pentecost age should have fallen in the years 1993-94. I guess many will look back and say, well, I have not seen a major shift in church policy since then, or at least nothing dramatic happened to churches or services or to the so-called Christian nations But, a major change **did** take place; something utterly fundamental. That is something I will outline but first, one needs to understand the following:

We need to understand that there are two sorts of Israelites, and yet also three sorts. First the two sorts: The Sons of God and the Sons of Man more on this is found in my article on giants (#330, Nov 2013, p5). The Sons

of God are the Judah type or the Scepter type. The Sons of Man are the Joseph type or Dominion type; also referred to as the double witness. Sadly these two terms are being muddled by many to mean either race mixing between angels and mankind, or space creatures and mankind, but it is not so (see my article on Giants). The terms are for two main types of Adamites in their calling or duty.

The Judah type is represented by a crop, **Barley**. The others by crops, too, **wheat**, and **grapes**. The difference being that when the Barley type hears the word, the ‘geist’ or wind blows over them and their husks simply fall away from the seed and they say, yea Father, all your dooms (judgments) are righteous and they love His Law. They are **winnowed** and the husk or flesh falls away from the seed. However, as for the latter, wheat and grapes, both need a harsher treatment before they ‘get it’ and they need threshing (thrashing) as in wheat or crushing, as in grapes ... these two are the ones who will not heed God’s Law let alone love it unless thrashed to bits or crushed to a pulp. Their flesh (fleshly ways) is the hardest to remove. They are by

New CD's & DVD's

- D-020 God's Perspective On Mixed Marriages. Biblical Separation. Pt 2. -Blanchard**
 - E-431 A Few Answers to 'Answers in Genesis' -Elmore.**
 - E-452 The Minority, -Elmore**
 - G-904 Yahweh's Perfect Law, -Weiland**
 - G-905 The Pre-ample: A New National God - Weiland**
 - DVD-J-173 A Door of Hope, -Weaver**
 - DVD-J-383 Waiting Upon the Lord, -Weaver**
 - K-628 Mystery Babylon, Rome, Race And Roots. Mystery Babylon & the Stone Kingdom, #39 - Bruggenman**
 - Z-204 The Promise Fulfilled: The Flower Of Redemption. - Booth**
- (Note: some John Weaver on DVD have no video, but only a screen saver)**



far the bulk of our race. They are the dominion, Sons of Man, but are themselves in two categories, wheat or grapes. The wheat types are the church types, the grape types are the secular ones. The 'barley' types however are the first-fruit overcomers. The others are overcomers only once they have been overcome by thrashing or crushing.

The Pentecost age is an age in which the wheat folk, the church folk and its system have been given the chance to bring forth the fruit of the Kingdom. They have seven chances, seven days ,, seven ages in other words. They have seven chances to make God's Law the law of the lands they have taken dominion of.

Each 'day' ends when each day has been spent failing to bring that law into the land/lands, and that length of time for each day spent, hinges upon how much they who are empowered in that age of the church to do, and when they fail to achieve what they have been called to do.

As such, in long-term foretelling, a day is as a thousand years, but God says that He shortens the days lest no flesh be left. Only one church age was around a full day of a thousand years. The others were greatly shortened, indeed shortened down to no more than 1960 years rather than a full 7000 years. And in God's kindness, another 'dog,' also had to have its day, Esau.

God promised that Esau (the folk of Esau, that is) at the end of a certain age would throw off Jacob's yoke and rule over Jacob (the folk of Jacob). But this time would be very short, nowhere near a thousand years is reckoned unto Esau. His time is greatly shortened, lest no flesh (Israelite - Sakson flesh that is) would be spared. Esau has been very speedy at getting our numbers down. God even shortened it so much by having Esau's day run partly alongside the last church 'day' (age) with as outcome that the might of the holy folk will be broken, thus ending, empowerment.

The Bible also tells us to look for a sign that tells of the might being broken of our Isaac-son folk and we find this in Daniel 12:7, "*And I heard the man clothed in linen (King Jesus), which was upon the waters of the river, when he held up his right hand and his left hand unto heaven, and sware by him that liveth for ever that it shall be for a time, times, and a half; (1260 years, but because he held up two arms, some believe this doubles the amount making it 2,520 years) and when he shall have accomplished to scatter the power of the holy people, all these things shall be finished.*"

We know that the 'punishment' is for 7 'times' which is 2,520 years. Leviticus 26:18,21 "*If also after these 3 things you do not obey Me, then I will punish you seven times more for your sins.*" Dr. Jones reminds us that "*Since Babylon first received is 2,520-year mandate in 607 BC, 2,620 years have passed, but only 2,520 years of actual beast rule.*" So there is a 100 year gap. How come?

The answer is found in a 100 year break from the beast system of rule; Dr. Jones sums it up well, "*A prophetic "time" is 360 days in short-term prophecy and 360 years in long term prophecy. The "seven times" of punishment foretold in Lev, 26:18, 21, and so on, turned out to be a long-term judgment of 7 times 360 years, that is, 2,520 years. This was the time allotted to the Babylonian beast empires*

foretold in Daniel 7.

This was a continuous time period, other than for a brief period of Judean independence from 163 to 63 BC, when the actions of Antiochus Epiphanes moved God to give Judea a hundred year rest or vacation from the rule of the third beast (Grecian empire). In other words, this Grecian beast forfeited the last century of its divine mandate by desecrating the temple in Jerusalem and trying to turn it into a shrine for Zeus." (emphasis AdW) "The Judeans rose up and threw off the yoke in 163 BC. Their independence lasted until the Roman general Pompey took Jerusalem in 63BC. Then the fourth beast (Rome) was given dominion, and their mandate continued until the fall of Rome in 476."

When all tallied, we come to 1993-94. So what was the empowerment given to the seven church ages? Well, as I stated, it was to have DOMINION and with Scepter rights (ruling with law) what has commonly become known as a system of 'Church and State.'

Basically the Church was to hold rule by telling the state what goes as far as law goes, and the state determined how big its domain is with the church as head (please note and forget not, when I say 'church' I do not mean a specific organization unless specified). It is assumed then in such a system that the church is head over state and that makes the state a church state, or a Christian state (at least, Christian from a church Pentecost/wheat + tares level). Of course the mandate was not given to a particular church institution as such, but that is the way it has played out during the church age. This has in fact been one of the ills mentioned in Revelation, that Jesus had against the churches in Revelation, namely a church operating on a hierarchical system rather than the Godly way of Captains (which the Germanic tribes did use to some level but that ended when

they became part of 'Rome').

Nevertheless, that brand of Church and State is what we ended up with for over the last near 2000 years, so we need to see when this system ended to know when the Pentecost age itself ended.

Today the churches do not teach the scepter and dominion mandates anymore, instead they teach now that they are called to do these things:

- Go into the world and preach the gospel (missions)
- Baptising and administering communion (ordinances)
- Making disciples (shepherding and training)
- Teaching doctrine (preaching)
- Appointing elders (including paying those that teach)
- Making sure the needs of the poor in the church are met (mercy).
- Reading the Scripture publicly (gathering for worship)
- Praying for the sick in the church
- Discipline of the church
- Collecting money for other churches in need.

These points are based on Biblical verses, but if these are the ONLY points to have Jesus bless their place under the sun, then we have nothing more than a charitable social club. Hardly something we need Jesus to institute. All these above points miss what the true calling was that needed

New Video
SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE
for the Christian Faith
by Dr. Hugh Ross.

A very interesting and rivetting 90-minute message, showing the scientific evidence for the existence of the Creator and Designer God of the Bible, and how those opposed to God and the Bible are losing ground - some even saying the universe came about by a miracle. Hugh also interestingly promotes the old earth creation idea, as well as showing the difference between "worldwide" and "global" in relation to Noah's flood. And no possibility of any life anywhere else in the universe!

Scientifically proven,
DVD#CI-949 @ sug don \$7



Jesus to give that fiery thrust for in the first place. All the above points are around the edges thereof. The true calling was to take over territory and make such yield to God's Law and thus bear the fruits of the Kingdom, and the more dominion they'd take, the bigger the Kingdom would be. This cannot be done with the current church, quaint, goody-two-shoes and politically correct, platforms they live by. But by Christian Israelites choosing to live in the same geographical area, and working to increase that area.

Up until a given point or given points in Western history, kings could only be made kings with Pentecostal-level, Christian approval, so in the church age, that was with church approval. It is clear that something was amiss with all this and that is because the churches, being wheat, grew side by side with tares; which taught the doctrines mingled with the leaven of the Pharisees and they did this very early on. Indeed, in church age Number One, Jesus said of that age, "I have something against thee, because thou hast left thy first love...." (Rev. 2:4). This means their first love, King Jesus was dumped ... for another Jesus. They did this by saying, Jesus did away with His Father's Law. So Law is gone, lawlessness cannot be identified. So without Law, there can be no breaking of Law, and thus there is no more sin (1 Jn. 3:4).

Yet the churches kept bashing their flocks about their sins, how so?

Well the early church fathers reasoned that the Law of God was for those left in the state religion of Judea, and so not for them as they said they were free from God's Law; they declared a FAKE Jubilee from God. It would be only fitting that over time, another system would declare a Jubilee as freedom from Church and State.

Nevertheless, the churches knew that lawlessness was not good, so they sought another law and a number of early church fathers were followers of the teaching of Plato which taught of the 'Guardians,' a law making hierarchy of men over the rest of us. This was what Nicolas believed in and so in the third church age we see Jesus say, "Thus thou also hast those who hold the doctrine of the Nicolaitans, which thing I hate." Rev 2:15.

Then it's only a small step to go from Greek ideas to Roman ideas ... and the law of Rome by way of the law books of Justinian in 536 AD which became the law of the church/es from the fourth church age onwards; the Jezebel church, the Mother Church, the Universal Church. Wikipedia states, "Canon law as legal system ... Much of the legislative style was adapted from that of Roman Law, especially the Justinianic Corpus Juris Civilis. As a result, Roman ecclesiastical courts tend to follow the Roman Law style of continental Europe with some variation."

Anyhow, I will not go into all the ills of the churches but the point here is that the churches took dominion over the states in which they were, and as far as all were concerned, that was Christianity and Christendom itself. So a double witness as such; Christianity was the scepter, and Christendom was the dominion. It just so happened of course that Christendom was made of lands befolked (pop-

ulated) by the Lost Tribes of Israel, but the hierarchy was a teamwork between whoring Saksos and Edomites (wheat and tares), the latter having come into Christendom as of the second church age, 64 AD - 313 AD ... of which Jesus says, ... "I know thy blasphemy of them which say they are Judahites but are not but are the synagogue of the flesh [a brood of snakes]" Rev. 2:9. The leaven of the Pharisees was well and truly mingled by then onwards.

The point being; we see here that the church age is hall-marked by Rule and Dominion with the churches setting the rules, and states being the long arm thereof. As such this is not a bad thing ... if the churches had God's Law as their law, but instead they replaced this by their feelings of right and wrong. Then they added Plato and Roman law with Pharisaic interpretation skills, then this washed or baptised or laundered into Church Law which flowed on into state law. With this system fought over and tinkered with by and for the Saksos living under these Greco-Roman 'Guardians,' the Edom and Lackey Sakson elites we end up with a package, a mongrel social law package. Within this package the Sakson underlings believed that the Elites worked for God, and thus for them too, never once believing they were cannon-fodder or slaves as chattel of this system they went to fight for over the ages.

The Fodder believed via the media (the churches) that kings had the divine right to rule, and kings believed it too. The burgesses (citizens) were all Saksos (Kelts, Slavs, Germanics) as such, so the law was administered to appear to serve them. As all of them, Fodder and Elites were deemed Christians, all those who were not Christians, were of a low rank, heathen and were not to be mixed with as this would weaken Christendom.

This system of Christendom resting on sanitized Roman law is called, the **Beast of the Sea**, in Revelation. By the 18 hundreds

another Beast (system) arose, paid by the Guardians of the Red folk, those who SHIELD the Red, the Red Edomites, the Red Shield, the Roth-schild, the Rothschilds. The system was not happy to be working within the Beast of the Sea, it was able to rise against it to be out-and-out something of 'its own,' and it gave us the political '-isms' ... a new set of beliefs for Saksos of the many within the 'fodder' class. This split the fodder Saksos into the Church types, and the Secular types, it separated the wheat from the grapes as it were.

At this point you may well ask, were there ever any real Christians at all? Of course, always! These were the ones Jesus spoke to in each church age, "He that hath an ear to hear, let him hear what the 'geist' saith unto the churches...." and then He lists their reward, He singles them out out as something separate from the church.

The churches did not fully understand the implications that Jesus was crowned King, and that in 33 AD as King, He sent and empowered the seven churches (that is, church age of 7 church ages) to do His Father's will/Law. Instead they all dumped His Law and in so doing, dumped King Jesus for their own little 'jesus,' one that is only good for

**Old Historic Videos:
GODS OF THE NEW AGE
in 2 parts**

*Anti-Christian Cults, Satanic Powers
supplied by Global News Service late of Invercargill
New Zealand.*

DVD#CI-197 @ sug don \$17

**SOUTH AFRICA
In Search of Moderation;
Say Yes to the Future**

*Other Side of "Suffer the Children"
(this Global News item shows the lies deliberately
produced by the makers)*

*Zola Budd — The Girl who Didn't Run
also: U.S. & Canadian news items.*

*Global News Service
DVD#CI-198 @ sug don \$17*



praying to, as a genie in a bottle, and one that lets them make their own laws and kings. Because of this, they failed to bring forth the fruits of the Kingdom of King Jesus as they did not have His Law, instead they had a hybrid law system chiefly of Rome.

Thus, as of Church age one, they 'un-crowned' King Jesus and they started crowning kings of their choice who yielded to their Canon Law (laundered Roman law). They argued that Christ's Kingdom is *not of this world*, a 'great' line or excuse to unseat our true King. They failed to understand what He meant by 'world.' The word 'world' is used to mean, 'system.' Jesus was not King of the system of Rome, or of any man-made system, that is to say, He was not empowered by men and crowned by men to run these man-made systems for them.

He was and is King of the whole earth, and He empowers men, and not the other way around as the churches have done. Jesus in fact came to bring all their systems UNDER Him. The church ages have been documented in Revelation which is a book that documents the rebellion of churches against Jesus whereby King Jesus always had to whip up foes of church-styled Christendom to wipe-out a third of this brand of rebellious Christendom ... and He had to do it time and again. He ruled these kingdoms with a Thresher's **rod of iron** on the **wheat** and **trod** the wine press on the **grapes**.

The churches NEVER taught that Jesus was threshing and treading on them and their burgesses. They believed that they were simply right. So with the churches having said that Jesus was still waiting to rule, they went on with the business of king and law-making. This king making against God's appointed system is a Pentecost 'thing.' We have a witness to this when King Saul was crowned.

God's Kingdom Ministries puts it so very clearly, "Saul was crowned king of Israel on the day of Pentecost. This is why we call him a pentecostal. Saul is probably the most important illustration in the Old Testament that manifests the nature of Pentecost. In his reign of 40 years we see the 'reign' of the Church during the 40 Jubilees (1960 years) from 33 AD to 193 AD.

Just as King Saul was crowned on Pentecost and called by God to rule the House of Israel, so also was the Church crowned on the day of Pentecost in Acts 2. The Church, too, was called to rule as Saul. It was to be the beginning of the monarchy in a New Testament sense, and the disciples were sent out into all the world to convert and baptize all nations (but all relevant nations in context, namely those of Adam, AdW). They were sent to bring all things under His feet, putting all of creation under His jurisdiction.

Sadly, This has not happened. What started out so mighty in the early chapters of Acts soon faded, as the leaven of Pentecost spread throughout the whole lump of dough. Thus, the Church in the past 40 Jubilees has been the fulfillment of the Old Testament story of King Saul, the Pentecostal. Saul's household was destined to rule Israel only temporarily, for he was a Benjaminite, and the kings of Israel had to come from Judah (Gen. 49:10). Even so, the New Testament Pentecostal Church was destined to rule for only 40 Jubilees, until the time the overcomers would rule

by the anointing of the Feast of Tabernacles.

The story of Saul begins with the people of Israel demanding a king in 1 Samuel 8. The people had become impatient and did not want God to rule them directly any more. 1 Samuel 8:7 says,

"And the Lord said to Samuel, Listen to the voice of the people in regard to all that they say you, for they have not rejected you, but they have rejected Me from being king over them."

The reader would do well to read the whole account at: <http://www.gods-kingdom-ministries.net/teachings/books/the-wheat-and-asses-of-pentecost/chapter-2-king-saul-the-pentecostal/>

Typical of the Geist of Pentecost, the churches rejected the Son of God, as God was rejected as King back then. So we see we have Saul type kings/governments as of 33AD

.....to be continued.....

SHELTERING IN THE REAL WORLD

by Karl Reed

I have challenged pastors from coast to coast to show me out of the Scriptures where anyone other than their parents (Deut. 6:7) and grandparents (Deut. 4:9) has been given authority over the education of the children. None has ever been able to do so. Don't throw your child to the wolves — especially those in sheep's clothing!

Your child, like every child, conceived in the womb, comes from God with a plan and destiny. Not only is each child a gift from God, but each child is gifted, prepared for a place and a calling in life. In fact, there is no child that is not gifted — "handicaps" notwithstanding.

Each child is fitted for his or her own place and time upon God's earth and for His Kingdom. As a parent, you will be called to no higher duty than to raise your child in the nurture and admonition of

the Lord. Your child will not be at risk because you are sheltering him. Sheltering children is the very thing the home is designed of God to do—for the express purpose of training and teaching children. It is a Divine sanctuary for children—a little **Heaven on earth**, if you please. Keep it that way!

Don't be afraid to raise your children on the back side of the desert if need be. Out there, they will truly be in the "real world." Let others accuse you of living in a dream or fairy tale world if they want: It is not they whom you must please, or answer to. It is enough that you please God. Just go on following your God-given desire to train your child according to the Way of the Lord. He is the One who gave you the child and it is He who has given you instructions on how your child is to be trained. It is your Father in Heaven who, according to Malachi 2:15, will one day come for your child, seeking the "Godly seed." If, in the end, you have done your labor of love, ingraining the little arrow in your quiver, when your Father in Heaven receives the return of the arrow—straight, strong and true, when shot from His bow—your child will hit the mark!

You can also tell your doubting friends that not only is it possible to train up Godly children in this day and age, God is still on the throne and His Word (our promises) will not

must have book for sharing:

BILLIONS FOR THE BANKERS DEBTS FOR THE PEOPLE

Sheldon Emry

A beginners textbook on our debt-usury economic system. Written so anyone can see how the Banking System robs the people of hundreds, thousands and billions each year. The rich get richer while the poor get poorer through this scam. With added pages from 'The Money Trick,' and added cartoons which are self-explanatory. Plus, "The history of Usury in the Christian Era." A classic!

Listed @ \$3 each posted,

but lots of 10 copies @ \$22 posted.

Get extra copies for friends and relatives



return unto Him void. "Have faith in God" (Mark 11:22). The prophet Daniel tells of the last days and, disconcerting as this may be, also says of men and women in that day, "...but the people that do know their God shall be strong and do exploits" (Daniel 11:42).

Courtesy Home School Digest, Box 374 Covert MI 49043

SHELTERING ... OR EQUIPPING?

by Skeet Savage

When I think of all the dumb things we did when we were kids, I know it is only by the grace of God that any of us lived into adult-hood! And when I look around at the world today, I have to agree with our God: These certainly are perilous times in which we live.

Our job, as home-schooling parents, is to see that our children make it safely to adulthood, fully equipped to step into their place in the Kingdom and fulfill their calling in Jesus the Christ for such a time as this.

Regardless of the methodology of even the most dedicated teacher, there is no one-size-fits-all method of teaching that will ensure success for all students. The fact is, some folks learn through instruction, by observation (by example), and some folks just have to touch the electric fence to prove to themselves that they will, in fact, get burned.

The wise parent will seize every opportunity to provide clear instruction and thorough explanation regarding the issues of life in a variety of contexts, along with appropriate warnings concerning the potential consequences for failing to walk circumspectly through every situation that each day brings.

Obviously, I'm not suggesting that we view life through a dark and pessimistic lens—negatively instilling in our children an irrational fear that the light at the end of the tunnel is probably an oncoming train!

However, we do them a great disservice to allow them to stroll merrily along the path of life with all of its very real and inherent dangers, in blissful oblivion regarding the brash realities and inevitable consequences that ignorance, or outright rejection, of God's truth will bring.

Preparation for life requires a healthy balance of information along with opportunities for application.

You can send your child to his room with a Chilton's Manual and instruct him to memorize every detail from cover to cover—but, until the day that he is able to actually lay hands on a vehicle, he will never begin to be a real mechanic. Yes, if he leaves the safety of his room and goes to the unsterile environment of the garage, he will get his hands dirty and his clothing soiled, and may even risk a blood blister or smashed finger, but even these experiences will become schools of learning that will equip him for future challenges that life will likely bring his way.

We must not shelter our children from learning opportunities that will, in reality by God's grace, become the means of equipping them to fulfill their eternal purpose.

Just because something is difficult, or painful, doesn't mean that it won't be used by God for their ultimate good—and His ultimate glory. The goal is not to keep our children ignorant of life's realities but, rather, to keep them pure and unscathed.

"I would have you wise unto that which is good, and simple concerning evil" (Romans 16:19).

"I send you forth as sheep in the midst of wolves; there-

fore be wise as serpents, and harmless as doves" (Matthew 10:16).

While sheltering is focused on protecting from harm, our children become equipped for life through training, by word and by example (through practical application), in Biblical wisdom.

"All Scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness: That the man of God may be perfect, thoroughly furnished unto all good works" (2 Timothy 3:16-17).

Obviously, throughout their sojourn here, our children will make their own choices in life — and will bear the responsibility for those choices. Realizing that the track of life runs two ways—and that the ultimate outcome upon reaching their final destination will be determined by which way they chose to go — it is our duty, as parents, to map out the route as clearly as possible and point them in the right direction, trusting their Father in Heaven to lead and guide them safely HOME.

Courtesy Home School Digest, PO Box 374, Covert MI 49043

*From the late pastor Pete Peters:
THE REAL HATE GROUP
Beware of the writer of this article! It seems only fair to warn the reader that the writer of this article has been identified as a dangerous leader in a special ADL report. The car-maker Henry Ford was labeled anti-Semitic and nearly lost his business through this 'power' for making such statements.*

#009 @ sug don \$4.15

THE CHURCH AS AN INSTITUTION HAS FAILED TO TEACH ALL THE BIBLE
Pastor Charles Jennings, Truth in History.org

There are a lot of segments of Christianity. *This* segment says 'they' are wrong and 'they' say *this* segment is wrong. Usually both are right and both are wrong in different areas. So we must have an open mind.

I've never been a Baptist, but I've met some wonderful Baptist people. I accept them. I don't go by labels any more. The Bible contains not only spiritual aspects—faith and so forth—but it contains Laws. That's a scary word to most Christian people because we've been given this wrong idea of the Biblical word **Law** ... it has been twisted to mean anything from Malachi on back is no good.

The laws of ordinance are done away with—the sacrificing of blood and animals and so forth because we have a Supreme Sacrifice and that's Jesus the Christ and Him alone. He died once and for all.

Then there's laws of morality Thou shalt not steal, lie, murder . . . those laws are still in effect. They were instituted in the Old Testament, reinforced in the New Testament. And then there are other laws, Statutes . . . we use the same word today in our legal system. They govern society.

What is wrong with America, Great Britain, Australia, and other once Christian nations? We've thrown out the law of Statutes and Judgments, and they go together. The laws of Statutes in the Old Testament covered practically everything. They covered dietary laws, ... health laws, ... sanitary laws, ... penal laws, ... laws of marriage, ... laws concerning war, ... laws concerning usury—if we would obey *those* laws, we wouldn't have the banking problem that we have today. We'd probably be on the gold standard or some precious stone/metal instead of *paper*. It's *just* paper.

We must talk about these things, politically correct or not. Because **a preacher is called to be a watchman** (among other things) ... not only for his own life, for his own family, ... but also for the Christian body and for society. But the voice of Godly preachers these days has been muzzled, disrespected, for various reasons.



The political correctness that has invaded our society tells us there's a separation between 'Church and State.' But what they're *really* saying is "God and State ... the Lord doesn't belong in the public arena, but the *government* belongs in the *church*." If you preach against abortion, that's against 'the law' ... or you may offend someone if you call somebody a whoremonger. So when you offend somebody the government will step in and defend the 'offended party.'

So the influence of Christian preachers in America has really gone down hill. Most ministers today are members of a denomination and they receive orders from 'headquarters' that says 'this is our program for growth and stay within these guidelines because you'll run people off.'

It's not our intention to run people off, but to **speaK truth without fear or favour.**

Also ministry has this tendency to want to *survive* and they will do anything to preserve that. And when they get to certain controversial verses in the Bible, they go right over it ... smooth it out. Peter talked about that.

WHAT ARE THE OBSTACLES OF UNDERSTANDING TRUTH?

The extent of truth is not limited to my present understanding. I could have said that back in 1976, '86, '96, '06, ...

(1) **Ignorance** . . . lack of knowledge.

(2) **Prejudice** . . . judging something before you hear all the facts - Proverbs 18:13.

(3) **Misinformation** . . . it's misleading information. It can be information that is just tilted a *little*.

(4) To hear something **new disturbs my comfort zone.**

(5) **Fear of the unknown** . . . "I never heard that before, I have to go check with brother so-and-so or reverend so-and-so because I'm not comfortable with processing new information." So you only reprocess the same information and that what you call hash. You just put it through your mental grinder again and again . . . and you're comfortable with that. That can apply to every one of us.

But somebody had to take the initiative to say, "I'm tired of riding this horse" . . . and they came up with a steam engine . . . "It'll never work" . . . but it worked!

(6) **Fear of Rejection** . . . who has ever gone through that? Anybody ever been rejected? Rejection of *you* because of what you're saying? You tell somebody something new and fresh and what really knocks them over is "You know the Anglo-Saxon people are the true people of Israel" . . . **BOOM!** They not only reject *that*, they reject *you!* They don't check it out. They're basing their opinion upon their present understanding, and they discard the information you give them as being totally worthless (I know - we've experienced that ourselves, CIM).

But no one man knows it all. There is so much knowledge in this world . . . Bible, science, etc., that nobody knows it all. All you need is some extra letters behind your name, and you sit real piously before some moderator and he asks you some questions and addresses you by your title, . . . and you're this 'expert.'

(7) **Spiritual Blindness** . . . the rejection of Divine revelation.

Our Father in Heaven, we thank You for Your Word. Open our eyes that we may see. Open our understanding,

keep us humble before you Lord, keep us as a disciple, one that is learning. In Jesus' name, Amen.

Courtesy Hear Ye, Hear Ye. G.P.O. Lead Hill, Ark 72644

THE PLACE OF TRADITION

by Timothy Terrell

Reformed believers will sometimes remind each other that the task of reforming the church did not end with Luther, Calvin, Zwingli, Knox, and the rest of the "Reformers." We say, "*ecclesia reformata, semper reformanda*," the church reformed, always being reformed, to become more obedient to the Word of God as the church grows and matures.

Michael Horton points out that the *semper reformanda* phrase can be traced back to the Reformed Dutch writer Jocodus van Lodenstein, who wrote, "The church is reformed and always [in need of] being reformed according to the Word of God." Horton points out that this is not an active verb, but a passive one: the church is "always being reformed" by the spirit of God through the Word."¹ The *semper reformanda* principle pulls us towards the Word of God, correcting errors that may have crept in, or unbiblical traditions that have not yet been rooted out.

Tradition reflects the collective wisdom—or folly—of the past. There are those who see it generally as a valuable social inheritance, and those who see it as a barrier to progress. Left-liberalism, whether theological or political, tends to see tradition as worse than useless—a slavery to the past. Conservatism is characterized by a high regard for the traditions of history, for established procedure, for the wisdom of elders, for the original meaning of authors, for original intent, and for creeds and confessions.

While Christians should be ready and willing to discard traditions that are demonstrably unbiblical (e.g. 'Christmas'), there is a difference between scrapping a practice that is out of accord with the Bible and scrapping a practice that has no obvious Biblical mandate. Too often, families and churches have been willing to discard traditional practices that they do not understand.

TRADITIONAL WORSHIP

The modern churchgoer, claiming a desire for Biblical worship, and declaring "*sola scriptura*," demands justification for each tradition. If the Bible doesn't require it, the only grounds for keeping it is to placate those in the congregation who still cling to such things for sentimental reasons, as for "weaker brethren." Frequently, even that last support fort tradition fails when the church seeks to attract a younger generation that hasn't been made familiar with traditional practices.

Recently I sat in a worship service in a Presbyterian church in which the pastor questioned the common Reformed practice of placing the pulpit front and center in the sanctuary, and even of having a pulpit at all. "This is the way we've always done things," he said, which was not sufficient to justify continuing such practices. Other churches, pursuing "contemporary worship," have phased out older Psalms and hymns, classical architecture and furnishings, coats and ties for the pastors, sermon references to church history, and traditional liturgies with the reciting

exposé of a pagan doctrine

THE BIBLE DEVIL AND SATAN DEFINED

It is taught that the devil is a superhuman monster, a fallen angel, who dominates the minds of humanity, inducing mankind to sin. The teaching induces fear of the devil, and also provides an excuse for sin by blaming it on to him. The doctrine is not only unscriptural, but also a reflection upon God's love and omnipotence. Would a God of love allow weak, mortal man to be dominated by a powerful, depraved fallen angel if He has the strength to destroy him?

#403 @ sug don \$5.15



of historical creeds and confessions. In place of these is the “praise band” with lyrics written last week, on the overhead projector; a warehouse-style building with stage lighting, and movie clips to spice up the sermons. (see “*Foreign Spirit*” CI-918 @ \$7).

Whatever one’s position on the regulative principle of worship, there are several considerations that should give Christians pause before exchanging tradition for the latest worship trend. Whether or not we understand the reasoning of those countless believers before us whose practices became embedded in what we call “traditional worship,” abandoning those practices may cost us more than we recognize at first.

Traditional Psalms and hymns, for instance, tend to have a regular rhyming verse organization that is conducive to memorization and congregational singing. While new songs *may* be appropriate, modern worship songs are sometimes irregular in their sequencing and therefore hard to follow, and the anti-traditionalists’ relentless search for something new and fresh means that congregations are constantly confronted with songs that they don’t know and cannot sing. Many are also ego-centric rather than relating to God - like the Psalms do. Broad participation in worship suffers as worship becomes a spectator event at which professionals *perform*.

The placement of a pulpit has been a distinctive of Reformed churches for hundreds of years. It has signified the centrality of the preached *Word of God* in those churches. No, the Bible does not specify that there must be a pulpit, or that it must be placed front and center. But the traditional placement conveys the message of historical priorities. The traditional-versus-contemporary discussion is about more than differing tastes and preferences. Tradition communicates the lessons of centuries past.

TRADITIONAL PARENTING

Questions about tradition extend outside the church walls. Christian parents, wanting to bring their children up Biblically and mindful of the errors of the Pharisees, may think that a “this is how we’ve always done things” is inadequate to justify a family practice. But what families consider “appropriate” attire for church, school, family events, and going to the beach is informed by a long history of cultural expectations. The particular practices that we consider “good manners” or etiquette are not generally traceable to a Bible verse commanding that specific practice. And teenagers, inclined to question parental rules and regulations, may protest that a parent’s objection to an unusual hairstyle or application of makeup is only a groundless whim, but still covered by the requirements of the fifth commandment.

Appeal to tradition, however, is perfectly legitimate—so long as that tradition does not violate a Biblical principle. Parents may not be able to articulate moral reasons for their requirement that a daughter wear a particular kind of dress to a wedding, but the requirement embodies something other than an unreasoning attachment to the past. The requirement is a connection to historical, traditional practices that command such respect that we humbly follow them even when we don’t understand why they exist. The requirement is based in a presumption that there is a collective wisdom in such cultural practices; they

somehow promote the good of the society and would be ignored at our peril.

THE ESTIMABLE CONSTRAINTS OF HISTORY

Those who would throw off traditional constraints must exterminate history—the context in which traditions are born and preserved. For the young person eager to be independent of parental constraints, “what your grandmother used to say” may be irrelevant, merely a piece of family history hauled out by parents wishing to add weight to an unwanted restriction. This is small-scale anti-traditionalism, reflected in hostility toward historical context and the wisdom of elders. But for those who have broader plans for social reform, history and tradition are provincial relics that stand in the way of Progress. These must be replaced with what is new, modern, and scientific. People who are steeped in their history will resist the efforts of the social reformer.

R.J. Rushdoony wrote, “History ... is a road block to those who wish to remake man.”² Thus, he argued, statist educators who wish to exert control over a society must destroy history, replacing it with science and reason. “Some people do live in the past too much,” Rushdoony added, “some live only for the moment, and some, most foolish of all, think they live only by reason.”³

The economist Friedrich A. Hayek pointed out the danger of elevating reason and discarding tradition that is not well-understood:

“The most dangerous state in the growth of civilization may well be

that in which man has come to regard all these beliefs as superstitions and refuses to accept or to submit to anything which he does not rationally understand. The rationalist whose reason is not sufficient to teach him those limitations of the power of conscious reason, and who despises all the institutions and customs which have not been consciously designed, would thus become the destroyer of the civilization built upon them.”⁴

And Blackstone, who argued that “reason is corrupt,” noted the importance of adherence to precedent as a collected wisdom of earlier ages:

“Not that the particular reason for every rule in law can at this distance of time be always precisely assigned; but it is sufficient that there be nothing in the rule flatly contradictory to reason, and then the law will presume it to be well founded. And it has been an ancient observation in the laws of England, that whenever a standing rule of law, of which the reason perhaps could not be remembered or discerned, hath been wantonly broken in upon by statutes or new resolutions, the wisdom of the rule hath in the end appeared from the inconveniences that have followed the innovation.”⁵

The hazards from poorly considered “innovations” are enough to put the onus on the reformer to state carefully and thoroughly the reasoning for deviating from tradition. Blackstone continues:

“The doctrine of the law then is this: that precedents and rules must be followed unless flatly absurd or unjust: for though their reason be not obvious at first view, yet we owe such deference to former times as not to suppose they acted wholly without consideration.”⁶

A CONFLICT OF VISIONS

Economist Thomas Sowell expanded on this idea in his book *A Conflict of Visions*. In it, he explained that there are two “visions,” or senses of how the world works. These

Videos You May Have Missed: **DEMOGRAPHIC WINTER** *the decline of the human family.*

A ground-breaking film that will change the way you think about economics and children. The effects will be severe and long-lasting and are already becoming manifest in much of Europe. It is happening in rich and poor countries; It's happening throughout the Eastern and Western hemisphere, North and South!

DVD#CI-663 @ LOAN ONLY \$7



visions are of two basic types, Sowell says: constrained and unconstrained. A constrained vision is one that sees man as morally and intellectually constrained, prone to selfishness and not easily improved. The unconstrained vision, which is optimistic about human potential, sees man as capable of overcoming those constraints to design and create a better society.

The unconstrained vision favors sophisticated intellectuals who will overturn tradition in favor of innovation. The constrained vision sees the complexity of society as beyond the capacity of any intellectual to understand, so that we must rely on customs and traditions as guides to behaviour. "In the constrained vision," Sowell writes, "where knowledge was a multiplicity of experience too complex for explicit articulation, it was distilled over the generations in cultural processes as traits so deeply embedded as to be virtually unconscious reflexes—widely shared."⁷

THE FAITH OF OUR FATHERS

The Christian view is a blend of these two visions. It is partly an unconstrained vision. By the work of holy spirit, we can achieve an improvement in our behaviour toward those around us. By the light of God's Word, we can see places where ancient cultural practices should be changed. But there are constraints: our sin natures are with us all our lives, and it would be unwise indeed to ignore our tendency toward evil. Regard for tradition serves as a valuable restraint in society, cautioning us from thinking too highly of ourselves and our modern intellects. And, while the Bible clearly trumps tradition if the two come into conflict (e.g. Matthew 15:1-9), the lessons of history and tradition are not to be disregarded. This is seen in the respect we should have for those who are older, as in 1 Peter 5:5a: "Likewise, you who are younger, be subject to the elders," (the word 'elders' used here in the sense of an older man, as in 1 Timothy 5:1).

The "faith of our fathers" is embedded in worship practices, creeds and confessions, and family practices. It is even reflected in civil institutions and legal precedents. None of these are perfect, and some may need to be radically changed. But we should be cautious in our reforms. There is value in tradition that we may not recognize until it has been lost. Christians wishing to build a society based on Biblical principles would do well to avoid a "revolutionary" approach that abandons history in favour of the latest innovation.

Notes:

1. Michael Horton, "Semper Reformanda," *Tabletalk*, October 1, 2009.
2. Rousas J. Rushdoony, "Chalcedon Report #108," in *Roots of Reconstruction* (Vallecito CA: Ross House Books, 1991), 891.
3. *ibid.* 892.
4. Friedrich A. Hayek, *The Counterrevolution of Science: Studies on the Abuses of Reason* (Indianapolis: Liberty Press, 1979), 162-163.
5. William Blackstone, *Commentaries on the Laws of England, Vol. 1* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1979), 70.
6. *ibid.* 70
7. Thomas Sowell, *A Conflict of Visions* (New York: Basic Books), 42,43.

Courtesy Faith for All of Life, Box 158, Vallecito CA 95251

THE LEVITICAL TITHE

by R.J. Rushdoony

"And the LORD spake unto Moses, saying, Thus speak unto the Levites, and say unto them, When ye take of the

children of Israel the tithes which I have given you from them for your inheritance, then ye shall offer up an heave offering of it for the LORD, even a tenth part of the tithe. And this your heave offering shall be unto you, as though it were the corn of the threshing floor, and as the fulness of the winepress. Thus ye also shall offer an heave offering unto the LORD of all your tithes, which ye receive of the children of Israel; and ye shall give thereof the Lord's heave offering to Aaron the priest. Out of all your gifts ye shall offer every heave offering of the LORD, of all the best thereof, even the hallowed part thereof out of it.

Therefore thou shalt say unto them, When ye have heaved the best thereof from it, then shall be counted unto the Levites as the increase of the threshing floor, and as the increase of the winepress. And ye shall eat it in every place, ye and your households: for it is your reward for your service in the tabernacle of the congregation.

And ye shall bear no sin by reason of it, when ye have heaved from the best of it: neither shall ye pollute the holy things of the children of Israel, lest ye die. (Numbers 18:25-32).

The priests and the Levites had a common purpose, the service of the Lord. The priests were primarily centered on sacrifice and worship, whereas the Levites had instruction as their basic function (Deuteronomy 33:10). While in the wilderness, the care of the sanctuary predominated for the Levites. Once they were in Canaan, only a small minority were needed for sanctuary service;

the rest had broader duties, basically related to instruction.

In the church today, the division is somewhat less clear but still there. The work of the clergy centers on worship, but, basic to worship now is preaching, a Levitical service. The clergy thus are not only the heirs of the priests but also of the Levites.

The modern Levites are Christian scholars. It is important to note how early thinkers and teachers, writers and philosophers, were basic to the life of the early church. The surviving literature of the church fathers tells us how important scholarship was to the early church. The pagan scholars were not connected with the various temples to the pagan gods. They were tutors to the wealthy and had only contempt for the common man. The ideas represented by Plato's *Republic*, the manipulation and total control of most people by philosopher-kings, were common to pagan thinkers. Scholarship was an adjunct to aristocracy, and was *not* a religious function.

Thus the support of scholarship by Christians was a remarkable development in the world's history. It is worth noting that the Reformation was the work of Christian scholars.

In this text, we are told, *first*, that the tithe was to be paid normally, in whatever form it was given, to the Levites. These gifts were holy and belonged to God for the work of His Kingdom. To misuse the tithe was to "pollute the holy things of the children of Israel," and it would bring God's judgment of death (v.32). *Second*, the Levites were in turn to tithe (from) the people's tithe to the priests or sanctuary, for the purpose of furthering worship. If what the Levites received was in the form of ranch and farm products, the best of the tenth had to go for worship, with nine-tenths retained (of the original tithe) for Levitical purposes.

When Jesus and Paul *required* the support of the servants of God, they had reference to this law (Matt. 10:9-10; 1 Cor. 9:3-10, 16:2).



The observations of James Philip on this text are excellent. *First*, in God's plan everything depends on this fact of tithing. It provides the funding for a variety of activities, worship, health, education, and welfare, and also scholarship. The tithe is God's tax, the rent which is His due (Deut. 8:18), but no man or institution is empowered to collect it. *Thus, a people get the kind of society they pay for in their tithing.* "It is plain to see that everything in the Israelite economy really depended on the principle of tithing being adopted and strictly adhered to. Only thus would the system work." *The alternative to a tithing society is a tyrant state and its oppressive taxation.*

Second, "the spiritual impoverishment" of our time is due to the failure to tithe. Men seem to prefer the Internal Revenue Service (A.T.O.) and its power-state, to tithing and a free society.

Third, in Philip's telling words, "The significance of the tithe is that it is similar in principle to the institution of the Sabbath day of rest. Israel was to keep the Sabbath day holy and devote it entirely to God as a symbol that all days belonged to Him. In the same way the tithe is the symbol that all we have is the Lord's; to practice tithing is therefore a standing witness of our recognition that this is so."¹

Both the tithe and the Sabbath tell us to rest in the Lord and in confidence that His government alone gives us peace and prosperity

Then, *fourth*, the subject of tithing is referred to in Deuteronomy 12:6, 11 and 26:12, and Deuteronomy was used in the instruction of children. The tithe is important to shaping the future, and therefore **children need to be taught its necessity.**

We can add, *fifth*, that a non-tithing culture is a dying one, because it does not provide for its future under God. Christendom has been unique in world history, in that Christian scholarship has again and again revived culture by providing a framework for the future. When we look at the modern academic community *at its best*, we see men who are able critics, analysts, and historians, but their orientation is to the past and to the present. They are *more* than incompetent in their views of the future.

No other religion or culture has ever had any provision resembling this. The magi or wise men of Babylon were state-trained and state-controlled experts in various fields. The purpose of their work was to serve the state.

The quick rise of scholarship in the early church has not received sufficient attention. Paul says of Jesus' purpose for His people,

"And he gave some apostles; and some prophets; and some evangelists; and some pastors and teachers; For the perfecting of the saints, for the work of the ministry, for the edifying of the body of Christ (anointed people)." (Eph. 4:11-12).

The word *prophet* means one who speaks for God, one who enlightens us to see things in God's perspective. A teacher, *didaskalos*, is an instructor, master, doctor, or teacher. The early church thus has its doctors and teachers who were philosophers, theologians, historians, and so on. These were men supported by the believers.

In ancient China, and until recently, various scholars, Confucians especially, were state officials, and all scholarship was state oriented and state governed. This has been the pattern wherever in any culture we find scholars. The exception has been in ancient Israel and, since Jesus' day in particular, Christendom.

The Levites were not allowed to neglect worship. They

had to tithe and thereby witness to the priority of the worship of God; the top tenth of the tithe to them had to go for worship. But nine-tenths remained with the Levites in order to further the dominion mandate of the faith. Whether in the area of knowledge, agriculture, science, and in all things else, we are required to exercise dominion (Gen. 1:26-28).

Without the tithe, without the support of Christian scholarship, we get at best a static society, and static societies are very prone to decay and collapse. The purpose of Christian scholarship should be to clarify our vision of the past and present, to make clear God's requirements of us, to further our knowledge in every sphere, to give freedom for the exercise of the arts and sciences under God, and much, much more.

Without the tithe and the provision for the Christian teacher and scholar, a moral paralysis overtakes the church and society. This century has seen the moral paralysis of men and nations. The crisis will not go away automatically; no historical pendulum swings men and nations back into health when they are *wilfully* committing suicide. The crisis deepens daily, and the popular solutions are more and more ridiculous and inane. We have bought disaster; we will have nothing unless we turn to God's Law and way.

Notes: 1. James Philip, Numbers: The Communicator's Commentary (Waco TX: Word Books, 1989), 212.1
Courtesy Faith for All of Life, Box 158, Vallecito
CAQ 95251

Please Note:

A number of times we have been asked about the taking of credit cards. Unfortunately we cannot take cards. But you can use your card with *PayPal* on the internet, and pay to our email address hr_cim@bigpond.com and click on the "family friends" link; or alternatively you can direct deposit your donations to **Bendigo Bank**, BSB 633-000 and account # 128022837, in name of *Christian Identity Ministries*. (Those who now deposit to a different account number - please [continue](#) to do so)!

DO YOUR CHILDREN KNOW THE BOOK OF PROVERBS?

by Kevin Swanson

Europe is on the brink of economic collapse. How this will affect America is yet to be seen. Yet, our nation is the largest debtor nation in the world, so Europe's troubles can't be good for America. The family is in worse shape than ever before (in the history of our nation). Social

unrest is growing in the cities. The Baby Boom generation is retiring. The Social Security fund is bankrupt. The 30-something male crowd has never been more unmotivated and unproductive. Twenty percent of men in their prime working age are unemployed up from 5% in 1950. Birth implosions among the Europeans and Euro-Americans will rearrange entire civilizations.

Now What?

How do we prepare the next generation, who will live through the brunt of it all? How do we prepare our children, who will very possibly live through the year 2075? My answer is simple. Teach your children God's Book of Wisdom. **TEACH THE BOOK OF PROVERBS.**

As I completed the 340 lessons on the Book of Proverbs contained in the Family Bible Study Guide Series, I was amazed at how almost ever principle is ignored in the modern states. In fact, the modern humanist societies provide literally thousands of illustrations where men have violated the laws of Wisdom. At every point, whether it be banking, economies, political leadership, corporations, businesses, family life, health, human relationships, and sexuality, men have violated God's principles of wisdom, wholesale. In fact, many of the lessons are so foreign to the modern mind (in our rich, developed nations), men would laugh at these "old-fashioned" notions. When I would discourage churches and families from going into increased levels of debt to buy larger homes over the last several decades, it was rare to find any support for such a farfetched concept. The Proverbs tell us, "The debtor is servant to the lender."



But what if you gave that wisdom to President George W. Bush or Barack Obama? Would they zero out the deficits and abolish the fractional reserve, debt-based banking system? Of course not! Such lessons are considered irrelevant and impractical today.

Well, the time will come when the book of Proverbs, that old Book of Wisdom will vindicate itself. In the words of Jesus, wisdom will be justified by her children, every time. And, I pray to God that our children will know the Book of Proverbs, cover to cover, inside and out when this happens. They will need the Book of Proverbs to rebuild these walls, when this 200-year experiment in humanist wisdom collapses.

From my search on the Internet, our Family Bible Study Guide on Proverbs is the only comprehensive, verse-by-verse curriculum of its kind. Rarely do you find a school that teaches children every verse in God's curriculum for the education of our sons and daughters. If this is God's book on bestowing knowledge, understanding, and wisdom to a young man, you would think that our Christian colleges would have their students memorize the book. You would think that they would give them this book as the core text for the education of a child (both for content and methodology). Generally, they ignore the old, "useless" book. But why do they ignore it? They cannot see the relevance of God's book on education for "modern" private and public schools. "It's not a good fit," they tell us. At the core of it, they don't trust God's wisdom. They wouldn't want to put the time and resources into teaching a useless, old book.

But we are counting on the fact that God is very smart! We are 100% sure of it. We are going to go with the presupposition that God made us and He knows how things work. So we're just going to trust Him with this education business — the inculcating of wisdom, knowledge and understanding to young men and young women (Prov. 1:1-6). It will be worth the investment, to take two years to study the Book of Proverbs every day with your family. It will be well worth it, believe me. Don't just teach it. Use the discussion questions. Bring out the applications, and work every lesson into the lives of your children.

All the while you inculcate these lessons, do not ignore the lesson of faith (Proverbs 3:5,6). The just will live by faith, and it will take a well-grounded faith to live out the principles of God's Book of Wisdom. Separating faith and works produces a works-oriented legalism on the one hand, or the American antinomian, watered-down, characterless, dead faith on the other.

In conclusion, here are the reasons why you should give your children a serious and comprehensive study on the Book of Proverbs:

#1—You respect God and His wisdom, above all.

#2—The Proverbs is comprehensive, covering all important subjects from epistemology, metaphysics, and ethics to science, health, politics, leadership, business, economics, banking, family, human relationships, and psychology.

#3—The Proverbs weaves character into knowledge. It does not separate faith and character from knowledge of God, ourselves, and the world around us.

#4—The Proverbs is specifically designed for young men (and young women), as a Basic Course in Life. It is Life 101.

#5—The Proverbs is the basic book for discipleship for anybody and everybody (who has come to the knowledge of the truth in Jesus, who is God's Wisdom).

#6—The Proverbs have been largely ignored by huge educational and economic systems that are fast crumbling in the 21st century.

#7—Your children will need the wisdom contained in the book of Proverbs if they are going to rebuild socio-economic systems in the generations to come. The Book of Proverbs transcends all places and times in human history, but there is more of a need for this instruction now than ever before.

#8—You love your children and you want to equip them with wisdom before they leave your home.

(Homeschooled himself, Kevin Swanson and his wife, Brenda, homeschool their five children. Kevin serves as the Director of Generations with Vision and has a daily radio program "Generations the world's largest homeschooling and Biblical worldview program. Kevin has served as the Executive Director of Christian Home Education of Colorado for the last nine years. Kevin has authored several books.)

Courtesy Home School Digest, Box 374, Covert MI 49043

SWEDEN: ANGRY YOUNG MEN

Young people in Stockholm's suburbs rioted every night for a week in late May. The unrest started days after police shot and killed a 69-year-old man wielding a knife. Buildings including two schools, a cultural center and a police station were set on fire or vandalized, and 340 cars were torched. The riots occurred in areas occupied mostly by immigrants. Europe's economic troubles are exacerbating its volatile immigration situation. As unemployment rises, native resentment of immigrants grows, while immigrants bear the brunt of the unemployment. Europe's economic problems are leading to a social crisis.

Courtesy The Philadelphia Trumpet, Box 3700 Edmond OK 73083

MEMORY VERSES TO LEARN:

Years ago, we compiled a list of important verses for children to memorize, weekly. You might get your children to memorize these (over the next 4 weeks):

Proverbs 14:12

1 John 3:4

Isaiah 54:5

Matthew 5:5

OUR READERS SAY:

Dear CIM, Please find enclosed \$\$ subscription on your mailing list. Hope 2014 is a better year, as this past year has been a difficult one around here with serious flooding; something that's more common in your part of Queensland. Hope this letter find you well, and that the future fulfills your hopes & desires. Qld.

Dear CIM: I appreciate all the work you are doing. If at any time I should be short in payments, let me know; and if I at any times give any excess class it as a donation and not a credit to myself. Hope you don't mind some of the older DVDs I have ordered. God bless and watch over you and yours, QLD.

Hi all, it seems we are still trying to play catch up after our holiday, and there is always something cropping up that needs attention, and so causes more delays. Some computer problems have interfered with the smooth process of having this out on time, so sorry about the delay. It seems as one gets older, there is always more to do, and it also seems to take longer to get anything done - is that just me, or does everyone experience that? It seems like the "wet" has finally arrived, and we have had some good and much needed rain, praise the Lord. But now the grass needs mowing again and there is lots else still to do outside.

Thanks again to those who wrote and for your orders and financial help. We appreciate all the mail and clippings, and look forward to the next lot coming. May the God of our Fathers bless you and keep you, and make His face shine on you, and be gracious to you and keep you safe,

